

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Effect of seed priming on yield and yield components of soybean

■ KUNAL V. JADHAV, N.V. KAYANDE, M.R. WANDHARE AND D.S. PHAD

## SUMMARY

The results exhibited that seeds primed with  $\text{CaCl}_2$  @ 1% ( $T_3$ ) and  $\text{GA}_3$  @ 500ppm ( $T_4$ ) recorded significantly higher germination percentage *i.e.* 84.67 per cent and 83.33 per cent, respectively over the untreated control  $T_1$  (76.00%). Treatment  $T_3$  recorded higher number of initial plant stand (117 plants), followed by treatment  $T_4$  (111 plants) and treatment  $T_5$  (111 plants). This may likely contributed for boosting up economic yield in soybean cultivar, JS-335. The seed priming significantly influenced the seed yield and yield contributing characters of soybean. Highest value for seed yield per hectare was recorded by treatment  $T_3$   $\text{CaCl}_2$  @ 1% (20.12 qt/ha) followed by treatment  $T_4$  -  $\text{GA}_3$  @ 50 ppm (19.02 qt/ha),  $T_5$  -  $\text{KNO}_3$  @ 1% (18.35 qt/ha). All other treatments recorded higher yield than untreated control (14.05 qt/ha) showing to the corresponding favourable improvement in number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, test weight (g), seed yield per plot (g), seed yield per Ha (q).

**Key Words :** Seed priming, Yield, Components of soybean

**How to cite this article :** Jadhav, Kunal V., Kayande, N.V., Wandhare, M.R. and Phad, D.S. (2017). Effect of seed priming on yield and yield components of soybean. *Internat. J. Plant Sci.*, 12 (1): 15-20, DOI: 10.15740/HAS/IJPS/12.1/15-20.

**Article chronicle :** Received : 07.07.2016; Revised : 07.11.2016; Accepted : 03.12.2016

The improvement in seed quality in soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merrill] by priming treatments is attributed to primary reduction of lipid peroxidation and quantitative changes in biochemical activities inducing greater amylase activity increasing per

cent sugar during seed germination. Availability of good quality seed is the first and foremost requirement to achieve impact of high yielding variety. Rapid germination and emergence is an important factor of successful establishment. It is reported that the seed priming is one of the most important developments to help rapid and uniform germination and emergence of seeds and to increase seed tolerance to adverse environmental conditions (Heydecker and Coolbear, 1977 and Harris *et al.*, 1999). Seed priming has presented promising and even surprising results, for many seeds including the legume seeds (Bradford, 1986).

The advantage of seed priming in reducing the germination time and improving emergence uniformity is well established under laboratory conditions. The direct benefits of seed priming in all crops included: faster

MEMBERS OF THE RESEARCH FORUM

**Author to be contacted :**

N.V. KAYANDE, Department of Agriculture Botany, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, AKOLA (M.S.) INDIA

**Email:** navinchandra9981@gmail.com

**Address of the Co-authors:**

KUNAL V. JADHAV AND M.R. WANDHARE, Department of Agriculture Botany, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, AKOLA (M.S.) INDIA

D.S. PHAD, College of Agriculture, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, AKOLA (M.S.) INDIA

emergence, better, more and uniform stands, less need to re-sow, more vigorous plants, better drought tolerance, earlier flowering, earlier harvest and higher grain yield. The indirect benefits reported were: earlier sowing of crops, earlier harvesting of crops and increased willingness to use of fertilizer because of reduce risk of crop failure. Park *et al.* (1997) reported that priming aged seeds of soybean resulted in good germination and stand establishment in the field trials. Kazem *et al.* (2012) were reported that priming enhanced grains per plant, grain yield per plant and per unit area. The direct benefits of seed priming in all crops included: faster emergence, better, more and uniform stands, less need to re-sow, more vigorous plants, better drought tolerance, earlier flowering, earlier harvest and higher grain yield. The indirect benefits reported were: earlier sowing of crops, earlier harvesting of crops and increased willingness to use of fertilizer because of reduce risk of crop failure (Harris *et al.*, 2001 a and b). This crop is, therefore, exposed many times to moisture and nutrient stresses during or immediately after germination. Considering the beneficial effects of seed priming on moisture use efficiency and seed quality parameters like, germination and vigour which help in maintenance of optimum plant population and to obtain expected yield level the present study was undertaken with the objectives as to study the effect of seed priming on field emergence of soybean and to find out the effect of seed priming on crop growth, yield contributing character and yield of soybean.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at PGI farm, Department of Agricultural Botany and Seed

Technology Research Unit (STRU), Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola. in *Kharif* 2015 with spacing 45cm×10cm. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with eight treatments and three replications. Freshly harvested seeds of soybean variety JS-335 were obtained from Seed Technology Research Unit, Dr. PDKV, Akola. The collected soybean seeds of variety JS-335 were treated with growth regulators and chemicals as detailed below.

| Treatments   |
|--|
| T <sub>1</sub> : Control   |
| T <sub>2</sub> : Hydro priming- for 2hr                                  |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Seed priming with calcium chloride - @ 1% for 2hrs.     |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Seed priming with gibberellic acid -@ 50 ppm for 2hrs.  |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Seed priming with potassium nitrate- @1% for 2hrs.      |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Seed treatment with <i>Trichoderma viride</i> - @ 0.5%  |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Seed treatment with <i>Rhizobium</i> culture - @ 2.0 %. |
| T <sub>8</sub> : Seed treatment with Carbendazim-@ 0.2%.                 |

The observations were recorded on various parameters, *i.e.* seed quality parameters (germination %, seedling length, seedling dry weight, vigour index I and vigour index II), field emergence, morphological characters like initial plant stand, plant height at flowering, days to 50 per cent flowering, seed yield per plant, seed yield per ha. The data collected from the experiment was analyzed statistically by Randomized Block Design as per procedure given by Panse and Sukhatme (1967).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads :

| Table 1: Effect of seed invigoration on seed germination, seedling length(cm) , seedling dry weight (g) in soybean |                        |                      |                         |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
|  | Germination percentage | Seedling length (cm) | Seedling dry weight (g) |
| T <sub>1</sub> : Control   | 76.00                  | 23.07                | 0.813                   |
| T <sub>2</sub> : Seed priming with water @ 2 hrs   | 80.67                  | 25.73                | 0.843                   |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Seed priming with CaCl <sub>2</sub> @ 1% for 2 hrs  | 84.67                  | 26.80                | 0.943                   |
| T <sub>4</sub> :Seed priming with GA <sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm for 2 hrs  | 83.33                  | 26.87                | 0.927                   |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Seed priming with KNO <sub>3</sub> @ 1% for 2 hrs   | 82.00                  | 26.20                | 0.920                   |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Seed treatment with <i>Trichoderma viride</i> @ 0.5 %   | 78.67                  | 24.47                | 0.887                   |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Seed treatment with <i>Rhizobium</i> spp. @ 2%  | 80.00                  | 24.00                | 0.840                   |
| T <sub>8</sub> : Seed treatment with carbendazim @ 0.2%  | 81.33                  | 24.87                | 0.847                   |
| F test   | Sig.                   | Sig.                 | Sig.                    |
| S.E.±  | 0.82                   | 0.47                 | 0.009                   |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 2.45                   | 1.42                 | 0.028                   |

**Effect of seed priming on seed quality :**

In the present study treatment T<sub>3</sub> (seed priming with CaCl<sub>2</sub>-1%) and T<sub>4</sub> (seed priming with GA<sub>3</sub> - 50 ppm) exhibited higher germination *i.e.* 84.67 and 83.33 per cent, respectively over control as compare to other treatments (Table 1). Remaining treatments also contributed improving germination and field emergence than control. Seed priming with only water (T<sub>1</sub>) could not significantly contribute for optimum plant stand and other quality studies. Beneficial effects of priming treatment may be through the improved physiological process for germination and protection against pests. Lower value for seed germination recorded by untreated control. The present finding confirms the finding of Jamdar and Chandrashekar (2014) in castor, Agawane and Parhe (2015) in soybean and Pulok *et al.* (2015) in lentil.

Significant variation in seedling length, seedling dry weight, vigour index I and vigour index II was observed

due to different seed invigoration treatments. Higher seedling length of 26.87 cm was observed in treatment T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50 ppm) and treatment T<sub>3</sub> CaCl<sub>2</sub> @ 1% (26.80) which were statistically similar. All the other treatments recorded higher seedling length than untreated control. Control treatment recorded lower value for seedling length of 23.07 cm. Seedling dry weight is one of major component of seed quality in soybean. Most of the invigoration treatment recorded more seedling dry weight than control. Seedling dry weight is presented in Table 3. A higher seedling dry weight was recorded by treatment T<sub>3</sub>- CaCl<sub>2</sub> @ 1 % (0.943 g) followed by T<sub>4</sub>- GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50 ppm – (0.926 g) and T<sub>5</sub>- KNO<sub>3</sub> @ 1% (0.920 g) which were statistically similar. Lower seedling dry weight was recorded by untreated control (0.813 g).

Higher vigour index I and vigour index II was recorded by treatment T<sub>3</sub>-CaCl<sub>2</sub> @ 1% (2269.9 and 79.86), respectively followed by treatment T<sub>4</sub>-GA<sub>3</sub> @

**Table 2 : Effect of seed invigoration on vigour index I and vigour index II on soybean**

|  | Vigour index I | Vigour index II |
|--|----------------|-----------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> : Control   | 1753.1         | 61.81           |
| T <sub>2</sub> : Seed priming with water @ 2 hrs                       | 2075.5         | 66.14           |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Seed priming with CaCl <sub>2</sub> @ 1% for 2 hrs    | 2269.6         | 79.86           |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Seed priming with GA <sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm for 2 hrs | 2239.2         | 77.21           |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Seed priming with KNO <sub>3</sub> @ 1 % for 2 hrs    | 2148.8         | 75.45           |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Seed treatment with <i>Trichoderma viride</i> @ 0.5 % | 1925.2         | 69.75           |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Seed treatment with <i>Rhizobium</i> spp. @ 2%        | 1965.1         | 67.21           |
| T <sub>8</sub> : Seed treatment with carbendazim @ 0.2%                | 2022.7         | 68.87           |
| F test   | Sig.           | Sig.            |
| S.E.±  | 41.3           | 1.00            |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 123.8          | 3.00            |

**Table 3 : Effect of seed invigoration on days to field emergence, initial plant stand and days to 50 per cent flowering on soybean**

|  | Days to field emergence | Initial plant stand | Days to 50% flowering |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> : Control   | 6.00                    | 96.33               | 45.33                 |
| T <sub>2</sub> : Seed priming with water @ 2 hrs                       | 4.33                    | 102.67              | 41.67                 |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Seed priming with CaCl <sub>2</sub> @ 2 hrs           | 4.00                    | 117.00              | 40.33                 |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Seed priming with GA <sub>3</sub> @ 2 hrs             | 4.33                    | 111.33              | 41.67                 |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Seed priming with KNO <sub>3</sub> @ 2 hrs            | 4.67                    | 111.00              | 42.67                 |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Seed treatment with <i>Trichoderma viride</i> @ 0.5 % | 5.67                    | 102.00              | 43.33                 |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Seed treatment with <i>Rhizobium</i> spp. @ 2%        | 5.67                    | 103.67              | 43.67                 |
| T <sub>8</sub> : Seed treatment with carbendazim @ 0.2%                | 6.00                    | 109.33              | 43.67                 |
| F test   | Sig                     | Sig.                | Sig                   |
| S.E.±  | 0.27                    | 1.79                | 0.48                  |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 0.81                    | 5.44                | 1.46                  |

50 ppm treatment (2239.2) and (77.21), respectively. Control treatment recorded the lower value for both parameter vigour index I and vigour index II, (1753.1 and 61.81), respectively. There exists positive correlation among germination, seedling length, seedling dry weight, vigour index I and vigour index II. Christiansen and Foy (1979) and Hecht-Buchholz (1979) reported that seed calcium concentration and germination percentage were positively correlated which suggests the role of calcium as an important component in membrane stabilization and as an enzyme co-factor. These finding was in agreement with the result of Assefa *et al.* (2008); Jamdar and Chandrashekhara (2013); Subbaraman and Selvaraj (1989); Shehzad *et al.* (2012) and Negalur *et al.* (2002) noted that the soybean seed (var TAMS 38) with initial 70 per cent germination when invigorated with different

chemicals including thiram showed increased germination, field emergence, vigour index and seedling dry weight. The enhanced growth of plumule and radical associate with field emergence and seed vigour thus, form important factor for establishment of initial crop stand.

#### Effect of seed priming on field emergence :

Rapid field emergence is the most important aspect in seed invigoration treatments. Treatment T<sub>3</sub> *i.e.* CaCl<sub>2</sub> @ 1% recorded the least number of days required for field emergence (4 days), followed by treatment T<sub>2</sub> *i.e.* hydropriming (4.33 days), T<sub>4</sub> GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50 ppm (4.33 days) and T<sub>5</sub> KNO<sub>3</sub> @ 1% (4.67 Days). This shows that seed priming treatment likely to contribute for rapid emergence. Treatment T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> recorded more number of days required for field emergence (6 days) each. The

**Table 4 : Effect of seed invigoration on plant height at flowering, pods per plant on soybean**

|  | Plant height at flowering (cm) | Pods per plant |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> : Control   | 36.13                          | 32.87          |
| T <sub>2</sub> : Seed priming with water @ 2 hrs                       | 41.67                          | 38.53          |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Priming with CaCl <sub>2</sub> @ 1% for 2 hrs         | 43.13                          | 41.27          |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Priming with GA <sub>3</sub> @ 100ppm for 2 hrs       | 43.20                          | 39.20          |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Priming with KNO <sub>3</sub> @ 1% for 2hrs           | 41.67                          | 39.80          |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Seed treatment with <i>Trichoderma viride</i> @ 0.5 % | 38.80                          | 37.87          |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Seed treatment with <i>Rhizobium</i> spp. @ 2%        | 38.00                          | 38.87          |
| T <sub>8</sub> : Seed treatment with carbendazim @ 0.2%                | 37.60                          | 39.87          |
| Mean   | 40.03                          | 38.53          |
| F test   | Sig.                           | Sig.           |
| S.E.±  | 0.42                           | 0.95           |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 1.26                           | 2.88           |

**Table 5 : Effect of seed invigoration on seed yield per plant and seed yield per ha on soybean**

|  | Seed yield per plant (g) | Seed yield per hectare (Q/ha) |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> : Control   | 9.57                     | 14.86                         |
| T <sub>2</sub> : Seed priming with water @ 2 hrs                       | 11.27                    | 16.06                         |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Seed priming with CaCl <sub>2</sub> @ 1% for 2 hrs    | 13.23                    | 21.49                         |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Seed priming with GA <sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm for 2 hrs | 12.30                    | 19.02                         |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Seed priming with KNO <sub>3</sub> @ 1% for 2 hrs     | 12.37                    | 19.05                         |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Seed treatment with <i>Trichoderma viride</i> @ 0.5 % | 10.90                    | 15.44                         |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Seed treatment with <i>Rhizobium</i> spp. @ 2%        | 10.93                    | 15.75                         |
| T <sub>8</sub> : Seed treatment with carbendazim @ 0.2%                | 11.30                    | 17.17                         |
| Mean   | 11.48                    | 17.36                         |
| F test   | Sig.                     | Sig.                          |
| S.E.±  | 0.31                     | 0.73                          |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 0.93                     | 2.20                          |

results are in accordance with Rehman *et al.* (2014) and Shabbir *et al.* (2013).

All the seed priming treatments maintained superiority over untreated control (96 plants) for initial plant stand. Treatment T<sub>3</sub> recorded higher number of initial plant stand (117 plants), followed by Treatment T<sub>4</sub> (111 plants) and treatment T<sub>5</sub> (111 plants) which were at par with each other. Treatment T<sub>3</sub>-CaCl<sub>2</sub> @ 1% (40.33 days), T<sub>4</sub>-GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50 ppm (41.67 days), exhibited less number of days required for 50 per cent flowering than untreated control and the treatment were at par. A maximum day to 50 per cent flowering was taken by control treatment (45.33 days) (Table 3). Assefa *et al.* (2008) also reported that 50 per cent flowering was earlier in case of calcium chloride as compared to other priming treatments and mentioned that this could be because of their effect in the fast emergence of the seeds at the beginning.

Basically, plant height is a genetically controlled character, but several studies have indicated that the plant height can be increased or decreased by different seed quality enhancement practices. Among all treatment T<sub>4</sub>-GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50 ppm (43.20 cm) found superior over all the treatments followed by T<sub>3</sub> - CaCl<sub>2</sub> @ 1% (43.14 cm). Treatment T<sub>1</sub> untreated control recorded the lowest value for plant height (36.13 cm) (Table 4). Therefore, it can be concluded that seed priming accelerate the physiological process in plant which may be likely to contribute increase in the plant height. The beneficial effect of exogenous application of GA<sub>3</sub> to seeds might be due to the translocation of GA<sub>3</sub> to the aerial part of plants, and this perhaps occurs to an extent that is enough to increase hypocotyls size and the consequent increase in first node height hence, sufficient to positively affect plant height the amount of GA<sub>3</sub>. The enhanced plant height may also be due to the improved and faster plant emergence in GA<sub>3</sub>, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, which created co-operative competition among the plants for light and resulted in taller plants. The results agree with Rehman *et al.* (2014) who reported that osmopriming with CaCl<sub>2</sub> reduced crop branching and flowering and maturity times and had the maximum plant height, number of branches, tillers, pods and seeds per pod followed by MLE in Linseed.

#### **Effect of seed priming on yield and yield contributing characters in soybean :**

All the seed priming treatments produced more number of pods per plants than untreated control (32.87

pods). Treatment T<sub>3</sub>- CaCl<sub>2</sub> @ 1% recorded higher number of pods per plant (41.27 pods) followed by T<sub>5</sub>- KNO<sub>3</sub> @1% (39.80 pods) and T<sub>4</sub>-GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50 ppm (39.20 pods) (Table 4). Higher seed yield per plant was recorded by treatment T<sub>3</sub>- CaCl<sub>2</sub> @ 1% (13.23 g), followed by treatment T<sub>4</sub>- GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50 ppm (12.30 g) and treatment T<sub>5</sub>- KNO<sub>3</sub> @1% (12.37 g). Lowest seed yield was recorded by untreated control (9.57 g). Highest value for seed yield per hectare was recorded by treatment T<sub>3</sub>- CaCl<sub>2</sub> @ 1% (20.12 qt/ha) followed by treatment T<sub>4</sub>- GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50 ppm (19.02 qt/ha), T<sub>5</sub>- KNO<sub>3</sub> @1% (18.35 qt/ ha). All other invigoration treatment recorded higher yield than untreated control (14.05 qt/ha) (Table 5). Seed priming treatment increase the seed yield per hectare. The results are in accordance with the findings of Assefa *et al.* (2008) in soybean, Narayanreddy and Biradarpatil (2012) in sunflower, Chavan *et al.* (2014) and Agawane and Parhe (2015) in soybean.

#### **Conclusion :**

On the basis of these observations, it may be concluded that soybean seeds positively responded to treatments of priming. Nevertheless, priming generally improves the most parameters of soybean varieties through improving germination per cent, days to field emergence, days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height, number of pods per plant and seed yield. The highest benefit of priming can be obtained from seeds primed with CaCl<sub>2</sub> (1% for two hours) treatment.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Agawane, R.B. and Parhe, S.D. (2015). Effect of seed priming on crop growth and seed yield of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill]. *Bioscan.*, **10** (1): 265-270.
- Assefa, K.R., Hunje, R., Koti, R.V. and Biradarpatil, N.K. (2008). Effect of seed priming on storability, seed yield and quality of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill]. University of agricultural Science, Dharwad (M.S.) INDIA.
- Bradford, K.J. (1986). Manipulation of seed water relations via osmotic priming to improve germination under stress conditions. *Hort. Sci.*, **21**: 1105-1112.
- Chavan, N.G., Bhujbal, G.B. and Manjare, M.R. (2014). Effect of seed priming on field performance and seed yield of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] varieties. *Bioscan*, **9** (1): 111-114.
- Christiansen, M.N. and Foy, C. D. (1979). Fate and function of calcium in tissue. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, **10**

- : 427-442.
- Harris, D., Joshi, P.A., Khan, P., Gothkar, P. and Sodhi, S. (1999). On-farm seed priming in semi-arid agriculture: Development and evaluation in maize, rice and chickpea in India using participatory methods. *Exp. Agric.*, **35**: 15-29.
- Harris, D., Pathan, A.U., Gothkar, P., Joshi, A., Chirasa, W. and Nyamudeza, P. (2001a). On-farm seed priming: using participatory methods to review and refine a keytechnology. *Agric. Syst.*, **69**(1-2) : 151-164.
- Harris, D., Raghuwanshi, B.S., Gangwar, J.S., Singh, S.C., Joshi, K.D., Rashid, A. and Hillington, P.A. (2001b). Participatory evaluation by farmers of 'on-farm' seed priming in wheat in India, Nepal and Pakistan. *Experim. Agric.*, **37**: 403-415.
- Hecht-Buchholz, C. (1979). Calcium deficiency and plant ultrastructure. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, **10**: 67-81.
- Heydecker, W. and Coolbear, P. (1977). Seed treatments for improved performance survey and attempted prognosis. *Seed Sci. Technol.*, **5**: 353-425.
- Jamdar, M. I. and Chandrashekhar, S.S. (2014). Effect of chemical and biological seed treatments on germination performance of GCH-7 hybrid castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). *Bioscan*, **10** (1) : 37-41.
- Kazem, G.G., Ayda, H.M., Saeid, Z.S. and Tourchi, M. (2012). Improving field performance of aged chickpea seeds by hydro-priming under water stress. *Inter. J. Plant. Animal & Envl. Sci.*, **2**(2): 168-176.
- Narayanareddy, A. B. and Biradarpatil, N. K. (2008). Effect of pre-sowing invigoration seed treatments on seed quality and crop establishment in sunflower hybrid KBSH1. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **25** (1): 43-46.
- Negalur, S.B., Kuedikar, M.B., Shekhargouda, S.M. and Shashidhara, D. (2002). Field performance and storability of invigorated seeds of soybean. *Seed Tech. News*, **32**(1):181.
- Park, N., Song, J. and Sangyang, L. (1997). Effects of precooling and packaging methods on the vegetable soybean storage. *RADJ. Crop Sci.*, **39**: 46-52.
- Pulok, M.A., Rahman, M. M., Haque, M. N., Chakraborty, R. and Ali, M. S. (2015). Effect of growth regulators on germination and vigour of lentil seeds. *J. Bioscience & Agric. Res.*, **3** (1): 8-14.
- Rehman, H., Nawaz, M. Q., Ahmed, S. M., Basra, I., Afzal, A., Yasmeen and Hassan, F. (2014). Seed priming influence on early crop growth, phenological development and yield performance of Linola (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *J. Integrative Agric.*, **13**(5): 990-996.
- Shabbir, I., Shakir, M., Ayub, M., Tahir, M., Tanveer, A., Shahbaz, M. and Hussain, M. (2013). Effect of seed priming agents on growth, yield and oil contents of Fennel. *Adv. Agric. Biol.*, **1** (3): 58-62.
- Shehzad, M., Ayub, M., Ahmad, A. U. H. and Yaseen, M. (2012). Influence of priming techniques on emergence and seedling growth of forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.). *J. Anim. & Plant Sci.*, **22**(1):154-158.
- Subbaraman, R. and Selvaraj, A. (1989). Effect of pre-sowing treatment on seed yield and quality in groundnut cv. JL-24. *Seed & Farms*, **16** (4) : 5-9.

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ of Excellence ★ ★ ★ ★ ★  
12<sup>th</sup> Year