

Article history : Received : 18.04.2015 Revised : 01.05.2015 Accepted : 21.05.2015

Members of the Research Forum

Associated Authors: 'College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural University, PASIGHAT (ARUNACHAL PRADESH) INDIA

Author for correspondence : JAHANGIR KABIR Department of Post Harvest Technology of Horticultural Crops, Faculty of Horticulture Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, NADIA (W.B.) INDIA Email : j\_kabir@rediffmail.com THE ASIAN JOURNAL OF HORTICULTURE Volume 10 | Issue 1 | June, 2015 | 134-138 Visit us -www.researchjournal.co.in



DOI: 10.15740/HAS/TAJH/10.1/134-138

# Efficacy of different storage conditions of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench)

## ■ NGANGBAM PILOO<sup>1</sup> AND JAHANGIR KABIR

**RESEARCH PAPER** 

ABSTRACT : Tender, freshly harvested fruits of cv. ARKAANAMIKA were subjected to different combination of packaging with different storage conditions viz,  $T_1 = cool$  chamber, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 0 per cent perforation,  $T_2 = cool chamber$ , polypropylene (100 gauge) with 1 per cent perforation,  $T_3 =$  cool chamber, no packaging (in open condition),  $T_4 =$  low temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 0 per cent perforation,  $T_5 = 1$  low temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 1 per cent perforation,  $T_6 =$  low temperature, no packaging (in open condition),  $T_7$  = room temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 0 per cent perforation,  $T_8$  = room temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 1 per cent perforation,  $T_0 =$  room temperature, no packaging (in open condition). The temperature during storage period at cool chamber varied from 22°C to 28°C, respectively and relative humidity varied from 90 per cent to 94 per cent. Low temperature condition was maintained at  $8 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and relative humidity was around 67 per cent (outside plastic) and 80 per cent (inside plastic) during the storage period. The temperature during storage at room temperature condition varied from 28°C to 33°C, respectively and relative humidity varied from 60.5 to 72 per cent and 65.5 to 77 per cent. Results indicate that T. (low temperature + polypropylene + 0 % perforation) at a temperature of  $8 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and relative humidity 80 per cent was the best treatment for storage of okra. It increased the shelf-life upto 12 days by considerably reducing the PLW, blackening, yellowing, retaining sensory quality, increases marketability. It also retained the ascorbic acid and chlorophyll content better during storage. Cool chamber was suitable for storing okra for 10 days with or without polypropylene.

KEY WORDS : Cool chamber, Low temperature, Okra, Polypropylene, Storage

**HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE :** Piloo, Ngangbam and Kabir, Jahangir (2015). Efficacy of different storage conditions of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). *Asian J. Hort.*, **10**(1) : 134-138.

kra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench] has captured a permanent position among vegetable crops in India because of prolong period of cultivation, almost cultivated throughout the year except few winter months. Okra is a good source of vitamin A, B and contains vitamin C also. It is rich in protein and mineral contents. It is an excellent source of iodine and also useful for control of goitre and said to be good for people suffering from weakness of the heart (Yawalkar, 2004).

India is the highest okra producing country in the

world with total production of 6.47 million tones (Anonymous, 2013). In spite of high production, in a tropical country like India, it is difficult to maintain the quality and storability of okra after harvest. Okra has also been classified as a vegetable of high respiratory activity (>120 mg  $CO_2/kg/hr$ ). The fruit thus, losses its marketability and become unfit for consumption within 2 days of picking under ambient condition. At higher temperature of storage, moisture loss, shrinkage, toughening, yellowing and decay are rapid in fruits of okra. If the rates of these activities are reduced, the

shelf-life of this commodity can be increased (Ghai, 2002). In okra low temperature can most effectively extend the shelf-life and reduce the post harvest losses by arresting the metabolic breakdown and fungal deterioration of the commodity (Barbarinde and Fabunmi, 2009). High humidity storage in evaporative cool chamber as developed at IARI, New Delhi has been reported to minimize the dessication, dehydration and subsequent yellowing and spoilage and suitable for short term storage of fruits and vegetables (Khurdiya and Roy, 1986). Thus, present investigation was undertaken to study the efficacy of different storage conditions for okra.

#### **RESEARCH METHODS**

Freshly harvested, tender green okra fruits of cv. ARKA ANAMIKA, free from blemishes were packed with polypropylene packages (100 gauge;  $20.5 \text{cm} \times 25.0 \text{cm}$ packet size) with 0 per cent and 1 per cent perforation and also without any package and stored in three different conditions viz., zero energy cool chamber, low temperature condition and at room temperature in the Department of Post Harvest Technology of Horticultural Crops, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya. Thus, the combination of packaging (with and without perforation) and non-packaging with different storage conditions are presented as:  $T_1 = cool$  chamber, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 0 per cent perforation;  $T_2 =$ cool chamber, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 1 per cent perforation;  $T_3 = cool$  chamber, no packaging (in open condition);  $T_4 = low$  temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 0 per cent perforation;  $T_5 = 10w$ temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 1 per cent perforation;  $T_6 =$  low temperature, no packaging (in open condition);  $T_7 =$  room temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 0 per cent perforation;  $T_8 = room$ temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 1 per cent perforation  $T_0 =$  room temperature, no packaging (in open condition). Each treatment was replicated thrice in a Completely Randomized Design and each replicate consisted of 24 fruits. The temperature during storage period at cool chamber varied from 22°C to 28°C and relative humidity varied from 90 per cent to 94 per cent. Low temperature storage condition was maintained at  $8\pm1$  °C and relative humidity 67 per cent (outside plastic) to 80 per cent (inside plastic). The temperature during storage at room temperature condition varied from 28°C to 33°C and relative humidity varied from 60.5 to 77 per cent (inside plastic). Observations were recorded on physiological loss in weight (PLW %), blackening (%), yellowing, sensory quality, marketable fruits (%) and ascorbic acid content (mg/100g) at different days interval. Yellowing was recorded visually by individually examining the okra pods and graded for their colour as follows (Chakraborty *et al.*,1991) : 5= fresh green , 4= green, 3= slight yellowing, 2= light yellow, 1= yellow. Sensory quality was recorded by grading for their general appearance and acceptability depending upon the condition of the okra fruits by a panel of judges (1-5 scale) (Kalra *et al.*, 1998). The ascorbic acid content of the samples were determined by 2, 6-dichlorophenol-indophenol dye method as reported by Ranganna (2000).

#### Statistical analysis :

The obtained data was analyzed by statistical significant at P<0.05 level, S.E. and C.D. at 5 per cent level by the procedure given by (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

### **RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 indicated that PLW of different storage conditions was significant (5%) at different days of storage. PLW of  $T_1$  (cool chamber + polypropylene + 0 % perforation) was nil throughout the period of storage. Significantly low PLW was recorded in  $T_{4}$  (low temperature + polypropylene + 0 % perforation) and  $T_{2}$ (cool chamber + polypropylene + 1 % perforation) treated fruits during storage. Fruits of  $T_6$  (low temperature + no packaging) and T<sub>o</sub> (room temperature, no packaging in open condition) were not available for observation after  $4^{nd}$  day while the fruits of T<sub>5</sub> (low temperature + polypropylene + 1 % perforation) were not available for observation after 10<sup>nd</sup> day of storage due to rejection owing to very high moisture loss and shrinkage. The PLW on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of storage was significantly low (*i.e.*, 0 % and 0.90 %) in  $T_1$  and in  $T_4$  compared to other treatments. Blackening (%) of okra fruit was, however, significantly high in  $T_{\tau}$  (Low temperature + no packaging) and  $T_1$  (cool chamber + polypropylene + 0 % perforation) compared to other treatments on 12 day of storage (Table 1). It was also observed that blackening was least throughout the period of storage ranging from 0 per cent on the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of storage to 0.83 per cent on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of storage in  $T_4$ .

Yellowing was evaluated on the basis of colour/ appearance of the okra fruits and it increased gradually with the increase in storage period (Table 2). Yellowing was significantly low in  $T_4$  compared to other treatments. On 12<sup>th</sup> day of storage, least yellowing with a score of 4.0 was observed in  $T_4$  followed by  $T_1$  and  $T_7$  in that increasing order, respectively. Sensory quality was evaluated on the basis of general appearance and acceptability depending on the condition of okra pods (Table 2). Throughout the period of storage, the sensory score was highest in  $T_4$  ranging from 1 on 2<sup>nd</sup> day to 3 on 12<sup>th</sup> day of storage followed by  $T_1$  and  $T_7$  with a score of 1 on 2<sup>nd</sup> day to 4.00 on 12<sup>th</sup> day of storage.

Marketable fruits (%) affected by different storage conditions was significant (5%) at different days of

storage (Table 3). On the 4<sup>th</sup> day of storage, there was no marketable fruits for the treatments  $T_6$  and  $T_9$ . On 8<sup>th</sup> day of storage, percentage of marketable fruits was highest (100%) in  $T_1$  and  $T_4$  followed by 85.71 per cent in  $T_2$ , 71.43 per cent in  $T_3$  and  $T_7$ , 66.67 per cent in  $T_8$ and 52.38 per cent in  $T_5$ . On 12<sup>th</sup> day of storage, marketable fruits of  $T_4$  (61.90 %) still remained significantly higher than  $T_3$ (47.61 %) and  $T_1$  (42.85 %). The ascorbic acid content was found to decrease in all the storage conditions with the increase in the number of days of storage (Table 3). The data also revealed that ascorbic acid content of okra pods packed in

		Phys	U	ss of weigh						ning (%)		
Treatments			Storage pe	riod (Days)					Storage pe	riod (Days)		
	2	4	6	8	10	12	2	4	6	8	10	12
$T_1$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.13	0.281	2.15	5.81
T <sub>2</sub>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.63	2.47	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.179	1.75	4.10
T <sub>3</sub>	0.00	0.66	1.51	4.60	8.71	9.57	0.10	0.15	0.18	0.242	1.38	2.02
$T_4$	0.00	0.19	0.34	0.47	0.84	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.250	0.40	0.83
T <sub>5</sub>	4.25	9.06	12.28	16.31	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.58	7.167	-	-
T <sub>6</sub>	14.34	-	-	-	-	-	0.15	-	-	-	-	-
T <sub>7</sub>	0.25	0.44	1.38	1.89	2.45	2.97	0.04	0.11	0.18	0.371	2.47	6.07
T <sub>8</sub>	1.59	3.46	13.87	20.51	-	-	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.164	-	-
T <sub>9</sub>	8.67	-	-	-	-	-	0.11	-	-	-	-	-
S.E. ±	0.316	0.780	0.390	0.663	0.268	0.378	0.017	0.020	0.041	0.164	0.204	0.522
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.940	2.388	1.182	2.012	0.843	1.192	0.049	0.059	0.123	0.371	0.644	1.646

'-': Okra pods unavailable for observation as all are spoilt/rejected.

 $T_1$  = Cool chamber, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 0% perforation;  $T_2$  = Cool chamber, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 1% perforation;  $T_3$  = Cool chamber, no packaging (in open condition);  $T_4$  = Low temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 0% perforation;  $T_5$  = Low temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 1% perforation;  $T_6$  = Low temperature, no packaging (in open condition);  $T_7$  = Room temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 0% perforation;  $T_8$  = Room temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 1% perforation;  $T_8$  = Room temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 1% perforation;  $T_8$  = Room temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 1% perforation;  $T_9$  = Room temperature, no packaging (in open condition)

Table 2: Yellow	ving and se	ensory qua	lity as influ	enced by di	ifferent pa	ckaging and	l storage co	onditions in	okra			
			Yell	owing					Sensory	y quality		
Treatments			Storage p	eriod (Days)	)				Storage pe	riod (Days)		
	2	4	6	8	10	12	2	4	6	8	10	12
$T_1$	5.00	4.67	4.33	4.00	3.67	3.00	1.00	1.33	2.00	2.33	3.00	4.00
$T_2$	4.67	4.33	4.00	3.33	3.00	2.33	1.33	1.67	2.67	3.00	3.67	4.67
T <sub>3</sub>	5.00	4.67	4.33	4.00	3.33	3.00	1.33	1.67	2.67	3.00	3.67	4.67
$T_4$	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.67	2.00	3.00
T <sub>5</sub>	5.00	4.67	4.33	4.00	-	-	2.00	2.33	3.00	4.33	5.00	-
T <sub>6</sub>	5.00	-	-	-	-	-	4.00	-	-	-	-	-
$T_7$	4.67	4.33	3.33	3.00	3.00	2.67	1.00	1.67	2.00	3.00	3.67	4.00
$T_8$	4.67	4.00	3.33	2.33	-	-	1.67	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	-
T <sub>9</sub>	4.33	4.00	-	-	-	-	2.67	5.00	-	-	-	-
S.E. ±	0.222	0.263	0.282	0.178	0.211	0.211	0.222	0.248	0.178	0.218	0.2182	0.192
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	0.855	0.540	0.664	0.664	0.660	0.738	0.540	0.662	0.662	0.593

'-': Okra pods unavailable for observation as all are spoilt/rejected; NS = Non-significant; Sensory score: 1= Outstanding (fresh like); 2= Good- bright green colour, free from blemishes; 3= Fair- bright green colour, slight limping; 4= Poor pod colour, blackening on the ridges, rough and dry appearance, slight limping; and 5= Unacceptable, colour deteriorated, shrivelled pods and microbial spoilage of pods

Table 3 : Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) and marketable fru		as influenced by difi	its as influenced by different packaging and storage conditions in okra	l storage conditions	in okra		
		Ascorbic aci	Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)			Marketable fruits	
Treatments		Storage period (Days)	iod (Days)			Storage period (Days)	
	Initial	4	8	12	4	8	12
$T_1$		8.70	6.76	6.12	100.00	100.00	42.86
$T_2$		8.66	6.58	4.16	100.00	71.43	38.10
$T_3$		7.80	5.53	4.19	100.00	85.71	47.62
$\mathrm{T}_4$		10.20	9.39	8.56	100.00	100.00	61.91
T <sub>s</sub>	14.00	10.44	7.58		100.00	52.38	0
$T_6$		,			0	0	0
$T_7$		8.20	6.58	4.36	100.00	71.43	38.10
$T_8$		6.54	5.39		95.24	66.67	0
T9					0	0	0
S.E. ±		0.116	0.226	0.154	1.587	3.549	4.200
C.D. (P=0.05)	,	0.346	0.684	0.486	4.717	10.546	12.478
NS= Non-significant;' Okra pods unavailable for observation as all spoil/rejected; $T_1 = Cool$ chamber, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 0% perforation; $T_3 = Cool$ chamber, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 1% perforation; $T_3 = Cool$ chamber, no packaging (in open condition); $T_4 = Low$ temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 1% perforation; $T_5 = Low$ temperature, no packaging (in open condition); $T_7 = Room$ temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 1% perforation; $T_6 = Low$ temperature, no packaging (in open condition); $T_7 = Room$ temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 1% perforation; $T_6 = Low$ temperature, no packaging (in open condition); $T_7 = Room$ temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 0% perforation; $T_6 = Low$ temperature, no packaging (in open condition); $T_7 = Room$ temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 0% perforation; $T_6 = Low$ temperature, no packaging (in open condition); $T_7 = Room$ temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 0% perforation; $T_6 = Low$ temperature, no packaging (in open condition); $T_7 = Room$ temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 0% perforation; $T_6 = Low$ temperature, no packaging (in open condition); $T_7 = Room$ temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 0% perforation; $T_6 = Low$ temperature, no packaging (in open condition); $T_7 = Room$ temperature, polypropylene (100 gauge) with 1% perforation; $T_9 = Room$ temperature, no packaging (in open condition).	navailable for observat Cool chamber, no pac erforation; $T_6 = Low$ te e (100 gauge) with 1%	ion as all spoilt/rejec kaging (in open cond imperature, no packa perforation; T <sub>9</sub> = Ro	vation as all spoilt/rejected; $T_1 = Cool$ chamber, polypropylene (100 gauge packaging (in open condition); $T_4 = Low$ temperature, polypropylene (100 <i>w</i> temperature, no packaging (in open condition); $T_7 = Room$ temperature, 1% perforation; $T_9 = Room$ temperature, no packaging (in open condition)	er, polypropylene (10 perature, polypropyle m); $T_7 = R$ com tempe ackaging (in open co	0 gauge) with 0% perfe ne (100 gauge) with 0% rrature, polypropylene ( ndition)	ration; T <sub>2</sub> = Cool chamb perforation; T <sub>5</sub> = Low to 100 gauge) with 0% perf	rr, polypropylene anperature, oration;

polypropylene bags at low temperature without perforation ( $T_4$ ) remained high during later period of storage as compared to those packed in polypropylene bags with 1 per cent perforation ( $T_5$ ) and other storage conditions.

Results indicate that  $T_4$  (Low temperature + polypropylene + 0 % perforation) at a temperature of 8±1 °C and relative humidity 80 per cent was the best treatment for storage of okra. It increased the shelf-life upto 12 days by considerably reducing the PLW, blackening, yellowing, retaining sensory quality, increasing marketability. It also retained the ascorbic acid content better during storage. This finding is in accordance with the previous reports of Baxter and Waters (1990) and Rice et al. (1990). Low temperature in okra extends the shelf-life by arresting the metabolic breakdown and fungal deterioration of the commodity (Babarinde and Fabunmi, 2009). Okra should be stored above 7 °C in order to avoid the development of chilling disorders, which includes pitting and discoloration (Salunkhe and Desai, 1984; Lyons and Breidenbach, 1987 and Joyce et al., 2009). At higher temperatures, toughening, yellowing and decay are rapid and a relative humidity of 85-90 per cent is desirable to prevent shrivelling (Jambhale and Nerkar, 1998). Pantastico et al. (1975) recommended a temperature of 8.8 °C and 90 per cent relative humidity to store okra for about 2 weeks in marketable condition. The treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Cool chamber + polypropylene + 0 % perforation) also was equally effective treatment particularly in maintaining the freshness of okra fruits although blackening was slightly higher compared to low temperature storage. High humidity condition and comparatively lower temperature of cool chamber from outside during the period of storage retarded metabolic activities by restricting the respiration and transpiration (Roy and Khurdiya, 1982; Pal and Roy, 1988 and Mitra et al., 2003). Low physiological loss of weight and shrinkage in cool chamber increased the shelflife of different vegetables (Chakraborty et al., 1991; Naiya and Kabir, 2006 and 2007). Ekka and Chakrabarti (2007) in conformity with the present findings reported that shelf-life of okra cv. PUSA-4 and PARBHANI KRANTI is 12 days in cool chamber.

#### REFERENCES

Anonymous (2013). Indian Horticulture Database. National Horticulture board, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, Gurgaon, 5pp.

**Babarinde, G.O. and Fabunni, O.A. (2009).** Effects of packaging materials and storage temperature on quality of fresh okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). *Fruit Agric.Trop. Subtropica*, **42** (4): 151-156.

Baxter, L. and Waters, L.L.R. (1990). Chemical changes in okra stored in air and controlled atmosphere. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 115: 452-454.

Chakraborty, K., Kabir, J., Dhua, R.S. and Roy, S.K.D. (1991). Storage behaviour of pointed gourd under zero energy cool chamber. *Hort. J.*, **4**(2): 43-47.

Ekka, A.B. and Chakrabarti, A.K. (2007). Quality parameters of okra as influenced by storage, packaging and variety. *J. Res. Birsa Agric. Univ.*, **19** (1): 65-71.

**Ghai, T.R. (2002).** Post harvest physiology of okra. In : *Post harvest Handling of Fruits and Vegetables*. Sandhu, A.S. and Bal, J.S. (Ed.). Super Natural Design, 1808, Maharaj Nagar, Ludhiana, 100-104pp.

Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). *Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research*. A Willey International publication, John Willey and Sons, NEW YORK, U.S.A.

Jambhale, N.D. and Nerkar, Y.S. (1998). Okra. In: *Handbook of Vegetable Science and Technology*. D.K. Salunkhe and S.S. Kadam (Ed.), Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 589-607pp.

Joyce ,W.N., Joseph, N.A. and Liu, G. (2009). Interactive effects of packaging and storage temperatures on the shelf-life of okra. *ARPN J. Agric. & Biol. Sci.*, 4 (3): 44-49.

Khurdiya, D.S. and Roy, S.K. (1986). Studies on evaporatively cooled zero energy input cool chamber for the storage of Horticultural Products. *Indian Food Packer*, **40** : 26-31.

Lyons, J.M. and Breidenbach, R.W. (1987). In : *Postharvest physiology of vegetables*, Weichmann, J.(Ed.), Marcel Dekker,

New York, 305-326pp.

Mitra, S.K., Kabir, J., Dhua, R.S. and Dutta Ray, S.K. (2003). Low cost cool chamber for storage of tropical fruits. *Acta Hort.*, **628** : 63-68.

Naiya, I. and Kabir, J. (2006). Influence of Virosil agro in storage behaviour of pointed gourd (*Trichosanthes dioica* Roxb.) under high humidity condition. *The Hort. J.*, **19** (3): 273-276.

Naiya, I. and Kabir, J. (2007). Influence of Virosil agro in storage behaviour of drumstick (*Moringa olifera* Lam.) under high humidity condition. *Crop Res.*, **34** (1,2 & 3): 143-145.

Pal, R.K. and Roy, S.K. (1988). Zero energy cool chamber for maintaining post harvest quality of carrot (*Daucus carota* var. Sativa). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, **58** (9) : 665-667.

**Pantastico, E.B., Chattopadhyay, T.K. and Subramanyam, H.,** (1975). Storage and commercial storage operations. In: *Postharvest physiology, handling and utilization of tropical and sub-tropical fruits and vegetables*. E.B. Pantastico (Ed.), The AVI Pub. Co., Westport, CT, 314pp.

**Ranganna, S. (2000).** *Ascorbic acid.* In: *Manual of Analysis of Fruit and Vegetable products* ( 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Ltd., New Delhi (6<sup>th</sup> reprint). 105-112pp.

**Rice, R.P., Rice, L.W. and Tindall, H.D. (1990).** *Fruit and vegetable production in warm climates.* The Macmillan Press Ltd., London, Basingstoke, 181-203pp.

Roy, S.K. and Khurdiya, D.S. (1982). Keep vegetables fresh in summer. *Indian Hort.*, 27 (1): 5-6.

Salunkhe, D.K. and Desai, B.B. (1984). Post Harvest Biotechnology of Vegetables- Volume II. CRC Press. Inc. Boca Raton, Florida, 147pp.

Yawalkar, K.S. (2004). *Fruit vegetables : Vegetables Crops of India*. Agri. Horticultural Publishing House, Nagpur, 99-112pp.

