

_____Agriculture Update____ Volume 10 | Issue 4 | November, 2015 | 307-311

Visit us : www.researchjournal.co.ir



RESEARCH ARTICLE: Constraints faced by the respondents in adoption of sugarcane production technologies

A.V. KHANDRE, J.V. EKALE AND R.D. AHIRE

ARTICLE CHRONICLE : Received : 20.10.2015; Revised : 25.09.2015; Accepted : 11.10.2015 **SUMMARY :** The present study was conducted with specific objectives to study knowledge and adoption of sugarcane production technology. For this study Latur district was selected and from Latur district two talukas *viz.*, Chakur and Udgir were selected. From these two talukas twelve villages were selected randomly and ten respondents from each village were selected, *i.e.* 120 respondents from 12 villages constituted the sample for the study. Ex-Post Facto research design was used for the research study. The major constraints faced by respondents in adoption of sugarcane production technologies were lack of knowledge about the identification of insect and pest, lack of availability water and lack of knowledge about scientific plant protection measures. The majority of the respondents suggested that information should be provided about identification of insect and pests of sugarcane crop and their proper control measures, permanent water resources should be available *i.e.* farm pond and to provide the information about sett treatment.

How to cite this article : Khandre, A.V., Ekale, J.V. and Ahire, R.D. (2015). Constraints faced by the respondents in adoption of sugarcane production technologies. *Agric. Update*, **10**(4): 307-311.

Suggetions, Sugarcane crop, Sugarcane production technology, Sugarcane growers

Author for correspondence :

A.V. KHANDRE

KEY WORDS:

Constraints.

Department of Extension Education, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, PARBHANI (M.S.) INDIA Email: khandreav@ gmail.com See end of the article for authors' affiliations **B**ACKGROUND AND **O**BJECTIVES

The world demand for sugar is the primary driver from sugarcane agriculture. Cane accounts for 80 per cent of sugar produced. Sugarcane is a renewable, natural agricultural resource because it provides sugar, biofuel, fibre and fertilizer. Sugarcane juice is used for making white sugar, brown sugar (Khandsari), jaggery (Gur) and ethanol.

India is the 2nd largest producer of sugar after Brazil. Sugar industry is the second largest industry in the country after cotton textiles and contributes around 6.00 per cent of the agricultural GDP. Indian sugar industry contributes substantially to the rural economy as the sugar mills are located in rural areas and employ rural folk to a large extent. Sugar plays important role in daily diet and it has nutritional importance. To supply the sugar to increasing population of India, it is essential to increase the production per unit area of sugarcane.

The area under this crop is low with low productivity. This might be the wide gap in between the knowledge already possessed by the respondents and their application in the field. It creates the wide scope for increasing sugarcane production per unit area. However, a majority of sugarcane growers do not have the knowledge and adoption of the recommended production technology to the fullest extent. It indicates that sugarcane growers might be facing certain problem in adopting recommended production technologies of the crop. So present study was undertaken to see the constraints in adoption of recommended technologies with the following objective to find out the constraints faced by growers in use of recommended production technology and to know the suggestions to overcome the constraints.

Resources and Methods

The present study was conducted in two tahsils of Latur district *viz.*, Chakur and Udgir. Six villages were selected from each randomly selected tahsil. The data were collected from 10 respondents from each of randomly selected village *i.e.* 120 respondents from 12 villages constituted the sample for the study.

The respondents were personally interviewed with interview schedule. The data were tabulated and analyzed by using statistical tools like frequency and percentage.

OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

The findings of the present study as well as relevant discussion have been presented under following heads.

Constraints faced by respondents in adoption of sugarcane production technologies :

The objectives of the study were to identify the constraints in the adoption of about sugarcane production technologies. The various constraints faced by the sugarcane growers in adoption of about sugarcane production technologies are given in Table 1.

It was noticed from Table 1 that, the constraints like lack of knowledge about identification of insect and pest was the main constraint in the adoption of sugarcane production technologies which was reported by 93.33 per cent respondents, another major problem was lack of availability of water and lack of knowledge about scientific plant protection reported by 91.67 and 90.00 per cent respondents, respectively.

Whereas, other major constraints were the lack of knowledge about sett treatment (85.83 %), FYM/ compost preparation is difficult due to the less number of animal reported by (81.67 %), lack of knowledge about scientific method of FYM / compost preparation (75.83 %), lack of labour supply (69.17 %), lack of knowledge

about proper weed control (68.33 %), buying of FYM / compost are more expensive and lack of knowledge about proper method of fertigation (66.67 %), unavailability proper prices in market (65.00 %), lack of knowledge about recommended varieties (63.33%), high cost of soluble fertilizers (62.50 %), lack of technical knowledge and inadequate supply of electricity (61.67 %), unavailability of sources and labour in proper time. (60.83 %), lack of knowledge about the proper NPK doses and good quality FYM is not available in market (60.00 %) of the respondents.

It was also observed that the constraints faced by respondents were transportation costs are high (59.17 %), decreasing soil fertility due to the use of chemical fertilizers (57.50 %). The constraints like cost of sugarcane setts are high, use of chemicals are hazardous to health, lack of knowledge about proper weedicide and lack of training about management of drip irrigation faced 56.67 per cent of the respondents, respectively. The other constraints reported by the respondents were lack of knowledge about importance of sett treatment (54.17%), lack of knowledge about drip irrigation (52.50 %), lack of knowledge about proper method of irrigation (50.83 %), lack of knowledge about proper time of application of weedicide (49.17 %), high cost of preparatory tillage (46.67%), lack of knowledge about intercultural operation (43.33%), lack of knowledge about proper time for weed control and important plant protection chemicals are not affordable (42.50 %), non-availability of plant protection chemicals in proper time (37.50%), high cost of chemical fertilizers and lack of knowledge about scientifically application of weedicide (35.83 %), non-availability of recommended sugarcane setts at proper time and repairing of drip irrigation is expensive (35.00 %), lack of knowledge about proper time and method of weedicide application (34.17%), intercultural operations are more expensive (32.50%) and high cost of drip irrigation sets (30.00 %) was faced by the respondents.

The less number of respondents reported the constraints like lack of knowledge about scientific method of planting (27.50 %), unavailability chemicals required for sett treatment and lack of knowledge about benefits of intercultural operations (26.67 %), lack of knowledge about proper planting time (25.83 %), unavailability fertilizers at proper time (25.00 %), lack of knowledge about land requirement (20.83 %), unavailability of proper subsidy on drip irrigation (19.17 %), non-availability of

CONSTRAINTS FACED BY THE RESPONDENTS IN ADOPTION OF SUGARCANE PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGIES

68.33

42.50

35.83

49.17

43.33

26.67

15.00

32.50 56.67 34.17

91.67 50.83

52.50 56.67

30.00 35.00 19.17

66.67

90.00

42.50

37.50

93.33

61.67 61.67 65.00 59.17 53.33

69.17

Table 1 : Constraints faced by the respondents in adoption of sugarcane production technologies (n=120)			Contd Table 1 Weed management	
Land and Preparatory tillage			control	
Lack of knowledge about soil requirement.	25	20.83	Lack of knowledge about proper time for	51
Unavailability of implements for	05	04.17	weed control	
preparatory tillage operation			Lack of knowledge about scientific	43
Non availability of bullocks pair	10	08.33	application of weedicide	
High cost of preparatory tillage.	56	46.67	Lack of knowledge about proper time of	59
Unavailability of sources and labour in	73	60.83	application of weedicide	
proper time.			Intercultural operation	50
Selection of variety and planting			Lack of knowledge about intercultural	52
Lack of knowledge about recommended	76	63.33	operations	20
varieties			Lack of knowledge about benefits of	52
Non availability of recommended	42	35.00	Non availability of implements required for	18
sugarcane setts at proper time			intercultural operations	18
Cost of sugarcane setts are high	68	56.67	Intercultural operations are more expensive	30
Lack of knowledge about proper planting	31	25.83	Lack of knowledge about proper weedicide	68
time			Lack of knowledge about proper time and	41
Lack of knowledge about scientific method	33	27.50	method of weedicide application	11
of planting	00	27100	Irrigation management	
Unavailability of implements required for	10	08 33	Lack of availability water	110
planting	10	00.55	Lack of knowledge about proper method of	61
Sott treatment			irrigation	
Leek of knowledge shout sett treatment	102	05 02	Lack of knowledge about drip irrigation	63
Lack of knowledge about set treatment	105	63.65	Lack of training about management of drip	68
Lack of knowledge about importance of	05	54.17	irrigation	
sett treatment	22	26.67	High cost of drip irrigation sets	36
Unavailability chemicals required for sett	32	26.67	Repairing of drip irrigation is expensive	42
treatment	- 0		Unavailability of proper subsidy on drip	23
Use of chemicals are hazardous to health	68	56.67	irrigation	
Use of fertilizer			Lack of knowledge about proper method of	80
Lack of knowledge about the proper NPK	72	60.00	fertigation	
does.			Plant protection	
Unavailability fertilizers at proper time	30	25.00	Lack of knowledge about scientific plant	108
High cost of chemical fertilizers	43	35.83	protection	
Decreasing soil fertility due to the use of	69	57.50	Important plant protection chemicals are	51
chemical fertilizers			not affordable	4.5
High cost of soluble fertilizers	75	62.50	Non-availability of plant protection	45
Application of FYM			chemicals in proper time	112
Lack of knowledge about scientific method	91	75.83	Lack of knowledge about identification of	112
of FYM / Compost preparation			Other	
FYM / Compost preparation are difficult	98	81.67	Lack of technical knowledge	74
due to the less number of animal			Inadequate supply of electricity	74 74
Good quality FYM is not available in	72	60.00	Unavailability proper prices in market	78
market			Transportation cost is high	71
Buying of FYM / Compost is more	80	66.67	Lack of proper guidance from related	64
expensive			extension department	
	Ta	ble 1 contd	Lack of labour supply	83

309 Agric. Update, **10**(4) Nov., 2015 : 307-311 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

A.V. KHANDRE, J.V. EKALE AND R.D. AHIRE

	(n=120)
Frequency	Percentage
89	74.17
86	71.67
78	65.00
77	64.17
71	59.17
64	53.33
52	43.33
31	25.83
24	20.00
21	17.50
19	15.83
18	15.00
11	09.10
	Frequency 89 86 78 77 71 64 52 31 24 21 19 18 11

implements required for intercultural operations (15.00 %), non-availability of bullocks pair and unavailability of implements required for planting (08.33 %), unavailability of implements for preparatory tillage operation (4.17 %).

Suggestions given by the respondents to overcome the constraints faced by them in the adoption of sugarcane production technologies :

It is observed from Table 2 that majority of the respondents suggested to provide the information about identification of insect and pests of sugarcane crop and their proper control measures (74.17 %), permanent water resources should be available *i.e.* farm pond (71.67 %), to provide the information about sett treatment (65.00 %) and provide the information about sett treatment (65.00 %) and provide the information about scientifically preparation of FYM/compost (64.17 %) whereas, 59.17 per cent and 53.33 per cent of the respondents suggested to provide the information about proper weed control and timely supply of electricity should be available, respectively.

It is further reported that, 43.33 per cent of the respondents suggested fair and remunerative prices (FRP) should be affordable. While, 25.83 per cent of the respondents suggested to develop the implements / technologies which help to minimizes labour requirement.

It is also shown in Table 2 that 20.00 per cent of the respondents suggested that provide the information about scientific method of application of liquid/soluble fertilizers, 17.50 per cent of the respondents suggested to provide the information about drip irrigation, 15.83 per cent of

the respondents suggested to provide the information about hazardous effect of chemicals. Similar results were also reported by Pandey *et al.* (2014) on rice, Karade *et al.* (2014) on potato growers, Chodavadia *et al.* (2013) on groundnut and pigeonpea, Shennewad and Shelke (2013) on papaya, Chourad *et al.* (2014) on rice food and Dhurwey *et al.* (2015).

While, the very less number of the respondents suggested to provide the information about new innovations or technologies in proper time from extension department (15.00 %) and to provide the information about importance of intercultural operations (09.10 %). Mane (2001); Kadam (2003); Deshmukh (2006); Kadam *et al.* (2010), Mane (2012) and Shinde (2014) also reported similar results.

Conclusion:

It is concluded that major constraints faced by respondents were lack of knowledge about identification of insect and pests, lack of availability of water, lack of knowledge about scientific plant protection (90.00%), lack of knowledge about sett treatment (85.83%), FYM / compost preparation are difficult due to the less number of animal (81.67%) were the main constraints.

Some of the suggestions obtained from the majority of the respondents were to provide the information about identification of insect and pests of sugarcane crop (74.17%) and their proper control measures, permanent water resources should be available *i.e.* farm pond (71.67%), to provide the information about sett treatment

(65.00%), provide the information about scientifically preparation of FYM / compost (64.17%), provide the information about proper weed control (59.17%) and timely supply of electricity (53.33%) should be available were the major suggestions.

Authors' affiliations :

J.V. EKALE AND R.D. AHIRE, Department of Extension Education, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, PARBHANI (M.S.) INDIA

REFERENCES

Chodavadia, H.C., Bariya, M.K. and Deshmukh, G.P. (2013). Constraints and suggestions in adopting groundnut pigeonpea relay cropping system in saurashtra region of Gujarat. *Agric. Update*, **8**(4): 569-572.

Chourad, Raghavendra, Kiresur, V.R. and Kondaguri, Raghavendra (2014). Constraints to consuming nutrient rich food in Koppal district of Karnataka. *Internat. Res. J. Agric. Eco. & Stat.*, **5**(2): 245-248.

Deshmukh, M.P. (2006). Knowledge and adoption of pigeonpea variety BSMR 736 by the farmers in Jalna district. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani, M.S. (INDIA).

Dhurwey, Chandresh Kumar, Choudhry, V.K., Banty, Ropan and Shrey, Ravi (2015). Constraints perceived by farmers in production and marketing of major cole vegetable crops in Bemetara district of Chhattisgarh state. *Internat. Res. J. Agric. Eco. & Stat.*, **6**(1): 193-196.

Kadam, R.P. (2003). Astudy on the technological gap in soybean production technology. Ph.D. Thesis. Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani, M.S. (INDIA).

Kadam, S.S., Thombare, B.M. and Mande, J.V. (2010). Technological gap in sugarcane production technology. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.*, **35** (3): 424-427.

Karade, Priya, Agrawal, S.K., Singh, S.R.K. and Chouhan, Sandeep (2014). Constraints in adoption of integrated pest management practices by the potato growers. *Agric. Update*, **9**(1): 149-150.

Mane, S.S. (2001). A study on the problems confronted in greengram cultivation in Parbhani district. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani, M.S. (INDIA).

Mane, S.S. (2012). Knowledge and adoption of recommended production technology of green gram. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, M.S. (INDIA).

Pandey, Rakesh, Chaudhary, R.P., Chaturvedi, A.K. and Prasad, R. (2014). Knowledge level and production constraints of rice in Eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Agric. Update*, **9**(1): 106-110.

Shinde, P.B. (2014). Knowledge and adoption recommended seed production technology by the soybean growers. M.Sc.(Ag). Thesis. Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani, M.S. (INDIA).

Shennewad, B.A. and Shelke, R.D. (2013). Constraints and suggestions of papaya growers in Marathwada region of Maharashtra state, *Internat. Res. J. agric. Eco. & Stat.*, **4** (1) : 30-32.

