

Article history : Received : 02.02.2016 Revised : 20.04.2016 Accepted : 30.04.2016

#### Members of the Research Forum

Associated Authors: <sup>1</sup>Department of Vegetable Science, C.C.S. Haryana Agricultural University, HISAR (HARYANA) INDIA

#### Author for correspondence : TARIQUE ASLAM

Department of Vegetable Science, C.C.S. Haryana Agricultural University, HISAR (HARYANA) INDIA

THE ASIAN JOURNAL OF HORTICULTURE Volume **11** | Issue 1 | June, 2016 | 96-100



DOI: 10.15740/HAS/TAJH/11.1/96-100

# **RESEARCH PAPER**

# Evaluation of garlic (Allium sativum L.) genotypes for yield and yield attributing traits under semi arid zone of Haryana (Hisar)

# ■ TARIQUE ASLAM, B.S. DUDI<sup>1</sup>, A.K. PANDAV<sup>1</sup> AND M.K. RANA<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT: The investigation was carried out at Research Farm of the Department of Vegetable Science, C.C.S. Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar during spring Rabi season of 2014-15. The data exhibited significant variation in 25 genotypes for different characters. The maximum plant height (95.5 cm) was recorded in genotype HG 4 and lowest recorded (63.23 cm) in HG 8. The maximum number of leaves per plant was observed in GRS 1349 and minimum in CGSD 1249. The minimum polar diameter of the bulb was recorded with the genotype GRS 1330 and maximum polar diameter of bulb with genotype HG 2. The highest and lowest equatorial diameter of bulb was recorded with genotype BGSD 1232 and HG 6, respectively. The bulbs of genotype BGSD 1230 (51.6 g) were heaviest among the genotypes and HG 5 produced the lightest bulbs (18.5 g). Number of cloves per bulb ranged from 21.9-44.4 and general mean was 33.7. The genotype GRS 1340 produced the maximum number of cloves per bulb (44.4) and the minimum number of cloves per bulb was recorded with genotype GRS 1349 (21.9). Average weight of cloves was recorded maximum in genotype GRS 1349 (108.8 g) and minimum in genotype CGSD 1232 (30 g). The highest yield was recorded with genotype GRS 1349 (140.27 q), while the minimum yield was observed in genotype GRS 1328 (55.13 q).

KEY WORDS : Garlic, Genotype, Bulb yield

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE : Aslam, Tarique, Dudi, B.S., Pandav, A.K. and Rana, M.K. (2016). Evaluation of garlic (Allium sativum L.) genotypes for yield and yield attributing traits under semi arid zone of Haryana (Hisar). Asian J. Hort., 11(1): 96-100, DOI: 10.15740/HAS/TAJH/11.1/96-100.

arlic (Allium sativum L.) is a member of Alliaceae family and is the second most important widely cultivated bulbous crops after onion. It is originated from the progenitor Allium longicuspis and its center of origin is Central Asia (Mc Collum, 1976). Of the two botanical varieties, sativum and ophioscorodon, former is of commercial importance and characterized by a bulb with many white or pink blushed cloves along with weak and sterile flower stalk, if it bolts at all (Jones and Mann, 1963). It exhibits a wide range of diversity in morphological, reproductive and quality traits (Senula and Keller, 2000) because of its apomictic nature, which leads to the existence of extensive spontaneous mutations (Ata, 2005).

Garlic is a rich source of carbohydrates (29%), proteins (6.30%), minerals (0.30%), and essential oils (0.1-0.4%) and a fair source of fat, vitamin C and sulphur (Memane et al., 2008). Its nutritive value is very high among bulbous crops (Pandey, 1997). Its unique characteristic flavour and pungency is due to the presence of diallyl disulphide, which is an odoriferous sulphur compound (Natale et al., 2005). Besides, it has several medicinal properties such as antibacterial (Arora and Kaur, 1999), antifungal (Hughes and Lawson, 1991), antiviral (Meng *et al.*, 1993), antiprotozoa properties (Reuter *et al.*, 1996) and antioxidant and anticancer properties (Harris *et al.*, 2001). Therefore, its medicinal significance has increased to the extent that its oil capsules are now marketed through pharmacies and health food stores (Rahim and Fordham, 1994). Much of the literature on garlic as medicine has been cited in most of the religious epics and Vedas.

India ranks second to China in area and production of garlic but ranks 74th in terms of productivity. In India, the area under garlic during the year 2013–14 was 2.31 lakh hectares and production of 12.52 lakh tonnes with average productivity of 5.4 t/ha. Among different states in India, Madhya Pradesh followed by Rajasthan, Gujarat and Uttar Pradesh are the leading states where Madhya Pradesh alone accounts for more than 27 per cent of the area and 21 per cent of the production with an average yield of 4.5 t/ha (Anonymous, 2014).

The production and productivity not only depend on area and cultural practices but also depend on improved high yielding genotypes and environmental conditions (Lawande *et al.*, 2009). The lack of genotypes with high yield with better storage potential is the main constraint limiting the productivity in India, which is why, the average productivity of garlic in India is very low compared to other countries. Therefore, the present investigations were undertaken to select genotypes having high bulb yield with large sized cloves per bulb.

# **RESEARCH METHODS**

The experimental materials consisted of 25 genotypes of garlic maintained at research farm and laboratory of the Department of Vegetable Science, C.C.S. Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar. The genotypes were planted on last week of October 2014 in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. The cloves were planted manually in flat beds of 3x2 m size at a spacing of 15x10 cm. The bulbs were harvested on last week of April. The observations were recorded at maximum growth stage on randomly selected 10 plants in each replications for all the characters viz., plant height (cm), number of leaves per plant, leaf length (cm), leaf width (cm), pseudostem length (cm), polar and equatorial diameter of bulb (cm), average bulb weight (g), number of cloves per bulb, average weight of cloves (g), total yield (q/ha) and marketable yield (q/ha). The statistical analysis was carried out by using OPSTAT statistical analysis tool (www.hau.ernet.

| Table A : List of genotypes evaluated during the study |          |         |           |  |  |  |
|--|----------|---------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Sr. No.  | Genotype | Sr. No. | Genotype  |  |  |  |
| 1.   | HG 1     | 14.     | GRS 1340  |  |  |  |
| 2.   | HG 2     | 15.     | GRS 1345  |  |  |  |
| 3.   | HG 3     | 16.     | GRS 1349  |  |  |  |
| 4.   | HG 4     | 17.     | BGSD 1222 |  |  |  |
| 5.   | HG 5     | 18.     | BGSD 1225 |  |  |  |
| 6.   | HG 6     | 19.     | BGSD 1230 |  |  |  |
| 7.   | HG 7     | 20.     | BGSD 1232 |  |  |  |
| 8.   | HG 8     | 21.     | CGSD 1232 |  |  |  |
| 9.   | HG 17    | 22.     | CGSD 1247 |  |  |  |
| 10.  | HG 27    | 23.     | CGSD 1249 |  |  |  |
| 11.  | GRS 1328 | 24.     | CGSD 1252 |  |  |  |
| 12.  | GRS 1330 | 25.     | CGSD 1265 |  |  |  |
| 13.  | GRS 1332 |         |           |  |  |  |

in).

# **RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

Significant variations were observed for different characters. The mean performance of different genotypes for growth and yield attributing characters are presented in Table 1 and 2. The plant height ranged from 63.23 to 95.5 cm with overall mean value of 78.16 cm. The maximum plant height (95.5 cm) was recorded in genotype HG 4 followed by HG 2 (86.73 cm) and GRS 1349 (86.80 cm). The lowest plant height was recorded (63.23 cm) in HG 8 followed by CGSD 1249 (65.93 cm) and HG 7 (68.26 cm). The number of leaves per plant ranged from 7 to 14.3 with a mean value of 10.77. The maximum number of leaves per plant was observed in GRS 1349 and minimum in CGSD 1249. The remaining genotypes were having 9 to 12 leaves per plant. Significant differences were recorded among the genotypes with respect to leaf length, which ranged from 29.53 (genotype BGSD 1222) to 42.16 (genotype HG 7) cm. The range for leaf width was 1.36-3.55 cm with general mean 2.24 cm. The maximum leaf width was recorded with genotype GRS 1349 (3.55 cm), while the minimum leaf width (1.36 cm) with genotype CGSD 1249 followed by the genotype BGSD 1225 (1.86 cm) and BGSD 1230 (1.89 cm). The pseudostem length ranged from 16.7-38.08 cm. The genotype BGSD 1230 had the maximum pseudostem length (38.08 cm), followed by the genotype BGSD 1222 (34.16 cm), while the minimum pseudostem length was registered with the genotype HG 5 (16.7 cm) followed by HG 8(17.26cm) and HG6 (18.6 cm). The general mean for pseudostem length was 28.60 cm.

There was significant difference among the genotypes for polar diameter of the bulb, which ranged from 2.85 to 5.38 cm with a mean value of 4.05 cm. The minimum polar diameter of the bulb was recorded with the genotype GRS 1330 and maximum polar diameter of bulb with genotype HG 2. The equatorial diameter of bulb ranged from 3.02-4.96 cm and the general mean for equatorial diameter of bulb was 4.09 cm. The highest equatorial diameter of bulb was recorded with genotype BGSD 1232 (4.96 cm), followed by genotype BGSD 1222 (4.91 cm) and GRS 1340 (4.74 cm), whereas, the minimum equatorial diameter of bulb was observed in genotype HG 6 (3.02 cm). The range and general mean for average bulb weight was recorded 18.5-51.6 and 32.9 g, respectively. The bulbs of genotype BGSD 1230 (51.6 g) were heaviest among the genotypes, followed by GRS 1349 (50.7 g) and HG 27 (48.7 g). The genotype HG 5 produced the lightest bulbs (18.5 g), followed by the genotype GRS 1328 (20.4 g) and CGSD 1247 (20.43 g). Number of cloves per bulb ranged from 21.9-44.4 and general mean was 33.7. The genotype GRS 1340 produced the maximum number of cloves per bulb (44.4) followed by the genotype HG 17 (43.1) and BGSD 1232 (41.8). The minimum number of cloves per bulb was recorded with genotype GRS 1349 (21.9) followed by the genotype GRS 1328 (22.2) and CGSD 1232 (25.9). Average weight of cloves was recorded maximum in genotype GRS 1349 (108.8 g) and minimum in genotype CGSD 1232 (30 g) followed by the genotype CGSD 1247 (32.5 g), GRS 1330 (33.7 g), BGSD 1230 (34 g) and BGSD 1225 (35.7 g). The range and general mean for average weight of clove was observed as 30-108.8 g and 52.5 g, respectively.

There was significant variation among the genotypes for total yield and marketable yield. The

| Table 1 : Mean performance of 25 garlic genotypes for growth characters |                   |                         |                 |                 |                         |  |  |  |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Genotypes   | Plant height (cm) | No. of leaves per plant | Leaf length(cm) | Leaf width (cm) | Pseudo-stem length (cm) |  |  |  |
| HG1   | 73.733            | 9.800                   | 31.933          | 2.420           | 24.533                  |  |  |  |
| HG2   | 86.733            | 9.300                   | 33.033          | 1.943           | 31.600                  |  |  |  |
| HG3   | 82.167            | 10.333                  | 36.333          | 2.327           | 23.467                  |  |  |  |
| HG4   | 95.500            | 9.867                   | 32.333          | 2.680           | 31.567                  |  |  |  |
| HG5   | 76.900            | 9.733                   | 36.367          | 2.393           | 16.700                  |  |  |  |
| HG6   | 70.567            | 10.500                  | 38.900          | 1.743           | 18.600                  |  |  |  |
| HG7   | 68.267            | 10.933                  | 42.167          | 2.147           | 21.200                  |  |  |  |
| HG8   | 63.233            | 9.667                   | 37.133          | 2.830           | 17.267                  |  |  |  |
| HGuu 17   | 77.933            | 11.067                  | 33.833          | 2.187           | 24.800                  |  |  |  |
| HG27  | 82.067            | 11.733                  | 35.233          | 2.200           | 33.433                  |  |  |  |
| GRS 1328  | 74.133            | 9.733                   | 33.733          | 1.983           | 30.500                  |  |  |  |
| GRS 1330  | 88.933            | 11.267                  | 38.833          | 2.223           | 29.700                  |  |  |  |
| GRS 1332  | 84.300            | 11.400                  | 37.900          | 2.187           | 31.167                  |  |  |  |
| GRS 1340  | 75.167            | 10.167                  | 36.933          | 2.150           | 33.833                  |  |  |  |
| GRS 1345  | 79.100            | 12.733                  | 40.633          | 2.307           | 33.667                  |  |  |  |
| GRS 1349  | 86.800            | 14.300                  | 41.833          | 3.557           | 31.700                  |  |  |  |
| BGSD 1222   | 84.467            | 12.433                  | 29.533          | 2.067           | 34.167                  |  |  |  |
| BGSD 1225   | 72.667            | 8.467                   | 35.233          | 1.867           | 28.800                  |  |  |  |
| BGSD 1230   | 85.467            | 12.700                  | 31.233          | 1.893           | 38.067                  |  |  |  |
| BGSD 1232   | 80.267            | 10.967                  | 30.967          | 2.367           | 29.600                  |  |  |  |
| CGSD 1232   | 74.933            | 10.233                  | 32.067          | 1.933           | 31.500                  |  |  |  |
| CGSD 1247   | 67.800            | 9.967                   | 32.700          | 2.370           | 33.300                  |  |  |  |
| CGSD1249  | 65.933            | 7.000                   | 31.600          | 1.367           | 26.867                  |  |  |  |
| CGSD 1252   | 79.367            | 12.233                  | 41.867          | 2.393           | 30.200                  |  |  |  |
| CGSD 1265   | 77.767            | 12.933                  | 39.433          | 2.467           | 28.900                  |  |  |  |
| Mean  | 78.168            | 10.779                  | 35.671          | 2.240           | 28.605                  |  |  |  |
| C.D. (P=0.05)   | 5.217             | 2.066                   | 4.135           | 0.203           | 4.894                   |  |  |  |
| CV (%)  | 4.051             | 11.638                  | 7.039           | 5.514           | 10.389                  |  |  |  |

Asian J. Hort., 11(1) June, 2016 :96-100 Mind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

general mean for total yield was 106.01 q and it ranged from 55.13 to 140.27 q. The highest yield was recorded with genotype GRS 1349 (140.27 q), while the minimum yield was observed in genotype GRS 1328 (55.13 q) followed by CGSD 1249 (66.6 q) and CGSD 1232 (70.3 q). The general mean for marketable yield was 103.9 q and it ranged from 53.4 to 138.5 q. The highest marketable yield was recorded in genotype GRS 1349 (138.5 q), while the minimum yield was observed in genotype GRS 1328 (53.4 q) followed by CGSD 1249 (64.9 q) and CGSD 1232 (68.3 q). These results are in agreement with findings of Futane et al. (2006) and Singh and Chand (2003) who evaluated the performance of garlic genotypes for plant height, number of leaves per plant, bulb fresh weight, bulb diameter, number of cloves per bulb, 100 cloves weight, clove length, clove thickness, total soluble solids and bulb yield. The results are also in consonance with the finding of Singh and Tiwari (1995) and Zahedi et al. (2007) who showed that all of the morphological characters in garlic genotypes were different from each other and differ significantly for bulb vield.

After studying growth and yield attributing characters of different garlic genotypes, it can be concluded that there was wide variation for different traits and genotype GRS 1349 may be promising one for the farmers of Hisar as well as semi arid region of Haryana. Beside, the other germplasm like HG 27 and BGSD 1230 have shown great promise for this region. Hence, there is a great possibility of improvement in attributes of this valuable vegetable crop.

| Table 2 : Mean per | formance of 25 garl               | lic genotypes for                      | yield attributing             | g characters                    |                                 |                       |                            |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Genotypes          | Polar<br>diameter of<br>bulb (cm) | Equatorial<br>diameter of<br>bulb (cm) | Average<br>bulb weight<br>(g) | Number of<br>cloves per<br>bulb | Average weight<br>of cloves (g) | Total yield<br>(q/ha) | Marketable yield<br>(q/ha) |
| HG1                | 3.683                             | 3.566                                  | 30.700                        | 30.567                          | 54.400                          | 100.933               | 98.633                     |
| HG2                | 5.380                             | 4.063                                  | 41.333                        | 40.833                          | 43.867                          | 113.000               | 111.367                    |
| HG3                | 4.136                             | 3.723                                  | 36.367                        | 34.033                          | 43.433                          | 104.733               | 102.400                    |
| HG4                | 4.183                             | 3.766                                  | 24.067                        | 28.567                          | 64.067                          | 89.800                | 86.867                     |
| HG5                | 4.023                             | 3.643                                  | 18.500                        | 28.933                          | 72.667                          | 93.200                | 91.300                     |
| HG6                | 3.543                             | 3.020                                  | 22.767                        | 30.667                          | 74.800                          | 101.200               | 99.000                     |
| HG7                | 3.856                             | 3.160                                  | 26.967                        | 31.833                          | 61.100                          | 96.400                | 94.900                     |
| HG8                | 3.953                             | 3.396                                  | 19.600                        | 31.433                          | 76.033                          | 92.067                | 89.967                     |
| HG17               | 4.026                             | 4.593                                  | 40.400                        | 39.733                          | 41.567                          | 120.200               | 117.733                    |
| HG27               | 4.156                             | 4.733                                  | 48.767                        | 43.133                          | 40.933                          | 132.000               | 129.000                    |
| GRS 1328           | 4.193                             | 3.550                                  | 20.400                        | 22.267                          | 51.933                          | 55.130                | 53.440                     |
| GRS 1330           | 2.856                             | 3.656                                  | 24.933                        | 39.500                          | 33.767                          | 122.843               | 120.567                    |
| GRS 1332           | 3.560                             | 3.896                                  | 24.100                        | 33.900                          | 36.900                          | 124.110               | 122.167                    |
| GRS 1340           | 3.573                             | 4.740                                  | 23.367                        | 44.400                          | 84.333                          | 108.373               | 106.667                    |
| GRS 1345           | 4.053                             | 4.403                                  | 25.933                        | 34.033                          | 42.467                          | 122.037               | 120.333                    |
| GRS 1349           | 5.206                             | 4.396                                  | 50.733                        | 21.900                          | 108.867                         | 140.270               | 138.500                    |
| BGSD 1222          | 4.423                             | 4.060                                  | 42.200                        | 30.700                          | 39.833                          | 121.333               | 119.167                    |
| BGSD 1225          | 3.626                             | 4.916                                  | 39.100                        | 28.267                          | 35.733                          | 112.067               | 109.767                    |
| BGSD 1230          | 4.526                             | 4.823                                  | 46.967                        | 31.233                          | 34.067                          | 131.400               | 128.800                    |
| BGSD 1232          | 4.300                             | 4.960                                  | 51.600                        | 41.800                          | 41.633                          | 117.467               | 115.800                    |
| CGSD 1232          | 4.123                             | 4.650                                  | 40.367                        | 25.933                          | 30.000                          | 70.333                | 68.333                     |
| CGSD 1247          | 3.323                             | 4.046                                  | 20.433                        | 32.433                          | 32.500                          | 99.057                | 96.700                     |
| CGSD1249           | 3.573                             | 4.150                                  | 40.733                        | 37.100                          | 44.500                          | 66.633                | 64.933                     |
| CGSD 1252          | 4.596                             | 4.330                                  | 32.333                        | 39.900                          | 60.900                          | 109.267               | 106.433                    |
| CGSD 1265          | 4.560                             | 4.096                                  | 31.433                        | 40.433                          | 64.300                          | 106.467               | 105.000                    |
| Mean               | 4.056                             | 4.093                                  | 32.964                        | 33.741                          | 52.584                          | 106.013               | 103.911                    |
| C.D. (P=0.05)      | 4.069                             | 2.606                                  | 4.318                         | 4.311                           | 4.524                           | 8.229                 | 7.856                      |
| CV (%)             | 6.091                             | 3.866                                  | 7.955                         | 7.758                           | 5.225                           | 4.714                 | 4.591                      |

Asian J. Hort., 11(1) June, 2016 :96-100 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

### REFERENCES

Anonymous (2014). Indian Horticulture Database-2014. National Horticulture Board, Gurgaon, Haryana, India, 6 p.

Arora, S.D. and Kaur, J. (1999). Antimicrobial activity of spices. *Internat. J. Antimicrobial Agents*, **12**(3): 257-262.

Ata, A.M. (2005). Constitutive heterochromatin diversification of two *Allium* species cultivated in Egypt. In: Proceedings of the 7th African Crop Science Society Conference, Kampala, Uganda. pp. 225-231.

Futane, N.W., Jogdande, N.D., Gonge, V.S., Warade, A.D. and Khandagal, S.S. (2006). Evaluation of garlic genotypes. *Internat. J. Agric. Sci.*, 2(1): 2-5.

Harris, J.C., Cottrell, S.L., Plummer, S. and Lloyd, D. (2001). Antimicrobial properties of *Allium sativum* L. (garlic). *Application Microbiol.* & *Biotechnol.*, **57** : 282-286.

Hughes, B.G. and Lawson, L.D. (1991). Antimicrobial effect of *Allium sativum* L. (garlic), *Allium ampeloprasum* (elephant garlic) and *Allium cepa* L. (onion), garlic compound and commercial garlic supplement products. *Phytotherapy Res.*, 5(4): 154-158.

Jones, H.A. and Mann, L.K. (1963). *Onion and their allies*. Interscience Publishers Inc., New York, USA, 32 pp.

Lawande, K.E., Khar, A., Mahajan, V., Srinivas, P.S., Sankar, V. and Singh, R.P. (2009). Onion and garlic research in India. *J. Hort. Sci.*, 4(2): 91-119.

McCollum, G.D. (1976). Onion and allies. In: *Evolution of Crop Plants* (Ed. Simmonds, N.W.). Longman Press, New York, USA, pp. 186-190.

Memane, P.G., Tomar, R.S., Kakade, D.K., Kulkarni, G.U. and Chovatia, R.S. (2008). Effect of clove weight and plant growth regulators on growth and yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) cv. GG 3. *Asian J. Hort.*, **3**(1): 82-86.

Meng, Y., Lu, D., Guo, N., Zhang, L. and Zhou, G. (1993). Anti-

HCMV effect of garlic components. *Virologica Sinica.*, **8**: 147-150.

Natale, P.J., Camargo, A. and Gãlmarjni, C.R. (2005). Characterization of argentine garlic cultivars by their pungency. *Acta Hort.*, **688**: 313-316.

**Pandey, U.B.** (1997). *Garlic cultivation in India*. National Horticultural Research and Development Foundation, Nasik, Technical Bulletin No.7. 50 p.

Rahim, M.A. and Fordham, R. (1994). Control of bulbing in garlic. *Acta Hort.*, **358**: 369.

**Reuter, H.D., Koch, H.P. and Lawson, L.D. (1996).** Therapeutic effects and applications of garlic and its preparations. In: *Garlic: The science and therapeutic applications of Allium sativum* L. *and related species* (Eds. Koch, H.P. and Lawson, L.D.). Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore. pp. 135-213.

Senula, A. and Keller, R.J. (2000). Morphological characterization of a garlic core collection and establishment of a virus-free *in vitro* gene bank. *Allium Improvement Newsletter*, **10** (3-5).

Singh, M.C. and Tiwari, R.S. (1995). Yield and quality attributes of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) genotypes. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, 24(1): 46-49.

Singh, Y. and Chand, R. (2003). Performance studies of some garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) clones. *Himachal J. Agric. Res.*, 29(1&2): 35-42.

Zahedi, B., Kashi, A.K., Zamani, Z., Mosahebi, G.H. and Hassani, M. (2007). Evaluation of Iranian garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) genotypes using multivariate analysis methods based on morphological characters. *Biotechnol.*, **6**:353-356.

## WEBLIOGRAPHY:

Sheoran, O.P. (2006). Online statistical analysis tool (OPSTAT). *http:// 14.139.232.166/ opstat/ index.asp.* 

11<sup>th</sup> Year \*\*\*\* of Excellence \*\*\*\*