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#### Abstract

■ ABSTRACT : A study was carried out to estimate the drought occurrences for rainfed area of Parbhani district of Maharashtra, India. Rainfall plays an important role during crop growth in rainfed agriculture system. Rainfall data of 32 years (1983-2014) have been analyzed on annual, seasonal, monthly and weekly basis to find out drought occurrences at Parbhani. The drought analysis indicated that during the study duration the drought, normal and wet years were found to be $9.37,68.75$ and 21.87 per cent, respectively. The occurrences of drought, normal and wet seasons were $7.29,73.95$ and 18.75 per cent, respectively. The percentage of drought, normal and wet months were observed to be $48.43,38.80$ and 12.76 per cent, respectively while drought, normal and wet weeks were observed with a frequency of $70.07,16.28$ and 13.64 per cent, respectively. The research revealed that 9 years showed moderate drought intensity, 9 years showed mild drought intensity while the remaining 14 years observed with no drought condition. No severe or extreme drought was observed during this study duration. The mean value, standard deviation and coefficient of variation of annual rainfall were found to be $947.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 312.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $32.96 \%$, respectively. The analysis also indicated the need of assured irrigation during late winter and summer season.
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Agriculture is one of the most important sector of India. More than 70 per cent of population of our country is engaged in agriculture sector for employment. India holds about 17.5 per cent population of the world, receives second highest position after china but it contributes only 2.7 per cent area of world. So, in such situation it is very important to increase food productivity against such rapid increasing population. In India, natural disaster like drought occurs more frequently. It hampers agricultural activities, ultimately reduces crop yield and disturbs economic, social balance of human
being.
In India, near about 80 per cent rainfall occurs because of monsoon rain but it shows erratic nature. At some region it falls more than need or above annual average and creates situation like flood, waterlogging. Similarly, in some cases it falls with very less magnitude or below the average annual precipitation results to drought like condition. Deficiency of rainfall is the basic cause of drought ( Ray et al., 2012). Hence, the rainfall is most dominating factor while designing any agricultural activities (Sonakar et al., 2016). A different rainfall event
at the same area shows temporal and spatial variation with time. The distribution of rainfall, its magnitude and frequency at any particular area are very helpful in crop planning and management. (Singh and Sharma, 2003). Hence, it indicates the importance of analysis of actual changing trend of annual rainfall against natural disaster like drought and flood. Adverse effects of droughts like socioeconomic, agricultural and environmental impacts that can be reduced by assessment as well as forecasting of drought behavior (Manikandan and Tamilmani, 2011). In the past, for Indian continent various researches on investigation of meteorological droughts conducted by various researchers (Kumar and Kumar, 1989; Ray et al., 1987; Shrivastava et al., 2008; Ray et al., 2014; Tiwari et al., 2007; Dabral, 1996; Ramdas and Malik, 1948; Marathe et al., 2001 and Dhar et al., 1979). Drought is a serious hazard against food security causes human migration and mortality. No specific or systematic method have yet been formulated for fully prediction and understanding of drought (Salas, 1986). Depending on the climatic conditions, the occurrence of drought and drought severity varies from place to place (Ray et al., 2014). In India, 18 per cent of geographical area is vulnerable to drought. Long term rainfall and drought analysis is vital for proper planning and management of cropping pattern (Singh et al., 2014). For proper planning and management of agricultural activities weekly data are more useful as compared to monthly, seasonal and annual rainfall data (Bhelawe et al., 2015). In this context, an attempt has been made to assess the meteorological drought occurrence at Parbhani

## ■ METHODOLOGY

## Location of study area:

The study area is located at $19^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ latitude and $76^{\circ} 47^{\prime}$ E longitude and situated at an altitude of 409 m above mean sea level. The climate of the study area is characterized as semi-arid and tropical. It comes under moderate to moderately high rainfall zone with an average annual rainfall of 947.5 mm . The soil of the command area is medium deep black clay. The mean maximum and minimum temperature of the study area is $44.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $21.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. The mean relative humidity ranges from 30 to 98 per cent. The daily rainfall data of 32 years (1983-2014) was collected from IMD recognized observatory located in Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani. The daily
rainfall data series were divided in to annual, seasonal, monthly and weekly rainfall data series for determination of drought severity. The whole year is divided into three different seasons of four month each namely summer (from February to May), monsoon (from June to September) and winter (from October to January). For weekly analysis, the annual rainfall data were converted into 52 standard meteorological weeks of 7 days duration. The last day of every year is counted in the $52^{\text {nd }}$ meteorological week while in case of leap year $29^{\text {th }}$ day of February is counted in the $9^{\text {th }}$ meteorological month. The data were analyzed for drought investigation on weekly, monthly, seasonal and annual basis. The average rainfall, standard deviation and co-efficient of variation were also determined.

## Categorization of rainfall:

The annual, seasonal, monthly and weekly rainfall values were determined to assess drought occurrences during each period. The annual, seasonal, monthly and weekly rainfall events were classified as drought, normal and wet on the basis of criteria suggested by Sharma et al. (1979).

A year which receives rainfall less than or equal to average annual rainfall minus standard deviation is called a drought year while a year which receives rainfall more than or equal to average annual rainfall plus standard deviation is called a wet year and a year which receives rainfall between the limits of annual rainfall corresponding to drought and wet year is called a normal year.

A season which receives rainfall less than or equal to average seasonal rainfall minus standard deviation is called a drought season while a season which receives rainfall more than or equal to average seasonal rainfall plus standard deviation is called a wet season and a season which receives rainfall between the limits of seasonal rainfall corresponding to drought and wet seasons is called a normal season.

A month which receives rainfall less than or equal to 50 per cent of average monthly rainfall is called a drought month while a month receiving rainfall more than or equal to 200 per cent of average monthly rainfall is called a wet month and a month receiving rainfall between 50 per cent and 200 per cent of average monthly rainfall is called a normal month.

A week which receives rainfall less than or equal to half of the average weekly rainfall is called a dry week
while a week which receives rainfall twice the average weekly rainfall is called a wet week and a week which receives rainfall between the limits of weekly rainfall corresponding to dry and wet week is called a normal week.

## Intensity of drought:

The yearly intensity of drought was determined by using the criteria suggested by IMD (1971) which is based on the percentage deviation of rainfall from its long term average and it is given by (Eq.1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Di}=\frac{(\mathrm{Pi}-)}{} \times 100 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
Di is the percentage deviation from the long-term average,

Pi is the annual rainfall, mm and
$\mu$ is the long term average of the annual rainfall, mm

Drought codification based on percentage deviation of rainfall from normal is presented in Table 1. The percentage of deviation $(\mathrm{Di})$ is used to categorize the drought.

| Table A : Codification of drought based on percentage deviation of <br> rainfall from normal value (IMD, 1971) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Percentage deviation of <br> rainfall from normal | Intensity of drought | Code |
| 0.0 or above | No drought | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ |
| 0.0 to -25.0 | Mild drought | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ |
| -25.0 to -50.0 | Moderate drought | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ |
| -50.0 to -75.0 | Severe drought | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ |
| -75.0 or less | Extreme drought | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ |

## Results and Discussion

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads :

## Rainfall analysis :

The results based on objectives of study are described below:

## Distribution of annual rainfall:

The 32 years (1983-2014) average annual rainfall is presented in Table 2. The whole rainfall data indicate that the maximum annual rainfall was received during the year $1990(1711 \mathrm{~mm})$ while the minimum rainfall was received during the year 2014 ( 569.7 mm ). The mean value, standard deviation and co-efficient of variation of annual rainfall were found to be $947.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 312.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $32.96 \%$, respectively. Therefore, the year which receives rainfall less or equal to average annual rainfall plus standard deviation having magnitude equal to 1260 mm will be considered as wet years and the year which receives rainfall equal to or less than average annual rainfall minus standard deviation having magnitude equal to 635.2 mm considered as wet year and in between the range of 635.2 mm and 1260 mm will be normal year which is shown in Table 1. In the drought analysis of 32 years, 3 years namely 1986, 2004 and 2014 were found to be drought years and 7 years namely 1983, 1988, 1989, $1990,1998,2005$ and 2010 were found to be wet years while the remaining 22 years were found to be normal years. Hence, it reveals that during the period of 1988 to 1990, wet years observed continuously indicating the need flood protection structures. From this study, the worst drought was observed in the year 2014 with an annual rainfall deviation of 39.83 per cent below its mean value while the wettest year was observed as 1990 which showed rainfall magnitude of 80.58 per cent above the mean annual rainfall. After analysis of 32 year data, the drought, normal and wet years were found to be 9.37, 68.75 and 21.87 per cent, respectively.

The yearly drought intensity is determined by using the recommendation given by IMD. Out of 32 year of study duration, 9 years showed moderate intensity, 9 years showed mild drought while the remaining 14 years showed no drought intensity. No severe or extreme drought was found during 32 years study duration as shown in Table 2.

## Distribution of seasonal rainfall:

The average rainfall values, number of drought, normal and wet seasons and corresponding rainfall values

Table 1 : Analysis of annual rainfall for drought at Parbhani of Maharashtra

| Average <br> rainfall <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Rainfall value (mm) |  |  |  | Total number of years |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Drought <br> (less than) | Normal <br> (in between) | Wet <br> (more than) | Drought | Normal |
| 947.46 | 635.2 | $635.2-1260$ | 1260 | 03 | 0 |


| Year | Annual rainfall, (mm) | Mean rainfall, (mm) | \% deviation from mean | Category | Intensity of drought |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 1451.7 | 947.4625 | 53.21978 | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | No drought |
| 1984 | 660.4 | 947.4625 | -30.298 | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Moderate drought |
| 1985 | 684.7 | 947.4625 | -27.7333 | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Moderate drought |
| 1986 | 641.8 | 947.4625 | -32.2612 | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Moderate drought |
| 1987 | 819 | 947.4625 | -13.5586 | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | Mild drought |
| 1988 | 1564.9 | 947.4625 | 65.16749 | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | No drought |
| 1989 | 1344.4 | 947.4625 | 41.8948 | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | No drought |
| 1990 | 1711 | 947.4625 | 80.58762 | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | No drought |
| 1991 | 742.6 | 947.4625 | -21.6222 | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | Mild drought |
| 1992 | 822.7 | 947.4625 | -13.1681 | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | Mild drought |
| 1993 | 792.7 | 947.4625 | -16.3344 | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | Mild drought |
| 1994 | 790.3 | 947.4625 | -16.5877 | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | Mild drought |
| 1995 | 848.7 | 947.4625 | -10.4239 | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | Mild drought |
| 1996 | 995.9 | 947.4625 | 5.11234 | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | No drought |
| 1997 | 970.3 | 947.4625 | 2.410386 | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | No drought |
| 1998 | 1463 | 947.4625 | 54.41244 | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | No drought |
| 1999 | 952.8 | 947.4625 | 0.563347 | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | No drought |
| 2000 | 954.4 | 947.4625 | 0.732219 | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | No drought |
| 2001 | 1121.7 | 947.4625 | 18.38991 | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | No drought |
| 2002 | 864.6 | 947.4625 | -8.74573 | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | Mild drought |
| 2003 | 767.4 | 947.4625 | -19.0047 | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | Mild drought |
| 2004 | 575.2 | 947.4625 | -39.2905 | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Moderate drought |
| 2005 | 1408.3 | 947.4625 | 48.63913 | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | No drought |
| 2006 | 994.6 | 947.4625 | 4.975131 | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | No drought |
| 2007 | 853.8 | 947.4625 | -9.88562 | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | Mild drought |
| 2008 | 648.1 | 947.4625 | -31.5962 | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Moderate drought |
| 2009 | 672.9 | 947.4625 | -28.9787 | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Moderate drought |
| 2010 | 1295.2 | 947.4625 | 36.70198 | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | No drought |
| 2011 | 677.5 | 947.4625 | -28.4932 | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Moderate drought |
| 2012 | 688.2 | 947.4625 | -27.3639 | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Moderate drought |
| 2013 | 970.3 | 947.4625 | 2.410386 | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | No drought |
| 2014 | 569.7 | 947.4625 | -39.871 | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Moderate drought |

of different seasons are shown in Table 3. The analysis indicated that during the summer, monsoon and winter season the average seasonal rainfall observed to be 41.21, 777.08 and 129.18 mm , respectively. For the summer season, out of 32 seasons, 6 seasons, 1 season and 25 seasons were found to be wet, drought and normal. The drought summer season was observed in year 1983. This information shows need of surplus irrigation during summer season for crop growing. During the analysis of monsoon season 3, 7 and 22 seasons were found to be drought, wet and normal, respectively. The excess water of monsoon season can be stored and utilized in
successive winter season. Sometimes the drainage facilities are needful to prevent water logging. The analysis showed that during winter season, there were 3,5 and 24 drought, wet and normal seasons, respectively. Hence, the analysis reveals the strongest need of supplemental irrigation as well as drainage and flood protection work

## Distribution of monthly rainfall:

The average values of monthly rainfall and corresponding values of drought, normal and wet month rainfall are presented in Table 4. Analysis of monthly
rainfall data indicated that minimum average rainfall of 5.56 mm was observed during February month and maximum average rainfall of 232.33 mm was observed during August month. The analysis showed that July month received the highest monthly rainfall of 844.90 mm during the year 2005 while many months of especially summer season were found with no rainfall. As presented in Table 4, the December month has highest drought frequency, receives 24 drought months out of 32 months followed by March, February, January and May. The minimum numbers of drought months were observed during the month of June, receiving 5 drought months out of 32 months. June month received the highest number of normal rainfall of 24 times out of 32 followed by July, September. During the study period of 32 years, the January received highest number of wet months, 7 out 32 followed by February, March, October and November while September received minimum number of wet years, 2 out of 32 . During the study duration, the frequency of drought, normal and wet months were found to be $48.43,38.80$ and $12.76 \%$, respectively. The study revealed that occurrence of drought becomes more apparent when analyzing the monthly rainfall data as
compared to long-term seasonal or annual rainfall data. Also the research indicated that soil moisture conservation, rainwater harvesting practices should be adopted to conserve excess water of monsoon season and utilize it for winter and summer seasonal crops.

## Distribution of weekly rainfall:

The average values of weekly rainfall and corresponding values of drought, normal and wet weekly rainfall ranges are presented in Table 5. The weekly rainfall distribution shows a better understanding about severity of drought and practices to be followed for short term planning and management of cropping pattern. The total numbers of dry, normal and wet weeks corresponding to different meteorological weeks considering 32 years data are presented in Table 5. The analysis of average weekly rainfall indicated that the maximum average weekly rainfall was observed during $32^{\text {nd }}$ week having magnitude of 60.08 mm followed by $34^{\text {th }}, 35^{\text {th }}, 31^{\text {st }}$ meteorological week while the lowest rainfall was received in $51^{\text {st }}$ meteorological week with average weekly value of 0.04 mm . The weekly analysis indicated that $51^{\text {st }}$ standard meteorological week showed

Table 3: Analysis of seasonal rainfall for drought at Parbhani of Maharashtra

| Season | Average rainfall (mm) | Rainfall value (mm) |  |  | Total number of seasons |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Drought (less than) | Normal (in between) | Wet (more than) | Drought | Normal | wet |
| Summer | 41.21 | 4.37 | 4.37-78.05 | 78.05 | 01 | 25 | 06 |
| Monsoon | 777.08 | 486.06 | 486.06-1068 | 1068.0 | 03 | 22 | 07 |
| Winter | 129.18 | 17.9 | 17.9-240.5 | 240.5 | 03 | 24 | 05 |

Table 4 : Analysis of monthly rainfall for drought at Parbhani of Maharashtra

| Month | Average rainfall (mm) | Values of rainfall (mm) |  |  | Total numbers of month |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Drought month (less than) | Normal month (in between) | Wet month (more than) | Drought month | Normal month | Wet month |
| January | 8.16 | 4.08 | 4.08-16.32 | 16.32 | 20 | 05 | 07 |
| February | 5.56 | 2.78 | 2.78-11.12 | 11.12 | 22 | 05 | 05 |
| March | 11.10 | 5.55 | 5.55-22.2 | 22.2 | 23 | 04 | 05 |
| April | 6.77 | 3.38 | 3.38-13.54 | 13.54 | 17 | 11 | 04 |
| May | 17.78 | 8.89 | 8.89-35.56 | 35.56 | 20 | 09 | 03 |
| June | 152.26 | 76.13 | 76.13-304.5 | 304.5 | 05 | 24 | 03 |
| July | 223.93 | 111.97 | 111.9-447.8 | 447.86 | 06 | 23 | 03 |
| August | 232.33 | 116.17 | 116.17-464.7 | 464.7 | 10 | 19 | 03 |
| September | 168.57 | 84.28 | 84.28-337.14 | 337.14 | 07 | 23 | 02 |
| October | 86.07 | 43.03 | 43.03-172.14 | 172.14 | 14 | 13 | 05 |
| November | 25.55 | 12.77 | 12.77-51.10 | 51.10 | 18 | 09 | 05 |
| December | 9.41 | 4.70 | 4.70-18.82 | 18.82 | 24 | 04 | 04 |
|  |  |  |  | Total | 186 | 149 | 49 |
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Table 5 : Analysis of weakly rainfall for drought at Parbhani of Maharashtra

| Meteorological week | Average rainfall (mm) | Rainfall value (mm) |  |  | Total number of weeks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Drought (less than) | Normal (in between) | Wet (more than) | Drought | Normal | Wet |
| 1 | 1.48 | 0.74 | 0.74-2.97 | 2.97 | 28 | 01 | 03 |
| 2 | 4.17 | 2.09 | 2.09-8.34 | 8.34 | 28 | 00 | 04 |
| 3 | 1.16 | 0.58 | 0.58-2.33 | 2.33 | 28 | 01 | 03 |
| 4 | 1.06 | 0.53 | 0.53-2.13 | 2.13 | 30 | 00 | 02 |
| 5 | 0.55 | 0.27 | 0.27-1.09 | 1.09 | 30 | 00 | 02 |
| 6 | 2.45 | 1.23 | 1.23-4.91 | 4.91 | 26 | 01 | 05 |
| 7 | 1.16 | 0.58 | 0.58-2.31 | 2.31 | 30 | 01 | 01 |
| 8 | 0.95 | 0.48 | 0.48-1.90 | 1.90 | 28 | 00 | 04 |
| 9 | 1.34 | 0.67 | 0.67-2.68 | 2.68 | 28 | 02 | 02 |
| 10 | 6.09 | 3.04 | 3.04-12.18 | 12.18 | 26 | 02 | 04 |
| 11 | 2.53 | 1.27 | 1.27-5.06 | 5.06 | 30 | 00 | 02 |
| 12 | 0.73 | 0.36 | 0.36-1.46 | 1.46 | 26 | 01 | 05 |
| 13 | 1.44 | 0.72 | 0.72-2.88 | 2.88 | 27 | 00 | 05 |
| 14 | 1.19 | 0.60 | 0.60-2.68 | 2.68 | 25 | 04 | 03 |
| 15 | 2.5 | 1.25 | 1.25-5.01 | 5.01 | 26 | 02 | 04 |
| 16 | 1.05 | 0.53 | 0.53-2.11 | 2.11 | 25 | 01 | 06 |
| 17 | 0.98 | 0.49 | 0.49-1.96 | 1.96 | 22 | 02 | 08 |
| 18 | 2.11 | 1.06 | 1.06-4.22 | 4.22 | 24 | 02 | 06 |
| 19 | 1.11 | 0.55 | 0.55-2.22 | 2.22 | 23 | 04 | 05 |
| 20 | 4.9 | 2.45 | 2.45-9.81 | 9.81 | 24 | 03 | 05 |
| 21 | 6.66 | 3.33 | 3.33-13.32 | 13.32 | 22 | 05 | 05 |
| 22 | 8.53 | 4.27 | 4.27-17.07 | 17.07 | 22 | 07 | 03 |
| 23 | 28.65 | 14.33 | 14.33-57.30 | 57.30 | 18 | 10 | 04 |
| 24 | 41.98 | 20.99 | 20.99-83.95 | 83.95 | 11 | 16 | 05 |
| 25 | 43.03 | 21.52 | 21.52-86.06 | 86.06 | 12 | 17 | 03 |
| 26 | 40.31 | 20.15 | 20.15-80.60 | 80.60 | 17 | 11 | 04 |
| 27 | 37.83 | 18.91 | 18.91-75.66 | 75.66 | 15 | 14 | 03 |
| 28 | 21.07 | 21.07 | 21.07-84.29 | 84.29 | 15 | 13 | 04 |
| 29 | 43.45 | 21.73 | 21.73-86.91 | 86.91 | 15 | 11 | 06 |
| 30 | 37.64 | 37.64 | 37.64-150.54 | 150.54 | 16 | 13 | 03 |
| 31 | 50.0 | 25.01 | 25.01-100.04 | 100.04 | 16 | 11 | 05 |
| 32 | 60.08 | 30.04 | 30.04-120.16 | 120.16 | 21 | 03 | 08 |
| 33 | 43.58 | 21.79 | 21.79-87.17 | 87.17 | 15 | 11 | 06 |
| 34 | 59.76 | 29.88 | 29.88-119.51 | 119.51 | 13 | 15 | 04 |
| 35 | 56.07 | 28.03 | 28.03-112.13 | 112.13 | 13 | 13 | 06 |
| 36 | 46.84 | 23.42 | 23.42-93.68 | 93.68 | 14 | 10 | 08 |
| 37 | 31.04 | 15.52 | 15.52-62.08 | 62.08 | 17 | 09 | 06 |
| 38 | 41.68 | 20.84 | 20.84-83.37 | 83.37 | 13 | 14 | 05 |
| 39 | 30.58 | 15.29 | 15.29-61.15 | 61.15 | 18 | 09 | 05 |
| 40 | 33.60 | 16.80 | 16.80-67.19 | 67.19 | 20 | 06 | 06 |
| 41 | 22.17 | 11.08 | 11.08-44.33 | 44.33 | 21 | 05 | 06 |
| 42 | 17.17 | 8.58 | 8.58-34.33 | 34.33 | 22 | 06 | 04 |
| 43 | 11.29 | 5.65 | 5.65-22.58 | 22.58 | 25 | 02 | 05 |
| 44 | 3.91 | 1.95 | 1.95-7.82 | 7.82 | 25 | 03 | 04 |
| 45 | 5.28 | 2.64 | 2.64-10.56 | 10.56 | 24 | 03 | 05 |
| 46 | 4.27 | 2.13 | 2.13-8.54 | 8.54 | 24 | 02 | 06 |
| 47 | 7.68 | 3.84 | 3.84-15.37 | 15.37 | 24 | 02 | 06 |
| 48 | 7.03 | 3.51 | 3.51-14.05 | 14.05 | 29 | 01 | 02 |
| 49 | 4.07 | 2.04 | 2.04-8.14 | 8.14 | 29 | 00 | 03 |
| 50 | 2.05 | 1.02 | 1.02-4.09 | 4.09 | 28 | 01 | 03 |
| 51 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02-0.08 | 0.08 | 31 | 00 | 01 |
| 52 | 2.48 | 1.24 | 1.24-4.96 | 4.96 | 27 | 01 | 04 |
|  |  | Total |  |  | 1166 | 271 | 227 |


| Month/Season/ Year | Mean (mm) | Standard deviation(mm) | Co-efficient of variation (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 8.16 | 13.35 | 164 |
| February | 5.56 | 11.42 | 205.4 |
| March | 11.10 | 21.23 | 191.3 |
| April | 6.77 | 8.17 | 120.7 |
| May | 17.78 | 30.70 | 172.7 |
| June | 152.26 | 94.56 | 62.10 |
| July | 222.93 | 162.74 | 73.00 |
| August | 232.33 | 146 | 62.84 |
| September | 168.6 | 108.2 | 64.17 |
| October | 86.07 | 79.73 | 92.63 |
| November | 25.55 | 40.62 | 159 |
| December | 9.41 | 21.51 | 228.6 |
| Season |  |  |  |
| Summer | 41.21 | 36.84 | 89.39 |
| Monsoon | 777.08 | 291.02 | 37.45 |
| Winter | 129.2 | 111.3 | 86.14 |
| Annual | 947.5 | 312.3 | 32.96 |

maximum number of drought weeks with frequency of 31 out of 32 followed by $4^{\text {th }}, 5^{\text {th }}, 7^{\text {th }}$ and $11^{\text {th }}$ standard meteorological weeks. The minimum number of drought weeks were observed during $24^{\text {th }}$ standard meteorological weeks receives 11 drought weeks out of 32 meteorological weeks. The analysis reveals that the frequency of drought, normal and wet week was found to be $70.07,16.28$ and 13.64 per cent, respectively. The analysis indicated that the maximum frequency of drought weeks was observed in winter and summer season as compared to monsoon season. Hence it indicates the need of supplemental irrigation during this water deficit periods.

## Statistical analysis :

After analysing 32 years rainfall data, the monthly, seasonal, annual values of standard deviation and coefficient of variation are presented in Table 6. The annual standard deviation and co-efficient of variation were found to be 312.3 mm and 32.96 per cent, respectively. Monthly standard deviation varies from 8.17 mm to 162.74 mm . Co-efficient of variation was found to be above 100 per cent for November, December and January months of winter season and and all months of summer season. Maximum value of standard deviation was observed during monsoon season indicating weather instability. The
minimum standard deviation of 36.84 mm was observed during April month indicates better weather stability.

## Conclusion :

The drought analysis on annual basis indicated that the drought, normal and wet years were found to be $9.37,68.75$ and 21.87 per cent, respectively. Analysis of seasonal rainfall indicated that the occurrence of drought, normal and wet seasons were $7.29,73.95$ and 18.75 per cent, respectively. The percentage of drought, normal and wet months were observed to be 48.43, 38.80 and 12.76 per cent, respectively. Drought analysis on weekly basis showed the occurrence of drought, normal and wet weeks with a frequency of $70.07,16.28$ and 13.64 per cent, respectively. Hence, the analysis indicates that short term weekly or monthly rainfall analysis shows more appearance of drought. After analyzing 32 years rainfall data, the research indicate the need of assured irrigation during winter and summer season as the number of drought weeks are more. Soil water conservation practices, rainwater harvesting practices must be adopted in order to store monsoon seasonal water and utilize it for winter and summer season during deficit period. The analysis indicated that out of 32 years of study duration, 9 years showed moderate drought intensity, 9 years showed mild drought intensity while the remaining 14 years showed no drought intensity. No severe or extreme drought was observed during this study duration.
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