



Effect of land preparation techniques, NP levels and bioinoculants on soil available nutrients and soil micro-organism in aerobic rice production

T. SARAVANAN

Department of Agronomy, Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, POLLACHI (T.N.) INDIA

Abstract : A field experiment was conducted during 2010-2011 at the Wetland Farm of Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, Pollachi to study the effect of land configuration techniques, NP levels and bioinoculants on soil available nutrients and soil microorganism for aerobic rice. Postharvest soil analysis clearly showed that the soil available nitrogen was highest (219.7 kg/ha) for the application of 100% recommended dose of fertilizers+ biofertilizers which was at par with the application of 100% recommended dose of fertilizers + biofertilizers+ AM fungi seed treatment. Lowest P status of 9 kg/ha was observed for the application of 100% recommended dose of fertilizers + biofertilizers+ AM fungi seed treatment. This was due to the solubilisation of P in the soil by AM fungi which is made available for crop growth. Application of bio fertilizer enhanced the N availability and solubilized the unavailable P which in turn recorded higher N availability and low P status indicating better P uptake when applied along with recommended dose of fertilizer for aerobic rice. The population of *Azospirillum*, phosphobacteria, *Pseudomona* and VAM, where is notably higher because of the increase microbial load due to application of bioinoculants along with the fertilizers.

Key Words : Aerobic rice, Soil available nutrients, Bio inoculants, Soil micro-organisms

View Point Article : Sarvanan, T. (2014). Effect of land preparation techniques, NP levels and bioinoculants on soil available nutrients and soil micro-organism in aerobic rice production. *Internat. J. agric. Sci.*, **10** (1): 190-192.

Article History : Received : 18.05.2013; Revised : 09.10.2013; Accepted : 08.11.2013

INTRODUCTION

Rice is the staple food for nearly half of the world's population, most of who live in developing countries. The demand for rice in India is projected at 128 million tons for the year 2012 and will require a production level of 3,000 kg/ha significantly greater than the present average yield of 1,930 kg/ha. The development of hybrid rice technology and the adoption of hybrids to Indian environment offer one approach to solve the problem of matching food supply to expected demand (Surekha *et al.*, 1999). Nearly 50 per cent gain in food grain productivity seen in recent times has come through adoption of fertilization practices and water management in aerobic rice system (Bouman *et al.*, 2005). Since aerobic rice is recent concept, minimal effects have been made to study its performance on soil available nutrients and soil microorganisms because of the changed ecosystem of rice. Therefore the present investigation was undertaken to at the Wetland Farm, Vanavarayar Institute of

Agriculture Pollachi, India during *Kharif* 2010 to assess the effect of land configuration techniques and bioinoculants on soil available nutrients and soil microorganism in aerobic rice production variety (PMK(R) 3).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during 2010-2011 at the Wetland Farm of Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, Pollachi. The soil was deep clay loam, containing 18.1%, 17.2%, 19.0% and 44.2% of fine sand, coarse sand, silt and clay, respectively. The soil pH, electrical conductivity, organic carbon and soil available N, P and K were 7.3, 0.46 mS/m, 0.64% and 244, 17.2 kg/ha and 505 kg/ha, respectively. The experiment was laid out in split-plot design replicated thrice. The treatments consisted of two types of land configuration techniques *viz.*, flat bed (M_1) and ridges and furrows techniques (M_2) as main plot treatments and different combinations of fertilizers and bioinoculants as

sub plot treatments viz., 100% recommended dose of fertilizers (S_1), S_1 + biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, phosphobacteria and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) (S_2), S_2 + AM fungi (S_3), 75% recommended dose of fertilizers+ biofertilizers (S_4) and S_4 + AM fungi (S_5). The recommended dose of fertilizer is 150 : 75 : 75 kg NPK / ha. The data were subjected to statistical analysis as described by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads :

Postharvest soil nutrient status:

Soil available nitrogen was highest (219.7 kg/ha) for the application of 100% recommended dose of fertilizers+ biofertilizers which was at par with the application of 100% recommended dose of fertilizers + biofertilizers+ AM fungi seed treatment (Table 1). This was due to the nitrogen fixation

by *Azospirillum* in addition to the supply of 100% recommended dose of nitrogen (150 kg/ha). There was a significant difference in the available phosphorus status of the soil for different bioinoculants application. Highest P status was recorded with the application of 100% recommended dose of fertilizers having no seed treatment (13.8 kg/ha). This was followed by 11.5 kg/ha for the application of 100% recommended dose of fertilizers + biofertilizers application. Lowest P status of 9 kg/ha was observed for the application of 100% recommended dose of fertilizers + biofertilizers+ AM fungi seed treatment. This was due to the solubilisation of P in the soil by AM fungi which is made available to the crop. There was no considerable difference in the available K status of the soil due to land configuration and nutrient management techniques. Application of bio fertilizer enhanced the N availability and solubilized the unavailable P which in turn recorded low availability of nutrient in the soil after harvest. This is a corroboration with the findings Rajesh and Thanunathan (2003) who found that higher nutrient uptake results in low nutrients in soil after harvest due to enhanced

Table 1 : Effect of land configuration techniques, NP levels and bioinoculants on available nutrients (kg/ha) in the soil after harvest of aerobic rice

Treatments	Nitrogen (kg/ha)	Phosphorus (kg/ha)	Potassium (kg/ha)
M ₁ - Flat bed	215.1	10.9	420.9
M ₂ -Ridges and furrows	213.3	10.5	418.2
S.E.±	6.05	0.35	11.40
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS
S ₁ - 100% NP	214.7	13.8	420
S ₂ - 100% NP + BF	219.7	11.5	419.8
S ₃ - 100% NP+BF+VAM	218.5	9.0	419.2
S ₄ - 75% NP + BF	209.7	10.2	420
S ₅ - 75% NP+BF+VAM	208.7	9.0	418.8
S.E.±	16.90	0.81	32.8
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	1.71	NS

BF, Biofertilizers; VAM, Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae; Interaction not significant NS=Non-significant

Table 2 : Population of soil microorganisms influenced by different land configuration techniques, NP levels and bioinoculants on aerobic rice

Treatments	<i>Azospirillum</i> (10 ⁵ cfu/g)	Phosphobacteria (10 ⁶ cfu/g)	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (10 ⁶ cfu/g)	VAM infection % at PI stage
M ₁ - Flat bed	18.6	13.4	129.4	87
M ₂ -Ridges and furrows	19.0	12.4	157.3	92
S.E.±	0.5	0.16	4.8	2.4
C.D. (P=0.05)	2.1	0.67	20.9	NS
S ₁ - 100% NP	8.0	4.8	109	77
S ₂ - 100% NP + BF	21.1	16.9	154.3	86
S ₃ - 100% NP + BF + VAM	22.6	12.6	155.2	100
S ₄ - 75% NP + BF	21.8	14.3	151.3	84
S ₅ - 75% NP + BF + VAM	20.5	15.9	147.0	100
S.E.±	1.6	1.1	11.6	7.2
C.D. (P=0.05)	3.3	2.4	24.7	15.3

BF, Biofertilizers; VAM, Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae; Interaction not significant

root growth and root volume in aerobic rice.

Microbial enumeration :

The population of *Azospirillum* was higher in all treatments except where no seed treatment was done. The significantly higher population of phosphobacteria in ridges and furrows (14.7×10^6 cfu/g soil on dry weight basis) compared to flat bed which recorded a population of 11.9×10^6 cfu/g soil on a dry weight basis during the active tillering stage. A significantly higher population of phosphobacteria was recorded for the application of 100% recommended dose of fertilizers + biofertilizers+ AM fungi seed treatment which recorded 17.5×10^6 cfu/g soil on dry weight basis during tillering stage. This was followed by other treatments which received seed treatment with phosphobacteria. In the remaining stages of crop growth population was more in all the treatments which received seed treatment with phosphobacteria. There was a significantly higher population of *Pseudomonas* in ridges and furrows compared to flat bed in all critical stages of crop growth. All treatments which received seed treatment with *Pseudomonas* showed significantly higher populations. The application of 100% recommended dose of fertilizers having no seed treatment showed a low population of *Pseudomonas*. There was a significantly higher AM fungi infection recorded for the treatment of 75% recommended dose of fertilizers + biofertilizers+ AM fungi seed treatment (84.3 %) which was at par with the application of 100% recommended dose of

fertilizers + biofertilizers+ AM fungi seed treatment (81%) at 20 days after sowing. During panicle initiation stage, both the treatments *i.e.*, application of 100% recommended dose of fertilizers + biofertilizers+ AM fungi seed treatment and application of 75% recommended dose of fertilizers + biofertilizers+ AM fungi seed treatment showed 100% VAM infection (Table 2). This is because of the action of microorganisms can be promoted and the accumulation of poisonous substances in the soil can be avoided by favourable soil aeration (Mao Zhi, 1997).

REFERENCES

- Bouman, B.A.M., Peng, S., Castaneda, A.R. and Visperas, R.M. (2005).** Yield and water use of tropical aerobic rice systems. *Agric. Water Manage.*, **72** (2) : 87-105.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984).** *Statistical procedures for agricultural research*. 2nd Ed. (John Wiley and Sons: New York, USA.
- Mao, Z. (1997).** Water saving irrigation for rice. *China Rural Water & Hydropower*, **4** : 45-47.
- Rajesh, V. and Thanunathan, K. (2003).** Effect of seedling age, number and spacing on yield and nutrient uptake of traditional Kambamchamba rice. *Madras Agric. J.*, **90** (1-3) : 47-49.
- Surekha, K., Narayana Reddy, M., Kumar, R.M. and Vijaykumar, C.H.M. (1999).** Effect of nitrogen sources and timing on yield and nutrient uptake of hybrid rice. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, **69** (7) : 477-481.

10th
Year
★★★★★ of Excellence ★★★★★