

\_\_Agriculture Update\_\_\_ Volume 12 | TECHSEAR-10 | 2017 | 2713-2718

Visit us : www.researchjournal.co.in

# RESEARCH ARTICLE: Effect of organic manuring and fertilization on soil fertility, yield attributes and productivity of soybean - safflower cropping system in Vertisol

■ N.A. MESHRAM, SYED ISMAIL AND P.K. RATHOD

#### ARTICLE CHRONICLE: Received :

00.00.2017; Accepted : 00.00.2017

KEY WORDS: dddddddd **SUMMARY :** Field experiments were conducted on Long-term fertilizer management at Research farm, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, VNMKV, Parbhani to study the effect of organic manures and fertilization on soil fertility and productivity under soybean-safflower cropping system since 2006-07 to 2012-13 on Vertisols. The 7<sup>th</sup> cycle data revealed that, the grain (26.58 and 18.52 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw (32.05 and 47.92 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) yield of soybean and safflower were highest with 100% NPK+FYM@ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> than other treatments. Similarly, increasing height, number of pods and number of capsules were observed significantly with NPK + FYM than other management practices. However, the sustainability yield index (SYI) of soybean and safflower were also recorded maximum with 100 % NPK + FYM @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> towards with 150% NPK. In contrast to soil fertility, significant enhancement in availability of N, P and K over its initial status with the application of optimal dose of fertilizer having 100 % NPK + FYM @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, whereas availability of S and Zn was maintained by this treatment as compare to other. However, a significant build up of available Zn due the supply of zinc sulphate along with 100% NPK was noticed. On the basis of B : C ratio the treatment 100 % NPK + FYM @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> most beneficial for farmers than other treatment.

**How to cite this article :** Meshram, N.A., Ismail, Syed and Rathod, P.K. (2017). Effect of organic manuring and fertilization on soil fertility, yield attributes and productivity of soybean - safflower cropping system in Vertisol. *Agric. Update*, **12** (TECHSEAR-10) : 2713-2718.

#### Author for correspondence :

#### N.A. MESHRAM

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, PARBHANI (M.S.) INDIA Email:nandkishor.meshram @rediffmail.com

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

## **B**ACKGROUND AND **O**BJECTIVES

Oilseeds occupy a significant place in India's national economy, next only to food grains. In India, oilseed production increased from a mere 10.83 mt in 1985-86 to 25.6 mt in 2012-13(Anonymous, 2013). Higher doses of chemical fertilizers and agricultural chemicals but insufficient use of organics leads to negative results on fertility, productivity and sustainability of soil. There is negative relationship between use of fertilizers and their rising prices particularly for small and marginal farm holders. Regular and prolonged exploitation of soil resources for crop cultivation without addition of fertilizers and inadequate supply of fertilizers creates nutrient imbalance in the soil. By and large, Indian soils showed either deficiency or inadequacy in cluster of major and micronutrients. Augmentation or restoration of soil productivity can be achieved through addition of chemical fertilizer (off farm input), organic manures, wastes and residues as well as by improving nutrient cycling and propagation of nutrients efficient on farm inputs/cultivars. In this context the concept of integrated plant nutrient supply can be sound practice for sustainable agriculture (Katkar et al. 2006). Continuous application of organic and inorganic fertilizers on soybean and safflower shows that achieving nutritional balance between these two inputs results in better soil productivity and functionality than using either of them singly. The major role of organic material when combined with inorganic fertilizer is to increase the nutrient use efficiency of the inorganic fertilizer. Long-term fertilizer experiments (LTFE) give the valuable information of continuous application of fertilizer and manure in alone or in combination under intensive cropping systems. These experiments can be used for precise monitoring of changes in soil fertility and productivity and could be of paramount help in solving the complex problems (viz, nutrient mining, deficiency of nutrients, fixation of nutrients etc.) related soil fertility management. There is an apprehension that the use of chemical fertilizers over the years may impair soil fertility (Thakur et al., 2011). Although, only use of chemical fertilizers is the fastest way of replenishing the nutrient depletion, but escalating fertilizer prices and limited inputs availability deter the farmers from using these inputs to the required level. Conjoint use of organic manures with chemical fertilizers is very essential as this not only higher the level of productivity but also improved soil health and enhanced nutrient use efficiency (Verma et al., 2005). As information is lacking particularly in soybean-safflower system on effect of organic manure and fertilization on soil fertility and productivity by the crops under Longterm fertilizer experiment, hence the study was undertaken.

### **R**ESOURCES AND METHODS

The Long-Term Fertilizer Experiment was started in 2006-07 at Research Farm (76°46' E longitude and 19°16' N latitude and an elevation of 408.46 m above the mean sea level) Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, with soybean-safflower cropping system. The farm represented semiarid tropic region with the hot summers and mild winters and the annual

maximum temperature during study areas (2012-13) ranged from 29.1°C to 42°C and minimum temperature ranged 9.2°C to 27.8°C in the month of December and May in the year 2012-13. A total annual rainfall was 720.5 mm. The experiment framed in randomized block design (RBD) with twelve treatments and four replications. The treatments consisting viz., T<sub>1</sub>-50% NPK, T<sub>2</sub>-100% NPK,  $T_3$ -150% NPK,  $T_4$ -100% NPK+ Hand weeding,  $T_5$ -100% NPK+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>6</sub>-100% NP, T<sub>7</sub>-100% N, T<sub>8</sub>-100% NPK+FYM@ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>9</sub>-100% NPK-Sulphur, T<sub>10</sub>-Only FYM@ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>11</sub>-Absolute control and  $T_{12}$ -Fallow. The crops soybean and safflower were raised during kharif and rabi season, respectively with recommended package of practices. Soybean and safflower crops were sown with (45 to 5 cm) and (45 to 10 cm) spacing between row to row and plant to plant, respectively. The 100% NPK was 30:60:30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for soybean and 60:40:00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for safflower, respectively. The fertilizers used were urea, single super phosphate (SSP) and muriate of potash. FYM was applied before 15 days of sowing only for rainy season crop and NPK applied through straight fertilizers urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash as per treatments. Whereas, in T<sub>o</sub> treatment diammonium phosphate was used in place of SSP to avoid sulphur application. In T<sub>4</sub> treatment only two hand weeding were taken for weed control, without use of any weedicide. Inorganic fertilizers were applied as per recommended dose of fertilizer and micronutrients through chemical fertilizer ZnSO<sub>4</sub>.5H<sub>2</sub>O and FYM was incorporated @ 5 Mg ha-1 at sowing time in Kharif season only. The soil of experimental field was Clayey in texture and alkaline reaction with pH 8.1, EC 0.218 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, organic carbon 5.50 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and free CaCO<sub>3</sub> content 8.5 %. The initial status of available N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>,  $K_{2}O$  and S were 216.0, 16.0, 766.0 and 30.50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, whereas available Zn was 0.98 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> before start of the study during Kharif season 2006-07. All the properties of soil and plant were determined by standard methods of soil and plant analysis Jackson (1973). The data was subjected to statistical analysis by the method described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). In the present study, the average yield of soybean and safflower crops individually and sustainability yield index (for 2012-13 considering average and equivalent soybean and safflower grain yield) for each treatment were defined as the goals because the farmers like to get more productivity from each unit land using following equation stated by (Singh et al., 1990; Sharma et al., 2005).

$$\mathbf{SYI} \ \mathsf{N} \ \frac{\mathbf{Y} > \dagger}{\mathbf{Y}_{max}}$$

Where, 'Y' was average yield of the treatment, ' $\delta$ ' was treatment standard deviation and  $Y_{\text{max}}$  was maximum yield in the experiment over the year.

### **OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS**

The results obtained from the present study as well as discussions have been summarized under following heads :

# Crop productivity, Yield attributes Economics and Sustainability :

The conjunctive use of organic manures with chemical fertilizers *i.e.* 100%NPK+FYM@ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded highest grain and straw yield of soybean and safflower (*viz.* 26.58 and 18.52 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, grain) and (*viz.* 32.05 and 47.92 q ha<sup>-1</sup> straw) as compared to chemical fertilizer treatments  $T_3$  and  $T_5$  *i.e.* 150% NPK and 100% NPK + ZnSO<sub>4</sub>@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> but these treatments were found at par with each other. However, lowest yield was noted in  $T_{11}$  *i.e.* absolute control treatment. Moreover, maximum height, number of pods and number of capsules

Table 1: Productivity and sustainability of soybean and safflower as influenced by different nutrient management practices under soybeansafflower cropping sequence

Treatments details	Soybean yi	ield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Safflower y	vield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Sustainable yield index (SYI)					
Treatments details	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Soybean	Safflower	Soybean + Safflower			
T <sub>1</sub> -50%NPK	20.36	23.82	14.93	40.15	0.509	0.605	0.554			
T <sub>2</sub> -100%NPK	23.85	28.20	16.60	44.84	0.626	0.669	0.650			
T <sub>3</sub> -150%NPK	26.30	31.49	18.36	48.99	0.713	0.802	0.755			
T <sub>4</sub> -100%NPK+HW	23.66	27.48	16.35	44.42	0.631	0.650 0.645				
T <sub>5</sub> -100%NPK+Zn	24.84	30.16	17.13	46.37	0.653	0.708	0.681			
T <sub>6</sub> -100%NP	22.51	27.25	14.75	40.96	0.546	0.634	0.588			
T <sub>7</sub> -100%N	14.20	18.79	11.81	33.22	0.164	0.316	0.231			
T <sub>8</sub> -100%NPK+FYM	26.58	32.05	18.52	47.92	0.781	0.819	0.803			
T <sub>9</sub> -100%NPK (-) S	22.99	27.95	15.34	41.42	0.560	0.626	0.593			
T <sub>10</sub> -FYM	19.62	24.21	13.15	34.96	0.426	0.511	0.467			
T <sub>11</sub> -Control	11.62	14.70	10.78	28.91	0.156	0.295	0.218			
Mean	21.50	26.01	15.25	41.09	-	-	-			
SE ±	0.96	1.09	0.68	2.01	-	-	-			
CD (P=0.05)	2.68	3.05	1.91	5.60	-	-	-			

 Table 2 : Productivity and sustainability of soybean and safflower as influenced by different nutrient management practices under soybean-safflower cropping sequence (Pooled)

Treatments details	Soybean height (cm)	Safflower height (cm)	No. of pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	No of capsules plant <sup>-1</sup>			
T <sub>1</sub> -50%NPK	36.13	75.49	29.56	16.14			
T2-100%NPK	46.93	78.63	36.79	17.80			
T <sub>3</sub> -150%NPK	48.27	81.33	44.86	23.44			
T <sub>4</sub> -100%NPK+HW	44.69	77.61	41.38	19.75			
T <sub>5</sub> -100%NPK+Zn	45.81	78.95	42.79	21.23			
T <sub>6</sub> -100%NP	42.47	75.60	36.21	19.87			
T <sub>7</sub> -100%N	28.60	63.72	18.75	15.81			
T <sub>8</sub> -100%NPK+FYM	50.54	79.58	47.47	24.54			
T <sub>9</sub> -100%NPK (-) S	40.71	75.21	34.72	17.68			
T <sub>10</sub> -FYM	31.03	74.56	33.84	18.16			
T <sub>11</sub> -Control	25.68	67.51	15.38	14.87			
Mean	40.08	75.29	34.70	19.03			
S.E. ±	1.63	3.02	1.67	0.98			
CD (P=0.05)	4.73	8.72	4.84	2.84			

were observed significantly with NPK + FYM than other management practices. Treatment T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> were found to be closely at par with each other. On the basis of B : C ratio the treatment 100 % NPK + FYM @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> most beneficial for farmers than other treatment. In case of only inorganic application of 150 % NPK was found to be more B : C ratio than other treatment and most

feasible for farmers. Applying organic manures with chemical fertilizers i.e. 100 % NPK+FYM@ 5 t ha-1 recorded significantly more SYI (0.781, 0.819 and 0.803) as compared to chemical fertilizer treatments T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> *i.e.*150% NPK and 100% NPK + ZnSO<sub>4</sub>@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for sustainability yield index (SYI) of soybean, safflower and soybean + safflower (Table 1, 2 & 3). The data

Tr. No.	Treatment details		Monetary re	turn of soybe	an (Rs ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Monetary re	turn of safflo	wer (Rs ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Pooled
11. NO.	Soybean	Safflower	GMR	NMR	B:C ratio	GMR	NMR	B:C ratio	B:C Ratio
$T_1$	50%NPK	50%NP	54972.83	31812.83	2.37	44756.44	26177.44	2.41	2.39
T <sub>2</sub>	100%NPK	100%NP	63868.42	39698.42	2.64	48406.82	28988.82	2.49	2.57
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	150%NPK	150%NP	70398.24	45218.24	2.80	55980.73	35723.73	2.76	2.78
$T_4$	100%NPK+ HW	100%NP+ HW	64177.96	39307.96	2.58	47339.02	27921.02	2.44	2.51
T <sub>5</sub>	100%NPK+Zn	100%NP+ Residual Zn	65865.69	41115.69	2.66	50592.08	31174.08	2.61	2.63
T <sub>6</sub>	100%NP	100%NP	57788.17	33850.17	2.41	46411.94	26993.94	2.39	2.40
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	100%N	100%N	28905.14	6391.14	1.28	28267.66	10799.66	1.62	1.45
T <sub>8</sub>	100%NPK+FYM	100%NP+Residual FYM	75557.24	48187.24	2.76	56957.48	36700.48	2.81	2.79
T9	100%NPK-S	100%NP-S	58856.82	34693.82	2.44	45948.40	26491.40	2.36	2.40
$T_{10}$	FYM	Residual FYM	48737.81	23737.81	1.95	39425.73	21785.73	2.24	2.09
T <sub>11</sub>	Absolute control	Absolute control	28330.28	6060.28	1.27	27108.81	9468.81	1.54	1.40
Mean			56132.60	31824.87	2.29	44654.10	25656.83	2.33	2.31
S.E. ±			3521.11	3521.11	0.15	2283.13	2283.13	0.12	0.11
C.D. (P=	=0.05)		10169.70	10169.70	0.45	6594.17	6594.17	0.35	0.32

 Table 4: Soil fertility status after harvest of 7<sup>th</sup> cycle as influenced by different nutrient management practices under soybean-safflower

 (2012)

cropping sequence (2013)																
		oH Change	EC	Change	OC (g kg <sup>-</sup> )	Change	Available nutrients (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )							DTPA		
Treatment details	pН						N	Change	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	Change	K <sub>2</sub> O	Change	S	Change	Zn $(mg kg^{-1})$	Change
T <sub>1</sub> -50%NPK	8.11	+0.01	0.233	+0.015	5.87	+0.37	219.06	+3.06	16.37	+0.37	766.65	+0.65	25.46	-5.04	0.77	-0.21
T2-100%NPK	8.15	+0.05	0.245	+0.027	6.05	+0.55	228.78	+12.78	17.89	+1.89	775.49	+9.49	28.12	-2.38	0.81	-0.17
T <sub>3</sub> -150%NPK	8.21	+0.11	0.253	+0.035	6.32	+0.82	253.85	+37.85	19.61	+3.61	805.69	+39.69	31.33	+0.83	0.79	-0.19
T <sub>4</sub> -100%NPK+HW	8.16	+0.06	0.234	+0.016	6.21	+0.71	226.48	+10.48	18.10	+2.1	775.40	+9.4	28.22	-2.28	0.82	-0.16
T <sub>5</sub> -100%NPK+Zn	8.19	+0.09	0.245	+0.027	6.23	+0.73	233.56	+17.56	18.47	+2.47	776.33	+10.33	29.31	-1.19	1.33	+0.35
T <sub>6</sub> -100%NP	8.17	+0.07	0.239	+0.021	5.63	+0.13	223.39	+7.39	18.05	+2.05	766.15	+0.15	25.73	-4.77	0.76	-0.22
T <sub>7</sub> -100%N	8.14	+0.04	0.232	+0.014	5.54	+0.04	214.56	-1.44	15.56	-0.44	753.55	-12.45	23.21	-7.29	0.74	-0.24
T <sub>8</sub> -	7.95	-0.15	0.235	+0.017	6.62	+1.12	269.67	+53.67	19.90	+3.9	810.35	+44.35	32.13	+1.63	1.08	+0.1
100%NPK+FYM																
T <sub>9</sub> -100%NPK (-) S	8.16	+0.06	0.231	+0.013	5.64	+0.14	228.04	+12.04	17.81	+1.81	767.19	+1.19	23.10	-7.4	0.78	-0.2
T <sub>10</sub> -FYM	7.90	-0.2	0.215	-0.003	6.43	+0.93	227.52	+11.52	19.28	+3.28	768.42	+2.42	30.12	-0.38	0.93	-0.05
T <sub>11</sub> -Control	8.15	+0.05	0.225	+0.007	5.45	-0.05	182.36	-33.64	12.71	-3.29	734.71	-31.29	22.37	-8.13	0.69	-0.29
T <sub>12</sub> -Fallow	8.08	-0.02	0.226	+0.008	5.54	+0.04	208.45	-7.55	15.92	-0.08	765.26	-0.74	24.39	-6.11	0.87	-0.11
Mean	8.11	-	0.234	-	5.96	-	226.31	-	17.47	-	772.10	-	26.96	-	0.86	-
S.E. ±	0.023	-	0.0035	-	0.049	-	4.67	-	0.36	-	6.08	-	0.43	-	0.006	-
CD (P=0.05)	0.068	-	0.010	-	0.141	-	13.46	-	1.04	-	17.52	-	1.23	-	0.018	-
Initial	8.10	-	0.218	-	5.50		216.00	-	16.00	-	766.00	-	30.50	-	0.98	-

**2716** Agric. Update, **12** (TECHSEAR-10) 2017 :2713-2718 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

indicated that imbalanced fertilizer application and no fertilization caused decline in SYI due to decline in soil quality (i.e. continuous nutrient mining reflects decline in content of quality indicators of soil), which is also evident from the data on physical, chemical and biological properties of soil which could not sustain the yields of soybean and safflower. According to Kang et al. (2005) additional application of FYM@ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> before sowing of corn made the system more sustainable than application of 100% NPK under corn-wheat cropping system in *Typic Ustochrept*. The higher grain yield due to inorganic and in combination with organic sources along with FYM might be due to sustained nutrient supply and also as a result of better utilization of applied nutrients by contributing higher biomass and involved in nutrient transformation and fixation (Murthy, 2011; Naik et al. 2012).

### Soil fertility :

The long-term data indicated that to build up of soil fertility vs. pH, EC, OC, available NPKS and Zn were significantly improved with the integrated use of FYM and recommended dose of fertilizer (100% NPK) as compared to the other treatments (Table 2). However, maximum contribution of Zn(+0.35) was recorded with the supplying 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> along with 100% NPK but depletion of Zn in soil due to unused any Zn sources. Continuous growing of soybean-safflower without application of sulphur containing fertilizers (100% NPK-Sulphur i.e. use of 100 % N-Urea, P-DAP, K-MOP) caused decline in available S (-7.4) in the soil followed by and 100% N alone (-7.29) and 50% NPK (-5.04), whereas absolute control treatment was noticed higher reduction in available S (-8.13). Further, the application of recommended dose of fertilizer with organic manure *i.e.* 100% NPK+FYM@ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> to soybean crop followed by 100% NPK to safflower contributed considerably higher balance in available S (+1.63) over its initial status than other treatments. Continuous application of 100% N alone and 50% NPK caused an increase in yield over control but response exhibited declining yield with time due to imbalance use of nutrients (Table 4). Katkar et al. (2011) had conducted a long term experiment initiated in 1988 at Akola (MS) with sorghum-wheat cropping system on Vertisol. After 20 years of experimentation, they observed slight decrease in pH and EC of soil, whereas they noticed increase in organic carbon,

availability of N, P, K and S significantly with the application of 100% NPK + FYM@ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> over control. Thakur *et al.* (2011) in long-term fertilizer experiments with soybean-wheat cropping sequence in Vertisols, found that conjoint use of FYM with 100% NPK significantly improved organic carbon content and available N, P, K, S and Zn status of soil over its initial values, thereby indicating significant contribution towards sustaining the soil health.

### **Conclusion :**

It can be concluded from the above finding that under continuous cropping with soybean-safflower system over 7 year, conjoint use of organic manure (FYM) along with 100% NPK was significantly superior over rest of the treatments with respect to sustainable crop productivity, higher monetary return and buildup soil fertility. Amongst different nutrient management supply systems, application of only chemical fertilizers 150% NPK was also noted to be better option for nutrient management through inorganics for carbon improvement in soil and more economical Vertisols.

SYED ISMAIL AND P.K. RATHOD, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, PARBHANI (M.S.) INDIA

## REFERENCES

Anonymous (2013). Directorate of Oilseed Research, Hyderabad-500030. *Annual Report*, ICAR, NEW DELHI, INDIA.

Jackson, M.L. (1973). *Soil Chemical Analysis*, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., NEW DELHI, INDIA.

Kang, G.S., Beri, V., Sidhu, B.S. and Rupela, O.P. (2005). A new index to assess soil quality and sustainability of wheat-based cropping systems. *Biological Fertility of Soils*, **41**: 389-398.

Katkar, R.N., Sonune, B.A. and Kadu, P.R. (2011). Long term effect of fertilization on soil chemical and biological characteristics and productivity under sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) system in Vertisol. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.*, **81**: 734-739.

**Katkar, R. N.,** Turkhede, A.B. and Wankhade, S.T. (2006). Residual effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on soil properties and seed cotton yield. *PKV, Res. J.*, **30** : 36-41.

**Murthy, I.Y.L.N.** (2011). Recent advances in secondary and micronutrient management in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *J. Oilseed Res.*, **28**: 94-104.

Authors' affiliations :

Naik, R., Halepeyati, A.S., Desai, B.K. and Pujari, B.T. (2012). Influence of organic manure and nutrient levels on growth, yield components and yield of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). J. Oilseed Res., **29**(Spl. Issu): 257-258.

**Panse, V.G.** and Sukhatme, P.V. (1985). *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*. I.C.A.R. Pub., New Delhi. pp. 600-603.

**Sharma, K.L.,** Mandal, U.K., Srinivas, K., Vittal, K.P.R., Bishvapati Mandal, Kusuma, J. Grace and Ramesh, V. (2005). Long term soil management effects on crop yields and soil quality in a dryland Alfisol. *Soil and Tillage Res.*, **83** : 246-259.

Singh, R.P., Das, S.K., Bhaskara Rao U.M. and Narayana Reddy

M. (1990). Towards sustainable dryland agriculture practices. *Technical Bulletins*, Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Hyderabad, India, pp. 101-106.

**Thakur, R.,** Sawarkar, S.D., Vaishya, U.K. and Muneshwar Singh (2011). Impact of continuous use of inorganic fertilizers and organic manure on soil properties and productivity under soybean-wheat intensive cropping of a Vertisol. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, **59**: 74-81.

Verma, A., Nepalia, V. and Kanthaliya, P. C. 2005. Effect of continuous cropping and fertilization on crop yield and nutrient status of a *Typic Hapluslopt*. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., **53**: 365-358.