

RESEARCH ARTICLE :

Evaluation of germplasm against major lepidopteron pest in sunflower

■ **NARESHKUMAR E. JAYEWAR, SADASHIV S. GOSALWAD AND MILIND M. SONKAMBLE**

ARTICLE CHRONICLE :

Received :

05.07.2017;

Accepted :

22.07.2017

SUMMARY : In the Indian subcontinent the sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) crop is fast expanding to different agroecological niches and cropping systems due to its wide adaptability. Among biological constraints in the sunflower production, pests dominate the scenario. A diverse assemblage of both beneficial and harmful insect species is associated with the sunflower ecosystem. Though more than fifty insect species have been reported on sunflower, cutworms (*Agrotis* spp.), sucking pests, leaf and plant hoppers (*Amrasca biguttula biguttula* Ishida, *Empoasca* spp.), thrips (*Thrips palmi*), whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius), defoliators (*Spilosoma obliqua* Walker, *Spodoptera litura* Fabricius, and *Plusia orichalcea* Fab.) and capitulum borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) are major pests of economic concern. Therefore, the present experiment was undertaken to screen the available germplasm of sunflower for resistance to defoliators (*Spilosoma obliqua* Walker, *Spodoptera litura* Fabricius, and *Plusia orichalcea* Fab.), and capitulum borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner), which may be further used for conversion in to resistant hybrids, in Augmented Block design consisting of 4.5 m row of each germplasm with infester row of susceptible check (morden). Among entries screened, the population of defoliators (*Spodoptera*, *Trichoplusia* and *Spilosoma*) was moderate ranged between 0.53(GMU-973)- 1.48/plant (GMU-902) and *Helicoverpa* 0.1 to 1.20 /head. The entries GMU-942 and 948 has minimum incidence *i.e.* 0.1 larva/head of sunflower.

KEY WORDS :

Sunflower, Screening, germplasm lines and defoliators, Capitulum borer

How to cite this article : Jayewar, Nareshkumar E., Gosalwad, Sadashiv S. and Sonkamble, Milind M. (2017). Evaluation of germplasm against major lepidopteron pest in sunflower. *Agric. Update*, 12(TECHSEAR-1) : 62-67; DOI: 10.15740/HAS/AU/12.TECHSEAR(1)2017/62-67.

Author for correspondence :

NARESHKUMARE. JAYEWAR

Department of
Agricultural
Entomology, Vasantrao
Naik Marathwada Krishi
Vidyapeeth, PARBHANI
(M.S.) INDIA
Email:nareshkumarjayewar@gmail.com

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) is one of the important oilseed crops in the world which ranks third in area after soybean and groundnut. Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu contribute about 90 per cent of total acreage and 78 per cent of total production (Chanderrao *et al.*, 2015)

in India. Cultivated sunflower, a member of the family Asteraceae (Compositae) is believed to have been first domesticated in the central part of the United States. India is considered to be a paradise of oilseed crops having 19 per cent of the world's total area under oilseeds, but accounts for only 10 per cent of world's total oilseeds production. Oilseeds form the second largest agricultural

commodity after cereals, sharing 14 per cent of the country's gross cropped area, accounting nearly five per cent of gross national product and ten per cent of the value of all agricultural products (Shankergoud *et al.*, 2006). Though, India is the third largest producer of the oilseeds, the country is facing an acute shortage of edible oil. The per capita annual consumption of vegetable oils is only 9 kg in India as against 22.0 kg in the developed countries.

The constraints for low productivity of this crop are attack of several biotic and abiotic factors. Among biological constraints in the sunflower production, pests dominate the scenario.

A diverse assemblage of both beneficial and harmful insect species is associated with the sunflower ecosystem. Though more than fifty insect species have been reported on sunflower, cutworms (*Agrotis* spp.), sucking pests, leaf and plant hoppers (*Amrasca biguttula biguttula* Ishida, *Empoasca* spp.), thrips (*Thrips palmi*), whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius), defoliators (*Spilosoma obliqua* Walker, *Spodoptera litura* Fabricius and *Plusia orichalcea* Fab.) and capitulum borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) are major pests of economic concern. Among insect pests of sunflower, *H. armigera* is a highly polyphagous and destructive pest with more than 180 host plants including important crop plants such as oilseeds, pulses, cotton, vegetables, etc. Various pest species damage different parts of the sunflower plant at different phenological stages. Soil insects damage roots and emerging seedlings. Defoliators and sucking pests cause losses in food reserves. Inflorescence pests like *H. armigera* destroy floral parts and developing seeds and cause direct damage. (Basappa, 1998).

Resistant and tolerant cultivars form the basic component of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) over which other components are to be built up. Even a low level of tolerance in plants has a dramatic effect, which in fact reduces the need of insecticides. Use of resistant or less-susceptible cultivars is one of the most important methods of keeping insect populations below economic threshold levels (Kavitha and Reddy, 2012). Therefore, the present investigation was undertaken with a objective to screen the available breeding material of sunflower for resistance to defoliators and capitulum borer which may be utilized in breeding programmes for developing resistant hybrids.

RESOURCES AND METHODS

Filed experiment with hundred germplasm lines along with infester rows of susceptible check morden obtained from Indian Institute of Oilseeds Research and were screened for their resistance against defoliators (*Spilosoma obliqua* Walker, *Spodoptera litura* Fabricius, and *Plusia orichalcea* Fab.), and capitulum borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) during Kharif, 2011-12 at Oilseeds Research Station, Latur, Maharashtra in Augmented Block Design. Sunflower seeds were dibbled on the ridges at a spacing of 60 X 30 cm, fifteen plants were maintained per row. A known susceptible check 'Morden' was maintained @ one row for every ten test accessions as infester rows. Two rows of the susceptible check were also sown around the experimental field as infester crop. Recommended agronomic practices were followed except plant protection measures. Observations on the number of defoliators and capitulum borer were made at weekly interval by as follow :

Head borer:

Randomly 5 plants were selected, % seed damage head and the larval number flower bud -1 and flower head-1 were recorded.

Damage by defoliators:

Defoliation by *Spilosoma obliqua* Walker, *Spodoptera litura* Fabricius and *Plusia orichalcea* Fab were recorded by 5 randomly selected plants, counting the total and affected leaves and express their damage in per cent. Using these data, the mean population per plant and mean per cent was worked out and further analysis and categorization of entries were made. Using scale to evaluate the level of resistance of the screened accessions, after some modification as furnished by Kavitha and Reddy (2012) to interpret results.

Table A : Scale to categorize germplasm lines		
Pest per cent damage /plant	Resistance grade	Resistance rating
0	I	HR
0.01-1	II	R
1.1 – 2.5	III	MR
2.6-3.5	IV	S
3.6 and above	v	HS

OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

Field evaluation of total 100 germplasm lines was conducted to screen germplasm against defoliators (*Spilosoma obliqua* Walker, *Spodoptera litura* Fabricius, and *Plusia orichalcea* Fab.), and capitulum borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) of Sunflower. Data presented in Table 1 to 3 revealed that, the germplasm lines GMU-901, 912, 918, 954, 955, 966, 991 and 996 did not germinate at all but among rest 92 germplasm lines population of pest was remained moderate during season which was above economic threshold level. Mean defoliators (*Spilosoma obliqua* Walker, *Spodoptera litura* Fabricius, and *Plusia orichalcea* Fab.) population ranged between 0.53 to 1.48 larvae / plant, Mean per cent defoliation was in the range of 0.42 to 28.00 per cent whereas capitulum borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) population ranged

between 0.10 – 1.20 larvae / plant and Mean head damage per cent was in the range of 0.24 to 15.96 per cent throughout season. The susceptible check Morden recorded highest mean per cent defoliation and mean head damage per cent. The entries GMU-973 and GMU-924 recorded minimum defoliator population and defoliation per cent, while the entry GMU-942 recorded minimum capitulum borer population and Mean head damage per cent. The entries GMU-924, 943, 965, 980 and 990 recorded resistant reaction where as for head borer entries GMU-904, 924, 942 and 992 given resistant reaction. Germplasm lines GMU-904, 905, 914, 919, 922, 925, 927, 934, 940, 956 and 992 recorded moderately resistant reaction for defoliator; for capitulum borer GMU 905, 914, 925, 927, 934, 944, 947, 960, 964 and 965 gives moderate resistant reaction. Entries GMU-913, 923 and 928 susceptible reaction for capitulum borer whereas for

Table 1: Germplasm lines screened for pest resistance/ tolerance in sunflower

Name of germplasm	Av. head borer/Pl	Av. defoliators /pl	Name of germplasm	Av. head borer/Pl	Av. defoliators /pl	Name of germplasm	Av. head borer/Pl	Av. defoliators /pl	Name of germplasm	Av. Head borer/Pl	Av. Defoliators /pl
GMU-901	NG	NG	GMU-927	0.20	1.07	GMU-953	0.60	0.93	GMU-979	0.20	0.99
GMU-902	1.20	1.48	GMU-928	0.20	0.86	GMU-954	NG	NG	GMU-980	0.40	1.42
GMU-903	0.60	1.25	GMU-929	0.20	1.07	GMU-955	NG	NG	GMU-981	0.60	1.04
GMU-904	0.40	1.32	GMU-930	0.60	0.98	GMU-956	0.20	0.87	GMU-982	NG	NG
GMU-905	0.80	1.12	GMU-931	0.60	1.02	GMU-957	0.40	1.22	GMU-983	0.20	0.95
GMU-906	0.60	0.87	GMU-932	0.20	0.99	GMU-958	0.60	0.75	GMU-984	NG	NG
GMU-907	0.40	0.88	GMU-933	0.60	0.81	GMU-959	0.20	1.03	GMU-985	0.20	0.91
GMU-908	0.80	1.21	GMU-934	0.20	1.03	GMU-960	0.20	0.75	GMU-986	0.40	0.99
GMU-909	0.20	0.95	GMU-935	0.60	1.03	GMU-961	0.40	1.04	GMU-987	0.40	0.87
GMU-910	0.60	1.28	GMU-936	0.80	0.74	GMU-962	0.60	1.02	GMU-988	0.80	1.08
GMU-911	0.80	1.28	GMU-937	0.40	1.08	GMU-963	0.40	0.83	GMU-989	0.20	1.00
GMU-912	NG	NG	GMU-938	0.40	1.22	GMU-964	0.80	1.14	GMU-990	0.40	0.92
GMU-913	0.20	0.98	GMU-939	0.40	1.34	GMU-965	0.60	1.08	GMU-991	NG	NG
GMU-914	0.20	1.08	GMU-940	0.20	1.03	GMU-966	NG	NG	GMU-992	0.20	1.10
GMU-915	0.60	1.28	GMU-941	0.20	1.08	GMU-967	0.40	1.14	GMU-993	0.20	1.03
GMU-916	0.20	1.13	GMU-942	0.10	1.06	GMU-968	0.20	1.01	GMU-994	NG	NG
GMU-917	0.40	1.38	GMU-943	0.20	0.76	GMU-969	0.80	1.03	GMU-995	0.80	1.15
GMU-918	NG	NG	GMU-944	0.60	1.10	GMU-970	0.60	1.13	GMU-996	NG	NG
GMU-919	0.40	0.79	GMU-945	0.40	1.11	GMU-971	0.20	1.17	GMU-997	0.40	0.88
GMU-920	0.80	0.57	GMU-946	0.20	1.22	GMU-972	0.40	1.11	GMU-998	0.20	1.08
GMU-921	0.60	1.18	GMU-947	0.60	1.10	GMU-973	0.20	0.53	GMU-999	0.80	1.23
GMU-922	0.40	0.93	GMU-948	0.10	1.11	GMU-974	0.40	1.18	GMU-1000	0.60	1.12
GMU-923	0.60	0.89	GMU-949	0.80	1.08	GMU-975	0.20	1.03	Morden(SC)	0.80	1.44
GMU-924	0.20	0.93	GMU-950	0.60	1.12	GMU-976	0.40	1.23			
GMU-925	0.20	0.94	GMU-951	0.80	1.12	GMU-977	0.80	1.36			
GMU-926	0.40	0.94	GMU-952	0.40	0.81	GMU-978	0.60	1.08			

defoliators no entry recorded susceptible reaction. Rest of the entries showed highly susceptible reaction for defoliators and capitulum borer in this experiment (Table

3). Germplasm lines GMU-924 shown multiple resistant towards defoliators and capitulum borer, therefore can

Table 2 : Germplasm lines screened for pest resistance/ tolerance in sunflower

Name of germplasm	Av. head borer damage/ Pl (%)	Av. defoliation/ pl (%)	Name of germplasm	Av. head borer damage/ Pl (%)	Av. defoliation /pl (%)	Name of germplasm	Av. head borer damage /Pl(%)	Av. defoliation /pl (%)	Name of germplasm	Av. head borer damage / Pl(%)	Av. defoliation /pl (%)
GMU-901	NG	NG	GMU-927	1.88	1.84	GMU-953	8.46	12.00	GMU-979	11.28	12.00
GMU-902	5.66	12.46	GMU-928	3.48	10.00	GMU-954	NG	NG	GMU-980	9.56	0.86
GMU-903	4.38	14.48	GMU-929	4.88	12.00	GMU-955	NG	NG	GMU-981	4.52	15.00
GMU-904	0.86	1.48	GMU-930	5.24	11.00	GMU-956	1.68	1.86	GMU-982	NG	NG
GMU-905	2.48	1.96	GMU-931	5.00	10.00	GMU-957	5.40	10.00	GMU-983	5.48	14.00
GMU-906	4.00	10.00	GMU-932	6.24	9.00	GMU-958	12.66	12.00	GMU-984	NG	NG
GMU-907	5.66	12.00	GMU-933	3.84	9.00	GMU-959	11.00	15.00	GMU-985	4.58	12.00
GMU-908	6.24	14.00	GMU-934	2.48	1.28	GMU-960	2.18	16.00	GMU-986	4.62	14.00
GMU-909	6.38	14.68	GMU-935	4.86	8.46	GMU-961	9.00	18.00	GMU-987	12.00	15.00
GMU-910	6.00	11.58	GMU-936	4.00	9.46	GMU-962	8.66	14.00	GMU-988	11.86	18.00
GMU-911	4.28	12.68	GMU-937	5.62	10.00	GMU-963	4.52	12.00	GMU-989	10.24	24.86
GMU-912	NG	NG	GMU-938	5.28	10.00	GMU-964	3.65	16.00	GMU-990	8.66	0.46
GMU-913	3.28	10.24	GMU-939	5.12	12.00	GMU-965	1.14	3.86	GMU-991	4.28	18.96
GMU-914	2.28	2.46	GMU-940	1.96	2.48	GMU-966	NG	NG	GMU-992	0.84	1.46
GMU-915	5.00	4.86	GMU-941	12.00	8.46	GMU-967	5.28	10.00	GMU-993	4.88	12.00
GMU-916	4.00	5.98	GMU-942	0.24	10.00	GMU-968	4.28	8.96	GMU-994	NG	NG
GMU-917	4.18	4.68	GMU-943	8.96	0.98	GMU-969	6.38	12.46	GMU-995	12.48	14.00
GMU-918	NG	NG	GMU-944	2.16	10.00	GMU-970	8.68	10.00	GMU-996	NG	NG
GMU-919	5.00	2.00	GMU-945	3.64	8.46	GMU-971	12.00	12.00	GMU-997	11.56	18.00
GMU-920	5.24	4.66	GMU-946	3.22	11.00	GMU-972	11.48	11.00	GMU-998	14.58	24.00
GMU-921	3.86	3.86	GMU-947	2.46	10.00	GMU-973	12.56	12.86	GMU-999	11.24	18.46
GMU-922	3.54	2.48	GMU-948	14.28	10.00	GMU-974	11.46	14.00	GMU-1000	9.86	12.00
GMU-923	3.14	4.48	GMU-949	10.00	10.00	GMU-975	12.34	16.00	Morden(SC)	15.96	28.00
GMU-924	0.86	0.42	GMU-950	12.00	12.00	GMU-976	11.00	18.00			
GMU-925	1.96	2.36	GMU-951	10.00	14.00	GMU-977	12.46	10.00			
GMU-926	3.24	3.84	GMU-952	10.62	12.00	GMU-978	14.28	12.00			

Table 3 : Rating of sunflower germplasm lines for defoliators and head borer

Sr. No.	Pest population/ plant	Resistance rating	Resistance grade	Name of the accessions (Head borer)	Name of the accessions (Defoliators)
1.	0	I	HR	---	--
2.	0.01-1	II	R	GMU-904, 924,942 and 992.	GMU-924,943,965,980 & 990.
3.	1.1 – 2.5	III	MR	GMU-905,914,925,927,934,944,947,960 , 964 and 965	GMU-904,905,914, 919,922,925,927,934,940,956 and 992.
4.	2.6-3.5	IV	S	GMU-913,923 and 928.	--
5.	3.6-5 and above	V	HS	GMU-902, 903, 906, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, 910, 911, 915, 916, 917, 919, 920, 921, 922, 926, 929, 930, 931, 932, 933, 935, 936, 937, 938, 939, 941, 942, 943, 945, 946, 948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 955, 956, 957, 958, 959, 961, 962, 963, 967, 968, 969, 970, 971, 972, 973, 974, 975, 976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 983, 984, 985, 986, 987, 988, 989, 990, 993, 994, 995, 997, 998, 999, 1000 and Morden	GMU-902, 903, 906, 906, 907, 908, 909, 910, 911, 913, 915, 916, 917, 920, 921, 923, 926, 928, 929, 930, 931, 932, 933, 935, 936, 937, 938, 939, 941, 942, 944, 945, 946, 947, 948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 955, 957, 958, 959, 960, 961, 962, 963, 964, 967, 968, 969, 970, 971, 972, 973, 974, 975, 976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 983, 984, 985, 986, 987, 988, 979, 980, 981, 983, 984, 985, 986, 987, 988, 989, 993, 994, 995, 997, 998, 999, 1000 and Morden

be utilized for further resistant improvement and hybrid development programme.

Suganthi and Uma (2007) screen the promising germplasm entries of sunflower for confirmation of reaction to key pests viz., leafhoppers, thrips, whiteflies, defoliators and head borer. Results revealed that all the five germplasm entries viz., GMU 407, GMU 415, GMU 424, GMU 473 and GMU 493 were promising to the key pests of sunflower. GMU 473 recorded the maximum of 5.0 thrips and 3.0 *S. litura* larvae per plant with the defoliation of 25 per cent as against 7 and 0 per cent defoliation in the checks, Morden and TCSH 1, respectively.

Kumar and Dhillon (2014), evaluated the reaction of 8 sunflower hybrids viz. PSH 930, PSH 569, PSH 652, NSFH 36, PSFH 118, SH 3322, GKSFH 2002 and Jawalamukhi to insect-pests infestation during spring 2006 and 2007 at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana. During the early stage of crop growth sucking pests viz., jassid and whitefly were abundant. Among the 08 hybrids evaluated, PSH 569 and GKSFH 2002 harboured lower population of jassid nymphs than the rest of the hybrids while Jawalamukhi, PSH 652, GKSFH 2002 and PSH 652 were found promising against whitefly. Similarly, GKSFH 2002, PSH 652, SH 3322 and Jawalamukhi were promising against head borer. Among the different hybrids, the population of leafhopper, whitefly and head borer was lower on GKSFH 2002 than the other hybrids. Similarly, entries GKSFH 2002, SH 3322 and PSH 652 recorded lower population (0.08, 0.08 and 0.09 larvae/plant, respectively) of head borer than PSH 569 (0.2 larvae/plant) (Anonymous, 2004a). Similar work has been carried out at various stations across the country by AICRP centres (Anonymous, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2011). However these entries were different from the present investigation and hence, cannot be compared.

Acknowledgement :

The authors thankfully acknowledge the Indian Institute of Oilseeds Research, Hyderabad, TS and Oilseeds Research Station, Latur, M.S. for providing the seed material and facilities for research.

Authors' affiliations :

SADASHIV S. GOSALWAD AND MILIND M. SONKAMBLE, Department of Agricultural Entomology, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, PARBHANI (M.S.) INDIA

REFERENCES

- Anonymous (2000). *Annual Progress Report of AICRP on Oilseeds (Sunflower)*, Directorate of Oilseeds Research, Hyderabad, pp. 204.
- Anonymous (2001). *Annual Progress Report of AICRP on Oilseeds (Sunflower)*, Directorate of Oilseeds Research, Hyderabad, pp. 200.
- Anonymous (2002). *Annual Progress Report of AICRP on Oilseeds (Sunflower)*, Directorate of Oilseeds Research, ICAR, Hyderabad, India, pp. 234.
- Anonymous (2003). *Annual Progress Report of AICRP on Oilseeds (Sunflower)*, Directorate of Oilseeds Research, ICAR, Hyderabad, India, pp. 230.
- Anonymous (2004). *Annual Progress Report of AICRP on Oilseeds (Sunflower)*, Directorate of Oilseeds Research, ICAR, Hyderabad, India, pp. 432.
- Anonymous (2004a). *Annual Progress Report -Sunflower , Spring 2004*. Oilseeds Section, Department of Plant Breeding, Genetics and Biotechnology, Punjab Agricultural University Ludhiana. Pp. 24-29.
- Anonymous (2005). *Annual Progress Report of AICRP on Oilseeds (Sunflower)*, Directorate of Oilseeds Research, ICAR, Hyderabad, India, pp.186.
- Anonymous (2006). *Annual Progress Report of AICRP on Oilseeds (Sunflower)*, Directorate of Oilseeds Research, ICAR, Hyderabad, India, pp. 230.
- Anonymous (2011). *Annual Progress Report of AICRP on Oilseeds (Sunflower)*, Directorate of Oilseeds Research, ICAR, Hyderabad, India, pp. 201-204.
- Basappa, H.** (1998). Integrated Pest Management in Sunflower. In Short Course Manual on Advances in Implementable Pest Management Technology, Directorate of Oilseeds Research, Hyderabad (A.P.) India.
- Chanderrao, S., Sujatha, M., Karuna, K. and K.S. Varaprasad** (2015). Powdery mildew disease in sunflower : A review. *J. Oilseeds Res.*, **32**(2) : 111-122.
- Kavitha and Reddy, Dharma** (2012). Screening techniques for different insect pests in crop plants. *Internat. J. Bio-resource & Stress Mgmt.*, **3**(2):188-195.
- Kumar, Sarwan and Dhillon** (2014). Screening of sunflower hybrids against insect pests under field conditions. *Res. J. Agric. & Environ. Mgmt.*, **3**(8) : 376-379.
- Shankergoud, I., Parameshwarappa, K.G., Chandranath, H.T., Pramod Katti, Mesta, R.K., Golasangi, B.S., Kenchanagoudar, P.V., Somashekar, Beldhadi, R.V., Shadakshari, Y. G., Puttarangaswamy, K.T., Jagadish, K.S., Ramappa, H.K. and**

Mohanraju, B. (2006). *Sunflower and Castor Research in Karnataka - An Overview*, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore/Dharwad, 21 pp.

Suganthi, M. and Uma, D. (2007). Screening of promising sunflower germplasm against Key Insect Pests. *Madras Agric. J.*, **98** (4-6): 180-181.

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ 12th Year of Excellence ★ ★ ★ ★ ★