INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PLANT PROTECTION VOLUME 10 | ISSUE 1 | APRIL, 2017 | 21-25

RESEARCH PAPER

DOI: 10.15740/HAS/IJPP/10.1/21-25

Comparative efficacy and phytotoxicity evaluation of biopesticides, insecticides and *Neem* formulation against leaf folder (Cnaphlocrocis medinalis guenee) on paddy

■ UPESH KUMAR

Krishi Vigyan Kendra, PATAN (GUJARAT) INDIA

ARITCLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Received : 11.12.2016 Revised : 23.02.2017 Accepted : 28.02.2017	Effort were taken to compare the efficacy and phytotoxicity of bioagent <i>B.bassiana</i> <i>Neem</i> formulation and insecticide. Out of six treatment Monocrotophos 36 per cent SL @ 625 ml/ha and <i>Beauveria bassiana</i> 1.15 per cent WP (1x10 ⁸ cfu/g min.) treatments @
KEY WORDS : Beaubaria bassiana , Bioefficacy, Phytotoxicity, Paddy	3000 and 2500 g/ha were effective to reducing leaf folder larval population on paddy crop and to increase the grain yield. All the treatments were non-phytotoxic to paddy crop and non-toxic to natural enemies in both the year. <i>Beauveria bassiana</i> 1.15 per cent WP applied @ 2500 g/ha dose was optimum to control leaf folder and to increase the yield. Based on the results of bioefficacy and grain yield, use of <i>Beauveria bassiana</i> 1.15 per cent WP @ 2500 g/ha is suggested for the effective management of leaf folder larvae on paddy crop.
	How to view point the article : Kumar, Upesh (2017). Comparative efficacy and phytotoxicity evaluation of biopesticides, insecticides and <i>Neem</i> formulation against leaf folder (Cnaphlocrocis medinalis guenee) on paddy. <i>Internat. J. Plant Protec.</i> , 10 (1): 21-25, DOI : 10.15740/HAS/IJPP /

Email: upeshkvk @gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.) is an important cereal and source of calories for more than one third of the world population. Therefore, the major challenge in the year to come is to increase the productivity of paddy from the present level of 2.07 t/ha to more than 3t/ha. To achieve this goal the losses due to abiotic and biotic stresses have to be tackled (Prasad *et al.*, 2007). In biotic stresses the leaf folder (Cnaphlocrosis medinalis guenee) is one of destructive insect pest causing hues loss of paddy crop. The paddy leaf folder has been controlled by various

10.1/21-25.

chemical pesticides. The problems of chemicals pesticides resistance as well as the consumer health and environmental hazards associated with pesticide residues in plant materials, have focused attention on alternative methods. *B. bassiana* invades insects by penetrating the cuticle and the fungus rapidly multiplied throughout the body, causes by tissue destruction and occasionally, by toxin produced by the fungus. It produces toxin like beauvericin, beauverolides Bassinolide is arolides pigments like tenellin and bassianin and oxalic acid (Roberts, 1981). The study was taken with an objective



to determine the comparative efficacy and phytotoxicity evaluation of biopesticides, insecticides and Neem formulation against leaf folder (Cnaphlocrocis medinalis guenee) on paddy crop.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experiment was conducted at K.V.K. instructional farm Sehore (M.P.) during Kharif season 2013 and 2014 in Randomized Block Design replicated four times. The cv. PUSA1121 was transplanted in Ist season 11.08.2013 and IInd season 21.07.2014. in field. Each plot measured 20 m² with spacing 15x60 cm. The application of treatments started on appearance of symptoms of pest damage in the experimental crops with six treatment including control viz., Beauveria bassiana 1.15 per cent WP @ 2000, B. bassiana 1.15 per cent WP @ 2500, B. bassiana 1.15 per cent WP @ 3000 g/ ha; Neem oil based EC containing Azadirachtin 0.03 per cent @ 2000 ml/ha, monocrotophos 36 per cent SL @ 625 ml/ha and control were used. All treatments were sprayed on paddy crop using spray volume @ 500 lit/ha by knapsack sprayer fitted with hollow cone nozzle twice at an interval of 15 days.

Treatments details :

- T₁- Beauveria bassiana (1x10⁸ cfu/g min)1.15 per cent WP @ 2000 g/ha,
- T_2 B. bassiana (1x10⁸ cfu/g min)1.15 per cent WP @ 2500 g/ha and
- T_2 B. bassiana (1x10⁸ cfu/g min)1.15 per cent WP @ 3000 g/ha;
- T₄-Neem oil based EC containing Azadirachtin 0.03 per cent @ 2000 ml/ha,
- T₅- Monocrotophos 36 per cent SL @ 625 ml/ha and T_{ϵ} - control untreated water.

Effect of B. bassiana and others against leaf folder on paddy :

Pre-treatment observations on number of leaf folder larvae were recorded one day before first spray on randomly selected 10 hills per plot. The folded leaves were carefully opened to observe the presence of larvae. Post-treatment observations were recorded after 7 and 14 days of each spray on randomly selected 10 hills per plot. Based on the data per cent reduction in larval population over control was calculated. The dead larvae were collected from the plots to confirm the insect

mortality due to *Beauveria bassiana*. The collected larvae were kept in clean Petri dishes and observed for 7 days minimum. The plot wise yield was recorded at harvest.

Phytotoxicity evaluation of *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15 per cent WP on paddy crop during :

To observe phytotoxicity to paddy crop due to the application of Beauveria bassiana 1.15 per cent WP a higher dose 5000 g/ha was also used. Observations were recorded visually for the phytotoxicity parameters viz., leaf injury on tips/ surface, wilting, vein clearing, necrosis, epinasty and hyponasty after 1, 3, 7, 10 and 14 days of each application of treatments.

Effect of Beauveria bassiana 1.15 per cent WP on natural enemies in paddy crop :

To record the effect of Beauveria bassiana 1.15 per cent WP on the natural enemies, observations were made for the natural enemies prevailing in the crop ecosystem. The population count of spiders and mirid bug was recorded on randomly selected 10 hills per plot before first application and 7 and 14 days after each application of treatments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of B. bassiana and others against leaf folder on paddy :

The population of leaf folder larvae recorded during two seasons has been presented in Table 1. Pre-spray population showed that the pest was uniformly established in all the experimental plots and the difference was non-significant. The larval population significantly declined in various treatments as compared to control at each observation time period. Monocrotophos 36 per cent SL a chemical insecticide showed better efficacy than Beauveria bassiana 1.15 per cent WP and Neem oil based EC containing Azadirachtin 0.03 per cent. However, Beauveria bassiana 1.15 per cent WP @ 2500 and 3000 g/ha was superior to Neem oil based EC containing Azadirachtin 0.03 per cent @ 2000 ml/ha. Beauveria bassiana 1.15 per cent WP @ 3000 g/ha was statistically equally effective to its lower dose @ 2500 g/ha.

The per cent reduction in larval population over control was also calculated out and presented in Table 2. The results revealed that all the treatments effectively

22 HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE COMPARATIVE EFFICACY & PHYTOTOXICITY EVALUATION OF BIOPESTICIDES, INSECTICIDES & Neem FORMULATION AGAINST LEAF FOLDER

Sr.		Dose	Leaf fo	lder larval	population	/10 hills ye	ear 2013	Leaf fo	lder larval	population	/10 hills ye	ar 2014
No.	Treatments	formula	Pre -	After fi	rst spray	After sec	ond spray	Pre -	After fi	st spray	After sec	ond spray
NO.		tion/ha	spray	7 days	14 days	7 days	14 days	spray	7 days	14 days	7 days	14 days
1	Beauveria bassiana	2000 g	26.25	21.50	13.00	11.75	10.00	41.75	32.25	25.50	19.50	16.75
1.	1.15% WP	2000 g	(5.12)	(4.64)	(3.61)	(3.43)	(3.16)	(6.46)	(5.68)	(5.05)	(4.42)	(4.09)
2.	B. bassiana 1.15%	2500 a	24.75	15.00	8.50	7.25	5.25	38.25	23.50	16.25	11.75	8.75
2.	WP	2500 g	(4.97)	(3.87)	(2.92)	(2.69)	(2.29)	(6.18)	(4.85)	(4.03)	(3.43)	(2.96)
3.	B. bassiana 1.15%	3000 g	20.00	14.25	9.25	6.75	5.50	40.50	21.75	14.50	12.50	8.00
5.	WP	3000 g	(4.47)	(3.77)	(3.04)	(2.60)	(2.35)	(6.36)	(4.66)	(3.81)	(3.54)	(2.83)
4	Neem oil based EC	2000 1	23.50	17.00	11.25	10.00	8.75	37.25	27.00	21.75	17.25	13.25
4.	containing Azadirachtin 0.03%	2000 ml	(4.85)	(4.12)	(3.35)	(3.16)	(2.96)	(6.10)	(5.20)	(4.66)	(4.15)	(3.64)
5.	Monocrotophos	625 ml	25.50	5.25	3.75	2.50	1.25	35.50	9.75	6.25	4.75	2.50
5.	36% SL	625 mi	(5.05)	(2.29)	(1.94)	(1.58)	(1.12)	(5.96)	(3.12)	(2.50)	(2.18)	(1.58)
~			22.75	28.00	26.75	30.25	25.50	42.25	51.50	56.50	49.75	38.25
6.	Control	_	(4.77)	(5.29)	(5.17)	(5.50)	(5.05)	(6.50)	(7.18)	(7.52)	(7.05)	(6.18)
	S.E. <u>+</u>		(0.27)	(0.18)	(0.12)	(0.14)	(0.17)	(0.32)	(0.16)	(0.17)	(0.11)	(0.14)
	C.D. (P=0.05)		(NS)	(0.56)	(0.37)	(0.44)	(0.52)	(NS)	(0.48)	(0.51)	(0.34)	(0.43)

NS = Non-	significant
-----------	-------------

Figures in parentheses are square root transformed values

Sr.		Dose			eduction in over contro	leaf folder ol-2013				eduction in over contro		
	Treatments	formula	After fi	rst spray	After sec	ond spray		After fit	rst spray	After sec	ond spray	
No.		tion/ha	7 days	14 days	7 days	14 days	Mean		14 days	7 days	14 days	Mean
1.	Beauveria bassiana 1.15% WP	2000 g	23.21 (28.79)	51.40 (45.80)	61.16 (51.45)	60.78 (51.23)	49.14 (44.51)	52.32 (46.33)	54.87 (47.79)	60.80 (51.24)	56.21 (48.57)	52.32 (46.33)
2.	Beauveria bassiana 1.15% WP	2500 g	46.43 (42.95)	68.22 (55.69)	76.03 (60.69)	79.41 (63.02)	67.52 (55.26)	69.78 (56.65)	71.24 (57.57)	76.38 (60.92)	77.12 (61.42)	69.78 (56.65)
3.	Beauveria bassiana 1.15% WP	3000 g	49.11 (44.49)	65.42 (53.99)	77.69 (61.82)	78.43 (62.33)	67.66 (55.34)	71.52 (57.75)	74.34 (59.57)	74.87 (59.91)	79.08 (62.78)	71.52 (57.75)
4.	Neem oil based EC containing Azadirachtin 0.03%	2000 ml	39.29 (38.82)	57.94 (49.57)	66.94 (54.90)	65.69 (54.15)	57.47 (49.30)	59.94 (50.73)	61.50 (51.65)	65.33 (53.93)	65.36 (53.95)	59.94 (50.73)
5.	Monocrotophos	625	81.25	85.98	91.74	95.10	88.52	88.48	88.94	90.45	93.46	88.48
	36% SL	ml	(64.36)	(68.02)	(73.31)	(77.24)	(70.19)	(70.16)	(70.58)	(72.00)	(75.18)	(70.16)
6.	Control	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	S.E. <u>+</u>		(0.57)	(0.47)	(0.42)	(0.36)	(0.28)	(0.47)	(0.71).	(0.41	(0.54)	(0.47)
	C.D. (P=0.05)		(1.76)	(1.46)	(1.27)	(1.09)	(0.84)	(1.42)	(2.13)	(1.22)	(1.63)	(1.42)

Figures in parentheses are angular transformed values

Sr. No.	Treatments	Dose formulation/ha –	Yield	(q/ha)
51. INO.	Treatments		During 2013	During 2014
1.	Beauveria bassiana 1.15% WP	2000 g	61.46 (7.84)	56.19 (7.50)
2.	Beauveria bassiana 1.15% WP	2500 g	71.37 (8.45)	67.35 (8.21)
3.	Beauveria bassiana 1.15% WP	3000 g	72.94 (8.54)	68.63 (8.28)
4.	Neem oil based EC containing Azadirachtin 0.03%	2000 ml	66.57 (8.16)	61.72 (7.86)
5.	Monocrotophos 36% SL	625 ml	75.48 (8.69)	70.18 (8.38)
6.	Control	-	47.73 (6.91)	48.43 (6.96)
	S.E. <u>+</u>		(0.12)	(0.10)
	C.D. (P=0.05)		(0.36)	(0.31)

Figures in parentheses are square root transformed values

Internat. J. Plant Protec., **10**(1) Apr., 2017 : 21-25 HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE

5		Dose	Leaf			(Mean obse	Phyte prvations rece	Phytotoxicty parameters observed (Mean observations recorded after 1, 3, 7, 10 and 14 days of each spray)	3, 7, 10 and	erved 1 14 days of 4	each spray)		
Sr.	Treatments	formulation/	njury on -			2013					2014		
'NO		ha	ups/ - surface*	Wilting	Vein clear ng	Necrosis	Epinasty	Hyponasty Wilting	Wilting	Vein clearing	Necrosis	Epinasty	Hyponasiy
I.	Beauveria bassiana 1.15% WP	2000 g	and a	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	IIN	Nil	IIN	Nil	Nil	Nil
2.	B. bassiana 1.15% WP	2500 g	d	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	lin	Nil	IIN	Nil	Nil	Nil
3.	B. bassiana 1.15% WP	3000 g		Ni	Nil	IIN	Nil	IIN	IIN	IN	Nil	NI	Nil
4.	Neem oil based EC containing		05	N.	NEI	IEN	IIIN	NEI V	NEI	IN	IN	IN	EN
	Azadirachtin 0.03%	1111 0007				INI	IIN	IIN	INI			IN	П
5.	Monocrotophos 36% SL	625 ml	and a	Nil	Nil	IIN	IIN	Nil	Nil	IIN	Nil	Nil	Nil
6.	Control	я		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	IN	Nil	Nil	Nil
7.	Beauveria bassiana 1.15% WP	5000 g	÷	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	lin	Nil	IN	Nil	Nil	Nil

on/ha F A F F A F A F F A F <th>Ľ,</th> <th>Treatments</th> <th>Mer Sr. Treatments Dose Spid.</th> <th>ray</th> <th>SE</th> <th>Mean p Spiders</th> <th>an population of natural enemies/ 10 hills ers Mirid bug 55 55 55 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 7</th> <th>ES Of na</th> <th>ss as</th> <th>00</th> <th>/ 10 hills Mirid bug</th> <th></th> <th>SE</th> <th>ray</th> <th>1000</th> <th>Mean p Spiders</th> <th>Mean population of natural enemies/ 10 hills piders Mind 1 Piger C C P P Piders Piders Pider</th> <th>FS of nat</th> <th>ray lene</th> <th>FS N</th> <th>10 hills Mirid bug</th> <th></th> <th>ES</th>	Ľ,	Treatments	Mer Sr. Treatments Dose Spid.	ray	SE	Mean p Spiders	an population of natural enemies/ 10 hills ers Mirid bug 55 55 55 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 7	ES Of na	ss as	00	/ 10 hills Mirid bug		SE	ray	1000	Mean p Spiders	Mean population of natural enemies/ 10 hills piders Mind 1 Piger C C P P Piders Piders Pider	FS of nat	ray lene	FS N	10 hills Mirid bug		ES
ria ria <thr></thr> ria ria ria </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>on/ha</th> <th>Pre sp</th> <th>∀U L</th> <th>bre sp</th> <th>A DA</th> <th>I∜ D∛</th> <th>₹ D¥</th> <th>I† D∀</th> <th>bre sp</th> <th>7 DV</th> <th>I† D∀</th> <th>Pre sp</th> <th>Y DY</th> <th>bre sp</th> <th>¥₫ L</th> <th>I† D∀</th> <th>Pre sp</th> <th>A DA</th> <th>bre sp</th> <th>A DA</th> <th>I† D∖</th>			on/ha	Pre sp	∀U L	bre sp	A DA	I∜ D∛	₹ D¥	I† D∀	bre sp	7 DV	I† D∀	Pre sp	Y DY	bre sp	¥₫ L	I† D∀	Pre sp	A DA	bre sp	A DA	I† D∖
ria a 115% 2500 g 5.75 5.25 4.50 2.75 3.00 2.25 3.25 3.25 3.25 3.25 3.25 3.25 3.25 3.25 3.25 3.25 3.25 3.25 1.50 3.75 3.25 1.50 3.75 3.25 1.50 3.75 3.25 1.50 3.75 3.25 1.50 3.75 3.25 1.50 3.75 3.25 1.50 3.75 3.25 1.50 3.75 3.26 1.50 3.75 3.75 3.20 1.75 3.25 1.75 at 115% 3000 g 7.25 5.25 4.00 2.26 1.50 2.00 1.75 3.25 2.00 1.75 3.25 1.75 at 115% 3000 ml 6.25 6.00 4.75 3.50 1.50 2.00 1.05 2.05 2.05 2.75 2.25 2.00 1.75 2.75 1.25 2.25 2.00 1.75 2.25 2.00 2.05 2.75 2.05 <td>W DC</td> <td>Beauveria bassiana 1.15% WP</td> <td>2000 g</td> <td></td> <td>5.50</td> <td>4.75</td> <td>3.25</td> <td>2.75</td> <td>3.00</td> <td>2.50</td> <td></td> <td>3.00</td> <td>1.75</td> <td>4.50</td> <td>3.25</td> <td>2.50</td> <td>2.25</td> <td>2.25</td> <td>4.50</td> <td>3.75</td> <td>2.00</td> <td>1.25</td> <td>0.75</td>	W DC	Beauveria bassiana 1.15% WP	2000 g		5.50	4.75	3.25	2.75	3.00	2.50		3.00	1.75	4.50	3.25	2.50	2.25	2.25	4.50	3.75	2.00	1.25	0.75
ria a) 115% 3000 7.25 5.25 4.00 2.25 2.75 3.50 2.00 2.75 3.75 3.75 3.70 2.00 1.75 4.25 3.25 1.75 a) 115% 3000 g 7.25 5.25 4.00 2.26 3.50 3.00 2.00 1.75 4.25 3.25 1.75 a) 115% 3000 g 7.25 5.25 4.50 3.50 2.00 2.00 1.75 2.25 2.20 1.57 1.25	A P B	Bεauveria bassiana 1.15% WP	2500 g	5.75	5.25	4.50	2.75	2.50	4.25	3.00		2.50	2.25	4.25	3.25	2.25	2.00	1.50	3.75	3.25	1.50.	0.50	0.00
II based lating 2000 ml 6.25 6.00 4.75 3.25 2.50 4.50 3.50 2.50 1.50 2.00 4.00 3.75 2.25 2.00 3.50 3.50 2.75 1.25 (1.20 0.000	A P B	Beauveria bassiana 1.15% WP	3000 g	7.25	5.25	4.00	2.25	2.75	3.50	3.00		2.25	1.75	3.75	3.00	2.00	2.00	1.75	4.25	3.25	1.75	0.00	0.00
outphos 625 ml 8.00 3.00 2.75 0.75 1.75 0.75 3.75 2.00 1.00 3.75 2.25 1.50 0.75 1.25 3.25 1.50 1.00 - 6.25 6.75 7.50 6.25 5.75 4.25 4.75 3.25 4.75 3.25 4.75 3.25 4.75 3.25 4.75 3.25 4.75 3.25 4.75 3.25 4.75 3.25 4.75 3.25 4.75 3.25 4.75 3.25 4.75 3.25 4.75 3.25 4.75 3.25 4.75 3.25 4.75 3.25 4.75 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 4.25 3.25 0.49 0.49	2 H A O	Neem oil based EC containing Azadirachtin 0.03%	2000 ml	6.25	6.00		3.25	2.50	4.50			1.50	2.00	4.00	3.75	2.25	2.25	2.00	3.50	2.75	1.25	1.00	0.75
6.25 6.75 7.50 6.25 5.75 4.25 4.75 3.25 4.50 4.00 4.25 4.75 5.00 4.25 3.75 4.25 3.25 0.56 0.54 0.32 0.48 0.52 0.48 0.52 0.46 0.46 0.28 0.52 0.48 0.74 0.46 0.49 NS NS 0.73 0.96 NS NS NS NS 0.63 NS <td< td=""><td>20</td><td>Monocrotophos 36% SL</td><td>625 ml</td><td>8.00</td><td>3.00</td><td>2.75</td><td>1.75</td><td>0.75</td><td>3.75</td><td>2.00</td><td></td><td>2.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>3.75</td><td>2.25</td><td>1.50</td><td>0.75</td><td>1.25</td><td>3.25</td><td>1.50</td><td>1.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td></td<>	20	Monocrotophos 36% SL	625 ml	8.00	3.00	2.75	1.75	0.75	3.75	2.00		2.00	1.00	3.75	2.25	1.50	0.75	1.25	3.25	1.50	1.00	0.00	0.00
0.56 0.54 0.24 0.32 0.48 0.52 0.48 0.46 0.28 0.23 0.52 0.48 0.24 0.53 0.21 047 0.46 0.49 =0.05) NS NS 0.73 0.96 NS NS NS NS 0.83 0.69 NS NS 0.73 NS 0.63 NS NS NS NS NS	0	ontrol	E		6.75	7.50	6.25	5.75	4.25	4.75	3.25	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.75	5.00	4.25	4.75	3.75	4.25	3.25	2.50	2.25
N SN SN SO 0.05 0.20 NS NS NS 0.80 0.60 NS NS 0.60 NS NS 0.61 NS	5	+1 11		0.56	0.54	0.24	0.32	0.48	0.52	0.48	0.46	0.28	0.23	0.52	0.48	0.24	0.53	0.21	047	0.46	0.49	0.22	0.21
	\odot	.D. (P=0.05)		SN		0.73	0.96	SN	NS	NS			0.69	NS	SN	0.73	SN	0.63	SN	NS	SN	0.66	0.64

UPESH KUMAR

24

Internat. J. Plant Protec., **10**(1) Apr., 2017 : 21-25 HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE

controlled the pest population, where Beauveria bassiana 1.15 per cent WP @ 3000 and 2500 g/ha was more effective than its lower dose @ 2000 g/ha. Neem oil based EC containing Azadirachtin 0.03 per cent @ 2000 ml/ha was also better than Beauveria bassiana 1.15 per cent WP @ 2000 g/ha. The paddy grain yield for two seasons has been presented in Table 3. Fairly high yield was recorded in the treatment of Monocrotophos 36 per cent SL @ 625 ml/ha followed by Beauveria bassiana 1.15 per cent WP @ 3000 and 2500 g/ha. There was no significant difference in the yield when Beauveria bassiana 1.15 per cent WP applied @ 3000 g/ha and 2500 g/ha, hence, 2500 g/ha dose of the product is optimum to control the leaf folder in paddy crop. The whitish mycelium growth of fungus, Beauveria bassiana on dead larvae collected from Beauveria bassiana 1.15 per cent WP treated plots confirmed the mortality due to the application of Beauveria bassiana 1.15 per cent WP.

Phytotoxicity evaluation of *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15 per cent WP on paddy crop during :

The observations recorded visually for the phytotoxicity symptoms after 1, 3, 7, 10 and 14 days after each spray have been presented in Table 4. The data showed that there was no phytotoxicty to paddy crop when *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15 per cent WP applied upto a level of 5000 g/ha. Hence, *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15 per cent WP formulation was non phytotoxic to paddy crop.

Effect of *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15 per cent WP on natural enemies in paddy crop :

The natural enemies population prevailing in the crop ecosystem recorded before first spray and after 7 and 14 days of each spray during both the seasons have been presented in Table 5. Pre - spray population of spiders and mirid bugs observed was more or less uniform in various treatment plots and the difference was non-

significant. After application of treatments population of predators declined to some extent but monocrotophos showed greater adverse effect. The differences in population was non-significant at most of the occasions. A decline in predator's population in treated plots may be attributed to the fact that reduction in pest population forced predators to move out to search food. Chandel et al. (2006) NPV with plant extracts observed that admixed caused 58.0 per cent mortality of Helcoverpa armigera. Rajak and Singh (2002) found Neem powder @20kg/ha to be effective against A. *foveicollis* infesting muskmelon. Purwar and Sachan (2005) 75 per cent mortality of two insects however, B.bassiana was found to be more potent than M. anisopliae. Butani et al. (2009) also reported that B. bassiana was highly pathogenic to coriander aphid, when used in an integrated manner.

REFERENCES

Butani, P.G., Kapadia, M.N., Virani, V.R. and Jethwa, D.M. (2009). Integrated management of *Hyadophis corianderin* coriander. *Ann. Pl. Proec. Sci.*, **17**:481-482.

Chandel, S.F., Singh, P.K. and Ahmad, R. (2006). Efficacy of NPV in association with botanical for control of *Helicoverpa armigera* infecting chick pea. *Ann. Pl. Protec. Sci.*,**15**:480-481.

Prasad, S.S., Gupta, P.K. and Kanaujia, B.L. (2007). Simulation study on yield loss due to *Scirpophaga incertulas* on semi deep water rice. *Ann. Pl. Protec. Sci.*,**15**: 491-492.

Purwar, J.P. and Sachan, G.C. (2005). Biotoxicity of *Beauveria* bassiana and *Metarhizoum anasopliae* against Spodoptera and Spilanctia oblique.*Ann. Pl. Proc. Sci.*, **13** : 360-364.

Rajak, D.C. and Singh, H.M. (2002). Coparative efficacy of pesticide against red pumpkin beetle *Aulacophora foveicallis* on muskmelon. *Ann. Pl. Protec. Sci.*, **10**:147-148.

Roberts, D.W.(1981). Toxins of entomopathogenic fungi In: *Microbial control of pest and plant disease 1970-1980* (Ed.) Roberts, D.W. Academic Press London, New York ,Toronto Sydney, San Francisco, pp. 441-464

