INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PLANT PROTECTION VOLUME 12 | ISSUE 1 | APRIL, 2019 | 72-76



#### **RESEARCH PAPER**

DOI: 10.15740/HAS/IJPP/12.1/72-76

# Studies on the effect of storage on viability of wettable powder (WP) formulations of the entomopathogenic fungi *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschinikoff) Sorokin

# ■ S.D. Patil\* and R.S. Jadhav<sup>1</sup>

Agricultural Research Station, Niphad, Nasik (M.S.) India <sup>1</sup>Department of Entomology, AICRP on Soybean, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani (M.S.) India

#### ARITCLE INFO

Received: 03.12.2018Revised: 16.03.2019Accepted: 22.03.2019

#### KEY WORDS:

*Metarhizium anisopliae*, Adjuvants, Formulation, Wettable powder, Sunflower oil, Honey, Carboxymethyl cellulose, Colony forming unit

\*Corresponding author: Email : saurushrutu@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

Studies on the effect of storage of developed Metarhizium anisopliae (Metschnikoff) Sorokin 5 per cent WP formulation A1( $M_{30}S_{1/1}C_{1/2}$ ) and B1( $M_{30}S_{1/1}H_{1/1}$ ) (comprising adjuvants, fungus and kaolinite) and *M.anisopliae* alone in kaolinite (control) on viability of the fungus are undertaken. At 10 DAI, surface coverage by the fungus varied from 100 to 45.0, 100 to 46.67 and 100 to 0.0 per cent in formulation A1, B1 and control, respectively, when stored for 0 to 300 days. The samples stored upto 150 days showed cent per cent surface coverage in formulation A1 and B1 except control. Significantly higher biomass (9.10 to 10.03 g/40ml medium) was produced in samples of formulation A1 and B1 (8.77 to 10.07g) stored upto 210 days as compared to that (3.40g) in formulation A1 and B1 (3.70g) stored for 300 days. The biomass in control was 6.27g in fresh sample against no biomass in sample stored for 300 days. The viability varied from 31.33 to 5.33x10<sup>8</sup>, 30.67 to 6.33x10<sup>8</sup> and 30.67 to 0.0x10<sup>8</sup> cfu/ml in formulation A1, B1 and control, respectively, from 0 to 300 days storage. Considering surface coverage (%), biomass produced and viability (cfu/g) the *M. anisopliae* 5 pe cent WP formulation A1, B1 and control could be stored upto 10, 10 and 6 months, respectively for the minimum cfu count of  $1 \times 10^8$ /g for WP formulations as per norms.

How to view point the article : Patil, S.D. and Jadhav, R.S. (2019). Studies on the effect of storage on viability of wettable powder (WP) formulations of the entomopathogenic fungi *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschinikoff) Sorokin . *Internat. J. Plant Protec.*, **12**(1) : 72-76, **DOI** : **10.15740/HAS/IJPP/12.1/72-76**, Copyright@ 2019: Hind Agri-Horticultural Society.

# INTRODUCTION

The green muscardine fungus, *Metarhizium* anisopliae (Metschnikoff) Sorokin, Moniliales,

Moniliaceae is another potential entomopathogenic candidates for biological control. Metschniko (1879) was first to isolate fungus *M. anisopliae* from the larvae of

grain weevil and also first to demonstrate entomopathogenic nature of the fungus against chrysomelid, curculonid and scarabaeid beetles. M. anisopliae capable of infecting more than 100 different insect pests belonging to a variety of insect orders viz., Orthoptera (Grasshopper and Cockroaches), Homoptera (Spittle bug, Nilaparvatalugens) and Lepidoptera (Helicoverpa armigera, S. litura) (McCoy et al., 1988). Gopalkrishnan and Narayan (1987), reported 80-100 per cent mortality of H.armigera by M.anisopliae. It also used for the control of Eariasinsuana (Aly and Rashad, 1997), cabbage semilooper (Wickramatileke et al., 2000), Marucavitrata (Ekesi et al., 2002) diamond back moth (Silva et al., 2003). This fungus is also used for control of sucking pests of important field crops. Virulence of M. anisopliae against mustard aphid (Pandey and Kanaujia, 2004), Aphis gossypii and Myzuspersicae (Loureiro and Moino Junior, 2006) and Maconellicoccus hirsutus (Ujjan and SaleemShahzad, 2007) has been documented. M. anisopliae is characterized as green muscardine fungus due to green colour of the sporulating colonies. It forms a mycelia mat on cuticle of insects. The infective unit is conidia or blastospores which germinate and forms short germ tube bearing appresoria with infective peg attach to cuticle. The infective peg penetrates in layer of integument by enzymatic dissolution of chitin and protein. It reaches the haemocoel and internal organs and insect is filled with fungus. The death of insects occurs due to obliteration of tissues, also production of toxins (destruxin A,B,C,D,E) and proteolytic enzymes secreted by the fungus. Infected insects show symptoms like loss of appetite, decreased irritability, general or partial paralysis, loss of mobility, discolouration and mummification.

At the end of 2001, there were approximately 195 registered biopesticides and 780 formulated products for the control of insects (38.10 %), bacteria (37.00 %), nematodes (15.7 %), fungi (4.7 %), viruses (2.85 %) and protozoa (2.14 %) (Anonymous, 2003). Among the pathogens used in microbial control, entomopathogenic fungi have played an important role in the history of insect pathology and microbial control of insects. More than 750 species of entomopathogenic fungi, representing 100 genera are currently known (Hajek and Lager, 1994). The entomopathogenic fungi causing diseases to the insects are practically more significant as they are epizootic in nature. Also they have the advantage of ease of production and contact action which allow direct

penetration of the host cuticle without ingestion.

The formulation of the fungi still awaits serious efforts in formulation technology. Exploring formulation of M. anisopliae as a tool in the pest management of Lepidopteran pests is one of requisite mandate. The foregoing problem can largely be overcome by developing suitable formulations. The performance and shelf-life can be improved by adding suitable adjuvants subsequently leading to growth, development and viability of the fungus that may act as nutrient, adhesive, UV protectants, wetting agents etc. Presently crude suspensions of the fungi with short shelf-life of around one to two months for liquid and 5 to 6 months for WP are marketed. For developing wettable powder formulation, basic research on standardization of bioactive ingredient and suitable adjuvants is necessary before the formulation. There are many examples were fungi have been formulated with various adjuvants. The addition of nutrients to a spore spray did improve control of aphids and white flies in green house cucumber, compared with spores applied in water alone (Hall and Bell, 1961). Humectants prolong the viability of Alternaria cassia (Shabana et al., 1977).

In the present study wettable powder formulations of *M. anisopliae* with various adjuvants and vegetable oil alongwith wettable powder formulation of *M. anisopliae* without adjuvant were evaluated for their storage on viability under ambient conditions with an object to find out suitable viable formulations.

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Laboratory studies in Completely Randomized Design with three replications were carried out in the biological control laboratory, Dept. of Entomology, MPKV, Rahuri during 2009 to 2012. The medium used for multiplication and growth of the fungus was Sabourauds dextrose broth with yeast extract. The pure fungus culture of *M. anisopliae* was made, available from isolates in Biocontrol Laboratory, Department of Entomology, MPKV, Rahuri.

# Shelf-life assessment of WP formulations at ambient conditions:

The samples of the WP formulations of *M. anisopliae* with adjuvants along with WP formulation of *M. anisopliae* without adjuvants were evaluated for their shelf-life. The sample was drawn each of the formulations at 30 days interval upto 10 months and evaluated for growth, development and viability of the entomopathogen immediately after each of the sampling at  $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. One gram of the WP formulation was added to 40ml Sabouraud's dextrose broth medium in glass bottle and closed with cotton wool. The whole process was carried out in laminar flow cabinet. The observations on per cent surface coverage and biomass developed by fungus on 10<sup>th</sup> days after inoculation were noted. The experimental data were subjected to statistical analysis. The drawn samples were also tested for its colony forming unit (cfu) per gram simuntaneously with growth and biomass development. One gram of each of the product was drawn for monthly cfu count estimation by serial dilution technique for viability studies.

#### Testing cfu count of formulation:

The method suggested by Ming-Guang Feng *et al.* (1990) was used. The autoclaved Sabouraud's dextrose agar with yeast extract (SDA) medium in Petridishes, (100 mm diameter) was inoculated with the help of micropipette by releasing 1 ml *M. anisopliae* suspension prepared in the distilled water in laminar flow cabinet. Other Petridishes with the medium were prepared in similar manner and inoculated with various dilutions in the series (10<sup>1</sup> to 10<sup>10</sup>cfu/ ml) at 27  $\pm$  1°C. After 48 hrs from the 10 samples in each Petridishes the numbers of colonies/Petrideshes were counted and cfu/ml was calculated. The period for shelf-life (storage) assessment of WP formulations of *M. anisopliae* was from April to Dec. 2011 and Jan., Feb. 2012 when average maximum

Table 1	: Effect of storage	ge of <i>M.anisopliae</i> 5 per cent WP formul Surface coverage (%) at 10 DAI			ations on growth, biomass and viab Biomass g/40ml medium			bility under ambient conditions $Cfu/g (x \ 10^8)$		
Tr. No.	Treatment Age in days	Form. A1**	Form. B1***	Form. Control ( <i>M.a.</i> alone)	Form. A1**	Form. B1***	Form. Control ( <i>M.a.</i> alone)	Form. A1**	Form. B1***	Form. Control ( <i>M.a.</i> alone)
1	00	100.00 (90.00)*	100.00	100.00	10.03	10.07	6.27	31.33	30.67	30.67
2	30	100.00 (90.00)	100.00 (90.00)	100.00 (90.00)	9.87	9.97	6.20	30.33	29.67	29.33
3	60	100.00 (90.00)	100.00 (90.00)	100.00 (90.00)	9.67	9.77	6.00	29.33	28.33	25.67
4	90	100.00 (90.00)	100.00 (90.00)	100.00 (90.00)	9.70	9.80	5.90	27.33	26.33	23.33
5	120	100.00 (90.00)	100.00 (90.00)	88.33 (70.00)	9.63	9.73	5.93	25.33	24.33	20.33
6	150	100.00 (90.00)	100.00 (90.00)	86.67 (68.61)	9.40	9.50	5.77	24.33	22.67	18.67
7	180	95.00 (73.08)	100.00 (90.00)	55.00 (47.87)	9.20	9.30	2.97	22.33	21.33	6.33
8	210	91.67 (73.26)	96.67 (79.53)	28.33 (32.14)	9.10	8.77	2.13	19.33	18.33	0.67
9	240	73.33 (58.89)	85.00 (67.21)	0.0 (0.00)	6.83	6.97	0.0	17.00	16.33	0.00
10	270	55.00 (47.87)	60.00 (50.77)	0.00 (0.00)	4.60	5.20	0.00	12.00	13.00	0.00
11	300	45.00 (42.13)	46.67 (43.11)	0.00 (0.00)	3.40	3.70	0.00	5.67	6.67	0.00
	S.E <u>+</u> C.D.(P=0.05)	2.94 8.83	1.64 4.91	1.20 3.59	0.15 0.45	0.17 0.50	0.07 0.22	0.26 0.78	0.26 0.76	0.33 0.99

Figures in parentheses are arcsin values, DAI = Days after inoculation, M.a = Metarhizium anisopliae, \*\* $AI = (M_{30}S_{1/1}C_{1/2})$  \*\*\*  $BI = (M_{30}S_{1/1}H_{1/1})$ 

 $33 \pm 1$  and minimum  $17 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C temperature. The average humidity for morning and evening were 91 and 38.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Data on effect of storage on viability of developed *M. anisopliae* 5 per cent WP formulation A1  $(M_{30}S_{1/1})$  $C_{_{1/2}}$ ) and B1 ( $M_{_{30}}S_{_{1/1}}H_{_{1/1}}$ ) (comprising adjuvants, fungus and kaolinite) and M. anisopliae alone in kaolinite (control) on viability of the fungus are presented in Table 1. At 10 DAI, surface coverage by the fungus varied from 100 to 45.0, 100 to 46.67 and 100 to 0.0 per cent in formulation A1, B1 and control, respectively, when stored for 0 to 300 days. The samples stored upto 150 days showed cent per cent surface coverage in formulation A1 and B1 except control. Significantly higher biomass (9.10 to10.03 g/40ml medium) was produced in samples of formulation A1 and B1 (8.77 to 10.07g) stored upto 210 days as compared to that (3.40g) in formulation A1 and B1 (3.70g) stored for 300 days. The biomass in control was 6.27g in fresh sample against no biomass in sample stored for 300 days.

The viability varied from 31.33 to  $5.33 \times 10^8$ , 30.67 to  $6.33 \times 10^8$  and 30.67 to  $0.0 \times 10^8$  cfu/ml in formulation A1, B1 and control, respectively, from 0 to 300 days storage (Fig. 1). The formulation A1 registered

substantially highest  $(31.33 \times 10^8/\text{ml})$  cfu count. Formulation A1 and B1 maintained its superiority for the viability (5.67 to 6.67x10<sup>8</sup> cfu/ml) upto 300 days, while it declined substantially (6.33 to 0x10<sup>7</sup> cfu/ml) in control formulation after 180 days of storage.

Considering surface coverage (%), biomass produced and viability (cfu/g) the *M. anisopliae* 5 per cent WP formulation A1, B1 and control could be stored upto 10, 10 and 6 months, respectively for the minimum cfu count of  $1x10^8$ /g for WP formulations as per norms of Central Insecticide Board and Registration Committee, Faridabad, Haryana.

The present findings are in corroboration with those reported by Daust *et al.* (1983) the conidia stored in 20 per cent dust retained high viabilities over 12 months. Moore *et al.* (1993) reported that dried conidia stored as powder retained germination level of 95 per cent at 10-14°C but the germination was upto 27 per cent at 28 to 32°C. Batt (2003) concluded that the fungal conidia of *Metarhizium anisopliae* formulated in invert emulsion (water in oil formulation) remained viable for 30.8 months with 50 per cent reduction in conidial viability after 4 to 6 months at  $20 \pm 1$ °C.

Rachappa *et al.* (2007) observed that storing the conidia of *M. anisopliae* under refrigeration provided



longer life compared to ambient temperature. After 180 days of the storage, the cfu reduced from 250 to  $176.50 \times 10^6$ /g. The cfu count was least affected by kaolinite. The wettable powder formulation, attapulgite and kaolinite retained viability of conidia (33.5 and 31.9%), respectively, after one year. It was followed by sorghum flour (27.9%) and talc (26.90%).

# REFERENCES

Aly, S.H. and Rashad, A.M. (1997). Effect of (*Metarhizium anisopliae*) on two stages of *Earias insulana* (Lepidoptera-Nectuidae). *Analele Institutului de Cercetari pentru Cereale Protectia Plantelor*, **28** (2): 203-211.

Anonymous (2003). Biopesticide, Agrolook, 4(1): 4-5.

**Batt, Y.A. (2003).** Production and testing of novel formulations of the entomopathogenic fungus, *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschnikoff) Sorokin (Deuteromycotina: Hyphomycetes). *Crop Prot.*, **22** (2): 415-422.

**Daust, A.R., Ward, G.M. and Roberts, W.D. (1983).** Effect of formulation on theviability of *Metarhizium anisopliae* conidia. *J. Invertebrate Pathol.*, **41**: 151-160.

**Ekesi,S., Adamu,R.S. and Maniania,N.K. (2002).** Ovicidal activity of entomopathogenic hyphomycetes to the legume pod borer, *Marucavitrata* and the pod sucking bug, *Clavigralla tomentosicollis. Crop Protec.*, **21** (7): 589-595.

Gopalkrishnan, C. and Narayan, K. (1987). Studies on the dose, age relationship *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschikoff) Sorokin Var. *anisopliae* Tullock against *Heliothisarmigera* Hub. (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera). *Seminar cum sixth workshop on Biological control of crop pests and weeds*. GujratAgril. University, Anand.

Hajek, A.E. and Lager, R.J.(1994). Interactions between fungal pathogen and insect hosts. *Annual Rev. Entomol.*, **39** : 293-322.

Hall, I.M. and Bell, I.V. (1961). Further studies on the effect of temperature on the growth of some entomophthoraceous fungi. *J. Insect Pathol.*, **3**: 289-296.

Loureiro, E.S. and MoinoJunior, A. (2006). Pathogenicity of hyphomycet fungi toaphids *Aphis gossypii* Glover and *Myzuspersicae* (Sulzer) (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Neotropical Entomology*, **35** (5): 660-665.

McCoy, C.W, Samsom, R.A. and Bourias, D.G. (1988). Entomogenous fungi, In "CRC Handbook of natural pesticides, Microbial insecticide, part-A *Entomogenous Protozoaand fungi*, "*C.M. Ignoffo*, (Ed.), **5**:151-236.

Metschniko, E. (1879). *Maladies des hannetons ble*. Zapiski imperatorskogo obshchestua sel'ska Khozyaistra yuzhnoi rossii, 17–50.

Ming Guang Feng, L.R., Johnso, J.B. and Kish, L.P. (1990). The virulence of *Verticillium lecanii* and an aphid derived isolate of *Beauveria bassiana* for six species of cereal infecting aphids. J. Environ. Entomol., **19** (3): 815-820.

Moore, D., Bridge, P. D., Higgins, P. M., Bateman, R. P. and Prior, C. (1993). Ultra-violetradiation damage to *Metarhizium flavoviridae* conidia and the protection given by vegetable and mineral oils and chemical sunscreens. *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, 122: 605-616.

**Pandey, A.K. and Kanaujia, K.R. (2004).** Sensitivity of aphid, *Lipaphiserysimi* (Kalt.)to *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschnikoff) Sorokin conidiospore. *Appl. Biological Res.*, **6** (1/2):7-10.

**Pandey, K.A. and Kanujia, K. (2005).** Effect of different grain media on sporulation, germination and virulence of *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsamo) Vuillemin against *Spodoptera* (Fab.) larvae. *J. Biological Control*, **19** : 129-133.

**Rachappa,V., Lingappa, S. and Patil, R.K. (2007).** Influence of carrier materials andstorage temperature on conidial viability of fungus, *Metarhizium anisopliae. J. Ecobiol.*, **20** (2) : 133-138.

Shabana,Y.M., Charudattan, R., Devalerio, J.T. and Elawakil, M.A. (1977). An evaluation of hydrophilic polimars for formulating the bioherbicide agents *Aternaria cassia* and *A. eichhorniae. Weed Technol.*, **11**: 212-220.

Silva,V.C.A., Barros, R., Marques, E.J. and Torres, J.B. (2003). Susceptibility of *Plutellaxylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) to the fungi *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.) Vuill. and *Metarhiziumanisopliae* (Metsch.) Sorok. *Neotropical Entomol.*, **32** (4): 653-658.

**Ujjan, A.A. and Saleem Shahzad (2007).** Pathogenicity of *Metarhizium anisopliae* var. acridum strains on pink hibiscus mealy bug (*Maconellicoccus hirsutus*) affecting cotton crop. *Pakistan J. Botany*, **39** (3) : 967-973.

Wickramatileke, W.S.N., Ahangama, D. and Banda, D.M.G.A. (2000). Evaluation of the effectiveness of entomopathogenic fungus (*Metarhizium anisopliae* var. major) on cabbage semilooper (*Chrysodeixiseriosoma* Doubl.). *Tropical Agric. Res.*, 12 : 177-185.

76