

Research Article:

Factors affecting the information seeking behaviour of the ber growers

B.L. DHAYAL, B.C. BOCHALYA* AND H.M. BHUVA

Agriculture Update

Volume 10 | Issue 1 | February, 2015 | 48-51 |

ARTICLE CHRONICLE: Received : 16.12.2014; Revised : 07.01.2015; Accepted : 21.01.2015 **SUMMARY :** The present study was under taken in ber growing area of Rajasthan to know the information behaviour of ber growers. For the study 100 ber growers were selected by using random sampling method. Statistical analysis was done to inferences the result. it was observed that majority of ber growers were having medium level of information seeking behaviour. The association between the information seeking behaviour of ber growers and the selected independent variables *viz.*, age, education level, social participation, size of land holding, farm power, family size, farming experience, innovation proneness and annual income of the ber growers education level, social participation, size of land holding, farm power, innovation proneness and annual income of the ber growers education level, social participation, size of land holding, farm power, innovation proneness and annual income were found positively and significantly correlated with their information seeking behaviour at 0.01 level of probability, while the age of the ber growers was found negatively and significantly correlated with their information seeking behaviour at 0.05 level of probability.

How to cite this article : Dhayal, B.L., Bochalya, B.C. and Bhuva, H.M. (2015). Factors affecting the information seeking behaviour of the ber growers. *Agric. Update*, **10**(1): 48-51.

KEY WORDS:

Information sources, Ber growers, Variables

Author for correspondence :

B.C. BOCHALYA

Krishi Vigyan Kendra, (J.A.U.) Nana-Kandhasar, Chotila, SURENDRANAGAR (GUJARAT) INDIA Email: jat_bcb@yahoo.com

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

BACKGROUND AND **O**BJECTIVES

The behaviour of farmer play an important role in acceptance of new technology from any source or channel. Today is the era of information explosion. Innumerable information is generated, synthesized and disseminated every moment. Information technology has revolutionized the transfer of information through new ways, *i.e.* internet, e-mail etc. Information from any part of the world could be made available through information technology thereby changing the world into global village. Therefore, the farmers should also be equally privileged to get informed of farm related informations without delay.

Farmers seek information from training, conferences, exhibitions, campaigns, bulletins, seminar radio, television, newspaper, friends, neighbours, internet, research-stations, village extension workers etc. for the promotion of agricultural production. It is also said that these are important means to fill the communication gap between the lab and the farm. These have brought the farmers and scientist closer to understand the suitability of technology in line with farmer's perspectives. Keeping all these points in mind the present study factors affecting the information seeking behaviour of the ber growers of Chaumu tehsil of Jaipur district in Rajasthan was undertaken.

RESOURCES AND METHODS

The present study was under taken in Jaipur district of Rajasthan. Jaipur district has 13 tehsils, out of which Chomu tehsil was selected purposely due to having highest area and production of ber as compared to other tehsils. A list of all ber growing villages in the tehsil was prepared, out of which, 10 villages having highest area under

Visit us : www.researchjournal.co.in



ber cultivation were selected randomly for the study purpose. From the selected villages, a sample of 100 ber growers was selected by random sampling technique for the study purpose in such a manner that the number of ber growers selected was proportional to the total number of ber growers of the respective village.

An interview schedule consisting of measuring devices of dependent and independent variables along with the face data of ber growers was used for collecting responses of the ber growers. The information seeking behaviour of the ber growers was measured by adding the sources of all the components of information seeking behaviour *viz.*, extent of use of different sources and channels, credibility of different sources and channels, extent of contact to different extension functionaries and extent of exposure to different media.

The extent of use of different information sources and channels was measured by using the scale developed by Singh (2002), whereas the credibility of different sources and channels of ber growers developed by Gunawardana (2005), used with slight modification and the extent of contact of the ber growers were measured by the schedules developed by the investigator in light of the suggestions of the experts, whereas their extent of exposure to different media was measured by using the schedule developed by Sanadhya (1997). The data were collected by personal interview method, the data colleted were classified, tabulated and inferences were drawn after subjecting the data to appropriate statistical analysis which led to the following major findings.

OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarised under following heads:

Information perceived behaviour of the ber growers :

The information perceived behaviour of different sources and channels of agriculture information was calculated by summing the ber growers scores of 'extent of use of information sources and channels,' their 'degree of credibility to different sources and channels', their 'extent of contact to different extension functionaries' and their 'extent of exposure to different media' of each of the respondent. The respondents were then categorized in to three categories namely, 'low information seeking behaviour' 'medium information seeking behaviour' and 'high information seeking behaviour' by using mean (197.02) and standard deviation (15.09). The information seeking behaviour of peripheral and distant ber growers has been presented in Table 1.

The data in Table 1 indicate that majority of both types of ber growers (76.00 %) were having medium level of information seeking behaviour followed by 13.00 per cent having low and only 11.00 per cent were having high level of information seeking behaviour.

It also shows that majority of the peripheral ber growers (85.11 %) were having medium level of information seeking behaviour followed by 12.76 per cent peripheral ber growers having low level of information seeking behaviour and only 2.13 per cent peripheral ber growers were having high level of information seeking behaviour. In case of the distant ber growers 67.92 per cent were having medium level of information seeking behaviour followed by 18.87 per cent having high level of information seeking behaviour and 13.21 per cent were having low level of information seeking behaviour and 13.21 per cent were having low level of information seeking behaviour followed by 18.87 per cent having high level of information seeking behaviour and 13.21 per cent were having low level of information seeking behaviour. Meena *et al.* (2010) and Rehman *et al.* (1997) support the result of study.

The analysis of the data further indicates that the 'Z' value between the scores of the information seeking of peripheral and distant ber growers was 0.74, which was non significant. Hence, the Null hypothesis was accepted. This shows that there was no significant difference between the peripheral and distant ber growers in their information seeking behaviour.

Association of the selected independent variables with the information perceived behaviour of ber growers:

The association between the information seeking behaviour of ber growers and the selected independent variables *viz.*, age, education level, social participation, size of land holding, farm power, family size, farming experience, innovation proneness and annual income of the ber growers were measured by computing co-efficient of correlation (r). The data have been presented in Table 2.

A critical examination of the data presented in Table 2 revealed that the ber growers education level, social

Table 1 : Distribution of	peripheral and	distant ber grov	wers according to t	their information	perceived behaviou

Levels of information seeking behaviour	Peripheral ber growers (n=47)		Distant ber growers (n=53)		Total ber growers (n=100)		'Z' value
	F	%	F	%	F	%	_
Low (Below 181.93)	6	12.76	7	13.21	13	13.00	
Medium (From 181.93 to 212.11)	40	85.11	36	67.92	76	76.00	0.74 NS
High (Above 212.11)	1	2.13	10	18.87	11	11.00	
Total	47	100	53	100	100	100	

X = 197.02; = 15.09; NS = Non - significant

Agric. Update, **10**(1) Feb., 2015 : 48-51 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute participation, size of land holding, farm power, innovation proneness and annual income were found positively and significantly correlated with their information seeking behaviour at 0.01 level of probability, while the age of the ber growers was found negatively and significantly correlated with their information seeking behaviour at 0.05 level of probability.

Hence, the Null hypotheses showing that there was no significant association between the information seeking behaviour of the ber growers with their age, education level, social participation, size of land holding, farm power, innovation proneness and annual income were rejected and alternate hypotheses were accepted. This leads to the conclusion that there was a significant association between the information seeking behaviour of the ber growers and their age, education level, social participation, size of land holding, farm power, innovation proneness and annual income.

The 'family size' of the ber growers was positively and non-significantly associated with their information seeking behaviour, whereas their 'farming experience' was negatively and non-significantly associated with their information seeking behaviour. Hence, the Null hypotheses showing that there was no significant association between the information seeking behaviour of the ber growers and their family size and farming experience were accepted.

A critical examination of the data presented in the Table 2 also revealed that the peripheral ber grower's age, education level was found negatively and significantly correlated, whereas, their education level was found positively and significantly correlated with their information seeking behaviour at 0.01 level of probability. Their farm power was found positively significant at 0.05 level of probability, this leads to the conclusion that there was a significant association between the information seeking behaviour of the peripheral ber growers and their age, education level and farm power. While their social participation, size of land holding, family size, farming experience, innovation proneness and annual income were non-significantly associated with the information seeking behaviour. These observations the concluded that there was no significant association between the information seeking behaviour the peripheral ber growers and their social participation, size of land holding, family size, farming experience, innovation proneness and annual income.

A critical examination of the data presented in Table 2 also revealed that the distant ber growers education level, social participation and innovation proneness were positively and significantly correlated at 0.05 level of probability and their size of land holding, farm power and annual income were positively and significantly correlated at 0.01 level of probability with the information seeking behaviour. This lead to the conclusion that there was a significant association between the information seeking behaviour of the ber growers and their education level, social participation, size of land holding, farm power, innovation proneness and annual income, age, family size and farming experience of the distant ber growers were non-significantly associated with their information seeking behaviour, which leads to the conclusion that 'there was no significant association between the information seeking behaviour of distant ber growers and their age, family size and farming experience. The study is supported by Ganesan et al. (2004), Jha and Chauhan (1999), Acharya and Agarwal (1987), Aaker and Day (1980) and Panse and Sukhatme (1978).

Conclusion :

In the view of the findings of present study, it may be inferred that majority of the ber growers had medium level of information seeking behaviour about improved production technology. The study also revealed that the ber growers education level, social participation, size of land holding, farm power, innovation proneness and annual income were found positively and significantly correlated with their information seeking behaviour at 0.01 level of probability, while the age of the ber growers was

Table 2 : Association between the information	perceived behaviour of ber gro	wers and selected independent variables
Tuble 2 Tubbochution between the motimution	percerved benaviour of ber gro	the cro and beleeted macpenaent variables

Sr. No.	Independent variables	Peripheral ber growers (n=47)	Distant ber growers (n=53)	Total ber growers (n=100)
1.	Age	-0.473**	-0.192 NS	-0.224*
2.	Education level	0.541**	0.308*	0.358**
3.	Social participation	0.076 NS	0.343*	0.269**
4.	Size of land holding	0.104 NS	0.432**	0.284**
5.	Farm power	0.308*	0.588**	0.457**
6.	Family size	0.143 NS	0.047 NS	0.140 NS
7.	Farming experience	-0.246 NS	0.067 NS	-0.016 NS
8.	Innovation proneness	0.143 NS	0.280*	0.262**
9.	Annual income	0.214 NS	0.572**	0.487**

NS = Non-significant; * and ** indicates of significance of values at P = 0.05 and P = 0.01, respectively

Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

found negatively and significantly correlated with their information seeking behaviour at 0.05 level of probability.

Authors' affiliations :

B.L. DHAYAL, Krishi Vigyan Kendra VADODARA (GUJARAT) INDIA **H.M. BHUVA**, Krishi Vigyan Kendra (J.A.U.), Nana-Kandhasar (Chotila), SURENDRANAGAR (GUJARAT) INDIA

REFERENCES

Acharya, S.S. and Agarwal, N.L. (1987). Agricultural marketing in India. Oxford & IBH, New Delhi (INDIA).

Aaker A. David and Day S. George (1980). Marketing Research, John Wieley & Sons, New York.

Ganesan, R., Shanmugn, M.A. and Noorjehan, H.A.K.A. (2004). Information management for sustainable cotton production. *Agric. Extn. Rev.*, **16**: 10-15.

Gunawardana, A.M.A.P.G. (2005). Communication behaviour of farmers on improved farm practices on Udaipur district of Rajasthan. M.Sc. Thesis, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology, Udaipur, RAJASTHAN (INDIA).

Jha, P.K. and Chauhan, J.P.S. (1999). Correlates of interpersonal communication behaviour of dairy farmers. J. Dairy. Foods & Home

Sci., 18(1): 55-57.

Meena, S.R., Sisodia, S.S., Punjabi, N.K. and Sharma, Chitranjan (2010). Information seeking behaviour of farmers about guava production techonology. *Rajasthan. J. Extn. Edu.*, **17 & 18**: 52-55.

Nath, A., Rab, G.G., Babu, V. and Girdar, I.K. (2001). Communication behaviour of opinion leaders in saline black soils in Gujarat. *Indian J.Extn. Edu.*, **31**(1): 77-80.

Pal, P.P., Sundaranmbal, P., Bihari, B., Kumar, R., Prakash, N. and Kumar, N. (1999). Information seeking behaviour of tribal farmers in Meghalaya. *Indian J. Hill Farm.*, **12**(1): 124-125.

Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1978). Statistical methods for agricultural workers. I.C.A.R., New Delhi (INDIA).

Rahman, M.Z., Kashem, M.A. and Mikunl, H. (1997). Farmer's communication behaviour for technological intervention, A Japan, Bangladesh cross culture study. *J. Interacademicia*, **1**(4): 277-290.

Riram, R., Talukdar, R.K. and Mishra, P. (1998). Information seeking behaviour of rice farmers of East Siang district Arunachal Pradesh. *J. Agric. Sci. Soc. North East India*, **11**(1) : 118-120.

Singh, V. (2002). Information seeking behaviour of farmers in piprali panchayat samiti of district sikar of Rajasthan. M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Extension Education, S.K.N. College of agriculture, Jobner, Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner, RAJASTHAN

