

__Agriculture Update___ Volume 12 | TECHSEAR-10 | 2017 | 2979-2982

Visit us : www.researchjournal.co.in

RESEARCH ARTICLE: Effect of application of PGPRM on growth parameters of cashew seedlings under polyhouse condition

S.N. RANJANI, L. KRISHNA NAIK AND G. KUSHALA

ARTICLE CHRONICLE:

Received : 11.07.2017; **Accepted :** 25.08.2017 **SUMMARY :** Azotobacterchroococcum, Bacillus megaterium, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Trichodermaviride and Glomusfasciculatum were found to be efficient PGPR microorganisms. Hence, they were subjected to compatibility test by dual culture method. All the four PGPR microorganisms (A. chroococcum, B. megaterium, P. fluorescens, and T. viride) were found to be compatible under in vitro condition both on solid and in liquid media. Percentage of germination and plant height at different intervals were found to be maximum in the treatments which received B. megaterium with P. fluorescens and B. megaterium with G. fasciculatum, respectively. The stem girth of cashew seedlings before and after were found to be maximum in the treatment receiving B. megaterium with G. fasciculatum.

KEY WORDS:

Azotobacterchroococcum, Bacillusmegaterium, Pseudomonasfluorescens, Trichodermaviride and Glomusfasciculatum

Author for correspondence :

S.N. RANJANI Department of Agricultural Microbiology, University of Agricultural Sciences (GK.V.K.), BENGALURU (KARNATAKA) INDIA See end of the article for authors' affiliations **How to cite this article :** Ranjani, S.N., Naik, L. Krishna and Kushala, G. (2017). Effect of application of PGPRM on growth parameters of cashew seedlings under polyhouse condition. *Agric. Update*, **12** (TECHSEAR-10) : 2979-2982.

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

Cashew (*Anacardiumoccidentale* L.) a tropical plant of commercial importance, belongs to the family Anacardiaceae. It is one of the export oriented cash crops of our country. Cashew is a cross pollinated crop and as such highly heterozygous plants are produced if seed propagation is resorted. The most common and the simplest method of raising cashew trees is from seeds, with the advancement in propagate vegetatively in large numbers. Approximate methods of propagation like rooting of cuttings, budding, layering and

grafting were standardized in various horticultural crops. Commercial cashew varieties are multiplied by soft wood grafting which is the cheapest and easiest method of vegetative propagation. This method also proved to be the best under 'maidan parts' of Karnataka (Anon., 1994). Apart from all these advantages, the success of soft wood grafting depends on the type of media used to raise the root stocks and the quality of root stocks and scions. In the eastern dry zone of Karnataka, it has been observed that poor quality of root stocks is one of the major causes for low success of soft wood grafts even under conducive environment. It has been observed that stocks raised using potting mixture (red earth, sand and FYM - 1:1:1) have minimum roots, thus making the stock growth less vigorous and more lanky. It has been suspected that the less environment of beneficial soil microorganisms might be the reasons for such results. Application of biofertilizers is known to improve the soil fertility and crop productivity in several crops through atmospheric nitrogen fixation, solubilization of inorganic and organic phosphorus and other nutrients and synthesis of growth regulators. They also play an important role in improving germination, root proliferation and suppress plant diseases (Verma, 1993; SubbaRao, 1995). The beneficial effect of Azotobacter treatment has been reported in establishment of healthy and sturdy seedlings (SundaraRao et al., 1963a).

RESOURCES AND METHODS

This experiment was carried out during the year 2007-08 in collaboration with All India Coordinated Research Project on cashew, nursery section at Agricultural Research Station (ARS), Chintamani, Kolar (Dist.), Karnataka.

The soaked seeds were sown in poly bags containing 2 kg of potting mixture with punch holes for drainage. The seeds were sown such that the stalk end facing upwards in polythene bags at about 2 - 3 cm below the soil surface. Germination of seeds started 15 days after sowing and continued till 30thday. Regular watering and weeding was attended.

Five grams of lignite based inoculum of plant growth promoting rhizomicroorganism was applied in the poly bag containing soil (2 - 3 cm below the soil surface), according to the treatment details.

Treatment details :

- T₁: control
- T₂: Azotobacterchroococcum
- T₂: Bacillus megaterium
- T₄: Glomus fasciculatum
- T₅: Pseudomonas fluorescens
- T₆: Trichoderma viride

T₇: Azotobacterchroococcum + Bacillus megaterium

T_s: Azotobacter chroococcum + Glomus fasciculatum

T₉: Azotobacter chroococcum + Pseudomonas fluorescens

Agric. Update, 12 (TECHSEAR-10) 2017 :2979-2982 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

 T_{10} : Azotobacterchroococcum + Trichoderma viride

T₁₁: Bacillus megaterium + Glomus fasciculatum T₁₂: Bacillus megaterium + Pseudomonas fluorescens

T₁₃: Bacillus megaterium + Trichoderma viride

T₁₄: Pseudomonas fluorescens + Trichoderma viride

T₁₅: Glomusfasciculatum+ Trichodermaviride

Healthy and vigorously grown seedlings having single main stem were selected for grafting. Seventy five days old seedling grown in the centre of polythene bag were used.

Cashew seedlings (root stocks) grown for 75 days were selected for grafting. Grafting was done by soft wood method. The stock plant with new terminal growth was decapitated at a height varying from 10 - 18 cm from soil surface of poly bags and a vertical split of about 3 to 4 cm was made using a sharp knife and the care was also taken to retain 2 - 4 leaves well below the grafting point. A scion of 8 - 10 cm length was taken and the basal end was cut into a wedge form (3 - 4 cm)long) and the stock in such a way that at least one side of the scion cambium makes satisfactory contact with the cambium of root stock. The inserted portion was wrapped firmly with 1.5 cm wide and 30 cm long, 100 gauge white transparent polyethylene strip, so as to keep the stock and scion parts in firm contact and to prevent water entering into the grafted portion. A Pepsi poly tube was inserted over the scion to create higher humidity around the grafted portion.

OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

The germination percentage of cashew seed nut was found maximum in the treatment receiving Bacillus megaterium and Pseudomonas fluorescens. The treatments which received two PGPR microorganisms gave significantly higher germination percentage when compared with the treatments receiving single inoculation or uninoculated control. The results of the percent study are in conformity with the earlier findings of Kumar (1997) in cashew nut, Rakesh Kumar (2004) in chickpea, Podile and Lakshmi (1998) in pigeon pea, Strobel and Nachmias (1985) in almond.

The maximum plant height at 45 DAS was obtained in the treatment receiving Bacillus megaterium and Glomusfasciculatum followed by the treatment which



received *Glomusfasciculatum* and *Trichodermaviride* and *Bacillus megaterium* with *Trichodermaviride*. At 75 DAS also, the treatment which received *Bacillus megaterium* with *Glomusfasciculatum* followed by the treatment receiving *Azotobacterchroococcum* with *Trichodermaviride* and *Azotobacterchroococcum* with *Bacillus megaterium* recorded maximum plant height. At 45 DAG also, the maximum plant height was obtained in the dual PGPR microorganisms inoculated treatments. Minimum plant height at different intervals was observed

		Plant height (cm)		
Treatments	Germination (%)	Root stock		Graft
	30 DAS	45 DAS	75 DAS	45 DAG
$\Gamma_1 - Control$	70.33 ¹	25.00 ^b	27.28 ^f	24.83 ^e
T ₂ - Azotobacterchroococcum	89.12 ^b	26.31 ab	27.41 ^f	25.62 ^{cde}
T ₃ - Bacillus megaterium	81.22 ^h	25.83 ^{ab}	27.63 ef	25.72 bed
Γ₄- Glomusfasciculatum	80.58^{i}	26.25 ^{ab}	27.94 def	25.83 ^{bcde}
Γ_5 - Pseudomonas fluorescens	78.99 ^j	25.75 ^{ab}	28.34 ^{cdef}	26.02 ^{bcde}
Γ_6 - Trichodermaviride	77.35 ^k	27.66 ^{ab}	$27.97 ^{\text{def}}$	25.62 ^{cde}
Γ_7 - Azotobacterchroococcum + Bacillus megaterium	85.66 ^e	28.17 ^{ab}	30.35 ^{ab}	27.00 ^{ab}
Γ_8 - Azotobacterchroococcum + Glomusfasciculatum	83.99 ^f	28.33 ^{ab}	30.25 ^{ab}	28.09 ^a
Γ_9 - Azotobac terchroococcum + Pseudomonafluorescens	81.33 ^h	27.00 ^{ab}	27.46 ^f	26.38 bc a
Γ_{10} - Azotobacter chroococ cum + Trichoder maviride	88.14 ^{cd}	27.91 ^{ab}	30.51 ^a	28.08 ^a
Γ_{11} - Bacillus megate rium + Glomusfasciculatum	87.69 ^d	28.75 ^a	30.67 ^a	27.92 ^a
Γ_{12} - Bacillus megate rium + Pseudomonas fluoresc ens	90.89 ^a	26.93 ^{ab}	29.29 abcde	26.50 ^{bc}
Γ_{13} - Bacillus megaterium + Trichodermaviride	83.29 ^g	28.39 ^{ab}	29.67 ^{abcd}	26.42 bc
Γ_{14} - Pseudomonas fluore scens+ Trichodermaviride	86.17 ^e	27.90 ^{ab}	28.67 ^{bcdef}	25.04 ^{de}
Γ ₁₅ - Glomusfasciculatum+ Trichodermaviride	88.66 ^{bc}	28.48 ab	30.02 ^{abc}	27.77 ^a
CD (P = 0.05)	1.20	2.25	1.18	0.87

Note: PGPRM = Plant Growth Promoting Rhizo microorganisms.

DAS = days after sowing. DAG = Days After Grafting.

Treatments	No of leaves /seedling			
	Root stock		Graft	
	45 DAS	75 DAS	45DAG	
² ₁ – Control	7.00^{d}	8.00 ^{de}	5.33 °	
Γ_2 - Azotobac terchroococcum	7.75 ^{abcd}	8.67 ^{abcd}	5.67 ^{bc}	
3 - Bacillus megaterium	7.42 ^{bcd}	8.75 ^{abc}	6.33 ^{ab}	
4- Glomusfasciculatum	7.83 ^{abcd}	7.83 °	5.67 ^{bc}	
5 - Pseudomonas fluorescens	7.25 ^{cd}	8.67 ^{abcd}	5.84 ^{abc}	
G ₆ - Trichodermaviride	7.42 ^{bcd}	8.67 ^{abcd}	6.49 ^a	
T_7 - Azotobacterchroococcum + Bacillus megaterium	8.08 ^{abc}	8.83 ^{abc}	6.42 ^{ab}	
8 - Azotobacterchroococcum + Glomusfasciculatum	7.83 ^{abcd}	9.08 ^a	6.50 ^a	
$_9$ - Azotobac terchroococcum + Pseudomonafluorescens	8.41 ^{ab}	8.92 ^{ab}	6.33 ^{ab}	
T_{10} - Azotobacterchroococcum + Trichodermaviride	8.00 ^{abcd}	8.17 ^{cde}	6.17 ^{ab}	
11 - Bacillus megate rium + Glomusfasciculatum	8.16 ^{abc}	8.67 ^{abcd}	6.42 ^{ab}	
T12 - Bacillus megate rium + Pseudomonas fluorescens	7.83 ^{abcd}	8.92 ^{ab}	6.25 ^{ab}	
T ₁₃ - Bacillus megate rium + Trichodermaviride	8.00 ^{abcd}	8.25 ^{bcde}	6.33 ^{ab}	
T ₁₄ - Pseudomonas fluore scens+ Trichodermaviride	8.17 ^{abc}	9.00 ^a	5.92 ^{abc}	
15 - Glomusfasciculatum+ Trichodermaviride	8.58 ª	8.25 bcde	6.33 ^{ab}	
CD (P = 0.05)	0.65	0.45	0.50	

Note: PGPRM = Plant Growth Promoting Rhizo microorganisms.

DAS = days after sowing. DAG = Days After Grafting.

in control. Similar results of increased plant height, number of leaves and leaf area per seedling in avocado seedlings by inoculation of *Glomusfasciculatum* at the rate of 2.5g per seedling was reported by Dharmaraj and Irulappan (1982). Kumar (1998) also obtained increased height and stem girth of cashew seedlings treated with biofertilizers as compared to the uninoculated seedlings. Three fold increase in citrus plant height by inoculation with *Glomusfasciculatum* was reported by Menge(1977).

The maximum number of leaves per seedling at 45 DAS was recorded in the treatment inoculated with Glomusfasciculatum with Trichodermaviride followed by the treatment inoculation with Azotobacterchroococcum with Pseudomonas fluorescensand the treatment which received Pseudomonas fluorescens with Trichodermaviride. At 75 DAS also maximum number of leaves per seedling was obtained in the treatments which received dual inoculation. Similarly at 45 DAG also the treatments Azotobacterchroococcum receiving with Glomusfasciculatum followed by Trichodermaviride alone inoculated treatments and Bacillus megaterium with Glomusfasciculatum receiving treatments recorded maximum number of leaves. The least number of leaves per seedling was noticed in the control treatment. The present investigations are in accordance with the reports of Reddy and Bhagyaraj (1994) who obtained increased plant height, stem girth and leaf number per mango root stock inoculated with VAM fungi as compared to uninoculated mango rootstock. Increased seedling height, number of leaves and leaf area of avocado seedlings was reported by Dharmaraj and Irulappan (1982).

REFERENCES

Anonymous (1994). Annual report of all India coordinated Cashew improvement project for the year 1993 - 94, NRCC, pp 42 - 48.

Dharmaraj, G. and Irulappan, I. (1982). Response of avocado seedlings to *Glomusfasciculatum* a mycorrhizal fungus. *South Indian Hort.*, **30:** 139 - 144.

Kumar, D.P. (1997). Influence of biofertilizers on the growth of cashew (*AnacardiumoccidentaleL.*) root stocks. Agricultural Research Station, Ullal (personnel communication).

Kumar, D.P., Hegde, M., Bagyaraj, D.J. and Madhava Rao, A.R. (1998). Influence of biofertilizers on the growth of cashew (*Anacardiumoccidentale* L.) root stocks. *The cashew*, **12**: 3–9.

Menge, J.A. (1977). Mycorrhizal fungi associated with citrus and their possible interaction with pathogens. *Proc. Intern. Soc. Citriculture*, **3**: 55-60.

Podile, A.R. and Lakshmi, V.D.V. (1998). Seed bacterization with *Bacillus subtilis* AF1 increases phenylalanine ammonialyase and reduces the incidence of *Fusarium* wilt in pigeonpea. *Phytopathol*, **146**: 255-259.

Rakesh Kumar, B.L., Jalali and Harichand (2004). Interaction between VA- mycorrhizal fungi and soil-borne plant pathogens of chickpea. *Legume Res.*, **27**: 19-26.

Reddy, B. and Bagyaraj, D.J. (1994). Selection of efficient VAMycorrhizal fungi for inoculating the mango root stock CV. Nekkere. *Sci. Hort.*, **59**: 69-73.

Strobel, G.A. and Nachmias, A. (1985) *Agrobacteriumrhizogenes* promotes the initial growth of bare root stock of almond. *J. Gen. Microbiol.*, **131:** 1245-1249.

Subba Rao, N.S. (1995). Soil microorganisms and plant growth (third edition), pp 77-293, oxford and IBH publishing company, NEW DELHI, INDIA.

Sundara Rao, W. V. B., Mann, M.S., Paul, N.B. and Mathur, S.P., (1963a). Bacterial inoculation experiments with special reference to *Azotobacter.Indian. J. Agric. Sci.*, **33**:279-289.

Verma, L.M. (1993). Biofertilizers in agriculture and in organics. In : soil health and crop production, Thampan, P. K. (ed.), peekay tree crops development foundation, Cochin, pp. 151-184.

Authors' affiliations :

L. KRISHNA NAIK, Department of Agricultural Microbiology, University of Agricultural Sciences (G.K.V.K.), BENGALURU (KARNATAKA) INDIA G. KUSHALA, Department of Horticulture, University of Agricultural Sciences (G.K.V.K.), BENGALURU (KARNATAKA) INDIA