

OI: 10.15740/HAS/AU/12.TECHSEAR(6)2017/1656-1659 Volume 12 | TECHSEAR-6 | 2017 | 1656-1659

Visit us : www.researchjournal.co.in



#### **Research Article:**

## Impact of front line demonstration on production and productivity of summer moong

# ■ K. S. BHARGAV, NISHITH GUPTA, ANKITA PANDEY, NEERJA PATEL AND A. K. DIXIT

Article Chronicle : Received : 17.07.2017; Accepted : 01.08.2017

KEY WORDS:

Front line demonstration, Production, Productivity, Moong

Author for correspondence :

K. S. BHARGAV Krishi Vigyan Kendra, (R.V.S.K.V.V.), DEWAS (M.P.) INDIA Email: ksbhargav@ rediffmail.com **SUMMARY :** Cluster Frontline Demonstrationson summer moong were conducted by Krishi Vigyan Kendra, RVSKVV, Dewas in the different village of district with main objective to boost the production and productivity of moong with latest and specific technologies. Moong variety of medium duration HUM-12 was used for demonstrations. The farmers followed the full package of practices like proper seed rate, seed treatment with biofertilizer, Trichoderma viride, fertilizer application on soil test value, weed and water management, IPM practices etc. Result of front line demonstrations indicated thaton an average of 24% more yield of moong was found as compared to farmer's practices. It was also observed from the data of front line demonstration recorded higher gross return and net return as compared to local check. The gross and net returns were found Rs. 38800 and Rs. 23200 in CFLD while in farmer's practices these were found Rs. 31160 and Rs. 16160, respectively.

How to cite this article : Bhargav, K.S., Gupta, Nishith, Pandey, Ankita, Patel, Neerja and Dixit, A.K. (2017). Impact of front line demonstration on production and productivity of summer moong. *Agric. Update*, 12(TECHSEAR-6): 1656-1659; DOI: 10.15740/HAS/AU/12. TECHSEAR(6)2017/1656-1659.

## **B**ACKGROUND AND **O**BJECTIVES

Historically India isthe largest producer, consumer and importer of pulses (Raj *at al.*, 2013). Although it is the world's largestpulses producer, India had been imported 3.04 millionmetric tons (MT) of total pulses out of which 0.62 MTof *moong* commodity during 2013-14 to meet itsdomestic demand. *Moong* bean or green gram (*Vigna radiata*) which is commonly known as *Moong* is an important pulsecrop grown in our country and accounts 6.44 % to the total production of pulses. *Moog* is an annual crop, cultivated mostly in rotation with cereals. It is an erect, highly branched and is about 60 to 76 cm tall plant (Oplinger *et al.*, 1990). It is delicious pulse and isconsidered as first choice among pulses particularly innorthern parts of the country because it is generally short duration crop, photo-insetivity and also densecrop canopy compared to other pulses. It is generally recommended by doctors for growing children, old persons, patients due to its easy digestibility. Eating *moong* bean sprouts is indeed a very important part of healthy eating. It is grown in our country during *Kharif*, but it is also grown in spring or summer season inirrigated northern plains and as a *Rabi* crop

K. S. BHARGAV, NISHITH GUPTA, ANKITA PANDEY, NEERJA PATEL AND A. K. DIXIT

| Table A: Description | of technological intervention and farmers practices under CFLD on sum | mer moong                           |             |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Particulars          | Technological intervention (T <sub>1</sub> )                          | Farmers practices (T <sub>2</sub> ) | Gap         |
| Variety              | HUM-12  | Local and old                       | Full gap    |
| Seed rate            | 20-25 kg/ha   | 30-40 kg/ha                         | Partial gap |
| Integrated nutrient  | N:P:K (20:60:20 Kg/ha + rhizobium @ 5g/kg seed + PSB @ 5g/kg of       | No use of fertilizer                | Full gap    |
| management           | seed  |                                     |             |
| Integrated pest      | Bird purchure @ 100-125 /ha + seed treatment with Trichoderma virdae  | Two or three spray of               | Partial gap |
| management           | @ 5g/kg seed + one spray of proponophosh @ 1.5 lit/ha at the ETL +    | Insecticide insufficient            |             |
|                      | One spray of Imidachloropid @ 350 ml/ha                               | amount of water                     |             |
| Weed management      | One hand weeding  | No weeding                          | Full gap    |

| Table A: Descrip | ntion of technolo | gical intervention | n and farmers nr   | actices under CFLI | ) on summer moo |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Table A. Desch   | phon of technolo  | gical mitel venuo  | i anu iai mei s pi | actives under CFLI | on summer moo   |

in southern and south-eastern parts, where the winter is quite mild. Being a leguminous crop it has the capacity to fixatmospheric nitrogen. It also helps in preventing soilerosion.

Indian government imports large quantity of pulses to fulfill domestic requirement of pulses. In this regard, to sustain this production and consumption system, the Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare had sanctioned the project "Cluster Frontline Demonstrations on Summer Pulses to ICAR-ATARI, Jabalpur through National Food Security Mission. This project was implemented by Krishi Vigyan Kendra, RVSKVV, Dewas of Zone-IX with main objective to boost the production and productivity of moong through CFLDs with latest and specific technologies.

The FLD is an important tool fortransfer of latest package of practices in totality to farmers and the main objective of this programme is to demonstrate newly released crop production and protection technologies and management practices atthe farmers' field under real farming situation. Through this practice, the newly improved innovative technology having higher production potential under the specific cropping system can be popularized and simultaneously feedback from the farmers may be generated on the demonstrated technology (Singh et al., 2012).

## **RESOURCES AND METHODS**

The field experiments of 0.40 ha each were conducted at 25 farmers fields (10 ha) of different villages of Dewas district of Madhya Pradesh viz., Dakachya, Kushmania, Omkara, Kolari, Jia goan, Bhilkhedi, Khudgoan and bhilai during the year 2016-17 to evaluate the productive performance of improved varieties of moong. Before conducting demonstrations farmers were

trained regarding different aspects of cultivation (Kumar et al., 2010) to follow the package and practices for moong cultivation as suggested by the scientists of Krishi Vigyan Kendra Dewas and need based input materials provided to the farmers. KVK has collected the soil sample from the demonstrations field and analyzed the sample and applied the fertilizer on the basis of soil test values.

Moong variety of medium duration HUM-12 was used for demonstrations. The farmers followed the full package of practices like proper seed rate, seed treatment with biofertilizer, Trichoderma viride, fertilizer application on soil test value, weed and water management, IPM practices etc. In case of local check, the traditional practices were followed in existing varieties like PM 138, local by the farmers. Seed were sown between 15 feb to 28 febby tractor driven seed cum ferti drill. Seed were treated with rhizobium culture and tricoderma virdae @ 5g per kg of seed. Precise quantity of water was applied at the time to time by sprinkler irrigation system.

The yield data were collected from both CFLD and farmers practice plot (local check)and compiled results. Nodulation and root growth were studied through destructive plant sampling at various growth stages. Data pertaining to crop growth, yield attributes and yield were collected at harvest and analyzed statistically. The B:C ratio was calculated based on the net return and cost of cultivation in each treatment. To estimate the technology index, extension gap, technology gap and harvest index, the formulae were considered as suggested by Samui et al. (2000); Kadian et al. (1997) and Sagar and Chandra (2004).

### **OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS**

Result of front line demonstrations indicated that

| Table 1: Grain yield, harvest index, technology gap, extension gap and technology index of demonstrations |     |            |                    |     |                   |       |                |           |            |           |
|---|-----|------------|--------------------|-----|-------------------|-------|----------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Grain yield (q/ha)  |     | % increase | Straw yield (q/ha) |     | Harvest index (%) |       | Technology gap | Extension | Technology |           |
| Potential   | RP  | FP         | over FP            | RP  | FP                | RP    | FP             | (q/ha)    | gap (q/ha) | index (%) |
| 1   | 2   | 3          | 4                  | 5   | 6                 | 7     | 8              | 9         | 10         | 11        |
| 12  | 8.2 | 6.6        | 24                 | 9.5 | 7.3               | 46.33 | 47.48          | 2.5       | 1.6        | 20.83     |

| Table 2: Gross expenditure, gross return, net return and B:C ratio of summer moong production under CFLDs |     |            |                            |       |                       |       |                      |       |           |      |
|---|-----|------------|----------------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|-----------|------|
| Yield (q/ha)  |     | % increase | Gross expenditure (Rs./ha) |       | Gross return (Rs./ha) |       | Net returns (Rs./ha) |       | B:C ratio |      |
| RP  | FP  | over FP    | RP                         | FP    | RP                    | FP    | RP                   | FP    | RP        | FP   |
| 1   | 2   | 3          | 4                          | 5     | 6                     | 7     | 8                    | 9     | 10        | 11   |
| 8.2   | 6.6 | 24         | 15600                      | 15000 | 38800                 | 31160 | 23200                | 16160 | 2.49      | 2.08 |

the cultivation practices comprised under CFLD *viz.*, use of improved varieties, proper seed rate, seed inoculation by rhizobium and PSB culture, soil test based application of fertilizer, integrated pest management, irrigation and hand weeding produced on an average of 24% more yield of moong as compared to farmer's practices. The result indicates that the CFLD has given a good impact over the farming community of Dewas as they were motivated by the improved agricultural technologies applied in the demonstration plots.

The technology gap is the gap in the demonstration yield over potential yield was found 2.5 qt/ha while extension gap was recorded 1.6 qt/ha. The technology gap observed dissimilar due to weather conditions, soil fertility status. Hence, location specific recommendation appears to be necessary to bridge the gap between the yields. But to minimize the extension gap it is need to educate the farmers through various means for more adoption of improved high yielding variety and recommended practices to bridge the wide extension gap. This extension gap requires urgent attention from planners, scientists, extension personnel, development department and NGOs working in the agricultural fields.

The technology index shows the feasibility of the evolved technology at the farmer's field. The lower the value of technology more is the feasibility of the technology. The technology index was found 20.83% indicating the performance of this variety in malwa region was satisfactory.

The data presents in Table 2 indicated that adoption of improved technology of moong not only gives the opportunity of higher yield, but also provides higher benefit cost ratio *i.e.* 2.49 as compared to 2.08 in the farmer's practices. This may be due to higher yield obtained under recommended practices compared to farmer's practices.

**1658** *Agric. Update*, **12** (TECHSEAR-6) 2017 : 1656-1659 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute Similarly result has earlier being reported on moong by Bhan *et al.* (2014) and on chickpea by Tomar *et al.* (1999); Tomar (2010); Mokidue *et al.* (2011) and Singh *et al.* (2014). It was also observed from the data of front line demonstration recorded higher gross return and net return as compared to local check (Table 2). The gross and net returns were found Rs. 38800 and Rs. 23200 in CFLD while in farmer's practices these were found Rs. 31160 and Rs. 16160, respectively.

#### **Conclusison:**

Cluster frontline demonstrations on moong conducted in different villages of Dewas district and result concluded that average highest yield 8.2 q/ha found in emonstrations plot followed by  $6.6 \,q/ha$  in control plots. There was 24.00 per cent increase in yield observed in demonstration plot over farmers' practice. It was observed that potential yield can be achieved by imparting scientific knowledge to the farmers, providing the quality need based inputs and proper management. Horizontal spread of improved technologies may be achieved by the successful implementation of frontline demonstrations and various extensions activities like training programme, field day, exposure visit organized in CFLDs programmes in the farmer's fields. For wide dissemination of technologies recommended by SAUs and other research institute, more number of FLDs should be conducted.

Authors' affiliations :

NISHITH GUPTA, ANKITA PANDEY, NEERJA PATEL AND A.K. DIXIT, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, (R.V.S.K.V.V.), DEWAS (M.P.) INDIA

#### REFERENCES

**Bhan, Chander,** Chawala, Seema, Sidhu, B. S. and Bhati, D. S. (2014). Impact of front line demonstration on production

technology of *Moong* (*Vigna radiata*) in Sriganganagar district of Rajasthan. J. Progress. Agric., **5** (2): 59-61.

Kadian, K. S., Sharma, R. and Sharma, A.K. (1997). Evaluation of front line demonstration trials on oilseeds in Kangra Vally of Himanchal Pradesh. *Ann. Agric. Res.*, **18** : 40.

Kumar, Venkatta R., Ramanarao, S.V., Padmaiah, M. and Madhuri, P. (2010). Production constraints and information needs of growers in Andhra Pradesh. *Agric. Extn. Review*, Apr.-June, pp. 21-24.

**Mokidue, L.,** Mohanty, A. K. and Sanjay, K. (2011). Correlating growth, yield and adoption of urd been technologies. *Indian J.Extn. Edu.*, **11**(2): 20-24.

**Oplinger, E.S.,** Hardman, L.L., Kaminski, A.R., Combs, S.M., and Doll, J.D. (1990). Department of Agronomy and Plant Genetics, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN. Online available at *http://www.hort.purdue.edu/newcrop/afcm/mungbean.html*.

**Raj, A.D.,** Yadav, V. and Rathod, J. H. (2013). Impact of front line demonstrations (FLD) on the yield of pulses. *Internat. J. Scientific & Res. Public.*, **3**(9):1-4.

Sagar, R.L. and Chandra, Ganesh (2004). Front line

demonstration on sesamum in west Bengal. *Agric. Extn. Rev.* **16** (2): 7-10.

Samui, S. K., Maitra, S., Roy, D. K., Mondal, A. K. and Saha, D. (2000). Evaluation on front line demonstration on groundnut (*Arachis hypogeal* L). *J. Indian Society of Coastal Agric. Res.*, **18** (2): 180-183.

**Singh, D.,** Patel, A. K., Baghel, M. S., Singh, S. K., Alka, Singh and Singh, A. K. (2014). Impact of front line demonstration on the yield and economics of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L) in Sidhi district of Madhya Pradesh. J. Agric. Search, **1**(1): 22-25.

**Singh, J.,** Dhillon, B.S. Astha and Singh, P. (2012). Front line demonstration – An effective tool for increasing the productivity of summer *Moong* in Amritsar district of Punjab. *An Asian J.Soil Sci.*, **7**(2): 315-318.

Tomar, R. K. S., Sharma, P. and Yadav, L. N. (1999). Comparison of yield and economics of irrigated chickpea under improved and local management practices. *Internat. Chickpea Pigeonpea News Letter*, **6**: 22-23.

**Tomar, R. K. S.** (2010). Maxmization of productivity for chick pea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) through improved technologies in farmer's field. *Indian J. Nat. Prod. & Resour.*, **1** (4): 515-7.

## 12<sup>th</sup> \*\*\*\* of Excellence \*\*\*\*