

Article history : Received : 14.01.2015 Revised : 01.04.2015 Accepted : 01.05.2015

#### Members of the Research Forum

Associated Authors: <sup>1</sup>Department of Horticulture, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru College of Agriculture and Research Institute, KARAIKAL (U.T. OF PUDUCHERRY) INDIA Email : sundaramsvn@yahoo.co.in

Author for correspondence :

P. RAJKUMAR Department of Horticulture, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru College of Agriculture and Research Institute, KARAIKAL (U.T. OF PUDUCHERRY) INDIA Email : rajkumarp65@gmail.com Volume **10** | Issue 1 | June, 2015 | 76-79 Visit us -www.researchjournal.co.in



DOI: 10.15740/HAS/TAJH/10.1/76-79

# Path co-efficient analysis in okra [*Abelmoschus* esculentus (L.) Moench]

# P. RAJKUMAR AND V. SUNDARAM<sup>1</sup>

**RESEARCH PAPER** 

**ABSTRACT :** The study on direct and indirect effects of seventeen different growth, physiological and fruit related traits on yield of okra had revealed the presence of very high direct positive effect of fruit number on yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. The traits *viz.*, number of fruits plant<sup>-1</sup>, plant height and fruit weight were identified as the important yield determinants through path co-efficient analysis and these traits could be relied upon for selection of high yielding genotypes in okra. Leaf area index was found to be the second ranking trait with high positive direct effect followed by stem diameter. The indirect effect of fruit length as well as fruit girth on yield through fruit weight were also found to be positive, indicating their importance in exercising selection.

KEY WORDS : Okra, Direct effect, Indirect effect

**HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE :** Rajkumar, P. and Sundaram, V. (2015). Path co-efficient analysis in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Asian J. Hort.*, **10**(1) : 76-79.

kra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] commonly known as lady's finger is an important short duration vegetable crop, cultivated in an area of 5.18 Lha with a total production of 62.60 lt. in our country (Tiwari *et al.*, 2012).

Vegetables form an integral part of a balanced diet as they are rich in vitamins, minerals and antioxidants. India is next only to China in global vegetable production with a contribution of 14 per cent and at present India has a total area of 8.98 m ha under vegetables, producing 156.32 mt annually (Tiwari et al., 2012). However, there seems to be a plateau with regard to production and enhancing productivity under poor soil and other stress conditions becomes imperative. For selection of any desire genotype for a given environment partioning the association of various yield contributing traits into direct and indirect effects to understand the relative importance of each trait that influences the yield becomes essential. Crop improvement through conventional breeding requires an insight into the magnitude of direct and indirect effects of yield component present in a crop species as it forms the basis of effective selection and hence, the present study on path co-efficient analysis in okra was taken up.

# **RESEARCH METHODS**

Studies were taken up involving thirty three genotypes of okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench] at the Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru College of Agriculture and Research Institute, Karaikal, Union Territory of Puducherry during summer 2012-2013. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam and total of 33 genotypes including a variety (Arka Anamika) was used for the study. Thirty accessions were collected from the Indian Institute of Vegetable Research (IIVR), Varanasi and two ecotypes, one each from Tiruchirappalli and Coimbatore of Tamil Nadu were also included for the study. The observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants per replication for each genotype on twenty important characters. The direct and indirect effects were obtained by the method suggested by Dewey and Lu (1959).

with **YPP** 

.733\*\*

\*970 (

0.217\*

\*791.0

0.082

\*\*946

 $0.230^{*}$ 

## **RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

The study on direct and indirect effects of seventeen different growth, physiological and fruit related traits on yield of okra had revealed the presence of very high direct positive effect of fruit number on yield plant<sup>1</sup>. Such a high positive direct effect of fruit number on yield had been reported earlier by Vijay and Manohar (1990); Gondane et al. (1995); Dhankhar and Dhankhar (2002) and Adiger et al. (2011).

The highest positive direct effect on yield plant<sup>-1</sup> was exerted by stem diameter (3.878). All the other growth traits except plant height were found to have a negative direct effect on yield (Table 1). The direct negative effect was the maximum with days to flowering (-1.614), followed by internodal length (-1.548) and primary branches at flowering (-1.088). Leaf area index was found to exert the highest positive direct effect among the physiological traits on yield (Table 2). Positive indirect effect of days to flowering on yield through leaf area index (2.112), internodal length (0.628), fruit weight (0.407), stem diameter (0.386), hundred seed weight (0.086), fruit girth (0.079) and primary branches at final harvest (0.070) were also recorded. Direct positive effect of number of leaves on yield was reported by Karri and Acharyya (2012) and Kumar et al. (2012), while the direct influence of stem diameter was observed by Kumar et al. (2012). The direct effect of total chlorophyll, pedicel length, fruit girth and plant height were also found to be positive. The findings of Kumar et al. (2012) with regard to direct effect of stem diameter and plant height in okra was in line with the present observation. Node of first flower had positively and indirectly influenced the yield plant<sup>-1</sup> through leaf area index (1.887), internodal length (0.837), fruit weight (0.154), relative water content (0.097), fruit length (0.091), hundred seed weight (0.026) and fruit girth (0.007). Plant height was found to influence the yield indirectly and positively through days to flowering (0.611), primary branches at flowering (0.488), fruit girth (0.319), relative water content (0.253), leaf area index (0.161), fruit number (0.118), node of first flower (0.028) and seed number (0.028).

Direct effect of higher magnitude on negative direction was exerted by fruit weight and this was in conformity to the earlier finding of Balakrishnan and Sreenivasan (2010). In conformity to the findings of Gangashetty et al. (2010a) negative direct effect of days to flowering and internodal length on yield was observed

1 adie 1 : Lurea and indirect cheets of growth trans of fruit yield of okra	Irea and I		ICCIP OF BI															
Characters DF (cm) NFF	DF (cm)	NFF	PH (cm)	PBF	PBFH	IL (cm)	PBFH IL (cm) SD (cm) LAI	LAI	(per cent) (mg g <sup>,1</sup> )	IC (mg g <sup>,1</sup> )	PL (cm)	FL (cm)	PL (cm) FL (cm) FG (cm) FW (g)	FW (g)	FN	SN	HSW (g)	1 <sup>g</sup>
DF	-1 614	-0108	-1 614 -0 108 -0 117	-0.351		0.070 0.528	0 386 2 112	2112	73F 0-	-0 299	-0.055	1£0 C-	0.079	0 407	0.407 -0.551 -0.039	-0 039	0.086	C
NFF	-0.746	-0.746 -0.233 -0.038		-0.420	-0.(30	0.837	-0.681	1.887	250.0	-0.245	-0.051	160.0	0.007	0.154	0.154 -1.380 -0.009	-0000	0.026	Ŷ.
Hd	0.611	0.028	0.310	0.488	-0.026	-1061	-0.089	0.161	0.253	-0.227	-0.369	-0.057	0.319	-0.701	0.118	0.028	-0.005	0-
PBF	-0.520	060.0-	-0.139	-1.038	0.001	0.559	-0.174	0.110	-0.205	-0.629	-0.035	0.144	-0.037	0.535	1.734	-0.003	0.035	-0-
PBFII	0.760	-0.045	0.054	0.005	-0.150	0.145	0.427	-0.304	-0.064	-0.165	0.067	0.058	-0.010	0.570	0.570 -1.156 0.010	0.010	-0.120	0
II.	0.655		0.126 0.212	0.393	0.014	0.014 -1 548	-0.287	-1.509	0.337	0.398	0.187	-0.185	0.244	-0.847 1.677	1.677	0.034	0.025	9
SD	-0.161	0.041	-00.0-	0.049	-0.016	0.115	-0.161 0.041 -0.007 0.049 -0.016 0.115 3.878 -0.758 -0.268	-0.758	-0.268	-0.346	-0.345 -0.018 -0.018 0.128 -0.840 -2.073 0.004	-0.018	0.128	-0.840	-2.073	0.004	0.060	9
Residual el Primary bra (%); TC - 7	Residual effec: = 0.33.1; Bold figures indicate Primary branches at flowering; PBFH - Primary (%); TC - Total ehlorephyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ); PL - Ped	(11; Bold towering; cphyll (m	figures in PBFH - P vg g <sup>-1</sup> ); PL	dicate dir rimary bi Pedice	ect effect ranches al	S; r <sub>g-</sub> Gen I final harv (em); FL -	otypic corr vest; IL - I - Fruit leng	relation of nternodal (th (em); ]	Residual effec: = 0.33.1; Bold figures indicate direct effects; rg-Genotypic correlation co-efficient; DF- Days to flowering; NFF - Node of first flower; PH - Plant height (cm); PBF - Primary branches at flowering; PBFH - Primary branches at final harvest; IL - Internodal length (cm); SD - Stem diameter (cm); LAI - Leaf area index; RWC - Relative water content (%); TC - Total ehlorephyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ); PL - Pedicel length (cm); FL - Fruit length (cm); FG - Fruit girth (cn); FW - Fruit weight (g); FN - Fruit number; SN - Seed number, HSW - (%); TC - Total ehlorephyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ); PL - Pedicel length (cm); FL - Fruit length (cm); FG - Fruit girth (cn); FW - Fruit weight (g); FN - Fruit number; SN - Seed number, HSW -	DF- Days t ); SD - Ster şirth (em);	o flowering m diameter FW - Fruit	g; NFF - N r (cm); LA t weight (g	lode of firs 1 - Leaf arc \$); FN - Fro	t flower; ea index; l uit numbe	PH - Plai KWC - R m, SN - S	it height lelative w seed num	(cm); PBF ater conten ber, HSW	. =
Hundred se	Hundred seed weight (g); YPP- Yield plant <sup>1</sup>	(g); YPP-	Yield plar	nt''														

in the present study (Table 1).

Primary branches at flowering contributed positively and indirectly to yield plant<sup>-1</sup> in okra through fruit number (1.734), internodal length (0.559), fruit weight (0.535), fruit length (0.144), leaf area index (0.110), hundred seed weight (0.035) and primary branches at final harvest (0.001). The indirect effects were negative with regard to days to flowering (-0.520), stem diameter (-0.174), plant height (-0.139) and node of first flower (-0.090).

The study of direct and indirect effects of various physiological traits on yield had revealed that the maximum positive direct effect was exerted by leaf area index (4.908), followed by total chlorophyll content (2.182). However, the direct effect of relative water content (-1.059) was found to be negative (Table 2). Positive and indirect effect of leaf area index towards yield was found to be exerted through internodal length (0.476), fruit girth (0.297), plant height (0.010) and primary branches at final harvest (0.009). Relative water content had contributed positively and indirectly towards yield through leaf area index (1.757), stem diameter (0.982), internodal length (0.492), fruit weight (0.382), fruit girth (0.262), fruit length (0.183) and node of first flower (0.021). More or less similar findings were obtained by Nasit et al. (2009); Chaukhande et al. (2011) and Meena et al. (2013).

Fruit number was found to be the important yield component trait showing the maximum direct positive effect on yield (5.129), followed by pedicel length (2.052), fruit girth (1.353) and hundred seed weight (0.331). The highest direct negative effect on yield was registered by fruit weight, (-2.842) followed by fruit length (-0.576) and seed number (-0.093) as seen in Table 3. Pedicel length was found to influence the yield indirectly and positively through relative water content (0.618), total chlorophyll (0.047), days to flowering (0.043), hundred seed weight (0.041), primary branches at flowering (0.018), node of first flower (0.006) and seed number (0.001). The study revealed indirect positive effect of fruit weight on yield through stem diameter (1.146), fruit girth (0.744), total chlorophyll (0.380), fruit number (0.254), days to flowering (0.231), primary branches at flowering (0.205), pedicel length (0.158), relative water content (0.142), hundred seed weight (0.101), leaf area index (0.080), plant height (0.076), primary branches at final harvest (0.030), seed number (0.018) and node of first flower (0.013). Negative indirect effects were observed through internodal length (-0.462) and fruit

Choractere DF NFF DH (cm) DBF DB7H IL SD (cm) I	(ma) Hd	PRF (cm) PRF	DRF		рвен	IL S	SD (cm) I AI	I A I	RWC	IC	DI (cm)	(m.) [J	DI (cm) EI (cm) EG (cm) EW (a)	FW (a)	ΕN	NS	(a) (ASH	r <sub>g</sub> with
the traction of the traction (cm)	111 (cm) 111 112 112 (cm)	(cm) (cm)	(cm)	(cm)			1		(per cent)	(mg g <sup>-1</sup> )				1 M (S)	- 1		(3) MOIT	ΥPΡ
-0.695 -0.090 0.010 -0.024 0.009 0.476 -0.599 4.9	0.010 -0.024 0.009 0.476 -0.599	-0.024 0.009 0.476 -0.599	0.009 0.476 -0.599	0.476 -0.599	-0.599		4.0	4.908	-0.379	-0.661	-0.497	-0.006	0.297	-0.046	-2.367	-0.035	-0.041	0.259**
-0.544 0.021 -0.074 -0.210 -0.009 0.492 0.982 1.7	-0.074 -0.210 -0.009 0.492 0.982	-0.210 -0.009 0.492 0.982	0.492 0.982	0.492 0.982	0.982		-	1.757	-1.059	-0.024	-1.198	0.183	0.262	0.382	-0.464 -0.026	-0.026	-0.044	0.428**
0.221 0.026 -0.032 0.314 0.011 -0.282 -0.615 -1.	0.026 -0.032 0.314 0.011 -0.282 -0.615	0.314 0.011 -0.282 -0.615	0.011 -0.282 -0.615	0.011 -0.282 -0.615	-0.615		÷	-1.486	0.012	2.182	0.044	-0.108	0.214	-0.495	-0.204 -0.001	-0.001	0.046	-0.153
Residual effect = 0.3311; Bold figures indicate directs; In-Genolypic correlation co-efficient; DF- Days to flowering; NFF - Node of first flower; PH - Plant height (cm); PBF - Primary	311; Bold figures indicate direct effects; Ig - Genotypic correl	figures indicate direct effects; 1g - Genotypic correl	dicate direct effects; 1g - Genotypic correl	irect effects; 1g - Genotypic correl	s; I <sub>E</sub> - Genotypic correl	otypic correl	Tel	ation c	o-efficient;	DF- Days t	to flowerin	ng; NFF - I	Node of fir	st flower;	PH - Plar	nt height	(cm); PBF	- Primary
branches at flowering: PBFH - Primary branches at final harvest; IL - Internodal length (cm); SD - Stem diameter (cm); LAI - Leaf area index; RWC - Relative water content (%); TC - Total	7; PBFH - Primary branches at final harvest; IL - Internodal le	Primary branches at final harvest; IL - Internodal le	pranches at final harvest; IL - Internodal le	at final harvest; IL - internodal le	rvest; IL - Internodal le	Internodal le	al le	cngth (	(cm); SD -	Stem diame	cter (cm);	LAI - Leal	f area index	c, RWC -	Relative	vater cor	itent (%); 7	C - Total
chlorophyll (mg g '); PL - Pedicel length (cm); FL - Fruit length (cm); FG - Fruit girth (cm); FW - Fruit weight (g); FN - Fruit number; SN - Seed number; HSW - Hurdred seed weight (g);	; PL - Pedicel length (cm); FL - Fruit length (cm), FG - Frui	ticel length (cm); FL - Fruit length (cm), FG - Fui	h (cm); FL - Fruit length (cm); FG - Frui	FL - Fruit length (cm); FG - Frui	length (cm); FG - Frui	(); FG - Frui	12	t girth	(cm); FW -	Fruit weig.	ht (g); FN	- Fruit nu	mber; SN -	Seed nur	nber, HSV	N - Hund	ired seed w	reight (g);
YPP - Yield plant <sup>-1</sup> , ** indicate significance of values at P=0.05, respectively	** indicate significance of values at P=0.05, respectively	e significance of values at P=0.05, respectively	nce of values at P=0.05, respectively	alues at P=0.05, respectively	0.05, respectively	sctively												
Table 3 : Direct and indirect effects of fruit related traits and yield of okra	indirect effects of fruit related traits and yield of okra	effects of fruit related traits and yield of okra	fruit related traits and yield of okra	ated traits and yield of okra	and yield of okra	of okra												
NEE DIL (and) DDE	DII (	DII (	DDE		I (m) SD (m	N CD (200				eestr 1994	() II	ET Com	TC (mg nr (m) Er (m) EC (m) EW (c)	EU/ (a)	ENI	N	MSH	rg with
DF NFF FR(CEE) FEFF FEFFE IL (CEE) SD (CEE)	ги (сп.) г.ы.	ги (сп.) г.ы.	rbr		T IL (CIII) US (CIII)	into de la	E	LAI	t (per cent)		rL (cm)	FL (CIII)	FG (CIII)	r w (g)	LIN	NIC	(g)	YPP
0.043 0.006 -0.056 0.018 -0.005 -0.141 -0.033	-0.056 0.018 -0.005 -0.141	0.018 -0.005 -0.141	-0.005 -0.141	-0.005 -0.141	-0.141		3	-1.188	88 0.618	0.047	2.052	-0.121	-0.582	-0.219	-0.504	0.001	0.041	-0.023
0.037 0.030 0.271 0.015	0.030 0.271 0.015 -0.498 0	0.271 0.015 -0.498 (	-0.498	-0.498	-0.498	Ŭ	0	0.054	54 0.337		0.432	-0.576	0.305	-0.771	-0.068	-0.011	0.048	0.051
5 -0.001 0.073 0.030 0.001 -0.279 0	0.073 0.030 0.001 -0.279	0.030 0.001 -0.279	0.001 -0.279	0.001 -0.279	-0.279		x	1.077	1		-0.883	-0.130	353	-1.563	-0.035	0.013	0.074	0.144
0.013 0.076 0.205	0.076 0.205 0.030 -0.462	0.205 0.030 -0.462	0.030 -0.462	0.030 -0.462	-0.462		9	0.080		0380	0.158	-0.156	0.744	-2.842	0.254	0.018	0.101	0.121
0.173 0.063 0.007 -0.368 0.034 -0.506 -1.568	0.007 -0.368 0.034 -0.506	-0.368 0.034 -0.506	0.034 -0.506	0.034 -0.506	-0.506		8	-2.265	65 0.096	-0.087	-0.202	0.008	600.0-	-0.141	5.129	0.018	0.002	0.384**
-0.683 -0.023 -0.092 -0.038 0.017 0.558 -0.167	-0.092 -0.038 0.017 0.558	-0.092 -0.038 0.017 0.558	0.017 0.558	0.017 0.558	0.558		5	1.857	57 -0.293	3 0008	-0.019	-0.068	-0.194	0.554	-0.984	-0.093	-0.146	0.194
-0.419 -0.019 -0.005 -0.116 0.054 -0.117 0.703	-0.005 -0.116 0.054 -0.117	-0.005 -0.116 0.054 -0.117	0.054 -0.117	0.054 -0.117	-0.117		3	-0.612	12 0.142	0305	0.251	-0.083	0.304	-0.867	0.028	0.041	0.331	-0.080
Residual effect = 0.3311; Bold figures indicate direct effects, rg – Genotypic correlation co-efficient; DF - Days to flowering; NFF - Node of first flower; PH - Plant height (cm); PBF - Frimary	311; Bold figures indicate direct effects, rg - Genotypic corr	figures indicate direct effects, rg - Genotypic corr	dicate direct effects, rg - Genotypic corr	rect effects, rg - Genotypic corr	3, rg - Genotypic con	otypic corr	E	elation .	co-efficient;	: DF - Days	to flower	ing; NFF -	Node of fi	rst flower;	PH - Pla	nt height	(cm); PBF	- Frimary
branches at flowering; PBFH - Primary branches at final harvest; IL - Internodal length (cm); SD - Siem diameter (cm); LAI - Leaf area index; RWC - Relative water content (%); TC - Tctal	3; PBFH - Primary branches at final harvest; IL - Internod	· Primary branches at final harvest; IL - Internodi	pranches at final harvest; IL - Internoda	at final harvest; IL - Internoda	rvest; IL - Internoda	Internoda		al length	(cm); SD -	Stem diame	cter (cm);	LAI - Leat	f area inder	c RWC -	Relative	water col	ntent (%); 7	C - Total
chlorophyll (mg g-1); PL · Pedicel length (cm); FL - F:uit length (cm); FG - F:uit girth (cm); FW - Fruit weight (g); FN - Fruit number; SN - Seed number; HSW - Hundred seed weight (g);	); PL · Pedicel length (cm); FL - Fruit length (cm); FG -	dicel length (cm); FL - Fruit length (cm); FG -	th (cm); FL - Fruit length (cm); FG -	FL - Fruit length (cm); FG -	length (cm); FG -	n); FG -		Fruit girth	1 (cm); FW	-Fruit weig	ht (g); FN	- Fruit nu	mber; SN .	- Seed nur	nber; HS'	W - Huno	dred seed w	reight (g);

YPP- Yield plant- 1; \*\* indicate significance of value at P= (0.05)

on fruit vield of ol

length (-0.156) as evidenced from Table 3. Seed number influenced the yield plant<sup>-1</sup> positively and indirectly through leaf area index (1.857), internodal length (0.558), fruit weight (0.554), primary branches at final harvest (0.017) and total chlorophyll (0.008).

The study had shown the importance of days to flowering, leaf area index, primary branches at flowering, internodal length and fruit number in selecting high yielding genotypes of okra. The indirect effect of fruit length as well as fruit girth on yield through fruit weight were also found to be positive indicating their importance too in exercising selection. Selection of high yielding genotype in okra on the basis of number of fruits plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> , plant height and fruit weight had already been emphasized by Gangashetty *et al.* (2010b); Balakrishnan and Sreenivasan (2010); Adiger *et al.* (2011) and Kumar *et al.* (2012).

### REFERENCES

Adiger, S., Shanthakumar, G., Gangashetty, P.I. and Salimath, P.M. (2011). Association studies in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Elec. J. Pl. Breed.*, 2 (4): 568-573.

Balakrishnan, D. and Sreenivasan, E. (2010). Correlation and path analysis studies in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Madras Agric. J.*, 97 (10-12): 326-328.

Chaukhande, Pooja, Chaukhande, P.B. and Dod, V.N. (2011). Correlation and path analysis studies in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Asian J. Hort.*, **6** (1) : 203-206.

**Dewey, D.R. and Lu, K.H. (1959).**Correlation and path analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agron. J.*, **51** (9): 515-518.

Dhankhar, B.S. and Dhankhar, S.K. (2002). Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Veg. Sci.*, **29** (1): 63-65.

Gangashetty, P.I., Shanthakumar, G., Salimath, P.M., Patil, B.B., Mane, R.S., Haleshkumar, B. and Waghamore, A.N. (2010a). Genetic variability studies in single and double cross advanced generation segregating progenies of bhendi [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Elec. J. Pl. Breed.*, **1** (5): 1358-1362.

Gangashetty, P.I., Shanthakumar, G., Salimath, P.M. and Sridevi, O. (2010b). Comparison of variability, nature and magnitude of association of productivity traits in single and double cross progenies of bhendi [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **23** (3): 413-417.

Gondane, S.U., Bhatia, G.L. and Partap, P.S. (1995). Correlation studies of yield components in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, **24** (2): 151-156.

Karri, Sankara Rao and Acharyya, Pinaki (2012). Performance of okra cultivars under summer and rain environments [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Internat. J. Adv. Life Sci.*, **2**: 17-26.

Kumar, S., Annapurna, Yadav, Y.C. and Singh, R. (2012). Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance, correlation and path analysis in okra. *Hort. Flora Res. Spectrum*, **1** (2): 139-144.

Meena, Mahesh, Mallikarjun, K., Basvaraj, T., Asif, M. and Gangappa, E. (2013). Correlation and path co-efficient analyses in F<sub>2</sub> generation for fruit yield and its attributes in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Internat. J. agric. Sci.*, 9(1): 354-357.

Nasit, M.B., Dhaduk, L.K., Vachhani, J.H. and Savaliya, J.J. (2009). Correlation and path nalysis studies in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Asian J. Hort.*, **4** (2) : 394-397.

Tiwari, R.K., Mistry, N.C., Singh, B. and Gandhi, C.P. (2012). Indian Horticulture Database–2012. *Sonex print pack Pvt. Ltd.*, New Delhi. 5-152 pp.

Vijay, O.P. and Manohar (1990). Studies on genetic variability, correlation and path-analysis in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Indian J. Hort.*, **47** (1): 97-103.

