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#### Abstract

■ ABSTRACT : Rainfall is the major controlling factor in planning of agricultural programmes, design and management of any water resource scheme. India is predominantly an agricultural country with about 60 per cent of the cultivated area under rainfed conditions. The present study was carried for Ananthapuram district which is one among the rainfed areas in the country.The design rainfall is calculated from the probability analysis. It is assigned some probability level of occurrence or exceedance. The daily or monthly rainfall analysis for a wide range of applications extending from real time monitoring and prediction of flood events to initialization and validation of numerical weather prediction (NWP), climate analysis and climate diagnostic study. The study showed there is a large variation in the rainfall received yearly as well as Kharif and Rabi seasons. Similarly the co-efficient of variation is 39.15 per cent for yearly rainfall, 57.35 per cent for Kharif and 65.18 per cent for Rabi seasons this indicates the annual rainfall data are less variable and is more stable or more uniform. The probability analyses were conducted for the year 2001-2012. Probability of getting 2012 year wise as well as season wise the yearly rainfall is 731 mm only five per cent and probability of getting 413 mm is 94.9 per cent. It indicates that the last 12 years trend shows the yearly rainfall will not cross $400-500 \mathrm{~mm}$ which is the bench mark for designing the crops that are to be grown in Ananthapuramu district. Similar trends were noticed in the probability analysis in season wise. When analyzed statistically there was a negative correlation between water requirement and yield and similarly positive correlation between rainfall and yield, the results are reflected. The trend values are shown linearly dependent. There is a perfect linear dependency on the trend values. The actual crop water requirements are progressively decreasing from 2012 to 2020.
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India is predominantly an agricultural country with about $60 \%$ of the cultivated area under rainfed conditions. Rainfall variability has major implications on country's economic prosperity. In addition to irrigation and crop planning, rainfall information is also useful for introduction of new crops in an agro-ecological region, identifying moisture availability periods, developing
drought characterization index, designing of drainage structure and devising water harvesting polices.

Ananthapuramu is the southern-most district of the Rayalseema region of Andhra Pradesh. The district has a total geographical area of 19.13 lakh hectare. Agriculture remains the predominant activity in the villages, with $80 \%$ of total workers engaged in
agriculture, either as cultivators or agricultural labourers. It is located in the rain-shadow region of Andhra Pradesh, the district is drought-prone.

The geographical location of Ananthapuram district is such that it does not get the full benefit of either of the monsoons. The south-west monsoon gets cut off by the western ghats, while the full benefit of the north-east monsoon is not derived, as the district lies far from the eastern coastline. The normal rainfall in this district is 553 mm . On an average once in every five years, the district experiences drought conditions. The rainfall zones are classified as $341-400 \mathrm{~mm}, 401-500 \mathrm{~mm}, 501-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 601-700 mm.

Rainfall is the major controlling factor in planning of agricultural programmes, design and management of any water resource scheme. In case of rainfed areas, it are still more significant in planning of farming operations. The occurrence or non-occurrence of rainfall at decisive times can resolute the accomplishment or miscarriage of a venture in agricultural production, germination of seeds, drying of crops, application of irrigation, fertilizers, insecticides and herbicides.

Rainfall is a stochastic variable and a large number of annual rainfall data are needed for its depth and duration frequency analysis. High rainfall less often occurs. Higher the recurrence of large rainfall there is a need for soil conservation and water harvesting yields structures, viz., contour trenches, contour bunds, spillways, water harvesting structures, check dams etc.

Antonia et al. (2009), studied rainfall time series over a wide time interval and area, detecting potential trends. Statistical analysis indicates that the trend appears predominantly negative, both at the annual and seasonal scale, except for the summer. Hyun-Han et al. (2008), proposed a dynamical hierarchical Bayesian analysis to govern the summer rainfall. This shows that the probability of occurrence of extreme events is projected at $90 \%$ rate. Christian and Ebenebeizuchkwu (2009), examined the variations in rainfall distributions during the "little dry season". This reported that the temporal variations in rainfall for the months under consideration were not significant. Changa et al. (2010), investigated on indigenous knowledge in seasonal rainfall prediction in Tanzania. It has been found that plant phenology is widely used by local communities in both districts in seasonal rainfall forecasting. Ishappa and Aruchamy (2010) studied the rainfall characteristics of the

Coimbatore. This study analyzes the long term average of monthly and annual rainfall, the annual rainfall is 1242 mm , of which the winter, summer, southwest and northeast monsoon record $2.07,14.97,46.13$ and 36.83 mm , respectively. Sharad and Kumar (2012), conducted a study on basin-wise trend analysis. It showed 15 basins had decreasing trend in annual rainfall and only one basin showed significant decreasing trend.

## ■ METHODOLOGY

## Analysis rainfall pattern in Ananthapuram :

Rainfall is one of the most important and at the same time one of the most difficult meteorological /hydrological parameter on which the economy of India depends. There has been increasing operational demands for daily or monthly rainfall analysis for a wide range of applications extending from real time monitoring and prediction of flood events to initialization and validation of numerical weather prediction (NWP), climate analysis and climate diagnostic study.

A detailed knowledge of the rainfall regime at a place is an important prerequisite for agricultural planning and management. More so far rainfed agriculture rainfall is the single most important agro-meteorological variable influencing crop production. In the absence of reliable physically based seasonal forecasts, crop management decisions and planning have to rely on statistical assessment based on the analysis of historical rainfall records.

## Design rainfall :

It is defined as the total amount of rain during the cropping season at or above which the catchment area will provide sufficient runoff to satisfy the crop water requirements. If the actual rainfall in the cropping season is below the design rainfall, there will be moisture stress for crop. If the actual rainfall exceeds the design rainfall, there will be surplus runoff which may cause damage to the structures. The design rainfall is calculated from the probability analysis. It is assigned some probability level of occurrence or exceedance. Suppose the probability of 67 per cent is given to rainfall, it indicates that the seasonal rain fall may occur or exceed 2 years out of 3 and therefore, the crop water requirements would also be met two years out of three in a crop season. More the probability of the rainfall, it is more reliable for getting assured runoff into the farm ponds.

The design rainfall has to be calculated as suggested in section rainfall analysis. A conservative design would be based on a higher probability in order to make the system more reliable and thus to meet the crop water requirement more frequently.

## Statistical parameters of rainfall :

- Mean(Average of rainfall)
- Standard deviation (SD)
- Co-efficient of variation (Cv)


## Mean :

The sample mean is the average and is computed as the sum of all the observed outcomes from the sample divided by the total number of events. Here indicated as the symbol for the sample mean.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{\Sigma \mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{n}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where n is the sample size and the x correspond to the observed valued.

## Standard deviation of the sample :

In statistics and probability theory, standard deviation () shows how much variation or "dispersion" exits from the average (mean, or expected value). A low standard deviation indicates that the data points tend to be very close to the mean, whereas high standard deviation indicates that the data points are spread out over a large range of values. An estimator for sigma sometimes used is the standard deviation of the sample, denoted by SN and define as follows. Standard deviation $(\sigma)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\right)^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(x_{1}, x_{2},-\cdots---x_{n}\right)$ are the observed values of the sample items and is the mean value of these observations, while the denominator N stands for the size of the sample.

## Co-efficient of variation :

Co-efficient of variation is the percentage variation between mean, standard deviation being considered as the total variation from the mean. High CV indicates that the group is more variable and less stable.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CV}=\frac{\sigma}{\mathrm{m}} \times 100 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words co-efficient of variation is defined as ratio of the standard deviation to the mean.

## Probability analysis :

A simple graphical method can be used for probability analysis and frequency of occurrence of annual or seasonal rainfall for the design of ponds. There are several analytical methods by selecting a suitable probability distribution function. Weibulls distribution is commonly used for its simplicity and easy to adaptation for such field situations. The occurrence of probability for each of the ranked observation can be calculated from the below equation (Critchley et al., 1991) for the period $\mathrm{N}=10$ to 100 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{m-0.375}{N+0.25} \times 100 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{P}=$ Probability in \% of the observation of the rank
m
$\mathrm{m}=$ Rank of the observation
$\mathrm{N}=$ Total number of observations used.

## Percentage deviation from normal :

The percentage deviation formula is very useful to determining accurate values in the rain fall data.

Percentage deviation $=(($ Yearly annual rainfall Average annual rainfall) $\div$ average annual rainfall $) \times 100$

## ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads :

## Rainfall analysis :

The rainfall data were obtained for the period 20012012 from Agricultural Research Station, ACRIP on Agro-meteorology, Ananthapuramu. Rainfall analysis was carried out using various statistical methods. The average yearly rainfall in Ananthapuramu district is 573.54 mm . The average rainfall of Kharif and Rabi season is 355.5 mm and 86.48 mm , respectively. The standard deviation for yearly rainfall is 224.52 mm , for Kharif 203.95 mm and Rabi 56.36 mm (Table 1). This shows there is a large variation in the rainfall received yearly as well as Kharif and Rabi seasons. Similarly the co-efficient of variation is 39.15 per cent for yearly rainfall, 57.37 per cent for Kharif rainfall and 65.18 per
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| Table 1 : Yearly rainfall data of Kharif and Rabi during 2001-2012 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Total rainfall $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Kharif rainfall $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Rabi rainfall $(\mathrm{mm})$ |
| 2001 | 731.20 | 477.2 | 88.6 |
| 2002 | 389.40 | 127.8 | 37.5 |
| 2003 | 256.20 | 148 | 13.6 |
| 2004 | 495.60 | 251.80 | 122.60 |
| 2005 | 685.00 | 462.00 | 70.40 |
| 2006 | 450.60 | 224.80 | 3.50 |
| 2007 | 1073.89 | 857.40 | 21.70 |
| 2008 | 619.00 | 386.40 | 109.80 |
| 2009 | 417.00 | 214.20 | 127.00 |
| 2010 | 885.80 | 595.60 | 200.00 |
| 2011 | 466.00 | 231.40 | 132.40 |
| 2012 | 412.80 | 289.40 | 110.60 |
| Mean | 573.54 | 355.50 | 86.48 |
| Standard deviation | 224.52 | 203.95 | 56.36 |
| CV | 39.15 | 57.37 | 65.18 |


| Table 2 : Kharif rainfall in $\%$ deviation |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Kharif actual rainfall $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Normal rainfall $(\mathrm{mm})$ | \% Deviation |
| 2001 | 477.2 | 351.03 | 35.94 |
| 2002 | 127.8 | 351.03 | -63.59 |
| 2003 | 148 | 351.03 | -57.84 |
| 2004 | 251.8 | 351.03 | -28.27 |
| 2005 | 462 | 351.03 | 31.61 |
| 2006 | 224.8 | 351.03 | -35.96 |
| 2007 | 857.4 | 351.03 | 144.25 |
| 2008 | 386.4 | 351.03 | 10.08 |
| 2009 | 214.2 | 351.03 | -38.98 |
| 2010 | 595.6 | 351.03 | 69.67 |
| 2011 | 231.4 | 351.03 | -34.08 |
| 2012 | 235.8 | 351.03 | -32.83 |

## Table 3 : Rabi rainfall in \% deviation

| Year | Rabi actual rainfall $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Normal rainfall $(\mathrm{mm})$ | \% Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 88.6 | 86.48 | 2.45 |
| 2002 | 37.5 | 86.48 | -56.64 |
| 2003 | 13.6 | 86.48 | -84.27 |
| 2004 | 122.6 | 86.48 | 41.77 |
| 2005 | 70.4 | 86.48 | -18.59 |
| 2006 | 3.5 | 86.48 | -95.95 |
| 2007 | 21.7 | 86.48 | -74.91 |
| 2008 | 109.8 | 86.48 | 26.97 |
| 2009 | 127 | 86.48 | 46.85 |
| 2010 | 200 | 86.48 | 131.27 |
| 2011 | 132.4 | 86.48 | 53.10 |
| 2012 | 110.6 | 86.48 | 27.89 |


| Table 4 : Yearly rainfall in \% deviation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Yearly rainfall $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Normal rainfall $(\mathrm{mm})$ |
| 2001 | 731.2 | 573.44 |
| 2002 | 389.4 | 573.44 |
| 2003 | 256.2 | 573.44 |
| 2004 | 495.60 | 573.44 |
| 2005 | 685.00 | 573.44 |
| 2006 | 450.60 | 573.44 |
| 2007 | 1073.89 | 573.44 |
| 2008 | 619.00 | 573.44 |
| 2009 | 417.00 | 573.44 |
| 2010 | 885.80 | 573.44 |
| 2011 | 466.00 | 573.44 |
| 2012 | 412.80 | 573.44 |
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Fig. 2 : Kharif rainfall and probability graph from 2001-2012
cent for Rabi. This indicates that the yearly rainfall data is less variable and is more stable.

For the year 2001-2012 the percentage deviation was calculated with respect to yearly rainfall as well as seasonal rainfall. There is a negative trend over the years starting from 2001-2012 except during the year 2005, 2007 and 2010. The rain fall received in the year 2007 is 87.24 per cent which is above the average rain fall. Similarly in the year 2012 is 131 per cent and 144 per

cent in 2007 (Table 2-4).

## Probability analysis :

The probability analyses were conducted for the year 2001-2012. Probability of getting 2012 year wise as well as season wise the yearly rainfall is 731 mm only five per cent and probability of getting 413 mm is 94.9 per cent. It indicates that the last 12 years trend shows the yearly rainfall will not cross $400-500 \mathrm{~mm}$ which is the bench mark for designing the crops that are to be grown in Ananthapuramu district. Similar trends were noticed in the probability analysis in season wise. Probability of getting 127.8 mm in Kharif season is more than the probability of getting 857.4 mm . During the Rabi season the probability of getting 3.5 mm is more than probability of getting 200 mm (Fig. 1-3).

## Conclusion :

- When analyzed statistically there was a negative correlation between water requirement and yield, and
similarly positive correlation between rainfall and yield, the results are reflected. The trend values are shown linearly dependent. The actual crop water requirements are progressively decreasing from 2012 to 2020.
- The average yearly rainfall in Ananthapuramu district is 573.54 mm . During the Kharif period the average rainfall is 355.5 mm and 86.48 mm in Rabi, respectively. The standard deviation for yearly rainfall is 224.52 and for Kharif 203.95 and Rabi 56.36. The co-efficient of variation is 39.15 per cent for Yearly rainfall, 57.31 per cent for Kharif and 65.18 per cent for Rabi rainfall. Hence the annual rainfall data is more stable.
- The average yearly rainfall in Ananthapuramu district is 573.54 mm . The average rainfall of Kharif and Rabi season is 355.5 mm and 86.48 mm , respectively. The standard deviation for yearly rainfall is 224.52 mm , for Kharif 203.95 mm and Rabi 56.36 mm . This coefficient of variation is 39.15 per cent for yearly rainfall, 57.35 per cent for Kharif rainfall and 65.18 per cent for Rabi. Hence, the annual rainfall data is less variable and is more stable
- The probability analyses were conducted for the year 2001-2012. Probability of getting 2012 year wise as well as season wise the yearly rainfall is 731 mm only five per cent and probability of getting 413 mm is 94.9 per cent. It indicates that the last 12 years trend shows the yearly rainfall will not cross $400-500 \mathrm{~mm}$ which is the bench mark for designing the crops that are to be grown in Ananthapuramu district. Similar trends were noticed in the probability analysis in season wise.
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