

Article history : Received : 25.01.2016 Revised : 14.04.2016 Accepted : 26.04.2016

#### Members of the Research Forum

Associated Authors:

<sup>1</sup>Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, GWALIOR (M.P.) INDIA

# Author for correspondence : MD. JAWED

Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, GWALIOR (M.P.) INDIA Email : mdjawed827@gmail.com THE ASIAN JOURNAL OF HORTICULTURE Volume 11 | Issue 1 | June, 2016 | 68-71 Visit us -www.researchjournal.co.in



DOI: 10.15740/HAS/TAJH/11.1/68-71

# Effect of foliar spray of zinc sulphate and gibberellic acid on growth and quality of guava G-27 (*Psidium guajava* L.)

# ■ MD. JAWED, R. LEKHI<sup>1</sup>, N. VASURE<sup>1</sup>, R. JATAV<sup>1</sup> AND S. KHAN<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT :** A field experiment was conducted at university guava orchard, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Gwalior (M.P.) during the year 2014-15. Guava plants were treated with zinc sulphate at 0.2 per cent, 0.3 per cent and 0.4 per cent and GA<sub>3</sub> at 30 ppm, 60 ppm and 90 ppm along with a control. Higher concentration of zinc sulphate (0.40%) and GA<sub>3</sub> (90 ppm) spray enhanced the tertiary shoot length (8.08 cm), Shoot diameter (4.26 mm) and number of leaves per shoot (7.10). The maximum fruit set (95.55%) and fruit retention (77.48%) was recorded with higher dose of zinc sulphate and GA<sub>3</sub>. The higher dose of zinc sulphate and GA<sub>3</sub> minimize the fruit drop (18.07%). Maximum TSS (11.65) was recorded in higher dose of zinc sulphate and GA<sub>4</sub>. Minimum acidity (0.20%) was recorded in higher dose.

KEY WORDS : Foliar spray, Zinc sulphate, GA<sub>2</sub>, Quality of guava

**HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE :** Jawed, Md., Lekhi, R., Vasure, N., Jatav, R. and Khan, S. (2016). Effect of foliar spray of zinc sulphate and gibberellic acid on growth and quality of guava G-27 (*Psidium guajava* L.). *Asian J. Hort.*, **11**(1): 68-71, **DOI : 10.15740/HAS/TAJH/11.1/68-71.** 

Given the second second

**RESEARCH PAPER** 

Micronutrient plays a vital role in growth and development of plants besides being improving the quality of the produce. Zinc (Zn) is an essential trace element for plants, being involved in many enzymatic reactions and is necessary for their good growth and development. Zinc is also involved in regulating the protein and carbohydrate metabolism (Swietlik, 2002). The plant growth regulators (PGR) act as messengers and are needed in small quantities at low concentrations. Plant growth regulators enhance the rapid changes in physiological and biochemical characters and improve crop productivity. Plant growth regulators play important role in fruit set, fruit production, fruit weight and fruit size without causing any adverse effect in fruit quality (Rao, 2001 and Tondon *et al.*, 1989). NAA induces more fruiting, promotes flowering, whereas,  $GA_3$  increases fruit retention. The guava orchards are declining in their productivity and one of the reasons for, decline could be the lack of application of optimum dose of micronutrients and plant growth regulators. There is an urgent need to find out appropriate dose of micronutrients and plant growth regulators for guava fruit crop to improve the productivity in this zone.

## **RESEARCH METHODS**

The experiment was conducted at Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Gwalior (M.P.), Department of Horticulture (Fruit Science) during 2014-2015. The experiment comprised of 16 treatments in all *viz.*, three concentration of each of zinc sulphate (0.2%, 0.3% and 0.4%) and GA<sub>3</sub> (30 ppm, 60 ppm, 90 ppm) and their combination along with a control replicated thrice under a Randomized Block Design. The required quantity of zinc sulphate mixture was prepared by directly mixing required quantity of zinc sulphate in water and spray solution used for spraying immediately after preparation. The stock solution of GA<sub>3</sub> was prepared by dissolving 1g of GA<sub>3</sub> in 50 ml of alcohol and added distilled water to make volume of 1 litre Zinc sulphate and GA<sub>3</sub> were sprayed on leaves on both the side using hand sprayer. Precautions were taken to avoid the drizzling of the on the other treatment. Vegetative observations were recorded by routine method and chemical estimations were done as per (A.O.A.C., 1970).

## **RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

All the treatment of zinc sulphate and  $GA_3$  significantly increase the tertiary shoot length as compared to control (Table 1), however, the highest tertiary shoot length was achieved, with the application of 0.4 per cent zinc sulphate + 90 ppm  $GA_3$  (8.08 cm) followed by treatment of 0.3 per cent zinc sulphate + 90 ppm  $GA_3$  in decreasing order. The foliar sprays of chemical *viz.*, Zn and  $GA_3$ , might have induced the synthesis of chlorophyll and thus lead to increase in chlorophyll content which in turn resulted in higher vegetative growth (Chhonkar and Singh 1981).

The maximum shoot diameter (4.26 mm) was recorded under treatment 0.4 per cent zinc sulphate + 90 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> while, the minimum shoot diameter (2.50 mm) noticed under control. The presence of zinc in chloroplast cell was also considered the possible causes of increased growth of plants (Wood and Sibley, 1950). The maximum number of leaves per shoot (7.10) was recorded under 0.4 per cent zinc sulphate + 90 ppm GA<sub>2</sub> followed by 0.3 per cent zinc sulphate + 90 ppm GA<sub>2</sub> while, the minimum number of leaves per shoot (3.27)noticed under control because the increase in number of leaves per shoot with Zn and GA<sub>2</sub> spray, this may be because Zn has an obvious affect on photosynthesis and GA<sub>2</sub> is indispensable for photosynthesis. Improvement in vegetative growth of this present findings also are in conformity with several workers (Ghosh, 1986; Dahiya et al., 1993 and Balakrishnan, 2001) in guava.

The chemical significantly increased the fruit set as compared to control (Table 2) however, the highest fruit set (95.86%) was obtained with the application of 0.2 per cent zinc sulphate + 90 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> followed by treatment of 0.4 per cent zinc sulphate + 90 ppm GA<sub>3</sub>. It has been early reported that fruit set in pear can be promoted with application of plant bio-regulators like GA<sub>3</sub>. The results shown that use of 0.4 per cent zinc sulphate + 90 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> minimized the fruit drop (18.07%) in guava. Whereas maximum fruit drop reported in control suggested that primitive effect of growth substances in

| Table 1 : Effect of ZnSO <sub>4</sub> and GA <sub>3</sub> sprays on tertiary shoot length, shoot diameter and number of leaves of guava trees |                       |                            |                |                         |  |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|--|
| Treatments  |                       | Tertiary shoot length (cm) | Shoot diameter | No. of leaves per shoot |  |
| $ZnSO_4$ (%)  | GA <sub>3</sub> (ppm) |                            | (mm)           |                         |  |
| 0   | 0                     | 3.70                       | 2.50           | 3.27                    |  |
| 0.2   | 0                     | 4.36                       | 2.61           | 3.29                    |  |
| 0.3   | 0                     | 4.49                       | 2.71           | 3.59                    |  |
| 0.3   | 0                     | 4.71                       | 2.82           | 3.80                    |  |
| 0   | 30                    | 4.84                       | 3.07           | 4.31                    |  |
| 0.2   | 30                    | 5.42                       | 3.36           | 4.31                    |  |
| 0.3   | 30                    | 5.46                       | 3.46           | 4.51                    |  |
| 0.4   | 30                    | 5.57                       | 3.15           | 4.53                    |  |
| 0   | 60                    | 5.69                       | 3.16           | 4.68                    |  |
| 0.2   | 60                    | 5.94                       | 3.42           | 4.80                    |  |
| 0.3   | 60                    | 6.10                       | 3.45           | 4.88                    |  |
| 0.4   | 60                    | 6.49                       | 3.39           | 4.89                    |  |
| 0   | 90                    | 6.49                       | 3.36           | 5.25                    |  |
| 0.2   | 90                    | 7.01                       | 3.44           | 5.36                    |  |
| 0.3   | 90                    | 7.34                       | 3.55           | 5.77                    |  |
| 0.4   | 90                    | 8.08                       | 4.26           | 7.10                    |  |
| C.D. (P=0.05)   |                       | 0.220                      | 0.027          | 0.221                   |  |

#### EFFECT OF FOLIAR SPRAY OF ZINC SULPHATE & GIBBERELLIC ACID ON GROWTH & QUALITY OF GUAVA G-27

| Table 2 : Effect of ZnSO <sub>4</sub> and GA <sub>3</sub> sprays on fruit set, fruit drop and fruit retention of guava trees. |                       |                |                 |                      |  |
|---|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|--|
| Treatments  |                       | Fruit set. (%) | Fruit drop. (%) | Fruit retention. (%) |  |
| $ZnSO_4$ (%)  | GA <sub>3</sub> (ppm) |                |                 |                      |  |
| 0   | 0                     | 86.74          | 43.29           | 43.45                |  |
| 0.2   | 0                     | 91.56          | 39.47           | 52.09                |  |
| 0.3   | 0                     | 91.35          | 36.53           | 54.82                |  |
| 0.3   | 0                     | 92.41          | 35.78           | 56.63                |  |
| 0   | 30                    | 91.77          | 31.93           | 59.83                |  |
| 0.2   | 30                    | 91.06          | 29.52           | 61.54                |  |
| 0.3   | 30                    | 92.25          | 28.28           | 63.97                |  |
| 0.4   | 30                    | 92.95          | 26.69           | 66.26                |  |
| 0   | 60                    | 93.46          | 25.97           | 67.50                |  |
| 0.2   | 60                    | 93.01          | 22.93           | 70.08                |  |
| 0.3   | 60                    | 94.40          | 23.39           | 71.01                |  |
| 0.4   | 60                    | 95.43          | 23.11           | 72.32                |  |
| 0   | 90                    | 95.17          | 21.94           | 73.22                |  |
| 0.2   | 90                    | 95.86          | 21.72           | 74.14                |  |
| 0.3   | 90                    | 95.28          | 19.36           | 75.92                |  |
| 0.4   | 90                    | 95.55          | 18.07           | 77.48                |  |
| C.D. (P=0.05)   |                       | 0.886          | 1.450           | 0.645                |  |

| Table 3 : Effect of ZnSO <sub>4</sub> and GA <sub>3</sub> sprays on TSS and acidity guava trees |                       |       |         |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------|-------|---------|--|--|--|
| Treatments  |                       | TSS   | Acidity |  |  |  |
| ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (%)   | GA <sub>3</sub> (ppm) |       |         |  |  |  |
| 0   | 0                     | 7.34  | 0.45    |  |  |  |
| 0.2   | 0                     | 7.46  | 0.42    |  |  |  |
| 0.3   | 0                     | 8.01  | 0.40    |  |  |  |
| 0.3   | 0                     | 8.59  | 0.39    |  |  |  |
| 0   | 30                    | 8.92  | 0.37    |  |  |  |
| 0.2   | 30                    | 10.78 | 0.35    |  |  |  |
| 0.3   | 30                    | 10.96 | 0.31    |  |  |  |
| 0.4   | 30                    | 11.00 | 0.29    |  |  |  |
| 0   | 60                    | 11.20 | 0.32    |  |  |  |
| 0.2   | 60                    | 11.38 | 0.26    |  |  |  |
| 0.3   | 60                    | 11.26 | 0.25    |  |  |  |
| 0.4   | 60                    | 11.38 | 0.26    |  |  |  |
| 0   | 90                    | 11.40 | 0.29    |  |  |  |
| 0.2   | 90                    | 11.15 | 0.24    |  |  |  |
| 0.3   | 90                    | 11.52 | 0.23    |  |  |  |
| 0.4   | 90                    | 11.65 | 0.20    |  |  |  |
| C.D. (P=0.05)   |                       | 0.237 | 0.009   |  |  |  |

greater retention of fruit may be attributed to reduction in fruit drop. In this study, this treatment when applied it caused higher increase in fruit retention (75.92%) compared to other treatment. The result are in conformity with those of who was observed that application of growth regulators like GA<sub>3</sub> and promalin had more consistent effect on fruit retention in pear.

Application of zinc sulphate, GA<sub>3</sub> and its combination increased the total soluble solid in fruits as compared to control (Table 3). The highest TSS (11.65) was found in fruit that treated with 0.4% zinc sulphate +90 ppm GA<sub>3</sub>. Similar results were also reported by (Arora and Singh, 1972 and Chaitanya et al., 1997) in guava. In the present investigation zinc sulphate applied alone or combination with  $GA_3$  increased acidity. Minimum acidity (0.20) observed under treatment 0.4% zinc sulphate + 90 ppm  $GA_3$  and maximum in control. These results are in accordance with the findings of (Brahmachari *et al.*, 1997) in guava where they reported minimum acidity with foliar application of  $GA_3$ . Maximum fruit volume (210.06) was observed under treatment 0.4% zinc sulphate + 90 ppm  $GA_3$  minimum in control.

#### REFERENCES

A.O.A.C. (1970). *Official method of analysis*. Analysis of the official and analytical chemists, Washington D.C. 8<sup>th</sup> Ed.

Arora, J.S. and Singh, J.R. (1972). Response of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) to boron spray. J. Jap. Soc. Hort. Sci., **41**: 239-244.

Balakrishnan, K. (2001). Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on guava. *Madras Agric. J.*, 88 (4/6): 316-317.

**Brahmachari, V.S., Kumar, Naresh and Kumar, Rajesh (1997).** Effect of foliar feeding of calcium, potassium and growth substances on yield and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, **26** (3/4) : 169-173.

Chaitanya, C.G., Kumar, G., Rana, B.L. and Muthew, A.K. (1997). Effect of foliar application of zinc and boron on yield

and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. L-49. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, **26**(1-2): 78-80.

**Chhonkar, V.S. and Singh, P.N. (1981).** Effect of zinc ,boron and molybdum as foliar sprays on the growth, flowering, fruiting and yield of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. ALLAHABAD SAFEDA. Naitonal symposium on tropical and sub tropical fruit crops, Banglore. 88 p.

Dahiya, S.S., Joon, M.S. and Daulta, B.S. (1993). Effect of foliar application of micro-nutrients on yield and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. L-49. *Indian J. Trop. Agric.*, **11** (4): 284-286.

**Ghosh, S.N. (1986).** Effect of magnesium, zinc and manganese on yield and fruit quality of guava cv. LUCKNOW-49. *South Indian J. Hort.*, **34** (5): 327-330.

Rao, M.M. (2001). Use of bio regulators in the production of some tropical and subtropical fruits. *Indian J. Hort.*, **50** : 70-77.

**Swietlik, D. (2002).** Zinc nutrition of fruit trees by foliar sprays. (International symposium on foliar nutrition of perennial fruits plants). *Acta Hort.*, **132**: 452-458.

Tondon, D.K., Singh, B.P. and Kalra, S.K. (1989). Strong behaviour and specific gravity of guava fruits. *Sci. Hort.*, **41** : 35-41.

Wood, J.G. and Sibley, P.M. (1950). The distribution on zinc in oat plants. *Ans. J. Sci. Res. Series- B. Biol. Sci.*, **3** : 14-27.

