Influence of crop geometry, intercropping and topping practices on green cob yield and fodder quality of baby corn (Zea mays L.)

S. RATHIKA

Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, COIMBATORE (T.N.) INDIA (Email : rathikaselvaraj@gmail.com)

Abstract : A field experiments was conducted at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore with an objective to study the effect of crop geometry, intercropping and topping practices on green cob yield and fodder quality of baby corn. Two levels of crop geometry ($60 \times 20 \text{ cm}$ and $75 \times 16 \text{ cm}$), two intercrops (baby corn alone, baby corn + fenugreek (greens), baby corn + fodder cowpea) and four topping practices (detasseling alone, topping beyond 9th, 10th and 11th internode) were studied in split plot design. Results revealed that crop geometry at 75 x 16 cm produced higher green cob and fodder yield over $60 \times 20 \text{ cm}$ spacing. There was no significant variation in green cob and fodder yield of baby corn under the intercropping system. Among the topping treatments, topping beyond 10th internode registered higher green cob yield over others. However, the green fodder yield of baby corn. But topping beyond 10th internode recorded the highest fodder quality (crude protein, crude fibre and NFE) followed by topping beyond 9th internode.

Key Words : Baby corn, Crop geometry, Intercropping, Topping, Green cob yield, Fodder quality

View Point Article : Rathika, S. (2014). Influence of crop geometry, intercropping and topping practices on green cob yield and fodder quality of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Internat. J. agric. Sci.*, **10** (1): 182-185.

Article History: Received: 29.04.2013; Revised: 08.10.2013; Accepted: 06.11.2013

INTRODUCTION

Baby corn is obsessed with high nutritive value comparable to many vegetables. The production and consumption of baby corn is gaining momentum in the world in general and in India in particular. The immature green cob is used as vegetable and the stalk has better fodder value. Since, it is a new introductory crop, development of suitable agro techniques *viz.*, optimum crop geometry, suitable intercrop and topping practices are important to improve the green cob yield and fodder quality of baby corn. Crop geometry is a non-monitory input that plays a major role upon introduction of new plant types.

Space available in between the rows of baby corn has to be used effectively by raising suitable intercrop during initial stage to utilize the space, light, moisture and nutrients in soil. Such resources could be used effectively by introducing short duration intercrops like fenugreek and fodder cowpea which complete their life cycle within 50-55 days and not having much effect on main crop are selected to grow with baby corn. Manchanda et al. (2006) revealed that higher yield attributes and yield of maize was recorded with maize intercropped with cowpea compared to sole maize. Topping refers to nipping or the removal of terminal portion from the uppermost node to improve the yield through greater functioning of remaining leaves by arresting unnecessary growth, decreasing mutual shading of leaves, enhancing light interception, increasing nutrient uptake, decreasing competition between the tassel and cob for available plant nutrients, diverting plant nutrients to the reproductive part which aids in better source-sink relationship and better cob development (Esechie and Al-Alawi, 2002). In baby corn, research information on these aspects is seldom available, so the present study was undertaken.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Field experiments were carried out during Kharif 2006 and 2007 at Eastern Block Farm, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. The experimental soil was sandy clay loam in texture belonging Typic Ustochrepts with alkaline pH; low in organic carbon (0.35 and 0.39%) and available nitrogen (232.5 and 242.6 kg ha-1), medium in available phosphorus (14.2 and 16.5 kg ha⁻¹) and high in potassium (470.0 and 446.8 kg ha⁻¹) during both the years, respectively. The experiments were laid out in split plot design with three replications. The main plot treatments comprised of crop geometry (60 x 20 cm and 75 x 16 cm) and intercropping systems (baby corn alone, baby corn + fenugreek (greens), baby corn + fodder cowpea). Topping practices (detasseling alone, topping beyond 9th, 10th and 11th internodes) were assigned to sub plots. Detasseling was done as and when emergence of tassel *i.e.*, normally at 52-55 DAS. Topping refers to nipping or the removal of terminal portion from the uppermost node to induce better cob development and to avoid fertilization of the cob. Topping beyond 9th, 10th and 11th internodes was done at 47, 50 and 52-55 DAS, respectively. The baby corn composite COBC 1, CO 2 of fenugreek (greens) and CO(FC) 8 of fodder cowpea varieties were used under this study.

Green cob and fodder yields from net area of each plot were harvested separately, weighed and recorded as green cob yield (kg ha⁻¹). Crude protein content of baby corn fodder was computed by multiplying the N content (estimated from the di-acid digestion by micro-Kjeldahl method) with the factor 6.25 and expressed in percentage. Crude fibre were estimated by following the procedures described by Goering and Vansoest (1970) and expressed in percentage. NFE was calculated by subtracting the percentage contents of moisture, ether extract, crude protein, crude fibre and ash from 100 (Pathak and Jakhmola, 1983).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the present study have been presented and discussed under the following headings:

Green cob yield:

Crop geometry had a positive influence on green cob yield of baby corn (Table 1). Baby corn grown at wider row (75 x 16 cm) spacing produced higher cob yield over narrow row (60 x 20 cm) spacing. The percentage increase was 7.0 and 6.3 per cent higher in wider row spacing over narrow row spacing during both the years, respectively. Pooled analysis showed that the increment was 6.7 per cent higher under wider row spacing. This increase in yield was probably due to effective utilization of applied nutrients, increased sink capacity and nutrient uptake by the crop. Maddonni *et al.* (2006) reported higher yield of maize under wider row spacing due to better availability of resources.

There was no significant response on cob yield of baby corn due to intercropping systems. This might be due to short duration, short plant stature, non-bushiness and also neither complementary nor competitive nature of intercrops did not influence growth parameters of main crop. Similar results on yield have been reported earlier by Tiwari *et al.* (2002).

Among all the topping treatments, topping beyond 10th internode was significantly superior and produced higher green cob yield as compared to topping beyond 9th internode. The lowest green cob yield was registered with detasseling alone during 2006 and 2007, respectively. The percentage increase of topping beyond 10th internode over detasseling alone was 11.8 and 12.8 during both the years, respectively. The pooled analysis of green cob yield also showed similar results. Based on pooled analysis, the yield increase due to topping beyond 10th and 9th internode over detasseling alone was 12.3 and 9.6 per cent, respectively. The possible reasons for this enhanced yield might be due to greater functioning of remaining leaves by arresting unnecessary growth, decreased mutual shading of leaves, higher light interception leading to increased photosynthesis and diverting plant nutrients to the reproductive part which aids in better sourcesink relationship and better cob development. The present results are in agreement with the findings of Gaurkar and Bharad (1998).

Green fodder yield:

Raising baby corn at 75 x 16 cm crop geometry registered higher green fodder yield than 60×20 cm (Table 1). Higher green fodder yield might be due to the fact that baby corn grown at wider row crop geometry had helped the individual plants to make better spatial utilization of moisture, nutrients and light which in turn increased the plant height, LAI, TDMP and ultimately green fodder yield as compared to narrow row crop geometry. This is in line with the findings of Thavaprakaash *et al.* (2005) in baby corn.

There was no significant response on green fodder yield of baby corn due to intercropping systems. Though, the green fodder yield was numerically higher under detasseling alone, but it did not differ statistically with other topping practices. A slight reduction in green fodder yield was observed due to other topping practices as compared to detasseling alone during both the years. This is in accordance with the findings of Gaurkar and Bharad (1998) in maize.

Fodder quality:

Crop geometry levels and intercropping systems of baby corn did not influence on quality of baby corn fodder (Table 2). This result is also in agreement with the findings of Thavaprakaash (2003) in baby corn.

Topping practices had marked effect on crude protein, crude fibre and NFE contents of baby corn fodder. Topping

beyond 10^{th} internode recorded higher crude protein (7.30 and 6.98 %), crude fibre (40.73 and 39.85 %) and NFE contents (42.88 and 42.58 %) followed by topping beyond 9^{th} internode during both the years, respectively. The enhancement in fodder quality could be justified that

increased physiological and biochemical reaction (photosynthesis, CER, chlorophyll content and nitrate reductase activity) resulted in increased nutrient uptake which ultimately improved the fodder quality of baby corn. This is in line with the findings of Teyker *et al.* (1991) in maize.

| Table 1 : Influence of crop geometry, intercropping systems and topping practices on green cob and fodder yields of baby corn | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------|--------|--|------|--------|--|--|--|
| Treatments | Green cob yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | Green fodder yield (t ha ⁻¹) | | | | | |
| | 2006 | 2007 | Pooled | 2006 | 2007 | Pooled | | | |
| Crop geometry | | | | | | | | | |
| S ₁ - 60 x 20 cm | 7270 | 6566 | 6918 | 35.8 | 33.6 | 34.7 | | | |
| S ₂ - 75 x 16 cm | 7777 | 6980 | 7379 | 38.2 | 35.5 | 36.9 | | | |
| S.E. <u>+</u> | 137 | 111 | 118 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | | | |
| CD (P=0.05) | 274 | 223 | 236 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.6 | | | |
| Intercropping systems | | | | | | | | | |
| C ₁ - Baby corn alone | 7578 | 6788 | 7183 | 37.0 | 35.0 | 36.0 | | | |
| C_2 - Baby corn + fenugreek (greens) | 7610 | 6847 | 7229 | 37.4 | 35.4 | 36.4 | | | |
| C ₃ - Baby corn +fodder cowpea | 7383 | 6683 | 7033 | 36.7 | 34.7 | 35.7 | | | |
| S.E. <u>+</u> | 163 | 135 | 140 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | | | |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | | | |
| Topping practices | | | | | | | | | |
| T ₁ - Detasseling alone | 7109 | 6370 | 6740 | 37.5 | 35.0 | 36.3 | | | |
| T ₂ - Topping beyond 9 th internode | 7756 | 7018 | 7387 | 36.5 | 34.2 | 35.4 | | | |
| T ₃₋ Topping beyond 9 th internode | 7951 | 7183 | 7567 | 36.8 | 34.4 | 35.6 | | | |
| T ₄ - Topping beyond 11 th internode | 7256 | 6520 | 6888 | 37.2 | 34.8 | 36.0 | | | |
| S.E. <u>+</u> | 223 | 205 | 212 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | | | |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | 457 | 416 | 435 | NS | NS | NS | | | |

Interaction is not significant NS=Non-significant

| Table 2 : Crude protein, crude fibre and NFE (%) of baby corn fodder as influenced by crop geometry, intercropping and topping practices | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Treatments | Kharif, 2006 | | | Kharif, 2007 | | | | | |
| | Crude protein (%) | Crude fibre (%) | NFE (%) | Crude protein (%) | Crude fibre (%) | NFE (%) | | | |
| Crop geometry | | | | | | | | | |
| $S_1 - 60 \ge 20 \text{ cm}$ | 6.93 | 38.44 | 41.55 | 6.66 | 37.96 | 40.02 | | | |
| $S_2 - 75 \ x \ 16 \ cm$ | 6.98 | 38.60 | 41.78 | 6.68 | 38.12 | 40.26 | | | |
| S.E. <u>+</u> | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.18 | 0.20 | | | |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | | | |
| Intercropping systems | | | | | | | | | |
| C ₁ – Sole baby corn | 6.96 | 38.50 | 41.66 | 6.68 | 38.03 | 40.10 | | | |
| C_2 – Baby corn + fenugreek (greens) | 6.98 | 38.60 | 41.80 | 6.70 | 38.11 | 40.32 | | | |
| C_3 – Baby corn + fodder cowpea | 6.93 | 38.47 | 41.56 | 6.66 | 37.98 | 40.09 | | | |
| S.E. <u>+</u> | 0.08 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.26 | | | |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | | | |
| Topping practices | | | | | | | | | |
| T ₁ – Detasseling alone | 6.65 | 36.45 | 39.78 | 6.46 | 36.18 | 38.45 | | | |
| T ₂ – Topping beyond 9 th internode | 7.14 | 40.03 | 42.57 | 6.80 | 39.58 | 42.16 | | | |
| T_3 – Topping beyond 10^{th} internode | 7.30 | 40.73 | 42.88 | 6.98 | 39.85 | 42.58 | | | |
| $T_4 - Topping$ beyond 11^{th} internode | 6.74 | 36.88 | 41.06 | 6.52 | 36.73 | 39.38 | | | |
| S.E. <u>+</u> | 0.12 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.10 | 0.47 | 0.55 | | | |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | 0.24 | 1.00 | 1.20 | 0.20 | 0.95 | 1.11 | | | |

Interaction Absent NS=Non-significant

Internat. J. agric. Sci. | Jan., 2014 Vol. 10 | Issue 1 | 182-185 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

S. RATHIKA

Conclusion:

Based on the experimental results, it could be concluded that raising of baby corn at wider row spacing (75 x 16 cm) improved the green cob and fodder yield. Growing of intercrops either fenugreek or fodder cowpea in baby corn did not influence the green cob and fodder yields. Topping of baby corn beyond 10^{th} internode increased the green cob yield and fodder quality.

REFERENCES

Esechie, H.A. and Al-Alawi, K. (2002). Effect of tassel removal on grain yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under saline conditions. *Crop Res.*, 24 (1): 96-101.

Gaurkar, S.G. and Bharad, G.M. (1998). Effect of plant population, detopping and nitrogen levels on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *PKV Res. J.*, **22**: 136-137.

Goering, H.K. and Vansoest, P.J. (1970). Forage fibre analysis. Agricultural Hand Book. No. 397. USA.

Maddonni, G.A., Cirilo, A.G. and Otegui, M.E. (2006). Row width

and maize grain yield. Agron. J., 98 (6): 1532-1543.

Manchanda, Anirudh, Daisy Basandrai, Ashwani Basandrai and Thakur, H.L. (2006). Productivity and economics of cowpea under mono and intercropping systems at Paonta Valley of Himachal Pradesh. J. Res., SKU AST - J., 5 (2): 165-172.

Thavaprakaash, N. (2003). Agronomic manipulations for sustainable production in baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) based intercropping systems. Ph.D. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, T.N. (INDIA).

Thavaprakaash, N., Velayudham, K. and Muthukumar, V.B. (2005). Effect of crop geometry, intercropping systems and integrated nutrient management practices on the productivity of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) based intercropping systems. *Res. J. Agrl. & Biol. Sci.*, 1 (4): 295-302.

Teyker, R.H., Moll, R.H. and Jackson, W.A. (1991). Differences among prolific maize hybrids in the effects of lower leaf removal. *Maydica*, **36** (1) : 1-10.

Tiwari, R.S., Agarwal, A. and Senger, S.C. (2002). Effect of intercropping on yield and economics of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgure* Mill.). *Crop Res.*, **23**: 369-374.

10th ***** of Excellence ****