

Article history : Received : 16.01.2013 Revised : 15.03.2015 Accepted : 01.04.2015

#### Members of the Research Forum

Associated Authors: <sup>1</sup>Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, RAIPUR (C.G.) INDIA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Vegetable Science, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, RAIPUR (C.G.) INDIA

Author for correspondence : D. SHARMA Department of Vegetable Science, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, RAIPUR (C.G.) INDIA Email : dsharma\_hort@yahoo.co.uk THE ASIAN JOURNAL OF HORTICULTURE Volume 10 | Issue 1 | June, 2015 | 1-10 Visit us -www.researchjournal.co.in



DOI: 10.15740/HAS/TAJH/10.1/1-10

# Early generation selection for green pod yield and its components in vegetable cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]

# **D. SHARMA, N. MEHTA<sup>1</sup>** AND J. SINGH<sup>2</sup>

**RESEARCH PAPER** 

**ABSTRACT :** Correlation and path co-efficient analysis were carried out in parents,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations of 6 × 4 parental line x tester cross in cowpea. Experiment comprising of 60 diverse vegetable cowpea genotypes was carried out at Horticultural research cum instructional farm, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur during *Kharif* of 2008. The result of correlation study in all three generations showed that green pod yield per plant had significant and positive correlation with number of pods per plant, pod length and pod weight. In base population,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  the path analysis revealed that number of pods per cluster, days to final picking, pod weight and fruiting duration expressed a highest positive direct effect on green pod yield per plant, respectively. The correlation and path analysis studies revealed that 100 seed weight, pod weight, pod length and number of pods per plant were major components of green pod yield in early generations of vegetable cowpea. Hence, the direct selection in form of selection indices based on these component characters would be effective in development of high yielding genotypes of vegetable cowpea.

KEY WORDS : Cowpea, Correlation, Path analysis

**HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE :** Sharma, D., Mehta, N. and Singh, J. (2015). Early generation selection for green pod yield and its components in vegetable cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. *Asian J. Hort.*, **10**(1): 1-10.

owpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] is one of the most important crop in India, used for vegetable, pulse and fodder. It is a self pollinated crop and the procedures in use for the varietal development have followed the traditional breeding methods such as individual plant selection in naturally occurring or hybridization induced genetic variability followed by pedigree method (Allard, 1960). An important assumption underlying early generation selection generally adopted for self-pollinated cops is that selection for the character in early generation  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  would be effective as when practiced in the later generations. The early generation testing to identify superior genotype and eliminate large amount of materials with increasing breeding efficiency of the programme (Allard, 1960).

Hence, important traits could be identified for selection in early generations. Hence, the genetic potentialities of green pod yield contributing characters and their interrelationship should be properly assessed for improvement in this crop (Jana *et al.*, 1983). Therefore, an attempt has been made to assess the factors determining green pod yield in early generation of cowpea through correlation co-efficient and path coefficient analysis. Keeping these views present investigation was carried out to study correlation and path analysis for various characters.

# **RESEARCH METHODS**

A base population of sixty genotypes collected from various parts of Chhattisgarh was grown in *Kharif* 2007 and from them diverse ten parents (six lines and four testers) were selected through genetic divergence analysis. All populations viz., base population including parents, twenty four F<sub>1</sub> progenies of selected parents in line x tester ( $6 \times 4$ ) matting fashion and their F<sub>2</sub> progenies were grown during Kharif season of 2008 at Department of Horticulture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur (C.G.). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. Each genotype consisted of three rows of 3.15 m long and 7 plants in each row. The spacing given was 60 cm between rows and 45 cm within a row. Observations were recorded on five randomly selected competitive plants from each genotype except 100 grain weight. Statistical analysis was done as methods suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1978) and Singh and Chaudhary (1985).

## **RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

The correlation co-efficient analysis for green pod yield and its contributing characters for base population,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are presented in Table 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Green pod yield per plant expressed a highly significant positive correlation with 100 seed weight at phenotypic, genotypic and environmental levels and it also showed significant correlation with number of pods per plant at phenotypic, genotypic and environmental levels. Green pod yield per plant also showed significant positive correlation with pod length and pod weight at phenotypic and genotypic levels. It had also significant positive correlation with fruiting duration at genotypic level and plant height, number of branches per plant and number of nodes per plant at environmental level. It also showed significant negative correlation with days to 50 per cent flowering, days to first picking and per cent protein content in green pods at phenotypic and genotypic levels. The other significant positive correlation was recorded in days to first flowering with days to 50 per cent flowering and days to first picking and days to 50 per cent flowering with days to first picking at phenotypic, genotypic and environmental levels. Similar results have been reported by earlier workers Jana et al. (1983); Selvaum and Das (1994); Resmi (1998) and Kutty et al. (2003).

The correlation co-efficient analysis for green pod yield and its contributing characters for  $F_1$  is given in Table 2. Green pod yield per plant expressed a highly significant positive correlation with 100 seed weight and

number of pods per plant at phenotypic, genotypic and environmental levels and it also showed significant correlation with pod weight, pod length and fruiting duration at phenotypic and genotypic levels. Whereas, significant negative correlation with per cent protein content in green pods at phenotypic and genotypic levels. In F<sub>1</sub> the other significant and positive correlation was observed between plant height with days to last picking, days to first flower with days to 50 per cent flowering and both with days to first picking, days to last picking, number of flowers per cluster with number of pods per cluster and days to first picking with days to last picking at all the three levels. Pod weight with fruiting duration and 100 seed weight, pod length with pod weight, fruiting duration and 100 seed weight, number of pods per cluster with days to first picking, number of pods per plant and per cent protein content in green pods, number of flowers per cluster with number of pods per cluster, days to first flower with number of pods per cluster and per cent protein content in green pods, plant height with days to first flower and first picking at phenotypic and genotypic levels. The above findings are in agreement with Chattopadhyay et al. (1997); Singh et al. (1998); Vidya and Oommen (2002); Venkatesan et al. (2003); Yadav et al. (2003) and Lovely and Radhadevi (2006).

In F<sub>2</sub> generation the green pod yield per plant expressed a highly significant positive correlation with pod length, number of pods per plant and number of pickings at phenotypic, genotypic and environmental levels. It also showed highly significant correlation with pod weight and 100 seed weight at phenotypic and genotypic levels. Green pod yield per plant also showed significant positive correlation with number of seeds per pod and fruiting duration at phenotypic and genotypic level. It had also significant positive correlation with plant height at genotypic level only. It also showed significant negative correlation with number of branches per plant, days to first flowering, days to 50 per cent flowering, days to first picking and per cent protein content in green pods. The other significant and positive correlation between traits were recorded for pod length with pod weight, number of pods per plant, number of pickings and green pod yield per plant, plant height with days to final picking and fruiting duration, days to first flower was observed with days to 50 per cent flowering and days to first picking at phenotypic, genotypic and environmental levels, pod length with number of seeds per pod fruiting duration and 100 seed weight, pod weight

#### EARLY GENERATION SELECTION FOR GREEN POD YIELD & ITS COMPONENTS IN VEGETABLE COWPEA

Table 1 :	Correl vegeta	atio ble o	n analys cowpea	sis (phe	enotypic,	genoty	pic and	environ	mental)	among g	green po	od yield a	and its o	componen	ıts in ba	ise popu	lation of
Characters			2	3	4	5	6	7	8	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		Р	-0.021	0.388*	0.304	0.199	0.072	0.385*	0.437*	-0.018	0.001	0.050	0.151	-0.062	0.122	0.019	0.060
Plant height	(cm)	G	-0.083	0.405*	0.318	0.227	0.098	0.404*	0.403*	-0.004	0.005	-0.044	0.215	-0.076	0.114	-0.069	-0.015
		Е	0.381*	0.205	0.208	0.001	-0.119	0.187	0.643**	-0.184	-0.099	0.707**	0.063	-0.009	0.176	0.563*	0.678**
Number of		Р	1.000	0.012	0.003	0.157	0.011	-0.001	0.029	-0.255	-0.090	0.134	-0.016	-0.026	0.004	0.018	0.047
Number of	+	G	1.000	0.005	0.000	0.197	0.038	-0.012	-0.065	-0.285	-0.088	0.077	-0.075	-0.026	0.004	-0.079	-0.019
branches/ pi	ant	Е	1.000	0.114	0.081	-0.074	-0.154	0.120	0.428*	0.001	-0.175	0.467*	0.105	-0.025	0.004	0.517*	0.506*
Dave to fire		Р		1.000	0.971**	0.268	0.447*	0.983**	0.580*	-0.450*	-0.484*	0.130	-0.096	-0.563*	0.573*	-0.465*	-0.389*
Days to mist	t	G		1.000	0.976**	0.291	0.480*	0.985**	0.651**	-0.467*	-0.497*	0.122	-0.131	-0.632**	0.614**	-0.529*	-0.428*
liowei		Е		1.000	0.871**	0.024	0.062	0.917**	0.209	-0.054	-0.012	0.277	-0.073	-0.344	0.160	0.138	0.175
Dave to 50	0/,	Р			1.000	0.289	0.488*	0.960**	0.595*	-0.459*	-0.477*	0.114	-0.117	-0.508*	0.577*	-0.475*	-0.399*
flowering	%0	G			1.000	0.311	0.517*	0.968**	0.672**	-0.472*	-0.483*	0.113	-0.169	-0.585*	0.619**	-0.530*	-0.427*
Howering		Е			1.000	0.041	0.169	0.793**	0.191	-0.057	-0.050	0.259	-0.053	-0.213	0.083	0.141	0.139
Number of		Р				1.000	0.552*	0.261	0.146	-0.415*	-0.273	0.220	-0.116	-0.161	0.432*	-0.229	-0.121
flowers/clu	ator	G				1.000	0.619**	• 0.281	0.177	-0.452*	-0.303	0.259	-0.187	-0.192	0.498*	-0.256	-0.124
HOWEIS/Ciu	ster	Е				1.000	0.106	0.077	0.011	-0.077	0.111	-0.028	0.001	-0.042	0.015	-0.077	-0.097
Number of		Р					1.000	0.433*	0.204	-0.482*	-0.414*	0.412*	-0.276	-0.284	0.497*	-0.238	-0.131
number of		G					1.000	0.464*	0.276	-0.533*	-0.444*	0.491*	-0.361*	-0.341	0.589*	-0.262	-0.132
pous/ciusie	r	Е					1.000	0.098	-0.137	0.055	-0.028	-0.116	-0.190	-0.057	-0.122	-0.097	-0.122
Dovia to fire	- 4	Р						1.000	0.612**	-0.435*	-0.472*	0.117	-0.079	-0.543*	0.528*	-0.470*	-0.394*
Days to ms	st	G						1.000	0.692**	-0.455*	-0.487*	0.110	-0.106	-0.611**	0.564*	-0.535*	-0.434*
picking		Е						1.000	0.171	-0.021	0.006	0.232	-0.064	-0.304	0.179	0.105	0.148
D to fin	1	Р							1.000	-0.155	-0.095	-0.035	-0.016	0.196	0.315	-0.136	-0.080
Days to Im	ai	G							1.000	-0.171	-0.100	-0.204	-0.022	0.235	0.354	-0.331	-0.230
picking		Е							1.000	-0.084	-0.123	0.740**	-0.008	0.078	0.149	0.652**	0.720
		Р								1.000	0.808**	-0.376*	0.243	0.349*	-0.526*	0.583*	0.563**
Pod length	(cm)	G								1.000	0.839**	-0.404*	0.319	0.425*	-0.588*	0.654**	0.615**
		Е								1.000	-0.026	-0.121	0.205	-0.048	0.080	0.021	-0.056
		Р									1.000	-0.386*	0.199	0.465*	-0.503*	0.632**	0.619**
Pod weight	t (g)	G									1.000	-0.410*	0.301	0.543*	-0.551*	0.710**	0.671**
		Е									1.000	-0.182	-0.015	0.090	0.077	-0.133	-0.223
NT	- <b>1</b> -	Р										1.000	0.009	-0.259	0.182	0.222	0.323
Number of	poas	G										1.000	-0.005	-0.327	0.190	0.138	0.348*.
/plant		Е										1.000	0.047	0.002	0.128	0.692**	0.878**
N havef		Р											1.000	0.090	-0.170	0.188	0.176
Number of		G											1.000	0.113	-0.252	0.271	0.253
seeds/poas		Е											1.000	0.064	-0.046	0.071	0.062
		Р												1.000	-0.311	0.332	0.304
Fruiting du	ration	G												1.000	-0.359	0.422*	0.381*
(days)		Е												1.000	-0.137	0.015	-0.025
		Р													1.000	-0.473*	-0.393*
Protein % (	green	G													1.000	-0.573*	-0.460*
pod)		Е													1.000	0.086	0.102
		Р														1.000	0.870**
100 seed w	eight	G														1.000	0.888**
(g)		E														1.000	0.786**
		Р															1.000
Green pod	yield/	G															1.000
plant (g)		E															1.000

\* and \*\* indicate significance of value at P=0.01 and P=0.05, respectively

#### D. SHARMA, N. MEHTA AND J. SINGH

Table 2 : Correlat	ion	analysi	s (pheno	otypic, ge	notypi	c and en	vironme	ntal) amo	ong greei	ı pod yiel	ld and its	compo	nents in	F <sub>1</sub> of veg	etable co	wpea
Characters		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
	Р	0.010	0.361*	0.304	0.234	0.129	0.363*	0.439*	0.035	0.053	0.095	0.187	0.014	0.101	0.096	0.136
Plant height	G	-0.067	0.378*	0.318	0.241	0.123	0.380*	0.444*	0.043	0.059	0.016	0.235	-0.014	0.097	0.022	0.076
	Е	0.458*	0.182	0.137	0.190	0.167	0.177	0.499*	-0.067	-0.050	0.690**	0.107	0.122	0.131	0.583*	0.671**
	Р	1.000	-0.061	-0.071	0.173	0.078	0.066	0.042	-0.056	0.076	0.187	0.044	0.069	-0.073	0.218	0.266
Number of	G	1.000	-0.082	-0.083	0.153	0.037	-0.088	-0.074	-0.070	0.097	0.122	-0.017	0.033	-0.090	0.137	0.213
branches/ plant	Е	1.000	0.114	0.043	0.269	0.271	0.114	0.387*	0.051	-0.146	0.530*	0.186	0.171	0.010	0.594*	0.618**
	Р		1.000	0.978**	0.276	0.443*	0.979**	0.584*	-0.446*	-0.495*	0.133	-0.088	-0.463*	0.597*	-0.474*	-0.383*
Days to 1 <sup>st</sup> flower	G		1.000	0.986**	0.302	0.483*	0 979**	0.672**	-0.464*	-0.512*	0.128	-0.105	-0 578*	0.643**	-0 541*	-0.421*
Duys to 1 nower	F		1.000	0.763**	0.054	0.105	0.987**	0.345*	-0.077	-0.015	0.213	-0.092	-0.109	0.138	0.118	0.121
	P		1.000	1 000	0.054	0.158*	0.967**	0.540*	-0.464*	-0.50/*	0.129	-0.092	-0.444*	0.150	-0 /97*	-0.401*
Days to 50 %	г С			1.000	0.207	0.404*	0.072**	0.500	0.492*	0.517*	0.120	0.127	0.566*	0.502	0.555*	0.421*
Flowering	U E			1.000	0.015	0.494	0.972**	0.242*	-0.462	-0.517	0.129	-0.127	-0.300*	0.025	-0.333	-0.431
	E D			1.000	1.000	0.155	0.755***	0.342*	0.015	-0.001	0.109	-0.040	0.000	0.102	0.085	0.075
Number of	P				1.000	0.508*	0.271	0.195	-0.364*	-0.259	0.255	-0.044	-0.093	0.414*	-0.160	-0.058
flowers/cluster	G				1.000	0.606**	0.296	0.209	-0.410*	-0.282	0.256	-0.108	-0.168	0.478*	-0.236	-0.098
	Е				1.000	0.358*	0.058	0.161	0.049	-0.035	0.254	0.114	0.130	0.018	0.259	0.237
Number of	Р					1.000	0.425*	0.285	-0.427*	-0.368*	0.446*	-0.175	-0.146	0.468*	-0.134	-0.008
pods/cluster	G					1.000	0.464*	0.306	-0.490*	-0.398*	0.476*	-0.250	-0.284	0.551*	-0.212	-0.047
r	Е					1.000	0.106	0.238	0.129	-0.103	0.265	-0.020	0.260	-0.034	0.281	0.273
	Р						1.000	0.615**	-0.436*	-0.487*	0.125	-0.077	-0.451*	0.550*	-0.486*	-0.389*
Days to 1 <sup>st</sup> picking	G						1.000	0.711**	-0.455*	-0.505*	0.119	-0.090	-0.563*	0.592*	-0.555*	-0.429*
	Е						1.000	0.339*	-0.064	-0.011	0.205	-0.083	-0.111	0.144	0.101	0.129
Dava to last	Р							1.000	-0.113	-0.088	0.108	-0.042	0.300	0.322	-0.041	0.045
Days to last	G							1.000	-0.138	-0.101	-0.017	-0.094	0.181	0.384*	-0.210	-0.066
picking	Е							1.000	-0.014	-0.072	0.602**	0.041	0.531*	0.129	0.522*	0.557*
	Р								1.000	0.797**	-0.332	0.275	0.364*	-0.507*	0.575*	0.550*
Pod length	G								1.000	0.830**	-0.369*	0.347*	0.466*	-0.566*	0.632**	0.590*
	Е								1.000	-0.081	0.038	0.170	0.022	0.100	0.125	0.049
	Р									1.000	-0.349*	0.233	0.459*	-0.489*	0.611**	0.613**
Pod weight	G									1.000	-0.368*	0.310	0.581*	-0.535*	0.682**	0.660**
U	Е									1.000	-0.163	0.073	0.030	0.083	-0.099	-0.177
	Р										1.000	0.074	-0.089	0.181	0.260	0.365*
Number of pods	G										1 000	0.060	-0.186	0.188	0.189	0.343*
/plant	F										1 000	0.134	0.226	0.134	0.686**	0.872**
	P										1.000	1.000	0.049	-0.161	0.000	0.222
Number of	G											1.000	0.049	0.223	0.223	0.222
seeds/pods	E											1.000	0.013	-0.223	0.272	0.272
	E D											1.000	1.000	-0.031	0.144	0.147
	P												1.000	-0.277	0.437*	0.425*
Fruiting duration	G												1.000	-0.364*	0.526*	0.51/*
	E												1.000	-0.029	0.221	0.182
Protein % (green	Р													1.000	-0.446*	-0.364*
pod)	G													1.000	-0.536*	-0.423*
1 /	Е													1.000	0.086	0.100
	Р														1.000	0.864**
100 seed weight	G														1.000	0.880**
	Е														1.000	0.783**
Green nod viald/	Р															1.000
nlant (a)	G															1.000
plant (g)	Е															1.000

\* and \*\* indicate significance of values at P=01 and P=0.05, respectively

#### EARLY GENERATION SELECTION FOR GREEN POD YIELD & ITS COMPONENTS IN VEGETABLE COWPEA

Table 3 : Correl vegeta	lati ble	on analys cowpea	is (phe	enotypio	c, geno	typic a	nd envi	ronment	al) amon	g green	pod yie	ld and i	ts compo	nents in	F <sub>2</sub> popul	lation of
Characters		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
	Р	-0.538**	0.026	0.010	-0.138	0.152	0.040	0.692**	0.202	0.401*	-0.078	0.125	0.610**	-0.066	0.071	0.324
Plant height (cm)	G	-0.618**	0.022	0.002	-0.160	0.165	0.044	0.801**	0.232	0.437*	-0.084	0.307	0.712**	-0.114	0.083	0.358*
	Е	-0.068	0.044	0.060	0.080	0.060	0.022	-0.186	-0.050	0.059	-0.066	-0.344*	-0.175	0.187	-0.046	0.009
Number of	Р	1.000	0.212	0.219	-0.158	0.178	0.212	-0.495*	-0.433*	-0.469*	0.081	-0.309	-0.533**	0.566**	-0.387*	-0.367*
Number of	G	1.000	0.307	0.281	-0.183	0.229	0.318	-0.556**	-0.477*	-0.514*	0.110	-0.486*	-0.623**	0.662**	-0.434*	-0.403*
branches/ plant	Е	1.000	-0.323	-0.175	0.123	-0.278	-0.311	0.050	-0.029	0.056	-0.006	0.143	0.249	0.015	0.100	0.026
Deve to first	Р		1.000	0.915**	-0.082	0.463*	0.980**	-0.071	-0.638**	-0.524**	-0.160	-0.432*	-0.454*	0.665**	-0.697**	-0.447*
Days to first	G		1.000	0.936**	-0.113	0.519*	*0.987**	-0.101	-0.714**	-0.565**	-0.204	-0.675**	-0.456*	0.803**	-0.794**	-0.488*
nower	Е		1.000	0.800**	0.228	0.075	0.955**	0.170	-0.077	-0.243	-0.039	0.125	-0.487*	-0.028	0.111	-0.130
Davis to $500/$	Р			1.000	-0.131	0.407*	0.914**	0.037	-0.541**	-0.454*	-0.048	-0.491*	-0.331	0.529**	-0.568**	-0.349*
Days to 50%	G			1.000	-0.156	0.460*	0.955**	0.035	-0.593**	-0.484*	-0.023	-0.802**	-0.321	0.607**	-0.644**	-0.365*
nowening	Е			1.000	0.136	-0.038	0.736**	0.061	-0.090	-0.176	-0.138	0.297	-0.435*	0.091	0.193	-0.229
Number of	Р				1.000	0.331	-0.113	-0.364*	0.002	0.059	0.046	0.050	-0.285	-0.225	0.090	0.093
flowers/eluster	G				1.000	0.351*	-0.159	-0.389*	0.006	0.066	0.054	0.048	-0.295	-0.236	0.082	0.097
nowers/cluster	E				1.000	-0.001	0.284	0.074	-0.063	-0.172	0.028	0.112	-0.124	-0.162	0.267	-0.022
Noushan of	Р					1.000	0.445*	-0.056	-0.318*	-0.107	-0.052	-0.457*	-0.228	0.376*	-0.289	-0.087
number of	G					1.000	0.510*	-0.066	-0.343*	-0.116	-0.053	-0.597**	-0.249	0.446*	-0.308	-0.086
pous/cluster	E					1.000	0.063	0.076	0.011	0.071	-0.067	-0.123	0.024	-0.143	0.011	-0.118
	Р						1.000	-0.030	-0.640**	-0.510*	-0.190	-0.444*	-0.425*	0.639**	-0.675**	-0.443*
Days to first	G						1.000	-0.056	-0.723**	-0.557*	-0.228	-0.704**	-0.420*	0.787**	-0.798**	-0.490*
picking	Е						1.000	0.159	-0.144	-0.276	-0.098	0.103	-0.526**	-0.007	0.197	-0.176
	Р							1.000	0.217	0.304	-0.051	-0.067	0.917**	-0.242	0.185	0.234
Days to final	G							1.000	0.238	0.323	-0.074	-0.111	0.930**	-0.251	0.198	0.245
picking	E							1.000	-0.081	-0.100	0.043	0.084	0.756**	-0.192	-0.048	0.040
	Р								1.000	0.893**	0.589**	0.496*	0.451*	-0.764**	0.837**	0.890**
Pod length (cm)	G								1.000	0.901**	0.609**	0.677**	0.483*	-0.807**	0.888**	0.900**
	E								1.000	0.799**	0.765**	-0.001	0.026	-0.492*	-0.054	0.735**
	Р									1.000	0.445*	0.441*	0.478*	-0.677**	0.823**	0.949**
Pod weight (g)	G									1.000	0.512*	0.585**	0.499*	-0.722**	0.857**	0.966**
	Е									1.000	0.387*	0.033	0.097	-0.395*	-0.128	0.382*
	Р										1.000	0.048	0.030	-0.326	0.416*	0.668**
Number of pods	G										1.000	0.185	0.017	-0.301	0.533**	0.716**
/plant	E										1.000	-0.170	0.103	-0.433*	-0.041	0.923**
	Р											1.000	0.116	-0.366*	0.369*	0.367*
Number of	G											1.000	0.159	-0.511**	0.470*	0.516*
seeds/pods	Е											1.000	0.003	-0.048	0.159	-0.138
	Р												1.000	-0.474*	0.436*	0.389*
Fruiting duration	G												1.000	-0.518**	0.475*	0.403*
(days)	Е												1.000	-0.161	-0.172	0.151
	Р													1.000	-0.824**	-0.639**
Protein % (green	G													1.000	-0.914**	-0.679**
pod)	E													1.000	-0.093	-0.390*
	Р														1.000	0.834**
100 seed weight	G														1.000	0.829**
(g)	Ē														1.000	-0.006
	Р															1.000
Green pod yield/	G															1.000
plant (g)	Е															1.000

\* and \*\* indicate significance of values at P=0.01 and P=0.05, respectively

Table 4 : Genotypic and phenotyp Characters	oic pat G/P	n co-emo	elle allatys 2	3	4	5	6 6	7	8 8	01 UL VUS	10	рса 11	12	13	14	15
Diant hai akt (and)	Ð	-0.057	-0.004	-0.093	-0.198	-0.095	0.153	0.340	-0.032	-0.008	0.004	0.031	0.038	-0.017	0.009	-0.086
Flam nergin (Gin)	Р	-0.019	-0.006	0.072	-0.053	0.074	-0.004	-0.011	0.013	-0.002	-0.012	0.006	0.003	-0.003	-0.004	0.006
Mismilian of home of an ( in fame	G	0.015	0.045	-0.001	0.012	-0.048	0.097	-0.010	0.025	-0.007	-0.044	-0.054	-0.013	-0.002	-0.004	-0.030
Number of orancies/ plant	Р	0.003	0.013	0.002	0.002	0.058	-0.001	0.001	0.001	-0.023	-0.039	0.016	0.003	-0.001	0.006	0.006
Darm to freed florence	G	-0.023	-0.065	-0.181	-0.727	-0.152	0.854	0.830	-0.082	-0.012	-0.459	-0.119	-0.083	-0.056	0.047	-0.200
Lays to TITST TOWCI	Р	-0.008	-0.023	0.186	-0.164	0.099	-0.032	-0.027	0.017	-0.040	-0.209	0.015	-0.002	-0.027	-0.020	-0.154
Darm to 500/ Horrisonia c	Ð	-0.088	-0.034	-0.197	-0.622	-0.107	0.820	0.716	-0.054	-0.028	-0.349	-0.079	-0.030	-0.072	0.047	-0.350
Days to 20% how cliffing	Р	-0.006	-0.022	0.181	-0.138	0.107	-0.027	-0.026	0.018	-0.041	-0.205	-0.013	-0.002	-0.025	-0.029	-0.171
Mismbon of florence/oliseton	Ð	-0.013	0.009	-0.053	-0.194	-0.344	0.901	0.237	-0.014	-0.024	-0.319	-0.191	-0.033	-0.027	0.038	-0.097
inmitided of flowers/cluster	Ρ	-0.004	0.002	0.050	-0.140	0.370	-0.031	-0.012	0.004	-0.037	-0.218	0.025	-0.022	-0.018	-0.015	-0.073
Mismilian of a ada (altration	G	-0.026	0.002	-0.087	-0.392	-0.263	1.278	0.391	-0.022	-0.034	-0.391	-0.412	-0.083	-0.039	0.045	-0.099
INTITIDE OF DOTES/CITIZED	Р	-0.011	0.001	0.083	-0.067	0.210	-0.056	-0.012	0.006	-0.043	-0.178	0.048	-0.005	-0.014	-0.017	-0.076
Down to frant adolesion	Ð	-0.023	-0.001	-0.279	-0.702	-0.097	0.725	0.843	-0.096	-0.072	-0.381	-0.097	-0.019	-0.054	0.043	-0.224
Days to IIIst picking	Р	-0.015	-0.001	0.183	-0.152	0.097	-0.024	-0.027	0.018	-0.039	-0.233	0.014	-0.002	-0.026	-0.018	-0.169
Dorm to fiscal sciolaises	Ð	-0.023	-0.016	-0.138	-0.488	-0.061	0.391	0.483	-0.081	-0.004	-0.092	0.122	-0.004	0.011	0.022	-0.145
Days to IIIIal picking	Р	-0.008	-0.001	0.108	-0.092	0.054	-0.011	-0.027	0.030	-0.014	-0.051	-0.004	-0.001	0.009	-0.011	-0.061
() hout ()	Ð	0.020	-0.013	0.085	0.293	0.186	-0.908	-0.323	0.014	0.026	0.626	0.282	0.067	0.038	-0.045	0.267
rou rengui (oui)	Р	0.001	-0.003	-0.094	0.073	-0.144	0.027	0.012	-0.005	0.089	0.388	-0.034	0.015	0.017	0.025	0.196
Dod weight $(\alpha)$	Ð	0.010	-0.004	060.0	0.300	0.104	-0.790	-0.410	0.008	0.021	0.722	0.296	0.053	0.048	-0.042	0.268
rou weight (g)	Р	0.004	-0.001	-0.090	0.066	-0.101	0.023	0.013	-0.003	0.072	0.431	-0.045	0.004	0.023	0.017	0.201
Mismbon of node Infant	Ð	0.030	0.014	-0.022	-0.040	-0.089	0.973	0.193	0.016	-0.010	-0.196	-0.598	-0.001	-0.029	0.014	0.093
INULLINCE OF PORTS / PITALI	Р	-0.001	0.012	0.034	-0.016	0.191	-0.023	-0.003	-0.001	-0.020	-0.127	0.216	-0.001	-0.013	-0.006	0.081
Minishae of coade bode	Ð	-0.012	-0.003	0.024	0.145	0.081	-0.541	-0.090	0.002	0.008	0.237	0.004	0.275	0.010	-0.019	0.132
INTITING OF SCOON DOOD	Р	-0.003	-0.001	-0.018	0.016	-0.043	0.015	0.002	0.001	0.022	0.094	0.001	0.020	0.004	0.006	090.0
Emitine drivetion (darce)	Ð	0.004	-0.001	0.115	0.364	0.066	-0.567	-0.485	-0.019	0.011	0.392	0.248	0.020	0.089	-0.027	0.171
FIUILING UUIAHOII (UAYS)	Р	0.001	-0.004	-0.105	0.070	-0.059	0.016	0.015	0.006	0.031	0.201	-0.030	0.002	0.049	0.011	0.101
Destain 0/ (seam wed)	Ð	-0.007	-0.010	-0.131	-0.395	-0.221	0.947	0.426	-0.029	-0.015	-0.498	-0.183	-0.064	-0.040	0.076	-0.316
ETOREM /0 (Breen hou)	Р	-0.002	-0.008	0.107	-0.090	0.150	-0.028	-0.014	0.009	-0.047	-0.247	0.021	-0.003	-0.015	-0.035	-0.191
100 coad straight (a)	Ð	0.004	-0.004	0.096	0.430	0.088	-0.376	-0.351	0.037	0.017	0.612	-0.096	0.048	0.038	-0.032	0.377
		0.001	0.000	-0.076	0.076	-0.075	0.013	0.013	-0.004	0.062	0.473	0.026	0.004	0.023	0.016	0.318
G <sup>=</sup> Genotypic, P <sup>=</sup> Phenotypic, Kesia	dual en	tects:- U=	0.0564, F <sup>=</sup>	201.0												

Asian J. Hort., 10(1) June, 2015 : 1-10 6 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

Table 5 : Genotypic and p Characters	G/P	pic path cu	2		r green po 4		6 6	7	1 populau 8	ion of vega	table cow 10	реа 11	12	13	14	15
Dlant haidht (am)	G	-0.063	-0.014	0.018	-0.064	0.092	0.005	-0.207	0.290	-0.011	0.026	-0.011	0.019	0.006	-0.044	0.007
riam neigm (cm.)	Р	-0.017	-0.001	0.022	-0.008	160.0	-0.046	-0.023	0.031	0.001	0.018	0.027	0.002	0000	-0.003	0.031
	G	0.004	0.052	-0.004	0.017	0.059	0.015	0.048	-0.048	0.002	0.042	-0.005	-0.001	-0.013	0.003	0.042
Number of oranches/ plant	Ь	0.003	-0.005	-0.004	0.002	0.067	-0.028	0.004	0.003	-0.002	0.039	0.113	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.070
Darm to fisset florense	G	-0.024	-0.043	0.048	-0.197	0.115	0.020	-0.534	0.439	0.013	-0.263	-0.005	-0.00	0.235	-0.044	-0.172
Days to HIST HOWCI	Р	-0.026	-0.023	0.062	-0.027	0.118	-0.159	-0.062	0.041	-0.017	-0.173	0.047	-0.001	-0.001	-0.020	-0.142
Dars to 500/ florrowing	G	-0.020	-0.032	0.047	-0.200	0.120	0.021	-0.561	0.439	0.014	-0.255	-0.010	-0.011	0.230	-0.023	-0.190
Days to 20% movering	Р	-0.005	-0.010	0.061	-0.027	0.112	-0.164	-0.061	0.040	-0.017	-0.176	0.036	-0.001	-0.001	-0.019	-0.169
Minutos of florence/other	G	-0.015	-0.034	0.014	-0.083	0.383	0.025	-0.212	0.137	0.012	-0.193	-0.021	-0.059	0.068	-0.028	-0.092
	Р	-0.004	-0.012	0.017	-0.008	0.390	-0.203	-0.057	0.014	-0.014	-0.110	0.072	-0.034	-0.023	-0.034	-0.051
Minubas of acade/clinetas	9	-0.008	-0.001	0.023	-0.099	0.232	0.041	-0.263	0.200	0.014	-0.174	-0.020	-0.021	0.115	-0.021	-0.065
inuition of pous/cluster	Р	-0.002	-0.002	0.027	-0.012	0.271	-0.358	-0.027	0.020	-0.016	-0.128	0.176	-0.002	0.074	-0.016	-0.043
Dars to freet violaina	G	-0.024	-0.012	0.047	-0.284	0.113	0.019	-0.546	0.464	0.013	-0.224	-0.005	-0.007	0.229	-0.022	-0.190
Days to mist picking	Р	-0.006	-0.008	0.061	-0.056	0.106	-0.152	-0.064	0.043	-0.016	-0.172	0.035	-0.001	-0.021	0.018	-0.156
Dorn to final adding	G	-0.028	-0.022	0.032	-0.135	080.0	0.013	-0.398	0.653	0.004	-0.044	0.001	-0.048	-0.074	-0.026	-0.074
рауь ю шпаг рихшв	Р	-0.008	-0.001	0.056	-0.016	0.116	-0.102	-0.039	0.070	-0.004	-0.031	0.036	-0.009	0.001	-0.011	-0.013
Dod lawath (am)	Ð	-0.003	0.004	-0.022	0.097	-0.157	-0.020	0.269	-0.090	-0.029	0.392	0.055	0.029	-0.190	0.021	0.234
rou rengui (cui)	Р	-0.001	0.001	-0.028	0.043	-0.142	0.183	0.048	-0.008	0.037	0.298	-0.094	0.003	0.001	0.017	0.184
Dod minth (n)	G	-0.004	-0.008	-0.025	0.113	-0.108	-0.017	0.296	-0.066	-0.024	0.436	0.018	0.026	-0.236	0.020	0.239
rou weight (g)	Р	-0.001	-0.001	-0.031	0.034	-0.101	0.132	0.051	-0.006	0.050	0.349	-0.098	0.012	0.011	0.016	0.196
Minubar of node (nlimt	G	-0.001	0.012	0.006	-0.026	0.148	0.340	-0.065	-0.011	0.011	-0.161	-0.042	0.005	0.076	-0.007	0.058
Internation of bones / brant	Р	-0.002	-0.001	0.010	-0.004	0.129	-0.160	-0.008	0.038	-0.012	-0.122	0.282	0.085	0.023	-0.006	0.113
Minther of coode/node	Ð	-0.015	0.010	-0.005	0.035	-0.042	-0.010	0.049	-0.062	-0.010	0.155	-0.003	0.083	-0.005	0.008	0.084
intition of second bonds	Р	-0.003	-0.010	-0.005	0.003	-0.017	0.063	0.005	-0.003	0.010	0.081	0.021	0.010	-0.001	0.005	0.072
Emitina duration (darse)	G	0.001	0.010	-0.028	0.118	-0.064	-0.012	0.337	0.118	-0.013	0.253	0.008	0.001	-0.407	0.014	0.181
rimung umanon (uays)	Р	0.001	0.007	-0.029	0.012	-0.036	0.072	0.069	0.021	0.014	0.160	-0.025	0.001	0.003	0.009	0.146
Destain 07 (seraan nod)	Ð	-0.006	0.012	0.031	-0.225	0.183	0.023	-0.343	0.251	0.016	-0.283	-0.008	-0.018	0.148	-0.037	-0.164
LIUCUII /0 (BLOCH PUL)	Р	-0.002	0.008	0.037	-0.036	0.161	-0.168	-0.045	0.023	-0.019	-0.195	0.051	-0.002	-0.001	-0.033	-0.143
100 cood moint (a)	Ð	-0.001	0.022	-0.026	0.151	060.0-	-0.009	0.443	-0.137	-0.018	0.357	-0.008	0.033	-0.214	0.070	0.307
TUU SEEU WEIBIII (B)	Р	-0.002	-0.001	-0.029	0.084	-0.062	0.048	0.081	-0.003	0.021	0.273	0.073	0.022	0.001	0.037	0.321
G= Genotypic, P=Phenotyp.	ic, Resit	dual effect	s:- G= 0.06(	69, P=0.10	85											

Lable 0 : Genotypic and ph Characters	G/P	e paur ve-v	2	auyata tur 3	910011 Juu 4	2 5	9	7	8 8	9	10	рса 11	12	13	14	15
Dlant horistet (m)	G	0.325	-0.246	0.012	0.001	-0.009	-0.026	-0.016	-1.101	0.108	0.321	-0.026	0.020	0.952	0.023	0.020
	Р	0.067	-0.006	-0.687	0.018	-0.011	-0000	0.037	0.002	-0.026	0.230	-0.020	0.002	0.726	-0.004	0.005
Manufactor of human of a last	G	-0.251	0.349	0.166	0.126	-0.011	-0.022	0.001	0.734	-0.202	-0.359	0.008	-0.022	-0.801	-0.114	-0.105
Number of branches/ plant	Р	-0.056	0.012	0.486	0.006	-0.012	-0.010	0.084	0.001	0.055	-0.299	0.021	-0.004	-0.640	0.037	-0.048
Dans to East Barren	G	0.007	0.107	0.541	0.364	-0.007	-0.050	0.008	0.139	-0.282	-0.378	-0.015	-0.044	-0.523	-0.163	-0.192
Days to IIIst nower	Р	0.002	0.002	0.072	0.026	-0.006	-0.047	0.472	0.001	0.073	-0.351	-0.060	-0.006	-0.592	0.033	-0.066
Dars to \$100/ florening	G	0.001	0.098	0.527	0.377	-0.009	-0.045	0.003	-0.048	-0.232	-0.336	-0.002	-0.042	-0.408	-0.113	-0.136
gilliowoll 0/00 m stau	Р	0.001	0.003	-0.038	0.028	-0.010	-0.024	0.393	0.001	090.0	-0.297	-0.022	-0.007	-0.393	0.035	-0.079
Minubas of florence/olitetoe	G	-0.047	-0.059	-0.056	-0.054	0.058	-0.034	0.000	0.545	0.003	0.055	0.004	0.003	-0.394	0.053	0.020
INULLIDER OF FLOWERS/ CLUSIER	Р	-0.00	-0.002	0.371	-0.004	0.078	-0.019	-0.050	0.001	0.000	0.034	0.012	0.001	-0.311	-0.015	0.006
Minute of a character of the second s	G	0.054	0.080	0.281	0.174	0.120	-0.097	0.002	0.091	-0.155	-0.088	-0.004	-0.039	-0.340	-0.090	-0.075
INTERPORT OF DORS/CHRS161	Р	0.010	0.002	0.058	0.011	0.026	-0.059	0.196	0.001	0.041	-0.061	-0.013	-0.016	-0.267	0.025	-0.040
Down to find adding	G	0.014	0.151	0.585	0.381	-0.009	-0.049	0.003	0.078	-0.316	-0.402	-0.017	-0.042	-0.534	-0.150	-0.183
Days to IIIst picking	Ρ	0.003	0.002	0.030	0.026	-0.009	-0.046	0.442	0.001	0.077	-0.332	-0.068	-0.016	-0.529	0.042	-0.066
المستديم الأهما هنماينهم	G	0.250	-0.194	-0.075	0.013	-0.042	0.006	-0.010	-1.375	0.110	0.244	-0.015	-0.007	1.251	0.051	0.038
Days to IIIIal picking	Р	0.046	-0.006	-0.922	0.001	-0.029	0.003	-0.013	0.004	-0.038	0.173	-0.013	-0.001	1.032	-0.016	0.013
Dod Lanoth (am)	G	0.076	-0.177	-0.397	-0.244	-0.345	0.033	-0.002	-0.327	0.465	0.481	0.044	0.458	0.460	0.160	0.215
rou iaigui (oui)	Р	0.034	-0.005	-0.212	-0.015	0.018	0.029	-0.263	0.000	-0.130	0.572	0.219	0.047	0.539	-0.040	0.097
Dod maight (g)	G	0.132	-0.219	-0.346	-0.193	0.004	0.011	-0.112	-0.484	0.408	0.756	0.037	0.036	0.592	0.142	0.202
rou weight (g)	Р	0.087	-0.005	-0.279	-0.013	0.005	0.006	-0.205	0.011	-0.106	0.574	0.187	0.016	0.629	-0.034	0.076
Minubor of rode (alout	Ð	-0.027	0.038	-0.110	-00.00	0.003	0.005	-0.001	0.101	0.283	0.387	0.073	0.012	0.023	0.061	0.129
more to point in the	Р	-0.005	0.001	0.052	-0.001	0.004	0.003	-0.084	0.001	-0.076	0.255	0.252	0.001	0.034	-0.021	0.027
Minuhae of coade/wode	G	0.100	-0.190	-0.426	-0.343	0.003	0.058	-0.052	0.132	0.305	0.422	0.017	0.065	0.207	0.104	0.114
shod spans to isolimut	Р	0.028	-0.004	0.068	-0.014	0.004	0.027	-0.176	0.013	-0.064	0.270	0.012	0.014	0.178	-0.024	0.035
Emitine druotion (dorm)	G	0.212	-0.237	-0.288	-0.151	-0.017	0.014	-0.001	-1.289	0.214	0.348	0.001	0.010	1.367	0.105	0.115
rimung umanon (uays)	Ч	0.081	-0.006	-0.897	-0.008	-0.022	0.013	-0.178	0.012	-0.059	0.297	0.008	0.002	1.127	-0.021	0.040
Destain 02 (secon wed)	G	-0.037	0.251	0.475	0.259	-0.014	-0.043	0.052	0.385	-0.345	-0.506	-0.022	-0.033	-0.687	-0.203	-0.211
	Р	-0.014	0.006	0.217	0.015	-0.018	-0.032	0.243	0.001	0.079	-0.418	-0.092	-0.015	-0.584	0.065	-0.092
100 cood moint (a)	G	0.017	-0.192	-0.480	-0.293	0.005	0.028	-0.052	-0.293	0.392	0.609	0.034	0.028	0.609	0.175	0.242
TUU SECU WEIBIIL (B)	Р	0.005	-0.004	-0.139	-0.015	0.007	0.017	-0.268	0.015	-0.099	0.532	0.165	0.015	0.582	-0.047	0.068
G= Genotypic, P=Phenotypic	s, Residu	ual effects:-	G = 0.0071	, P=0.0143	~											

Asian J. Hort., 10(1) June, 2015 : 1-10 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

with 100 seed weight and green pod yield per plant, number of pods per cluster with days to first picking and per cent protein content in green pods, number of seed per pod with 100 seed weight, number of pickings and green pod yield per plant at both phenotypic and genotypic levels. The above findings are in agreement with Chattopadhyay *et al.* (1997); Vardhan and Savithramma (1998); Vidya and Oommen (2002); Kutty *et al.* (2003); Sapara and Javia (2014); Venkatesan *et al.* (2003) and Lovely and Radhadevi (2006).

The result of correlation Table 3 study in all three generations showed that green pod yield per plant had significant and positive correlation with number of pods per plant, pod length and pod weight. Similarly significant and positive correlation with pod length with pod weight and 100 seed weight, pod weight with fruiting duration and 100 seed weight, number of pods per cluster with days to first fruiting and per cent protein content in green pods, days to first flower with days to 50 per cent flower and days to first picking in base,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations. The consistency in correlation in normal self pollinated progenies and inter-mated populations *i.e.*,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ generations indicated that the existence of strong linkage among these characters. On other hand remarkable positive association was arised between green pod yield with number of seeds per pod and fruiting duration, fruiting duration with plant height, number of seeds per pod with pod length and pod weight, etc. Similarly, association between number of pods per plant with pod length and pod weight were negative in parent, which becomes positive and significant in  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations. This correlation arises due to linkage or pleiotropism or from the developmental genetic interactions with or without purely phenotypic components. In inter-mated generations, linkages and broken and new associations were formed. As such, the direction and magnitude of the character associations would differ in normal self progeny from those in inter-mated population. The result reveals that associations among important characters can be altered by restoring to inter-matting. However, the direction of change would depend on the initial constitution of parent population and selection history. The initial linkages between characters also affect the result of inter-mating because by random mating correlation co-efficients would increase if the initial linkage was in repulsion phase and vice-versa if it was in coupling phase (Singh et al., 1997; Hirenkumar et al., 2011; Chavan and Khafi, 2013 and Yadav et al.,

2003).

In base population, number of pods per cluster expressed a highest positive direct effect on green pod yield per plant followed by number of nodes per plant, days to first picking, pod weight, 100 seed weight whereas, lowest positive direct effect on green pod yield per plant was observed for pod length which is indirectly contributing through pod weight, number of pods per plant and 100 seed weight (Table 4). The direct effects of number of pods per plant, days to first flower and days to 50 per cent flowering were negative, but these characters contributed indirectly via number of pods per cluster and days to first picking. In F<sub>1</sub> generation, days to final picking, pod weight, number of flowers per cluster and 100 seed weight contributed maximum directly towards green pod yield per plant (Table 5). The direct effects of pod length, number of pods per plant and days to 50 per cent flowering were negative, but these characters contributed indirectly via days to final picking, pod weight, number of pods per cluster and days to first picking. In segregating population *i.e.*,  $F_2$  the path coefficient analysis revealed that fruiting duration expressed a highest positive direct effect on green pod yield per plant followed by pod weight, days to first flowering, pod length, days to 50 per cent flowering, number of branches per plant, plant height, 100 seed weight, number of pods per plant (Table 6). Similar findings were also reported by Chattopadhyay et al. (1997); Kutty et al. (2003); Yadav et al. (2003); Anuja and Vijayalakshmi (2013) and Lovely and Radhadevi (2006). The direct effects of number of pods per cluster and days to final picking were negative, but these characters contributed indirectly via days to first flowering and days to 50 per cent flowering and fruiting duration, plant height and pod weigh. The variation in direction and magnitude of direct and indirect effects of different characters in three sets of analysis may be due to differences in genetic constitution of genotypes in the populations (Yadav et al., 2003).

An over all conclusion on correlation and path analysis revealed that 100 seed weight, pod weight, pod length and number of pods per plant are major components of green pod yield in early generations of cowpea. Hence, the direct selection in form of selection indices based on these component characters would be effective in development of high yielding genotypes of vegetable cowpea.

### REFERENCES

Allard, R.W. (1960). *Principles of plant breeding*, John Wiley, NEW YORK, U.S.A.

Anuja, S. and Vijayalakshmi, C.N. (2013). Effect of organic nutrients on yield and quality of vegetable cowpea. *Internat. J. Forestry & Crop Improv.*, **4** (2) : 63-66.

Chattopadhyay, A., Dasgupta, T., Hazra, P. and Som, M.G. (1997). Character association and path analysis in vegetable cowpea. *Madras Agric. J.*, **84** (3): 153-156.

Chavan, A.S. and Khafi, H.R. (2013). Effect of potassium and zinc on yield, quality and economics of Gujarat cowpea-4. *Internat. J. agric. Sci.*, **9**(1): 329-331.

**Hirenkumar, D. Patel, Patel, H.C., Sitapara, H.H. and Nayee, D.D. (2011).** Influence of plant growth regulators on growth and green pop yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) cv. ANAND VEG. COWPEA-1. *Asian J. Hort.*, **6** (2) : 491-495.

Jana, S., Som, M.G. and Das, N.D. (1983). Correlation and path analysis of vegetable pod yield components in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* var. sesquipedalis). *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, **12** (3-4): 224-227.

Kutty, C.N., Mili, R. and Jaikumaran, U. (2003). Correlation and path co-efficient analysis in vegetable cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. *Indian. J. Hort.*, **60**(3): 257-261.

Lovely, B. and Radhadevi, D.S. (2006). Character association studies in yard long bean [*Vigna unguiculata* var. *sesquipedalis* (L.) Verdc]. *J. Pl. Genet. Resour.*, **19**(1): 80-82.

**Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1978).** *Statistical methods for agricultural workers* (IV<sup>th</sup> Ed.), Indian Council of Agricultural Research, NEW DELHI (INDIA).

**Resmi, P.S. (1998).** Genetic variability in yard long bean *Vigna unguiculata* subsp. sesquipedalis (L.) Verd. Court. M.Sc. (Ag.)

Thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, KERALA (INDIA).

Sapara, G.K. and Javia, R.M. (2014). Correlation and path analysis in vegetable cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). *Internat. J. Plant Sci.*, 9(1): 138-141.

Selvam, T.A. and Das, L.D.V. (1994). Correlation studies in cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] for seed yield. *Madras Agric. J.*, 81: 445-446.

Singh, B.B., Chambliss, O.L. and Sharma, B. (1997). Recent advances in cowpea. In: B.B. Singh, D.R. Mohan Raj, K.E. Dashiel and L.E.N. Jackai (eds.). Advances in cowpea research. Co-publication of International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) and Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS), Ibadan, Nigeria. pp. 30-49.

Singh, Naveen, Singh, V.P., Singh, J.V. and Singh, N. (1998). Correlation and path co-efficient analysis in cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. *Forage Res.*, **24**(3): 139-141.

Singh, R.K. and Chaudhury, B.D. (1985). *Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis*. Kalyani Publishers, NEW DELHI (INDIA).

Vardhan, P.N.H. and Savithramma, D.L. (1998). Variability, character association path analysis and assessment of quality parameters in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). Germplasm for vegetable traits. *ACIAR Food Leg. Newsl.*, **28** : 7-8.

Venkatesan, M., Prakash, M. and Ganesan, J. (2003). Correlation and path analysis in cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. *Leg. Res.*, **26**(2):105-108.

Vidya, C. and Oommen, Sunny K. (2002). Correlation and path analysis in yard long bean. *J. Trop. Agric.*, **40** : 48-50.

Yadav, K.S., Yadava, H.S. and Naik, M.L. (2003). Correlation and path analysis in early generations of cowpea. *Indian J. Pulses Res.*, **16**(2): 101-103.

