International Journal of Agricultural Sciences Volume 11 | Issue 1 | January, 2015 | 13-18

■ e ISSN-0976-5670

# **RESEARCH PAPER**

# Effect of different levels of row spacing and nitrogen on yield characters in roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* var. *sabdariffa*)

E. ARIVAZHAGAN\* AND A.K. RAJA

Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, ANNAMALAINAGAR (T.N.) INDIA

**Abstract :** Investigation was carried out to study the effect of different levels of nitrogen and spacing on the yield characters in roselle. A field trial was conducted in a Factorial Randomized Block Design with five levels of nitrogen (50, 100, 150, 200 and 250 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and three levels of row spacing (60, 90 and 120 cm). The results of the present study revealed that the spacing of  $120 \times 75$  cm and nitrogen application of 250 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was found to be superior in improving the yield characters like number of flowers plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of fruits plant<sup>-1</sup>, yield of fresh and dry calyces plant<sup>-1</sup>, seed yield and dry matter production.

Key Words : Nitrogen, Spacing, Roselle, Yield

View Point Article : Arivazhagan, E. and Raja, A.K. (2015). Effect of different levels of row spacing and nitrogen on yield characters in roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* var. sabdariffa). Internat. J. agric. Sci., 11 (1): 13-18.

Article History : Received : 07.04.2014; Revised : 19.10.2014; Accepted : 05.11.2014

### INTRODUCTION

Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) a member of the Malvaceae family, is a tropical plant of considerable economic importance (Purseglove, 1968; Al-kahtani and Hassan, 1990). The plant is reported to be indigenous to tropical Africa. Its cultivation is fairly widespread in many countries *viz.*, Philiphines, Malaysia, Indonesia, Cuba, U.S.A, Egypt, Sudan, Nigeria, West Indies, Srilanka and India (Purseglove, 1968 and Morton, 1987). In India, it is reported to be cultivated in the hotter parts of Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, Bengal, Assam, Orissa, Maharastra, Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh. It is an important multipurpose annual under shrub preferred for its red, acid succulent calyces, young leaves, stems, fruits, seed and seed oil for food, beverages and in Unani and modern systems of medicine, an export oriented commodity throughout the tropics and subtropics. The swollen red calyces which are

\* Author for correspondence

dried and brewed into teas and are also used in the processing of juices, jellies, jams, ice cream, sauces, chutneys, wines, preserves and natural food colourant (anthocyanin) (Al-kahtani and Hassan, 1990). The calyx is rich in citric acid, pectin and is so useful for making jam, jellies etc. (Chopra et al., 1986). It is also used to add a red colour and flavour to herbal teas (Bown, 1995) and can be roasted to be used as a coffee substitute in Africa (Facciola, 1990). A refreshing beverage can be made by boiling the calyx, sweetening it with sugars and adding ginger (Facciola, 1990). Tender young leaves and stems are used as either raw or cooked (Duke, 1983). The seed yields 20 per cent oil (Komarov, 1968), which is probably edible (Ken Fern, 2000). A fibre from the stem called Roselle hemp is used for making sackcloth, twine and cord (Komarov, 1968 and Hill, 1952). For appropriation of maximized yield, plant density is an important management requirement for the efficient utilization of applied inputs. Hence, due attention is to be paid to determine optimum spacing for higher calyx yield in Hibiscus sabdariffa. For normal crop growth, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium supplements are of vital value to the crops, which are the major players in crop growth. But improper nutrition leading to nutrient imbalance in plants is one of the major factors contributing to low yields in many crops under normal agro climatic conditions. The deficiencies of major nutrients namely nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium are more common and pose serious problems in crop production. The major nutrients are known to exercise positive influence on the various growth and yield parameters and have brought about increased yield in various crops. Application of fertilizer has been documented to enhance plant growth and development. Many research activities have reported an increase in the vegetative development of crops with fertilizer application. However, there are contrary views on the role of fertilizer on the quality of crop produced. Improved soil nutrients could improve the quality of the minerals, vitamins and protein content of roselle. Although several workers (Mahran et al., 1979; El-Gamel et al., 1984 and El-Shafie, 1994) in other countries have studied the effect of application of fertilizers on the growth and productivity, the available information on the effects of nutrients and spacing on this crop in India is very limited. Hence, this present investigation was carried out to study the effect of different levels of nitrogen and spacing on the yield attributes of roselle.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The present investigation was carried out in the Vegetable Unit, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University during 2009. Seeds were collected from University of Agricultural Sciences, Gandhi Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Bangalore. The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications. Regular cultural practices were adopted to raise the crop successfully. Observations were recorded on yield parameters *viz.*, number of flowers plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of fruits plant<sup>-1</sup>, yield of fresh and dry calyces plant<sup>-1</sup>, yield of fresh and dry calyces yield plot<sup>-1</sup>, yield of fresh and dry calyces ha<sup>-1</sup>, seed yield and dry matter production. The treatment details are as follows :

Treatment No.	Details
Nitrogen levels (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
$N_1$	50
$N_2$	100
$N_3$	150
$N_4$	200
$N_5$	250
Spacing	
$S_1$	60 cm between rows (22,222 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )
$S_2$	90 cm between rows (14,814 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	120 cm between rows (11,111 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The ultimate goal to be achieved in any management system is increased yield. In the present study, it was observed that various levels of row spacing and nitrogen fertilizer exhibited significant variation on yield and yield parameters. Significant differences in flowering (earliness) and increase in number of flowers plant<sup>-1</sup> was noticed with increase in spacing in the present study. The plants spaced at  $60 \times 75$  cm showed early flowering while, the plants spaced at  $120 \times 75$  cm produced greater number of flowers plant<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). Cumulative superiority in vegetative parameters *viz.*, number of branches, number of leaves, leaf area must have contributed to early flowering and higher production of flowers plant<sup>-1</sup> in wider spacings as compared to closer spacings. Earliness and increased flower production were also reported by Subbi Reddy and Krishnan (1991) in *Solanum viarum*.

Application of N @ 250 kg ha-1 had remarkably advanced

Treatments		Number of	flowers plant <sup>-1</sup>	_		Number of	fruits plant <sup>-1</sup>	
Treatments	$S_1$	$S_2$	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	Mean	$S_1$	$S_2$	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	Mean
N <sub>1</sub>	173.50	192.50	175.00	180.33	169.56	182.91	173.42	175.29
N <sub>2</sub>	197.50	177.50	229.00	201.33	195.96	175.97	226.61	199.51
N <sub>3</sub>	177.00	227.50	217.00	207.16	174.90	224.02	221.50	206.80
N <sub>4</sub>	194.00	230.00	269.00	231.00	187.62	220.00	265.57	224.39
N <sub>5</sub>	198.50	221.50	265.00	228.33	195.40	218.62	259.88	224.63
Mean	188.10	209.80	231.10		184.68	204.30	229.00	
	S N			S×N		N		S×N
S.E.± C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	·	NS		NS	·	NS

NS=Non-significant

Treatments		Fresh calyces y	rield plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)		Dry calyces yield plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)				
Treatments	$S_1$	<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	$S_3$	Mean	$\mathbf{S}_1$	$S_2$	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	Mean	
N <sub>1</sub>	612.55	699.72	808.57	706.94	173.50	192.50	175.00	180.33	
N <sub>2</sub>	684.17	799.55	866.50	783.40	197.50	177.50	229.00	201.33	
N <sub>3</sub>	789.15	883.90	1052.30	908.45	177.00	227.50	217.00	207.16	
$N_4$	848.85	978.60	1198.92	1008.79	194.00	230.00	259.00	227.66	
N <sub>5</sub>	879.15	1009.45	1330.20	1072.93	198.50	221.50	265.00	228.33	
Mean	762.77	874.24	1051.29		188.10	209.80	229.10		
	S	N		S×N	S	Ν		S×N	
S.E. ± C.D. (P=0.05)	73.11 146.28	94.42 188.85		NS		0.63 1.25	2.63 5.28		
Nitrogen levels (kg h	na <sup>-1</sup> )					Spacing			
$N_1 - 50, N_2 - 100, N_3 - 100$	$I_3 - 150, N_4 - 200, N_5$	5 - 250			$S_1 - 60 \times 75$ cm,	$S_2 - 90 \times 75 \text{ cm}$	, $S_3 - 120 \times 75$	cm	

Table 2: Effect of different row spacing and nitrogen levels on fresh calyces yield plant<sup>1</sup> and dry calyces yield plant<sup>1</sup> in roselle (*Hibiscus* ahdariffa yor sabdariffa

NS=Non-significant

### Table 3 : Effect of different row spacing and nitrogen levels on yield of fresh calyces plot<sup>-1</sup> (kg) and yield of dry calyces yield plot<sup>-1</sup> (kg) in roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa var. sabdariffa)

Treatments		Fresh calyces yie	eld plot <sup>-1</sup> (kg)			Dry calyces yi	eld plot <sup>-1</sup> (kg)	
Treatments	<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	$S_2$	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	Mean	$S_1$	$S_2$	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	Mear
N <sub>1</sub>	29.37	25.19	24.24	26.26	3.24	2.97	2.56	2.92
$N_2$	32.83	28.74	25.97	29.18	3.42	3.10	2.61	3.04
N <sub>3</sub>	37.85	31.80	31.56	33.73	4.02	2.99	3.75	3.58
$N_4$	40.7	35.20	35.96	37.30	5.04	4.42	4.91	4.79
N <sub>5</sub>	42.17	36.31	39.93	39.47	6.23	5.23	5.83	5.76
Mean	36.59	31.44	31.53	33.18	4.39	3.74	3.93	4.02
	S	N		S×N	S	N	r.	S×N
S.E. ± C.D. (P=0.05)	0.05 0.10	0.03 0.15	NS		0.05 0.10	0.03 0.15	NS	

Nitrogen levels (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) N<sub>1</sub> - 50, N<sub>2</sub> - 100, N<sub>3</sub> - 150, N<sub>4</sub> - 200, N<sub>5</sub> - 250

 $S_1 - 60 \times 75$  cm,  $S_2 - 90 \times 75$  cm,  $S_3 - 120 \times 75$  cm

NS=Non-significant

### Table 4: Effect of different row spacing and nitrogen levels on yield of fresh calyces ha<sup>-1</sup> and yield of dry calyces ha<sup>-1</sup> (t) in roselle (*Hibiscus* sabdariffa var. sabdariffa)

Treatments		Fresh calyces	yield ha <sup>-1</sup> (t)			Dry calyces y	vield ha <sup>-1</sup> (t)	
Treatments	<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	$S_2$	$S_3$	Mean	$S_1$	$S_2$	$S_3$	Mean
N1	10.88	9.33	8.98	9.73	1.20	1.10	0.95	1.09
N <sub>2</sub>	12.16	10.66	9.62	10.81	1.27	1.15	0.97	1.13
N <sub>3</sub>	14.02	11.78	11.69	12.49	1.49	1.11	1.39	1.33
$N_4$	15.09	13.04	13.32	13.81	1.87	1.64	1.82	1.77
N <sub>5</sub>	15.62	13.45	14.79	14.62	2.31	1.94	2.16	2.14
Mean	13.55	11.65	11.68	,	1.63	1.38	1.46	
	S	N		SxN	S	N		SxN
S.E. ± C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	1.37 2.76	NS		NS	0.173 NS 0.347 NS		NS
	1							
Nitrogen levels (kg h	,					Spacing		
$N_1 - 50, N_2 - 100, N_3$	$_3 - 150, N_4 - 200, N_3$	5 - 250			$S_1 - 60 \times 75$ cm,	$S_2 - 90 \times 75$ cm,	$S_3 - 120 \times 75$	5 cm

NS=Non-significant

Internat. J. agric. Sci. | Jan., 2015 | Vol. 11 | Issue 1 | 13-18 15 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute flowering and also resulted in more flower production when compared to other treatments (Table 1). This may be attributed to the fact that earlier plant vigour that could be stimulated by better absorption of abundant nutrients by growing plants results in advancing the flower production as suggested by Chauhan and Gupta (1973) and Mahabaleswar Hedge (1984). Further, delayed flowering with lower dose of fertilizers may be due to the non-physical maturity of the plants on account of poor vegetative growth as reported by Bharathipriyan (2007). The effect of N fertilizer on both earliness in flowering and number of flowers plant<sup>-1</sup> clearly indicated the importance of a balanced nutrition for certain critical stages of plant growth and development. Similar findings were reported by Mahabaleshwar Hedge (1984) and Ilangovan et al. (1990) in senna and Bharathipriyan (2007) in ambrette. The results of the present study revealed that the calyces harvested from plants grown at wider spacing of 120×75 cm were bigger in size and it was found to decrease with increase in plant population. Bigger size of calvces in wider spacing could be attributed to the overall superiority of wider spacing in

enhancing the vegetative parameters which was due to better utilization of light and soil moisture resulting in production of higher photosynthates and ultimately leading to increased calyx size. The decrease in the yield attributing parameters in closer spacing might be due to the higher inter-plant competition which limited the availability of nutrients and light. The fresh and dry calyx yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2) was also influenced by various spacing treatments. Plants spaced at 120×75 cm recorded the highest calvx yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. Increased calyx yield plant<sup>-1</sup> at wider spacing could be attributed to the superiority of wider spacing on the vegetative parameters and yield attributing components. The cumulative effect of all these had resulted in the production of higher calyx yield plant<sup>-1</sup> under wider spacing over closer spacing. Moreover, the yield of calyx plant<sup>-1</sup> decreased under closer spacing which could be mainly due to less light interception by the crowded canopy and consequent reduction in photosynthesis (Reddy, 1982). Because of competition among the plants for water, solar radiation and other factors in thickly populated treatments, the production of photosynthates was reduced which in turn,

	of different row spacing and nitrogen levels on seed yield in roselle ( <i>Hibiscus sabdariffa</i> var. <i>sabdariffa</i> ) Seed yield											
Treatments		Seed yield	plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)			Seed yield	l plot <sup>-1</sup> (kg	)		Seed yiel	ld ha <sup>-1</sup> (t)	
	$S_1$	<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	Mean	$S_1$	<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	Mean	$S_1$	$S_2$	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	Mear
$N_1$	156.10	169.87	134.72	153.56	7.47	6.10	4.02	5.86	2.77	2.26	1.49	2.17
$N_2$	187.67	153.67	187.70	176.34	9.09	5.50	5.61	6.73	3.37	2.04	2.08	2.49
N <sub>3</sub>	182.85	201.95	231.57	205.45	8.77	7.26	6.93	7.65	3.25	2.69	2.57	2.83
$N_4$	206.12	258.85	298.22	254.39	6.96	7.61	8.93	7.83	2.58	2.82	3.31	2.90
N <sub>5</sub>	162.70	224.07	241.50	209.42	7.80	8.04	7.23	7.69	2.89	2.98	2.68	2.85
Mean	179.08	201.68	218.74		8.01	6.90	6.54	7.15	2.97	2.55	2.42	
	S	N	SxN		5	N	Sx	N	S	N		SxN
S.E. ± C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	22.65 45.38	NS	0.2		0.29 0.59	N	5	0.22 0.45	0.29 0.59		NS
Nitrogen levels (k	(g ha <sup>-1</sup> )			-					Spacing			
$N_1 - 50, N_2 - 100$	, N <sub>3</sub> – 150, N	$I_4 - 200, N_5 -$	250				S <sub>1</sub> - 60 :	× 75 cm, S	<sub>2</sub> - 90 x 75 c	m, S <sub>3</sub> - 120	x 75 cm	

	row spacing and nitrogen levels on dry matter production (g plant <sup>-1</sup> ) in roselle ( <i>Hibiscus sabdariffa</i> var. <i>sabdariffa</i> Dry matter production (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )								
Treatments	<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	Mean					
N <sub>1</sub>	329.82	373.30	343.04	348.72					
$N_2$	362.21	406.50	383.92	384.21					
N <sub>3</sub>	382.25	444.98	426.24	417.82					
$N_4$	407.75	533.52	446.50	462.59					
N <sub>5</sub>	597.07	491.60	688.57	592.41					
Mean	415.82	449.98	457.65						
	·	S	N	S×N					
S.E. ±	,	13.64	17.60	30.50					
C.D. (P=0.05)	,	27.32	35.27	61.10					
Nitrogen levels (kg ha-1)			Spacing						
$N_1 - 50, N_2 - 100, N_3 - 150$	, N <sub>4</sub> – 200, N <sub>5</sub> - 250		$S_1 - 60 \times 75 \text{ cm}, S_2 - 90 \times 75 \text{ cm}$						

Internat. J. agric. Sci. | Jan., 2015| Vol. 11 | Issue 1 | 13-18 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

caused reduction in the vegetative and yield components. The results are in confirmity with the findings of Rao et al. (1981) and Saxena and Dutta (1985) in Dioscorea floribunda and Subbi Reddy and Krishnan (1991) in Solanum viarum. The yield and yield components like number of flowers, number of fruits, yield of calyces (Table 3, 4 and 5) were significantly influenced by the application of N @ 250 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Improved nutritional environment for growth and development of the crop resulted in enhancing the yield parameters. With the application of optimum doses of nitrogen, more leaves might have been produced, which in turn resulted in more photosynthesis, thereby increased the plant height, branching, leaf production, flower production and fruit production resulting in the production of more number of calvces and ultimately greater yield as opined by Amirthalingam (1988). The results are in conformity with the findings of Khan et al. (1995) in Solanum nigrum, Sundharaiya et al. (2000), Bhaskar et al. (2002) in Solanum viarum and Bharathipriyan (2007) in ambrette. With regard to the influence of spacing treatments on the dry matter production (Table 6), it was observed that the plants planted at wider spacing recorded higher dry matter production when compared to closer spacing. This may be due to the fact that at wider spacing, plants must have faced less competition for moisture and sunlight which was reflected in the production of higher number of branches, increased leaf production with maximum leaf area, which in turn led to increased dry matter production as suggested by Gangadharappa (2000).

In the present study, it was found that the dry matter production was significantly influenced by the application of optimum dose of nitrogen fertilizer. It was maximum with the application of N @ 250 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The increase in dry matter accumulation may be due to the fact that nitrogen brings about luxuriant growth and the increased uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by the crop resulted in increasing the vegetative growth characters such as plant height, number of branches, number of leaves, leaf area and greater accumulation of nutrients ultimately resulting in the higher yield, which in turn contributed to the higher plant dry weight. Similar results were obtained by Pareek *et al.* (1988) in Henbane and Prasad *et al.* (2002) in Gymnema.

The findings of this investigation clearly brought out that nitrogen at 250 kg per ha with  $120 \times 75$  cm spacing was beneficial for obtaining highest yield of dry calyces while, nitrogen at 200 kg per ha with a spacing of  $120 \times 75$  cm was suitable for getting higher seed yield ha<sup>-1</sup>.

### REFERENCES

Al-Kahtani, H.A. and Haasan, B.H. (1990). Spray drying of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) extract. J. Food Sci., **55** (4): 1073-1076.

**Amirthalingam, S.S. (1988).** Studies on the effect of *Azospirillum*, nitrogen and NAA on growth and yield of chilli cv. K<sup>-1</sup>. M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Madurai, T.N. (INDIA).

**Bharathipriyan, G. (2007).** Effect of different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and yield of ambrette (*Abelsmoschus moschatus*. Medic.). M.Sc. (Ag.) Hort. Thesis, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, T.N. (INDIA).

Bhaskar, S., Kumar, T.V. and Shivananda, T.V. (2002). Response of *Solanum viarum* to phosphorus and potassium application. *Indian. J. Agron.*, **47**(2): 294-297.

Bhuvaneswari, A.A. Farooqi, Sreeramu, B.S. and Srinivasappa, K.N. (2002). Influence of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on growth, seed yield and essential oil content in anise (*Pimpinella anisum* L.). J. Spices & Arom. Crops., **11**(2) : 112-117.

Bown, D. (1995). *Encyclopaedia of herbs and their uses*. Dorling Kindersley, London. 1995. ISBN 0-7513-020-31. 268-273pp.

Chauhan, D.S. and Gupta, M.L. (1973). Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash on growth and development of okra. *Indian J. Hort.*, **30** (1&2): 401-406.

Chopra, R.N., Nayar, S.L. and Chopra, I.C. (1986). *Glossary of Indian medicinal plants* (Including the supplement). Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi. 18 pp.

**Dasar, G.V. (2004).** Studies on graded levels of NPK on growth, yield and solasodine content of *Solanum viarum* Dunal in paddy fallows. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, KARNATAKA (INDIA).

Duke, J. (1983). Handbook of energy crops. 388-396pp.

El-Gamel, A. El-S., Omar, F.S. and Mahmoud, M.M. (1984). Effect of nitrogen fertilization on the growth and yield of *Hibiscus* sabdariffa L. Acta Hort., **144** : 135-144.

**El-Shafie, S.A., Mazrou, M.M., Eraki, M.A. and Saafan, S.A.** (1994). Physiological studies on roselle *Hibiscus sabdariffa* plants. (A) Effect of watering intervals, nitrogen fertilization and their combinations on the growth, yield and the uptake of some nutrient elements. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.*, **21**(1) : 209-226.

**Facciola, S. (1990).** *Cornucopia – A source book of edible plants.* Kampong Publications. ISBN 0-9620807-0-9. 116-118pp.

**Gangadharappa, P.M. (2000).** Effect of planting date, density and nutrition on growth and yield of *Solanum viarum* dunal. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, KARNATAKA (INDIA).

Hill, A.F. (1952). Economic botany. The Maple press. 123 pp.

**Ilangovan, R., Subbaiah, R. and Natarajan, S. (1990).** Influence of spacing, nitrogen and phosphorus on sennoside content in senna (*Cassia angustifolia* vahl.). *South Indian Hort.*, **39**(2): 113-116.

**Ken Fern (2000).** Notes from observations, tasting etc. at plants for a future and on field trips. 128pp.

Khan, M.M.A., Samiullah, S.H., Afaq, S.H. and Afridi, R.M. (1995). Response of black night shade (*Solanum nigrum* L.) to nitrogen application. *J. Agron. & Crop Sci.*, 174 (2):91-98.

Komarov, V.L. (1968). *Flora of the USSR*. Israel program for scientific translation. 26-35pp.

Internat. J. agric. Sci. | Jan., 2015 | Vol. 11 | Issue 1 | 13-18 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

Lakshmipathaiah, O.R., Farooqi, A.A. and Sreeramu, B.S. (1999). Influence of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on growth and yield of Babchi (*Psoralea corylifolia* L.). *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.*, **33** (4): 323-327.

**Mahabaleswar Hedge, P.K. (1984).** Studies on effect of N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  on growth and yield of ambrette (*Abelmoschus esculentus* Medic.). M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, KARNATAKA (INDIA).

Mahran, G.H., El-Hossary, G.A. and El-Laban, H.M. (1979). The effect of main nutrient elements on the growth and yield of roselle plant (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.). Acta Pharmaceutica Hungarica, **49**: 2-8.

Morton, J. (1987). Roselle. In: *Fruits of warm climates*. Julia F. Morton, Miami, FL. 281-286pp.

**Noggle, G.R. and Fritz, J.G. (1979).** Introductory Plant Physiology. Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi, 43-49pp.

Pareek, S.K., Kidwai, M.A., Saxena, R.K. and Gupta, R. (1988). Investigation on some agronomic inputs influencing yield of henbane. *Indian J. Agron.*, **33** (3) : 249-252.

Prasad, R., Annamalai, A., Murugesan, K. and Lalithakumari, D. (2002). Fertilizer optimization for biomass yield of *Gymnema* sylvestre (Meshashiringi). J. Med & Arom. Pl. Sci., 24 : 401-406.

**Purseglove, J.W. (1968).** *Tropical crops dicotylendons 2*. Longman Green Ltd., London. 370-372pp.

Ramesh, M.N., Farooqi, A.A. and Subbaiah, Thilak (1989).

Influence of sowing date and nutrients on growth and yield of isabgol (*Plantago ovata* Forsk.). *Crop. Res.*, **2**: 169-174.

Rao, G.G., Hedge, D.M., Selvaraj, Y., Subhash Chandra, M. and Randhawa, G.S. (1981). Studies on spacing requirement of medicinal yam (*Dioscorea floribunda*). *Indian Drugs*, 18 (9) : 319-321.

**Reddy, S.A. (1982).** Effect of high density planting on growth, yield and biomass production in Robusta bananas. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, KARNATAKA (INDIA).

Saxena, H.O. and Dutta, P.K. (1985). Effect of varying spacings on the tuber yield and diosgenin content in *Dioscorea floribunda*. *Indian Drugs*, **22** : 294-295.

Selvaraj, N. and Natarajan, S. (2002). Effect of spacing on the yield of pepino (*Solanum muricatum* Ait.). *South Indian Hort.*, **52** (1-6) : 355-357.

Subbi Reddy, G. and Krishnan, R. (1991). Growh behaviour of diploids and induced autotetraploids of *Solanum viarum* under different spacings. *Indian J. Agri. Sci.*, **61** (4) : 264-267.

Sundharaiya, K., Nainar, P., Ponnuswami, V., Jayajasmine, A. and Muthuswamy, M. (2000). Effect of inorganic nutrients and spacing on the yield of marunthukathiri (*Solanum khasianum* Clarke.). *South Indian J. Hort.*, **48**(1-6): 168-171.

**Upadhyay, K. and Misra, H. (1999).** Plant growth and Development. Tata Mc.graw Hill. Publishing Co. Ltd., NEW DELHI, INDIA.

11 th Year \*\*\*\* of Excellence\*\*\*\*