

**RESEARCH ARTICLE :**

## Effect of organic and inorganic nutrient sources on reproductive, yield and economics of acid lime cv. kagzi lime

■ **J. BHANDARI, R.N. KANPURE, B. KACHOULI, A. HALDAR AND N. VASURE**

**ARTICLE CHRONICLE :**

**Received :**

11.07.2017;

**Accepted :**

25.08.2017

**KEY WORDS :**

Acid lime, Inorganic, organic, Biofertilizer, Yield

**SUMMARY :** A field experiment was carried out at *Instructional cum Research Fruit Orchard* Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture Mandasaur (M.P.) during the year of 2016-17 on well established ten years old orchard of acid lime planted at 6.0 m X 6.0 m. The experiment comprised fourteen treatments including absolute control viz., T<sub>0</sub> = Control, T<sub>1</sub> = RDF (Recommended dose of fertilizers- 900:400:400 N:P:K g/plant), T<sub>2</sub> = 75 % RDF + 3 Kg Vermicompost + 10 Kg FYM, T<sub>3</sub> = 50 % RDF + 7 Kg Vermicompost + 15Kg FYM, T<sub>4</sub> = 25 % RDF + 10 Kg Vermicompost+ 20 Kg FYM, T<sub>5</sub> = 75 % RDF + 3 Kg Vermicompost + 10 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM, T<sub>6</sub> = 50 % RDF + 7 Kg Vermicompost + 15 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM, T<sub>7</sub> = 25 % RDF + 10 Kg Vermicompost + 20 Kg FYM+ 150 g VAM, T<sub>8</sub> = 75 % RDF+ 3 Kg Vermicompost + 10 Kg FYM + 25 g Azotobactor, T<sub>9</sub> = 50 % RDF + 7 Kg Vermicompost+ 15 Kg FYM + 25 g Azotobactor, T<sub>10</sub> = 25 % RDF + 10 Kg Vermicompost + 20 Kg FYM + 25 g Azotobactor, T<sub>11</sub> = 75 % RDF + 3 Kg Vermicompost + 10 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobactor, T<sub>12</sub> = 50 % RDF + 7 Kg Vermicompost + 15 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobactor, T<sub>13</sub> = 25 % RDF + 10 Kg Vermicompost + 20 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobactor. The results revealed that the soil application of 50 % RDF + 7 Kg vermicompost + 15 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobactor (T<sub>12</sub>) was significantly increased the value of the fruit setting (62.71%), minimum fruit drop (32.40 %), maximum fruit retention (67.60 %), fruit weight (54.14 g), number of fruit per plant (967.06), yield per plant (52.35 kg). The maximum gross income (Rs. 363439.9) and net income (Rs. 301060.1) was obtained from application of 50 % RDF + 7 Kg Vermicompost + 15 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobactor (T<sub>12</sub>). Whereas, the most appropriate benefit cost ratio (5.15) was registered in T<sub>11</sub> (75 % RDF + 3 kg vermicompost + 10 kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobactor).

**How to cite this article :** Bhandari, J., Kanpure, R.N., Kachouli, B., Haldar, A. and Vasure, N. (2017). Effect of organic and inorganic nutrient sources on reproductive, yield and economics of acid lime cv. kagzi lime. *Agric. Update*, **12** (TECHSEAR-10) : 2908-2912.

**Author for correspondence :**

**J. BHANDARI**

Department of Fruit Science, RVS Agriculture University, College of Horticulture, MANDSAUR (M.P.) INDIA  
Email : ur.reshu@gmail.com

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

### **BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES**

Acid lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle) belongs to the family Rutaceae, is one of the

most important citrus fruit as a major source of vitamin- "C" grown throughout the world (Souci *et al.*, 2000). It is a medium statured shrubby thorny plant, which grows up to 5 m

height. The flowers are yellowish white 2.5 cm in diameter with a light purple tinge on the margins. Flower and fruit appears throughout the year but most abundantly during the rainy season (May- September). Plants raised through seedlings take generally 4 to 8 years come into blooming. It reaches the maximum yield potential at the age of 8 to 10 years.

Citrus occupies an important place among the fruit industry, but yield potential of citrus orchards are still very low. Out of many factors, poor nutrient status of the soil as well as malnutrition is considered to be the major factors responsible for citrus decline and low yield. Chemical fertilizers are mostly in use for their cultivation, which have some deleterious effects on fruit quality besides adverse effect on soil, water and environmental pollution. An integrated approach the use of organic manures, biofertilizers and chemical fertilizers could help in achieving the goal of obtaining safer food and environment for the people (Lal and Dayal, 2014).

Conventional (chemical based) farming is non-sustainable because of many problems such as loss of soil health and productivity from excessive erosion and low farm income from high production costs etc. In view of these, there is an increasing awareness about alternate agriculture system known as integrated plant nutrient management. The basic concept of integrated nutrient management (INM) is the adjustment of plant nutrient supply with proper combination of chemical fertilizers, organic manure and biofertilizers suitable to the system of land use and ecological, social and economic conditions (Binopal *et al.*, 2013).

## RESOURCES AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during 2016-17 at *Instructional cum Research Fruit Orchard* Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture Mandsaur (M.P.) on ten years old acid lime tree cv. Kagzi lime. The farm is geographically located at 23.45° to 24.13° N latitude and 74.44° to 75.18° E longitudes with at an altitude of 435 m Mean Sea Level. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. The plants having uniform vigour and size were selected for the study. The experiment comprised of fourteen treatments *viz.*, T<sub>0</sub> = Control, T<sub>1</sub> = RDF (Recommended dose of fertilizers- 900:400:400 N:P:K g/plant), T<sub>2</sub> = 75 % RDF + 3 Kg Vermicompost + 10 Kg FYM, T<sub>3</sub> = 50 % RDF + 7 Kg Vermicompost + 15Kg FYM, T<sub>4</sub> = 25 %

RDF + 10 Kg Vermicompost+ 20 Kg FYM, T<sub>5</sub> = 75 % RDF + 3 Kg Vermicompost + 10 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM, T<sub>6</sub> = 50 % RDF + 7 Kg Vermicompost + 15 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM, T<sub>7</sub> = 25 % RDF + 10 Kg Vermicompost + 20 Kg FYM+ 150 g VAM, T<sub>8</sub> = 75 % RDF+ 3 Kg Vermicompost + 10 Kg FYM + 25 g Azotobactor, T<sub>9</sub> = 50 % RDF + 7 Kg Vermicompost+ 15 Kg FYM + 25 g Azotobactor, T<sub>10</sub> = 25 % RDF + 10 Kg Vermicompost + 20 Kg FYM + 25 g Azotobactor, T<sub>11</sub> = 75 % RDF + 3 Kg Vermicompost + 10 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobactor, T<sub>12</sub> = 50 % RDF + 7 Kg Vermicompost + 15 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobactor, T<sub>13</sub> = 25 % RDF + 10 Kg Vermicompost + 20 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobactor. The nitrogen was supplied through urea, containing 46 per cent nitrogen. The phosphorus was met out through single super phosphate, containing 16 per cent P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. While potassium was given by muriate of potash, containing 60 per cent K<sub>2</sub>O. The whole quantity of the organic manure was applied as a basal dose on the onset of monsoon. The remaining required doses of fertilizers were applied in two split doses in the month of July and August and bio-fertilizers were applied one week after each application of inorganic fertilizers.

The data was recorded on various reproductive parameters *viz.*, fruit setting per cent, fruit drop per cent and fruit retention per cent were calculated by following formulae:

### Fruit setting (%) :

Total numbers of flower at the full bloom were counted manually to determine the fruit set. Fruits were counted after two week at full bloom and again at stage of fruit setting were calculated with following formula:

Fruit setting (%) = (Number of set fruits/ Number of flowers) x100

Fruit drop(%) =  $\frac{\text{Total number of fruit set} - \text{total number of fruits at harvest time}}{\text{Total number of fruit set}} \times 100$

(Formula by Khattab *et al.*, 2011)

Fruit retention(%) =  $\frac{\text{Number of fruits at harvest}}{\text{Initial number of fruit set}} \times 100$

Fruit weight was recorded by using electronic weigh balance. Numbers of fruits per plant were recorded separately for each plant at each picking. Average yield per plant was calculated by the following formula: Yield/plant (kg) = no. of fruit/plant x fruit weight.

### Economic analysis :

The cost of cultivation was worked out taking into

account of various inputs used for cultivation during the entire experimental period. The following economic analyses were carried out during the study.

**Total expenditure (Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>):**

The cost incurred right from field preparation to harvest was worked out for each treatment of the study and expressed as Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Gross income:**

The crop yield was computed per hectare and the total income (Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was worked out based on the minimum market rate prevalent during the period of study.

**Net income:**

Net income was obtained by subtracting total expenditure from gross return as detailed below and expressed as Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>.

$$\text{Net income (Rs. ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \text{Gross income (Rs. ha}^{-1}\text{)} - \text{Total expenditure (Rs. ha}^{-1}\text{)}.$$

**Benefit cost ratio:**

BCR was calculated based on net income and total expenditure as given below :

$$\text{BCR} = \frac{\text{Net income (Rs. ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{Total expenditure (Rs. ha}^{-1}\text{)}}$$

## OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

The results obtained from the present study as well as discussions have been summarized under following heads :

**Reproductive and yield parameters:**

The results clearly indicated from table-1 that the soil application of organic manure and inorganic fertilizers along with biofertilizers were significantly increased the

**Table 1: Effect of organic and inorganic nutrient sources on reproductive and yield attributing characters of acid lime cv. Kagzi lime.**

Treatments	Fruit setting (%)	Fruit drop (%)	Fruit retention (%)	Fruit weight (g)	Number of fruit/plant	Yield per plant (kg)
T <sub>0</sub> Absolute control	36.70	57.43	42.57	711.12	22.20	9.74
T <sub>1</sub> RDF (Recommended dose of fertilizers- 900:400:400 N:P:K g/plant)	44.70	52.21	47.79	821.66	34.78	9.10
T <sub>2</sub> 75 % RDF + 3 Kg VC + 10 Kg FYM	54.51	44.73	55.27	840.11	38.77	8.84
T <sub>3</sub> 50 % RDF + 7 Kg VC + 15Kg FYM	55.92	42.39	57.61	855.12	40.69	8.87
T <sub>4</sub> 25 % RDF + 10 Kg VC + 20 Kg FYM	46.83	50.65	49.35	779.14	33.24	9.65
T <sub>5</sub> 75 % RDF + 3 Kg VC + 10 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM	57.19	40.24	59.76	877.59	43.42	8.73
T <sub>6</sub> 50 % RDF + 7 Kg VC+ 15 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM	58.39	38.36	61.64	890.57	45.31	8.79
T <sub>7</sub> 25 % RDF + 10 Kg VC+ 20 Kg FYM+ 150 g VAM	48.42	49.13	50.87	788.61	35.23	9.62
T <sub>8</sub> 75 % RDF+ 3 Kg VC+ 10 Kg FYM + 25 g Azotobactor	59.53	37.26	62.74	909.16	46.23	8.53
T <sub>9</sub> 50 % RDF + 7 Kg VC + 15 Kg FYM + 25 g Azotobactor	60.38	36.05	63.95	930.41	48.92	8.61
T <sub>10</sub> 25 % RDF + 10 Kg VC + 20 Kg FYM + 25 g Azotobactor	50.34	48.38	51.62	809.45	36.73	9.45
T <sub>11</sub> 75 % RDF + 3 Kg VC+ 10 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobactor	61.26	34.22	65.78	959.88	50.48	8.20
T <sub>12</sub> 50 % RDF + 7 Kg VC + 15 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobactor	62.71	32.40	67.60	967.06	52.35	8.41
T <sub>13</sub> 25 % RDF + 10 Kg VC+ 20 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobactor	52.98	47.31	52.69	822.36	37.97	9.37
S.E. ±	0.46	0.56	0.56	0.41	3.30	0.43
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.33	1.63	1.62	1.18	9.59	1.24

productivity of acid lime. Maximum fruit setting (62.71%), minimum fruit drop (32.40), maximum fruit retention (67.60%), fruit weight (54.14 g), number of fruits per plant (967.06) and highest yield per plant (52.35 kg) were recorded with the soil application of 50 % RDF + 7 Kg Vermicompost + 15 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobacter (T<sub>12</sub>). Increased nutrient availability from NPK, FYM, the organic phosphorus from phosphobacteria and Azotobacter which may have increased various endogenous hormonal levels in plant tissue which might be responsible for enhancing flowering pollen germination and pollen tube which might have ultimately increased fruit set and higher fruit retention. The results of present findings are confirmed with the findings of earlier workers Dheware and Waghmare (2009) in sweet orange and Godage *et al.* (2013) in guava. The optimum dose of nutrient combinations (NPK) accelerates the metabolic activities of the plant by increasing the meristematic activities which in turn increases the vegetative growth and ultimately lead to increase flowering, maximum fruit setting per cent and maximum fruit retention per cent (Shankar *et al.*, 2002). Presence of B group vitamins, plant hormones and chemical exudates released during biological activity promoted by the vermicompost in the soil and retention of nutrients for longer period of time in combination with

recommended dose of NPK accelerates the process of synthesis and accumulation of food materials and application of biofertilizers increased nutrient status as well as their uptake by the plants, they promote hormonal activity and induce their synthesis, reduce the flower and fruit drop caused by hormonal imbalance, hence maximizing fruit setting and fruit retention percentage which ultimately leads to increase in yield and other yield parameters. The present findings are in accordance with the results reported by Mitra *et al.* (2010), Rubee *et al.* (2011) and Yadav *et al.* (2011).

Vermicompost could reduce requirement of chemical fertilizers by 25-50 per cent in addition to its role in improving soil condition (Lee, 1985). These results are agreement with Musmade *et al.* (2009) in acid lime and Pawar *et al.* (2014) in acid lime.

A treatment adjudged effective technically might not be economical if costs are more than benefits obtained. Therefore, economic analysis is the ultimate yardstick to recommend a technology. The economics worked out for this experiment indicated (Table-2) that maximum gross income (Rs. 363439.9) and net income (Rs. 301060.1) was obtained from application of 50 % RDF + 7 Kg Vermicompost + 15 Kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobacter (T<sub>12</sub>) followed by T<sub>11</sub> (75 % RDF + 3 kg Vermicompost + 10 kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g

**Table 2: Economics of the different treatments**

Treatments	Total expenditure (Rs)	Gross income (Rs)	Net income (Rs)	Benefit Cost ratio
T <sub>0</sub> Absolute control	30000.00	154123.5	124123.5	4.13
T <sub>1</sub> RDF (Recommended dose of fertilizer- 900:400:400 N:P:K g/plant)	40333.22	241460.2	201126.9	4.98
T <sub>2</sub> 75 % RDF + 3 kg vermicompost + 10 kg FYM	44695.88	269160.7	224464.8	5.02
T <sub>3</sub> 50 % RDF + 7 kg vermicompost + 15kg FYM	49050.22	282490.3	233440.1	4.75
T <sub>4</sub> 25 % RDF + 10 kg vermicompost + 20 kg FYM	52018.83	230768.7	178749.9	3.43
T <sub>5</sub> 75 % RDF + 3 kg vermicompost + 10 kg FYM + 150 g VAM	49694.48	301443.4	251748.9	5.06
T <sub>6</sub> 50 % RDF + 7 kg vermicompost + 15 kg FYM + 150 g VAM	54048.82	314564.7	260515.9	4.82
T <sub>7</sub> 25 % RDF + 10 kg vermicompost + 20 kg FYM+ 150 g VAM	57017.43	244584.3	187566.8	3.28
T <sub>8</sub> 75 % RDF+ 3 kg vermicompost + 10 kg FYM + 25 g Azotobacter	53026.88	320951.8	267924.9	5.05
T <sub>9</sub> 50 % RDF + 7 kg vermicompost + 15 kg FYM + 25 g Azotobacter	57381.22	339627.1	282245.9	4.91
T <sub>10</sub> 25 % RDF + 10 kg vermicompost + 20 kg FYM + 25 g Azotobacter	60349.83	254998	194648.2	3.22
T <sub>11</sub> 75 % RDF + 3 kg vermicompost + 10 kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobacter	58025.48	357191.6	299166.1	5.15
T <sub>12</sub> 50 % RDF + 7 kg vermicompost + 15 kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobacter	62379.82	363439.9	301060.1	4.82
T <sub>13</sub> 25 % RDF + 10 kg vermicompost + 20 kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobacter	65348.43	263606.7	198258.3	3.03

Azotobactor). The benefit cost ratio was higher (5.15) recorded with 75 % RDF + 3 kg Vermicompost + 10 kg FYM + 150 g VAM + 25 g Azotobactor (T<sub>11</sub>) which is economically viable as compare to other treatments. The highest cost: benefit ratio obtained in T<sub>11</sub> was due to the higher fruit production and reduced cost of chemical fertilizers. Similar result was also been reported by Singh (2009) in bael. Similarly, by using organic manures Bhavidoddi Rahul kumar (2003) and Kurubar (2007) registered higher net returns and benefit cost ratio in banana and fig, respectively.

Authors' affiliations :

R.N. KANPURE, B. KACHOULI AND A. HALDAR, Department of Fruit Science, RVS Agriculture University, College of Horticulture, MANDSAUR (M.P.) INDIA

N. VASURE, Department of Horticulture, RVS Agriculture University, College of Horticulture, MANDSAUR (M.P.) INDIA

## REFERENCES

- Bhavidoddi, R. (2003). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on Banana cv. Rajapuri musa AAB). *M. Sc. Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, (India).
- Binepal, M.N.; Tiwari, R. and Kumawat, B.R. (2013). Integrated approach for nutrient management in guava cv. L-49 under Malwa Plateau conditions of Madhya Pradesh. *Int. J. Agri. Sci.* 9(2): 467-471.
- Dheware, R.M. and Waghmare, M. S. (2009). Influence of organic-inorganic and bio-fertilizers and their interactions on flowering and fruit set of sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* Osbeck). *Asian J. Hort.* 4(1): 194-197.
- Godage, S.S; Parekh, N.S. and Nehete, D.S. (2013). Influence of bio-fertilizers and chemical fertilizers on growth, flowering and fruit characters of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Allahabad safeda. *International J. Agric. Sci.* 9(1): 309-313.
- Khattab, M.M.; Shaban, A.E.; El-Shrief, A.H. and Mohamed, A.El. (2011). Growth and productivity of pomegranate trees under different irrigation levels I: Vegetative growth and fruiting. *J. Hortic. Sci. & Ornamental Plants* 3 (2): 194-198.
- Kurubar, A. R. (2007). Study on integrated nutrient and post harvest management of Fig (*Ficus carica* L.). *Ph. D. Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, (India).
- Lal and Dayal (2014). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield quality of acid lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle). *Afr. J. Agric. Res.* 9(40): 2985-2991.
- Lee, K.E. (1985). "Earthworms their ecology and their relation with soil and land use". *Academic prees, sydeney, Australia*, pp 184-194.
- Mitra, S.K.; Gurung, M.R. and Pathak, P.K. (2010). Integrated nutrient management in high density guava orchards. *Acta Hort.* 849: 349-356.
- Musmade, A. M.; Jagtap, D.D.; Pujari, C.V. and Hiray, S.A. (2009). *Asian J. Hort.* 4(2): 305.
- Pawar, N. S.; Bharad, S. G.; Bhusari, R. B. and Ulemale, P.H. (2014). Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of Acid lime. *BIOINFOLET 11 (4 A)*: 995 – 1000.
- RubeeLata, D.; Deepa, H.; Ram, R.B. and Meena, M.L. (2011). Response of organic substrates on growth, yield and physiochemical characteristics of guava cv. Red fleshed. *Indian J. Ecol.* 38 (1): 81-84.
- Shankar, U.; Pathak, R.A.; Pathak, R.K. and Ojha, C.M. (2002). Effect of NPK on the yield and fruit quality of guava cv. Sardar. *Progressive Hort.* 34(1): 49-55.
- Singh, J.K. (2009). Studies on integrated nutrient management in bael (*Aegle marmelos* Correa) cv. Naremdra bael-09. (Ph.D.) Thesis, Veer Bahadur Singh Purvanchal University, Jaunpur, U.P. (INDIA).
- Souci, S.W.; Fachmann, W. and Kraut, H. (2000). Food composition and nutrition tables. CRC Press, Stuttgart.
- Yadav, A.K., Singh, J.K. and Singh, H.K. (2011). Studies on integrated nutrient management in flowering, fruiting, yield and quality of mango cv. Amrapali under high density orcharding. *Indian J. Hort.* 68 (4): 453-460.