

\_Agriculture Update\_\_\_\_ Volume 12 | TECHSEAR-1 | 2017 | 18-22

Visit us : www.researchjournal.co.in



## **Research Article:**

# Performance of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) hybrids suitable during *Kharif* for northern Telangana Zone

## **B. MAHENDER, D. ASHWINI AND K. SREEJA**

#### ARTICLE CHRONICLE : Received : 05.07.2017:

Accepted : 22.07.2017

**SUMMARY :** Investigation on performance of different tomato  $F_1$  hybrids under northern Telangana conditions was carried out among twelve different hybrids (*viz.*, BSS–3000, Priya–6636, US – 618, Himsona, Annapurna, Lakshmi, Abhinava, US –404, Hy-Lyco, Arka Abhijit, Arka Ananya and Arka Shreshta, during the *Kharif* seasons of 2010-11, 2011-12 and 2012-13. The Anova revealed that there existed significant differences among the hybrids for all the characters studied (except for days taken to flowering and duration of fruiting) when analysed for individual years and also when pooled over the years. It was found that the average significant maximum plant height was recorded in Priya followed by Himsona. The significant average number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> were maximum in Arka Shreshta followed by Arka Ananya. There was no significant difference for days taken to flowering and duration of fruiting among the hybrids. The significant maximum average number of fruit plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in Abhinava and the significant highest mean yield was obtained from Abhinava.

#### KEY WORDS:

Tomato hybrids, BSS–3000, Priya– 6636, US – 618, Himsona, Annapurna, Lakshmi, Abhinava, US –404, Hy-Lyco, Arka Abhijit, Arka Ananya, Arka Shreshta, Fruit yield

Author for correspondence :

**B. MAHENDER** Horticulture Research Station, ADILABAD (TELANGANA) INDIA

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

How to cite this article : Mahender, B., Ashwini, D. and Sreeja, K. (2017). Performance of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) hybrids suitable during *Kharif* for northern Telangana Zone. *Agric. Update*, **12**(TECHSEAR-1) : **18-22; DOI: 10.15740/HAS/AU/12.TECHSEAR(1)2017/18-22.** 

## **BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES**

Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) is an economical vegetable crop grown all over the world. It belongs to the family solanaceae with 2n=24 chromosomes. It is a day neutral plant and can be grown in any season. It is considered as protective food as it possesses several nutritive value traits particularly antioxidant compounds which are being used in several commercial therapeutical formulations. Tomato is protective supplementary food and rich source of vitamins A, C, potassium, minerals and fibres. It is used in preparation of preserved products like ketch-up, sauce, chutney, soup, paste, puree etc. In tomato yield is the most important agroeconomical trait, therefore, it is included in almost all tomato selection programmes. In tomato, yield plant<sup>-1</sup> depends on fruit weight and number of fruits, flower bunch<sup>-1</sup>. Though number of hybrids have been developed commercially but successful cultivation of tomato is based essentially upon choice of suitable varieties for a particular location, keeping this in mind, the present study was taken upto identify the best hybrid with higher yields suitable for northern Telangana zone in *Kharif* season.

#### **R**ESOURCES AND **M**ETHODS

The experiment was carried out at Horticulture Research Station, Adilabad, during the *Kharif* seasons of 2010-11, 2011-12 and 2012-13. The material comprises of twelve hybrids of tomato viz., T<sub>1</sub>-BSS-3000, T<sub>2</sub>-Priya–6636, T<sub>3</sub>-US–618, T<sub>4</sub>- Himsona, T<sub>5</sub>-Annapurna, T<sub>6</sub>-Lakshmi, T<sub>7</sub>- Abhinava, T<sub>8</sub>- US –404, T<sub>9</sub>-Hy-Lyco, T<sub>10</sub> Arka Abhijit, T<sub>11</sub>- Arka Ananya and T<sub>12</sub>-Arka Shreshta. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design with three replications. Four week old healthy seedlings of each genotype were transplanted during the first week of july every year and plants were spaced at 60 cm distance between rows and 45 cm distance between plants with in the row in a plot of 4 x 5 m<sup>2</sup>. Cultural and agronomic practices were followed as per the standard recomondation and need based plant protection measures were taken upto maintain a healthy crop stand. Observations were recorded on plants excluding border plants in each replication of each genotype for twelve hybrids viz., Five plants were selected at random in each plot every year to record the observations on plant height (cm), number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, days to flowering, fruits plant<sup>-1</sup>, weight of fruits plant<sup>-1</sup>, duration of fruiting (days) and yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>). The mean for each genotype were analysed statistically.

### **OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS**

The results pertaining to the analysis of variance for the experimental designs are reported in the above Tables 1 to 4 and Fig. 1 to 5. The Anova revealed that there existed significant difference among the hybrids for all characters studied (except for days taken to flowering and duration of fruiting) when analysed for individual years and also when pooled over the years. The average significant maximum plant height was recorded in T<sub>2</sub>- priya-6636 (122.66 cm) followed by T<sub>4</sub>-Himsona (118.43 cm), while the lowest in T<sub>5</sub>. Annapurna (74.29 cm). The significant average number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> were maximum in T<sub>12</sub>- Arka Shreshta (42.17) followed by T<sub>11</sub>- Arka Ananya (41.87), while the lowest in T<sub>3</sub>-US-618 (19.20). There was no significant difference among the hybrids for days taken to flowering,



Fig. 1: Bar graph for plant height of 12 tomato hybrids

Table 1 : Performance for height and number of brancher plant <sup>-1</sup> among tomato hybrids										
Sr No	Cultivan	Plant height (cm)				No. of branches plant <sup>-1</sup>				
	Cultival	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	VG	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	AVG	
1.	BSS - 3000	79.03	82.11	80.82	80.65	19.04	23.17	22.83	21.68	
2.	Priya – 6636	124.27	122.19	121.52	122.66	23.16	25.27	26.31	24.91	
3.	US-618	92.17	93.11	91.85	92.38	19.53	20.14	17.92	19.20	
4.	Himsona	118.24	120.27	116.79	118.43	29.41	30.62	27.53	29.19	
5.	Annapurna	73.63	74.13	75.1	74.29	20.6	22.13	21.42	21.38	
6.	Lakshmi	93.23	90.14	90.85	91.41	37.69	40.17	38.06	38.64	
7.	Abhinava	81.2	80.15	83.31	81.55	39.52	37.13	35.93	37.53	
8.	US-404	115.57	110.52	111.98	112.69	38.27	35.14	34.43	35.95	
9.	Hy-Lyco	102.2	99.26	103.44	101.63	36.33	33.16	35.7	35.06	
10.	Arka Abhijit	113.53	100.41	115.02	109.65	34.14	35.17	34.67	34.66	
11.	Arka Ananya	96.56	99.32	97.91	97.93	42.53	41.65	41.42	41.87	
12.	Arka Shreshta	93.18	95.13	92.03	93.45	37.35	40.13	49.03	42.17	
	S.E. <u>+</u>	4.47	4.76	4.51		0.62	0.54	1.56		
	C.D. (P=0.05)	7.26	7.49	5.31		2.69	2.78	4.28		

PERFORMANCE OF TOMATO HYBRIDS SUITABLE DURING Kharif FOR NORTHERN TELANGANA ZONE

Table 2 : Performance for number of days taken to flowering (Days) and duration of the fruiting (days) among tomato hybrids										
Sr No	Cultivor	Days taken to flowering (days)					Duration of the fruiting(days)			
	Cultival	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	avg	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	avg	
1.	BSS - 3000	40.12	41.29	40.1	40.50	16	15	15	15.33	
2.	Priya – 6636	41.35	41.31	40.27	40.98	15	15	16	15.33	
3.	US - 618	42.06	42.18	41.03	41.76	14	16	15	15.00	
4.	Himsona	42.14	42.13	41.93	42.07	15	14	16	15.00	
5.	Annapurna	42.32	42.21	42.2	42.24	17	16	14	15.67	
6.	Lakshmi	40.26	41.17	41.63	41.02	16	17	15	16.00	
7.	Abhinava	40.18	40.05	40.13	40.12	15	16	15	15.33	
8.	US-404	40.25	40.34	40.2	40.26	14	15	15	14.67	
9.	Hy-Lyco	40.34	40.27	34.47	38.36	15	14	16	15.00	
10.	Arka Abhijit	40.09	41.15	40.93	40.72	16	15	15	15.33	
11.	Arka Ananya	41.43	42.17	40.57	41.39	16	14	15	15.00	
12.	Arka Shreshta	41.21	41.28	39.83	40.77	17	16	14	15.67	
	S.E. <u>+</u>	-	-	-		-	-	-		
	C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS		
NIC NI-	-::f:+									

NS=Non-significant

Table 5. Ferror mance for number of fruits brant and weight of the fruit brant among tomato hybrid	Table 3 : Performance	for number of	fruits plant <sup>-1</sup>	and weight o	of the fruit	plant <sup>-1</sup> among	y tomato hybrid
--	-----------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------	--------------	---------------------------	-----------------

Sr No	Cultivar –	No. of fru	No. of fruits plant <sup>-1</sup>		Weight of the fruit plant <sup>-1</sup>				
		2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	AVG	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	AVG
1.	BSS - 3000	8.63	10.54	9.26	9.5	757.71	640.11	678.84	692.22
2.	Priya – 6636	10.21	12.43	11.87	11.5	738.31	515.45	621.97	625.24
3.	US - 618	9.47	10.68	12.01	10.7	842.46	798.23	743.61	794.77
4.	Heemsona	11.24	10.53	11.47	11.1	926.73	842.14	873.21	880.69
5.	Annapurna	12.87	11.45	13.28	12.5	896.21	996.35	838.84	910.47
6.	Lakshmi	16.73	15.11	14.87	15.6	1024.78	925.12	957.21	969.04
7.	Abhinava	24.53	29.34	26.24	26.7	1790.7	1350.13	1350.71	1497.18
8.	US-404	27.13	25.27	24.86	25.8	1604.24	1550.26	1204.64	1453.05
9.	Hy-Lyco	19.43	21.54	20.37	20.4	1572.11	1208.12	1307.85	1362.69
10.	Arka Abhijit	20.16	22.38	23.43	22.0	1478.28	1216.23	1317.05	1337.19
11.	Arka Ananya	27.12	20.12	25.76	24.3	1554.26	1372.46	1411.71	1446.14
12.	Arka Shreshta	18.27	19.43	19.14	18.9	1322.13	1151.52	1242.69	1238.78
	S.E. <u>+</u>	0.27	0.45	0.41		2565.45	955.95	921.90	
	C.D. (P=0.05)	1.79	2.32	2.21		173.93	106.17	104.27	







Fig. 2 : Bar graph for no. of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> among 12 tomato hybrids

Fig. 3: Bar graph for no. of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> among tomato hybrids

20 Agric. Update, 12 (TECHSEAR-1) 2017 : 18-22 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

Table 4 : I	Performance for yield in q h	a <sup>-1</sup> among tomato hybrids									
S. No	Cultivor	Yield in q ha <sup>-1</sup>									
SI. NO.	Cultivar	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	AVG						
1.	BSS - 3000	204.58	172.82	183.02	186.81						
2.	Priya – 6636	199.31	139.27	167.64	168.74						
3.	US - 618	227.22	210.56	200.37	212.72						
4.	Himsona	262.75	250.32	235.51	249.53						
5.	Annapurna	241.16	280.13	226.46	249.25						
6.	Lakshmi	276.69	292.16	258.82	275.89						
7.	Abhinava	321.83	330.14	364.78	338.92						
8.	US-404	306.32	310.16	325.56	314.01						
9.	Hy-Lyco	298.16	292.52	290.72	293.80						
10.	Arka Abhijit	293.52	269.16	287.16	283.28						
11.	Arka Ananya	296.54	273.14	285.74	285.14						
12.	Arka Shreshta	285.47	294.17	268.97	282.87						
	S.E. <u>+</u>	31.75	59.68	58.22							
	C.D. (P=0.05)	19.34	26.53	26.20							

q ha<sup>-1</sup>

Vield



Fig. 4 : Bar graph for weight of fruit plant<sup>-1</sup> among tomato hybrids

however early flowering was observed in T<sub>o</sub>-Hy-Lyco (38.36 days) followed by  $T_7$ - Abhinava (40.12 days), while late flowering was observed T<sub>5</sub>-Annapurna (42.24 days) and also their no significant difference for duration of fruiting, however the average maximum duration of fruiting was observed in T<sub>6</sub>- Lakshmi (16 days) followed by  $T_7$ -Abhinava and  $T_7$ -Arka Shreshta with (15.67days). The significant maximum average number of fruits plant <sup>1</sup> was recorded in  $T_{7}$ - Abhinava (26.7), followed by  $T_{8}$ -US-404 (25.8), while the minimum in  $T_1$ -Bss-3000 (9.5). Significantly highest average weight of fruits plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in  $T_{7}$ - Abhinava (1497.18 g) followed by  $T_{8}$ -US-404 (1453.05g), while the minimum in  $T_2$ - Priya (625.24 g).

The data recorded for three years on yield revealed that significantly the highest mean yield was obtained from  $T_7$ - Abhinava (338.92 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by  $T_8$ - US –



Bar graph for yield (q ha-1) among tomato hybrids Fig. 5 :

404 (314.01 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). On the contrary, the lowest yield was recorded from  $T_2$ - Priya (168.74 q ha<sup>-1</sup>).

From the results, it can be concluded that Abhinava and US-404 can be effectively grown in northen Telangana Zone for obtaining higher yields in tomato crop.

Authors' affiliations :

D. ASHWINI AND K. SREEJA, Horticultural of Polytechnic, ADILABAD (TELANGANA) INDIA

#### REFERENCES

Baruah, G.K.S., Arora, S.K. and Pandita, M.L. (1994). Effect of Paclobutrazole and nitrogen levels on fruit yield of Pusa ruby tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum L.). Indian J. Agric. Sci., 64 :567-569.

Biswas, V.R., Tiwari, N.C., Narendra, K. and Kumar, N. (2000). Growth and yield potential study on tomato (Lycopersicon

21

esculentum L) hybrid at pithoragarh conditions. Agric. Sci. Digest, 20:124-125.

Chaudhurin, N., Deb, D.C. and Senapati, S.K. (2000). Evaluation of commonly grown tomato variety and hybrids in terai region of West Bengal. Environ. & Ecol., 18: 933-939.

Jasmine, A.P.J. and Armadas, S. (1993). Evaluation of certain F1 hybrids and cultivars of tomato for yield components. South Indian J. Hort., 41: 248-250.

Mangal, J.L., Sidhu, S. and Pansey, V.C. (1981). Effect of staking and pruning on growth earliness and yield of tomato varieties. Indian J. Agric. Res., 15: 103-106.

Manoj, R. and Raghav, M. (1998). Performance of F1 hybrids and high yielding varieties of tomato under mid-west plains of

Uttar Pradesh. Prog. Hort., 30: 194-197.

Mohanty, B.K. (2001). Varietal performance of tomato in black soils of Orissa. J. Res., ANGRAU, 29: 22-26.

Padma, M. and Prabhakar, Reddy I. (2005). Performance of tomato F1 hybrids (indeterminate) under southern Telangana zone of Andher Pradesh. J. Res. ANGRAU, 33: 40-43.

Sudhakar, P.S. and Purushothum, K. (2009). Evaluation of F1 hybrids of tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.). J. Res., ANGRAU, **37**:77-81.

Vanajalatha, K. (1987). Studies on the performance of certain tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill) hybrids and varieties under Hyderabad conditions, M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, A.P. (INDIA).

 $12^{th}_{Year}$ 

