

**RESEARCH ARTICLE :**

# Influence of organic manures, micronutrients, Arbuscular Mycorrhiza and addition of crop residue enhance the soil organic carbon content and yield of maize-sunflower sequential cropping system

■ G. MARIAPPAN, M. MOHAMED AMANULLAH AND T. ANANTHI

**ARTICLE CHRONICLE :**

**Received :**

20.07.2017;

**Accepted :**

16.08.2017

**KEY WORDS :**

Organic manures, micronutrients, Arbuscular Mycorrhiza, Organic carbon & Crop residues

**SUMMARY :** Field experiments were conducted to study the influence of organic manures, micronutrients and Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (AM) on the productivity of maize-sunflower cropping system at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during 2011-12 and 2012-13. The experiment was laid out in split plot design and replicated thrice for maize during winter 2011-12 and 2012-13 and the same experiment after dividing each plot into two was laid out in split-split plot design with three replications for sunflower during summer 2012 and 2013 to estimate the residual effects of organic manures. The popular maize hybrid NK 6240 was taken as test hybrid in maize and Co SFH2 as test hybrid in sunflower. Four sources of organic manures with RDF *viz.*, Farmyard manure 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, sericulture waste 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and goat manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> were evaluated in main plot along with one control (RDF only). Arbuscular mycorrhiza 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 37.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, TNAU Micronutrient mixture 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and a control without micronutrients and AM were studied in the sub plot. Organic manures, micronutrients and AM were applied to first crop of maize only and their residual effect was studied in the succeeding crop of sunflower with and without recommended dose of fertilizer. Enhanced yield attributes and higher grain and stover yields were recorded due to addition of organic manures compared to application of NPK alone. The yield attributes *viz.* cob length, cob girth, number of grain rows cob<sup>-1</sup>, number of grains row<sup>-1</sup>, cob weight, test weight, grain and stover yield, crude protein and starch content were significantly higher under application of poultry manure @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with RDF followed by application of sericulture waste @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with RDF. Among the micronutrients and AM, better yield attributes and higher grain and stover yields, crude protein and starch content were recorded with application of ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 37.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by TNAU MN mixture @ 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The treatment combination of poultry manure @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with RDF along with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 37.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded higher grain and stover yields followed by application of sericulture waste @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with RDF along with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 37.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Hence, considering the overall performance in terms of growth, physiological attributes, yield attributes, yield, economics and system profitability of maize- sunflower cropping system, it is concluded that application of poultry manure @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with RDF (150:75:75 kg N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) along with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 37.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to preceding maize and RDF to the succeeding sunflower can be recommended under irrigated condition to get higher yield, system profitability and also to maintain soil fertility.

**Author for correspondence :**

**G. MARIAPPAN**

Department of  
Agriculture, VELLORE  
(T.N.) INDIA  
Email : [gmagri10@gmail.com](mailto:gmagri10@gmail.com)

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

**How to cite this article :** Mariappan, G., Amanullah, M. Mohamed and Ananthi, T. (2017). Influence of organic manures, micronutrients, Arbuscular Mycorrhiza and addition of crop residue enhance the soil organic carbon content and yield of maize-sunflower sequential cropping system. *Agric. Update*, **12** (TECHSEAR-8) : 2207-2214.

## **BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES**

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is the third most important cereal next to rice and wheat, at global level as well in India. It is a versatile crop and can be grown under diverse environmental conditions and has multidimensional uses. Besides its use as food, feed and fodder, maize is now gaining increased importance on account of its potential uses in manufacturing of wide array of products such as starch, plastic, rayon, textile, adhesive, dyes, resins, polish, syrups, ethanol, etc. It has got immense potential and is therefore, referred to as “**miracle crop**” and also “**queen of cereals**”. Maize, being a C<sub>4</sub> plant is an efficient converter of carbon and absorbed nutrients into food. Maize is one of the world’s leading crops cultivated over an area of about

175.0 million hectares with a production of about 855.9 million tonnes and productivity of 4.89 tonnes of grain ha<sup>-1</sup> (USDA, 2013) and per capita total maize grain consumption is 25.2 kg (Ito, 2013). In India, maize is cultivated over an area of 8.71 million hectares with a production of 21.57 million tonnes and the average productivity is 2476kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. In Tamil Nadu, maize is cultivated in an area of 0.30 million hectares with a production of 1.57 million tonnes and the productivity is 5173 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Agricoop, 2011 - 12). Micronutrient deficiencies in crop plants are widespread because of increased micronutrient demands due to intensive cropping practices and adaptation of high yielding cultivars which may have higher nutrient demand. Maize is one of the important crops sensitive to Zn deficiency with a high Zn demand that positively responds to Zn fertilization. Soil is the habitat for a vast complex and interactive community of the soil organisms whose activities determine the physical and chemical properties of the soil and in turn the growth and development of the crops. When specific microorganisms like Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (AM) fungi are applied to seed or roots, they cause an alteration in the composition of rhizosphere and such alterations have positive implication on nutrient mobilization especially P and Zn hence the growth and development of plants. Nutrients contained in organic manures are released more slowly and stored for a long

time in the soil, ensuring a long residual effect (Sharma and Mitra, 2007). Safety of environment as well as public health is also important reasons for advocating increased use of organic sources of nutrients (Hazra, 2007). However, the use of organic manure alone, cannot sustain the cropping system due to unavailability of required quantities and their relatively low nutrient content on a long term basis (Palm *et al.*, 1997).

Hence, an attempt was made to study the influence of different organic sources with recommended dose of inorganic fertilizers in increasing the productivity and the quality of hybrid maize and possible carry-over residual effect on the succeeding sunflower sown immediately after harvest of maize under irrigated garden land conditions.

## **RESOURCES AND METHODS**

Field experiments were conducted during winter and summer seasons of 2011-2012 and 2012-2013 at Eastern block of Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore to investigate the influence of different organic manures with inorganic fertilizers, micronutrients and Arbuscular Mycorrhiza on the growth and yield of maize and to assess their residual effect on the succeeding sunflower. The details of materials used and methods employed here.

### **Crop residue estimation:**

To estimate the amount of crop residues added after each crop, the soil was dug upto 30 cm depth in an area of 0.25 m<sup>2</sup>. The samples drawn by using the quadrat method was cleaned treatment wise in a drum with continuous flow of water as suggested by Long (1951). The crop residues were collected, dried and weighed. Residue added was calculated and expressed in kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The Soil organic carbon was estimated by the method is called wet chromic acid digestion by Walkey and Black (1934)

### **Treatments and experimental design :**

*Treatment details :*

The experiments were laid out in split plot design. In the main plot, four organic nutrient treatments with

recommended dose of inorganic fertilizers to the maize crop only *viz.*, FYM, sericulture waste poultry manure, goat manure, along with a control (RDF only) and in the sub plot, four treatments *viz.*, AM, zinc sulphate and TNAU micro nutrient mixture were evaluated along with absolute control. The treatments were replicated thrice. For the second crop individual plots were further divided into two for raising sunflower, one plot without RDF and another plot with 100 % RDF for sunflower.

#### Statistical analysis :

The data collected were statistically analyzed as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984). Wherever the treatment differences were found significant, critical difference was worked out at five per cent probability level. The interaction effect was discussed wherever it was found significant.

## OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

The results of the field experiments conducted at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during 2011-12 and 2012-13 to investigate the influence of organic manures, micronutrients and mycorrhizal inoculation on the productivity of maize based cropping

system under irrigated condition.

#### Soil organic carbon :

Organic manures, micronutrients, AM and crop residues exerted significant and favourable influence on soil organic carbon content and improve the physio chemical properties of soil. During 2011-12, among the organic manures, poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> registered significantly higher soil organic carbon of 0.56 per cent followed by sericulture waste 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, goat manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and FYM 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and were comparable among themselves. The least organic carbon was recorded under control without organic manure. Among the micronutrients and AM, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 37.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly higher soil organic carbon (0.53) followed by TNAU MN mixture and AM and were comparable among themselves. The least organic matter was recorded under control. During 2012-13, the soil organic carbon content exhibited similar trend as that of the previous crop with regard to organic manures micronutrient and AM. The interaction effect was not significant during both 2011-12 and 2012-13. During 2011-12, among the organic manures, poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> registered significantly higher soil organic carbon of 0.56 per cent followed by sericulture waste 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, goat manure

**Table 1 : Effect of organic manures, micronutrients and AM on soil organic carbon (%) and crop residue addition (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of maize**

| Treatments   | Soil organic carbon (%) |                 | Crop residue (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |                 |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
|  | Winter, 2011-12         | Winter, 2012-13 | Winter, 2011-12                     | Winter, 2012-13 |
| <b>Organic manures (M)</b>                                     |                         |                 |                                     |                 |
| M <sub>1</sub> - RDF+ Farmyard manure @12.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> | 0.50                    | 0.61            | 1573                                | 1487            |
| M <sub>2</sub> - RDF+ Sericulture waste @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> | 0.54                    | 0.64            | 1657                                | 1976            |
| M <sub>3</sub> - RDF+ Poultry manure @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>    | 0.56                    | 0.68            | 1707                                | 2215            |
| M <sub>4</sub> - RDF+ Goat manure @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>       | 0.51                    | 0.62            | 1597                                | 1766            |
| M <sub>5</sub> - RDF alone (Control)                           | 0.34                    | 0.47            | 1518                                | 1161            |
| S.E. ±   | 0.03                    | 0.03            | 62                                  | 99              |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 0.06                    | 0.07            | 143                                 | 229             |
| <b>Micronutrients and AM (S)</b>                               |                         |                 |                                     |                 |
| S <sub>1</sub> - AM @ 100 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                  | 0.50                    | 0.62            | 1557                                | 1656            |
| S <sub>2</sub> - ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 37.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 0.53                    | 0.64            | 1774                                | 1930            |
| S <sub>3</sub> - TNAU MN mixture @ 30 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>      | 0.51                    | 0.62            | 1599                                | 1714            |
| S <sub>4</sub> - Control                                       | 0.39                    | 0.53            | 1512                                | 1583            |
| S.E. ±   | 0.02                    | 0.03            | 57                                  | 94              |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 0.04                    | 0.06            | 116                                 | 191             |
| Interaction  | NS                      | NS              | NS                                  | NS              |

NS=Non-significant

5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and FYM 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and were comparable among themselves. The least organic carbon was recorded under control without organic manure. Among the micronutrients and AM, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 37.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly higher soil organic carbon (0.53) followed by TNAU MN mixture and AM and were comparable among themselves. The least organic matter was recorded under control.

### Crop residues :

During 2011-12, among the organic manures, poultry

manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> registered significantly higher crop residue of 1707 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by sericulture waste compost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and both were comparable with each other. These treatments were followed by goat manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and FYM 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The least crop residue was recorded under control without organic manure. Among the micronutrients and AM, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 37.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly higher crop residue (1774 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than the other treatments. During 2012-13 also, the crop residue exhibited similar trend as that of the previous crop with regard to organic manures, micronutrient and AM. The

**Table 2 : Effect of organic manures, micronutrients and AM on grain yield, stover yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of maize ( Winter, 2011-12)**

| Treatments  | Grain yield | Stover yield |
|---|-------------|--------------|
| <b>Organic manures (M)</b>                                      |             |              |
| M <sub>1</sub> - RDF+ Farmyard manure @ 12.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> | 6181        | 11939        |
| M <sub>2</sub> - RDF+ Sericulture waste @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 6593        | 12132        |
| M <sub>3</sub> - RDF+ Poultry manure @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>     | 7230        | 12193        |
| M <sub>4</sub> - RDF+ Goat manure @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>        | 6393        | 12032        |
| M <sub>5</sub> - RDF alone (Control)                            | 5453        | 11412        |
| S.E. ±  | 207         | 64           |
| C.D. (P=0.05)   | 476         | 147          |
| <b>Micronutrients and AM (S)</b>                                |             |              |
| S <sub>1</sub> - AM @ 100 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                   | 6247        | 11695        |
| S <sub>2</sub> - ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 37.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>   | 7271        | 12677        |
| S <sub>3</sub> - TNAU MN mixture @ 30 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>       | 6555        | 12236        |
| S <sub>4</sub> - Control  | 5406        | 11158        |
| S.E. ±  | 125         | 61           |
| C.D. (P=0.05)   | 254         | 125          |
| Interaction   | Sig         | Sig          |

**Table 3 : Effect of organic manures, micronutrients and AM on grain yield, stover yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of maize (Winter, 2012-13)**

| Treatment  | Grain yield | Stover yield |
|--|-------------|--------------|
| <b>Organic manures (M)</b>                                     |             |              |
| M <sub>1</sub> - RDF+ Farmyard manure @12.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> | 6151        | 11984        |
| M <sub>2</sub> - RDF+ Sericulture waste @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> | 6953        | 12208        |
| M <sub>3</sub> - RDF+ Poultry manure @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>    | 7635        | 12300        |
| M <sub>4</sub> - RDF+ Goat manure @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>       | 6377        | 12103        |
| M <sub>5</sub> - RDF alone (Control)                           | 5514        | 11441        |
| S.E. ±   | 219         | 69           |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 506         | 159          |
| <b>Micronutrients and AM (S)</b>                               |             |              |
| S <sub>1</sub> - AM @ 100 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                  | 6218        | 11734        |
| S <sub>2</sub> - ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 37.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 7524        | 12838        |
| S <sub>3</sub> - TNAU MN mixture @ 30 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>      | 6562        | 12268        |
| S <sub>4</sub> - Control                                       | 5800        | 11189        |
| S.E. ±   | 201         | 66           |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 411         | 134          |
| Interaction  | Sig         | Sig          |

interaction effect was not significant during both 2011-12 and 2012-13. Application of organic manures with RDF improved the soil organic carbon content when compared to inorganic fertilizers alone. This could be ascribed to the higher organic matter content of the manures. Similar result of increase organic carbon content

due to organic manures has been reported by Sharma and Mittra (2007). Among the organic manures, application of poultry manure with RDF to soil recorded significantly higher organic carbon which might be due to addition of organic matter and higher amount of crop residues as reported by Deksissa *et al.* (2008). Application

**Table 4 : Interaction effect of organic manures, micronutrients and AM on grain yield of maize (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

| Main plot<br>Sub plot | Winter 2011-12 |                |                |                |                |      | Winter 2012-13 |                |                |                |                |      |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------|
|                       | M <sub>1</sub> | M <sub>2</sub> | M <sub>3</sub> | M <sub>4</sub> | M <sub>5</sub> | Mean | M <sub>1</sub> | M <sub>2</sub> | M <sub>3</sub> | M <sub>4</sub> | M <sub>5</sub> | Mean |
| S <sub>1</sub>        | 6173           | 6436           | 6575           | 6414           | 5641           | 6248 | 5947           | 6516           | 7090           | 5999           | 5538           | 6218 |
| S <sub>2</sub>        | 6785           | 7523           | 9104           | 7261           | 5681           | 7271 | 6784           | 8501           | 9310           | 7382           | 5645           | 7524 |
| S <sub>3</sub>        | 6361           | 6661           | 7759           | 6466           | 5529           | 6555 | 6285           | 6899           | 7631           | 6544           | 5450           | 6562 |
| S <sub>4</sub>        | 5406           | 5753           | 5484           | 5429           | 4961           | 5406 | 5588           | 5897           | 6507           | 5585           | 5423           | 5800 |
| Mean                  | 6181           | 6593           | 7230           | 6392           | 5453           |      | 6151           | 6953           | 7635           | 6377           | 5514           |      |
|                       | Source         | S.E. ±         | C.D. (P=0.05)  |                |                |      | Source         | S.E. ±         | C.D. (P=0.05)  |                |                |      |
|                       | M              | 206            | 476            |                |                |      | M              | 219            | 506            |                |                |      |
|                       | S              | 125            | 254            |                |                |      | S              | 201            | 411            |                |                |      |
|                       | M at S         | 318            | 718            |                |                |      | M at S         | 447            | 1012           |                |                |      |
|                       | S at M         | 279            | 569            |                |                |      | S at M         | 450            | 919            |                |                |      |

**Table 5 : Residual effect of organic manures, micronutrients and AM applied to preceding maize and fertilizer level to sunflower on seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), stalk yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of sunflower (Summer, 2012)**

| Treatment  | Seed yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Stalk yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <b>Organic manures (M)</b>                                     |                                   |                                    |
| M <sub>1</sub> - RDF+ Farmyard manure @12.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> | 1458                              | 5573                               |
| M <sub>2</sub> - RDF+ Sericulture waste @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> | 1882                              | 6871                               |
| M <sub>3</sub> - RDF+ Poultry manure @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>    | 2086                              | 7841                               |
| M <sub>4</sub> - RDF+ Goat manure @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>       | 1647                              | 6162                               |
| M <sub>5</sub> - RDF alone (Control)                           | 1300                              | 5121                               |
| S.E. ±   | 73                                | 220                                |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 169                               | 508                                |
| <b>Micronutrients and AM (S)</b>                               |                                   |                                    |
| S <sub>1</sub> - AM @ 100 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                  | 1481                              | 6193                               |
| S <sub>2</sub> - ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 37.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 2197                              | 7357                               |
| S <sub>3</sub> - TNAU MN mixture @ 30 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>      | 1544                              | 6407                               |
| S <sub>4</sub> - Control                                       | 1477                              | 5297                               |
| S.E. ±   | 43                                | 85                                 |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 88                                | 174                                |
| <b>Fertilizer levels (F)</b>                                   |                                   |                                    |
| F <sub>0</sub> - Control                                       | 1650                              | 6146                               |
| F <sub>1</sub> - 100 % RDF                                     | 1824                              | 6481                               |
| S.E. ±   | 6                                 | 11                                 |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 12                                | 23                                 |
| Interaction  | Sig                               | Sig                                |

of organic manures with RDF improved the soil organic carbon content when compared to inorganic fertilizers alone. This could be ascribed to the higher organic matter content of the manures. Similar result of increase organic carbon content due to organic manures has been reported by Sharma and Mittra (2007).

Among the organic manures, application of poultry manure with RDF to soil recorded significantly higher organic carbon which might be due to addition of organic matter and higher amount of crop residues as reported by Deksisssa *et al.* (2008). All the organic manures applied to preceding maize exerted a positive influence on the yield of succeeding sunflower. Among the organic manures, seed and stalk yield of sunflower were higher with application of poultry manure to preceding maize. This positive response recorded could be due to mineralization of nutrients, as a result of which better growth was achieved. Higher vegetative production due to higher interception of light might have improved assimilate production and hence increased the yield as reported by Babaji *et al.* (2011). Similar result of increased crop yields due to residual effect of organic manures as reported by Jayanthi *et al.* (1997), Singh *et*

*al.* (1999) and Babaji *et al.* (2011), lend support to the present finding.

#### Grain and seed yield of maize and sunflower :

The maize grain yield was significantly influenced by organic manures, micronutrients and AM during both the years. In general, all the organic manures tried recorded higher yield than control. In the first crop during 2011-12, among the organic manures, poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded the highest grain yield of 7230 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. This was followed by sericulture waste 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, goat manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and FYM 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and they were comparable among themselves. Control recorded the least grain yield. Micronutrients and AM had a positive influence on grain yield of maize. Among the micronutrients, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 37.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded the highest grain yield (7271 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by TNAU MN mixture and AM. The yield increase under ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 37.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was 34.49 per cent, over control. In the second crop during 2012-13 also, similar trend of results as observed in the first crop during 2011-12 was observed. Regarding organic manures, higher yield of 7635 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded by poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by sericulture

**Table 6 : Residual effect of organic manures, micronutrients and AM applied to preceding maize and fertilizer level to sunflower on seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), stalk yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), harvest index and oil content (%) of sunflower (Summer, 2013)**

| Treatments   | Seed yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Stalk yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <b>Organic manures (M)</b>                                     |                                   |                                    |
| M <sub>1</sub> - RDF+ Farmyard manure @12.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> | 1663                              | 6097                               |
| M <sub>2</sub> - RDF+ Sericulture waste @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> | 1965                              | 7346                               |
| M <sub>3</sub> - RDF+ Poultry manure @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>    | 2190                              | 8116                               |
| M <sub>4</sub> - RDF+ Goat manure @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>       | 1787                              | 6637                               |
| M <sub>5</sub> - RDF alone (Control)                           | 1510                              | 5745                               |
| S.E. ±   | 54                                | 196                                |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 125                               | 451                                |
| <b>Micronutrients and AM (S)</b>                               |                                   |                                    |
| S <sub>1</sub> - AM @ 100 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>                  | 1651                              | 5487                               |
| S <sub>2</sub> - ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 37.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 2228                              | 8445                               |
| S <sub>3</sub> - TNAU MN mixture @ 30 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>      | 1804                              | 7655                               |
| S <sub>4</sub> - Control                                       | 1609                              | 5565                               |
| S.E. ±   | 30                                | 150                                |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 61                                | 307                                |
| <b>Fertilizer levels (F)</b>                                   |                                   |                                    |
| F <sub>0</sub> - Control                                       | 1755                              | 6646                               |
| F <sub>1</sub> - 100 % RDF                                     | 1891                              | 6931                               |
| S.E. ±   | 5                                 | 9                                  |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 9                                 | 19                                 |
| Interaction  | Sig                               | Sig                                |

waste 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, goat manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and FYM 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Control recorded the least yield (5514 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Regarding the micronutrients and AM treatments, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 37.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly higher yield (7524 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than control (5800 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Organic manures, micronutrients, AM and fertilizer levels had a significant influence on the seed yield of hybrid sunflower during 2012 and 2013.

During 2012, among the organic manures, higher seed yield of sunflower (2086 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> applied to preceding maize followed by sericulture waste 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, goat manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and FYM 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The least seed yield of sunflower was recorded under control. During 2012, among the organic manures, higher seed yield of sunflower (2086 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> applied to preceding maize followed by sericulture waste 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, goat manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and FYM 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The least seed yield of sunflower was recorded under control. Among the micronutrients and AM, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 37.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to preceding maize recorded higher seed yield of 2197 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by TNAU MN mixture 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and AM applied to preceding maize. The least seed yield was recorded under control. With regard to fertilizer levels, 100% RDF to sunflower recorded higher seed yield (1824 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than unfertilized control. The interaction between organic manures, micronutrients and AM was significant. The treatment combination of poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 37.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> applied to preceding maize recorded higher seed

yield of 2859 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by sericulture waste 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> along with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 37.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to preceding maize. The least seed yield (1264 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under control without organic manures, micronutrients and AM.

Application of organic manures with RDF improved the soil organic carbon content when compared to inorganic fertilizers alone. This could be ascribed to the higher organic matter content of the manures. Similar result of increase organic carbon content due to organic manures has been reported by Sharma and Mittra (2007). Among the organic manures, application of poultry manure with RDF to soil recorded significantly higher organic carbon which might be due to addition of organic matter and higher amount of crop residues as reported by Deksissa *et al.* (2008). All the organic manures applied to preceding maize exerted a positive influence on the yield of succeeding sunflower. Among the organic manures, seed and stalk yield of sunflower were higher with application of poultry manure to preceding maize. This positive response recorded could be due to mineralization of nutrients, as a result of which better growth was achieved. Higher vegetative production due to higher interception of light might have improved assimilate production and hence increased the yield as reported by Babaji *et al.* (2011). Similar result of increased crop yields due to residual effect of organic manures as reported by Jayanthi *et al.* (1997), Singh *et al.* (1999) and Babaji *et al.* (2011), lend support to the present finding. Among the organic manures, seed and stalk yield of sunflower were higher with application of

**Table 7 : Interaction effect of residual organic manures, micronutrients and AM applied to preceding maize and fertilizer level to sunflower on seed yield of sunflower (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

| Main plots<br>Sub plots | Summer, 2012   |                |                |                |                |      | Summer, 2013   |                |                |                |                |      |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------|
|                         | M <sub>1</sub> | M <sub>2</sub> | M <sub>3</sub> | M <sub>4</sub> | M <sub>5</sub> | Mean | M <sub>1</sub> | M <sub>2</sub> | M <sub>3</sub> | M <sub>4</sub> | M <sub>5</sub> | Mean |
| S <sub>1</sub>          | 1391           | 1415           | 1859           | 1421           | 1319           | 1481 | 1474           | 1805           | 1866           | 1596           | 1513           | 1651 |
| S <sub>2</sub>          | 1604           | 2848           | 2859           | 2424           | 1248           | 2197 | 1978           | 2381           | 2931           | 2288           | 1562           | 2228 |
| S <sub>3</sub>          | 1448           | 1725           | 1731           | 1445           | 1371           | 1544 | 1807           | 1902           | 2078           | 1695           | 1541           | 1804 |
| S <sub>4</sub>          | 1389           | 1540           | 1894           | 1297           | 1264           | 1477 | 1392           | 1771           | 1887           | 1570           | 1426           | 1609 |
| F <sub>0</sub>          | 1372           | 1799           | 1986           | 1575           | 1206           |      | 1603           | 1897           | 2124           | 1728           | 1425           |      |
| F <sub>1</sub>          | 1544           | 1965           | 2185           | 1719           | 1395           |      | 1723           | 2032           | 2257           | 1847           | 1596           |      |
| Mean                    | 1458           | 1882           | 2086           | 1647           | 1300           |      | 1663           | 1965           | 2190           | 1787           | 1510           |      |
|                         | Source         | S.E. ±         | C.D. (P=0.05)  |                |                |      | Source         | S.E. ±         | C.D. (P=0.05)  |                |                |      |
|                         | M              | 73             | 169            |                |                |      | M              | 54             | 125            |                |                |      |
|                         | S              | 43             | 88             |                |                |      | S              | 30             | 61             |                |                |      |
|                         | F              | 6              | 12             |                |                |      | F              | 5              | 9              |                |                |      |
|                         | M at S         | 101            | 222            |                |                |      | M at S         | 73             | 161            |                |                |      |
|                         | M at F         | 61             | 138            |                |                |      | M at F         | 45             | 102            |                |                |      |

poultry manure to preceding maize. This positive response recorded could be due to mineralization of nutrients, as a result of which better growth was achieved. Higher vegetative production due to higher interception of light might have improved assimilate production and hence increased the yield as reported by Babaji *et al.* (2011). Similar result of increased crop yields due to residual effect of organic manures as reported by Jayanthi *et al.* (1997), Singh *et al.* (1999) and Babaji *et al.* (2011), lend support to the present finding. The increased in yield in lieu of adding of crop residues in sunflower field and also improved the organic carbon content for the succeeding crop of sunflower.

### Conclusion :

In maize – sunflower cropping system, application of poultry manure @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with RDF along with zinc sulphate @ 37.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to maize and RDF to succeeding sunflower crop recorded the highest yield due to the addition of crop residues and in turn improvement of organic carbon in availability to succeeding sunflower crop.

### Acknowledgements :

The author was much grateful to the UGC (Rajiv Gandhi National Fellowship) for providing the financial support to carry out my Ph.D. research in Integrated Nutrient Management studies.

Authors' affiliations :

M. MOHAMED AMANULLAH, Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, COIMBATORE (T.N.) INDIA

T. ANANTHI, Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Vepery, CHENNAI (T.N.) INDIA

### REFERENCES

Babaji, B.A., Yahaya, R.A. and Mahadi, M.A. (2011). Growth attributes and pod yield of four cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) Varieties as Influenced by Residual Effect of Different application rates of farmyard manure. *J. Agric. Sci.*, **3**(2) : 165-171.

Deksissa, T., Short, I. and Allen, J. (2008). Effect of soil amendment with compost on growth and water use efficiency of Amaranth. In: Proc. UCOWR/NIWR Annual Conference: International Water Resources: Challenges for the 21<sup>st</sup> century and Water Resource Education, July 22-24, Durham, NC.

Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). *Statistical procedures for agriculture research*, Second Edition, John Wiley and Sons, Newyork. p.680.

Hazra, C.R. (2007). Organic manures for sustainable agriculture. *J. Agriculture Issues*. **12**(1) : 1-10.

Jayanthi, C., Rangasamy, A. and Chinnusamy, C. (1997). Integrated nutrient management in rice based cropping system linked with lowland integrated farming system. *Fertilizer News*, **42**(3) : 25-30.

Long, O.H. (1951). Root studies on some farm crops in Tennessee. University of Tena. Agricultural Experiment Statics. Bulletin 301.

Palm, C.A., Myers, R.J.K. and Nandwa, S.M. (1997). Combined use of organic and inorganic nutrition on plant disease resistance and its mechanism. *Plant Nutrition Fert. Sci.*, **12**(3): 445-450.

Sharma, A.R. and Mitra, B.N. (2007). Effect of different rates of application of organic security in harmony with nature. Shirashankar, K. (ed.) held at UAS, Bangalore during 1<sup>st</sup> – 4<sup>th</sup> Dec., pp.97.

Singh, G.R., Parihar, S.S. and Chaure, N.K. (1999). Direct and residual effect of organic manures on rice (*Oryza sativa*) – gram (*Cicer arietinum*) cropping sequence. *Indian J. Trop. Agric.*, **17**(1-4) : 195-198.

Walkley, A. and Black, C.A. (1934). An examination of wet oxidation methods for determining soil organic matter and proposed modifications of the chromic acid titration. *Soil Sci.*, **37**: 29-38.

### WEBLIOGRAPHY

Agricoop (2011-12). <http://agricoop.nic.in/agristatistics.htm>

USDA (2013). United States Department of Agriculture. Foreign Agricultural Service. *Circular series WAP 13-05. 2013.* [www.fas.usda.gov/wap/current/](http://www.fas.usda.gov/wap/current/)

12<sup>th</sup>  
Year  
★ ★ ★ ★ ★ of Excellence ★ ★ ★ ★ ★