

DOI: 10.15740/HAS/AU/12.TECHSEAR(1)2017/88-91

Agriculture Update_ Volume 12 | TECHSEAR-1 | 2017 | 88-91

Visit us : www.researchjournal.co.in



RESEARCH ARTICLE: Effect of NAA and IBA on stem cuttings of rose

C. KRISHNAMOORTHY, B. SUBHA SHREE AND B. SUVETHA

ARTICLE CHRONICLE :SUMMARY : A shade net experiment was conducted in Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, Pollachi,
Tamil Nadu during 2016 – 2017 with an objective of determine the rose cuttings response to Auxins *i.e.*05.07.2017;Indole butyric acid (IBA) and Naphthalene Acetic Acid (NAA) at 0, 500, 1000, 1500 and 2000 ppm
concentrations in growing media. Both had a significant effect on all sprouting and growth parameters.22.07.2017Maximum bud sprouting (78.8 %), days to sprout (6), number of leaves / plant (10), chlorophyll index
(39.3 mg/g) in rose cuttings were recorded at 1500 ppm of IBA (T3). The optimum level of IBA was found
in the range of 1000 and 1500 ppm, while no such effect was evident of NAA. Of these, IBA was
superior to NAA for its strongsynergistic effect on all growth parameters.

How to cite this article : Krishnamoorthy, C., Shree, B. Subha and Suvetha, B. (2017). Effect of NAA and IBA on stem cuttings of rose. *Agric. Update*, **12**(TECHSEAR-1) : **88-91; DOI: 10.15740/HAS/AU/12.TECHSEAR(1)2017/88-91.**

KEY WORDS:

Rose, Cuttings, IBA, NAA, Growth parameters

Author for correspondence :

C. KRISHNAMOORTHY

Department of Horticulture, Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, POLLACHI (T.N.) INDIA Email:plantdoctorkrishna@ gmail.com

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

Rose, the queen of flowers, is favoured for its beauty and many other uses like production of petals, making rose oil (*Attar*), rose water, rose wine, rose marmalade (*Gulkand*), rose jam, rose crystallized petals, rose honey, extraction of perfumes, extraction of vitamin C from hips, for medicinal uses and for sale as cut flowers (Khan *et al.*, 2004). In agriculture the production of plant species through sexual as well as vegetative means is of prime importance to continuity of generation.

Most of the plant species perpetuate through sexual method of propagation, which is easy and plays a vital role in the development of new species that are best suited to the changing environment. Each individual resulting from sexual reproduction usually has a unique combination of genes. There are scores of plant species that are not only hard to be propagated sexually but also show complexities and produce undesirable characters if propagated through sexual means (Lidwien et al., 2006 and Uma and Gowda, 2007). Vegetative propagation therefore, is the most vital and sole method to reproduce these plant species still having desirable characters (Sun and Chen, 1998). These plant species are propagated true to type from somatic cells through cutting, budding, grafting, layering etc. Among these the use of stem cuttings is the most easy and common method for growing roses (Anderson and Woods, 1999 and Costa and Challa, 2008).

The establishment and growth rate of cutting dependsupon many factors like season of cutting, age and portion of the branch, growth media, moisture and nutrient status. Keisling and Kester (1979) concluded that poor rooting of the cutting has been attributed to marginal condition of growing media. Provision of optimal growing conditions and proper timing may enhance the establishment and growth of cutting (Chate *et al.*, 2000). In addition, the use of plant growth regulators also plays a pivotal role in influencing different plant processes comprising mostly of growth, differentiation and development e.g. rooting of cutting, root growth, flowering, aging, prevention or promotion of stem elongation, color enhancement of fruit etc. (Hobbie, 1998). Therefore, many kinds of chemicals have been used with the aim to induce root formation in species which are difficult to propagate or increase the number and extent of roots in others that develop slowly.

Synthetic root promoting chemicals that have been found most reliable in stimulating adventitious root production in cuttings are the auxins *i.e.* Indole 3 acetic acid (IAA), Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) and Indole butyric acid (IBA) (Arteca, 1996). These chemicals are available in commercial preparations, like talc or concentrated liquid formulations and can be diluted with water to the proper strength.Keeping in view the role of plant growth regulators,Indole butyric acid (IBA) and Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) were taken to evaluate their effect on the growth in rose cuttings.

RESOURCES AND METHODS

The research study was conducted at Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, Pollachi, Tamil Nadu during 2016– 2017 with an objective to determine the rose cuttings response to growth regulators.

The growth regulators were prepared in ethylated distilled water at the rate of 500, 1000, 1500 and 2000 ppm according to the formula (Aslam Khan *et al.*, 2009), along with control (distilled water only).Polythene bags $(5 \times 15 \text{ cm}^2)$ were filled up with 650 geach of the growing media (Red soil: Sand: FYM - 2:1:1). Beforeinitiating the experiment growing media was analyzed for their physico-chemical characteristics.The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with nine treatments and replicated thrice. The treatments are T_0 - Control, T_1 - 500 ppm IBA, T_2 - 1000 ppm IBA, T_5 - 500 ppm NAA, T_6 - 1000 ppm NAA, T_7 - 1500 ppm NAA, T_8 - 2000 ppm NAA.

Diseases free uniform semi-hardwood rosecuttings

(15 cm long) were dipped in dilute solution of growth regulators using dip method at room temperature (Hartmann and Kester, 1983). After planting, the bagswere placed in 50 % shade net. Irrigation was applied using rose can during the entire period. The data was recorded on days taken tobud sprouting, bud sprouting (%), number of leaves and chlorophyll index (mg/g of fresh weight). The experimental data were statistically analyzed as per the method suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1978). The critical difference was worked out for 5 per cent (0.05) probability.

OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

The treatments differed significantly during the various stages of observations

Days taken to bud sprouting :

This attribute was significantly affected with growth regulator application. The minimum days to sprouting (6.00) were observed in the treatments receiving 1500 ppm of IBA (Table 1). The delay in sprouting on account of growth regulator application is plausible due to higher metabolic activity causing a greater flow of metabolites to the growing bud differentiation that prolonged the bud sprouting period while the earlier bud sprouting in control perhaps due to the direct sprouting of already differentiated buds without any further differentiation (De Vries and Dubois, 2004 and Khan *et al.*, 2010).

| Table 1 : Effect of growth regulators on sprouting (days) | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| Treatment | Days to sprout (days) | |
| T ₀ - Control | 8 | |
| T ₁ - 500 ppm of IBA | 8 | |
| T ₂ - 1000 ppm of IBA | 7 | |
| T ₃ - 1500 ppm of IBA | 6 | |
| T ₄ - 2000 ppm of IBA | 8 | |
| T ₅ - 500 ppm of NAA | 9 | |
| T ₆ - 1000 ppm of NAA | 10 | |
| T ₇ - 1500 ppm of NAA | 11 | |
| T ₈ - 2000 ppm of NAA | - | |
| Mean | 8.38 | |
| S.E. <u>+</u> | 0.351 | |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | 0.743 | |

Sprouting percentage :

Increased concentrations of growth regulators increased this attribute significantly. The highest sprouting

89

percentage was recorded in IBA 1500 and 1000ppm, whilst the lowest sprouting was observed in control (Table 2). Data further revealed that the sprouting percentage continued to increase by IBA upto 2000 ppm. However in case of IBA the sprouting percentage increase at 1500 ppm and then showed a decrease at NAA 1500 ppm. The data suggested that stronger promoting effect of IBA on bud sprouting as compared to NAA was presumably due to better performance of the former in development (Younas and Riaz, 2005).

| Table 2 : Effect of growth regulators on sprouting percentage | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|
| Treatments | Bud sprouts percentage (%) | |
| T ₀ - Control | 38.1 | |
| T ₁ - 500 ppm of IBA | 64.1 | |
| T ₂ - 1000 ppm of IBA | 77.5 | |
| T ₃ - 1500 ppm of IBA | 78.8 | |
| T ₄ - 2000 ppm of IBA | 68.0 | |
| T ₅ - 500 ppm of NAA | 46.1 | |
| T ₆ - 1000 ppm of NAA | 49.6 | |
| T ₇ - 1500 ppm of NAA | 56.8 | |
| T ₈ - 2000 ppm of NAA | - | |
| Mean | 59.88 | |
| S.E. <u>+</u> | 0.586 | |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | 1.242 | |

Number of leaves per cutting :

The average number of leaves per rose cuttings gradually increased with increase in growth regulator concentration. It ranged from 5.00 to 7.00 but significantly the maximum number of leaves (10.00) was recorded when the cuttings were treated with IBA 1500ppm (Table 3). It was followed by the treatment IBA at 1000 ppm (8.00). The control treatments

| Table 3 : Effect of growth regulators on number of leaves | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| Treatments | Number of leaves / cutting (nos.) | |
| T ₀ - Control | 4 | |
| T ₁ - 500 ppm of IBA | 7 | |
| T_2 - 1000 ppm of IBA | 8 | |
| T ₃ - 1500 ppm of IBA | 10 | |
| T ₄ - 2000 ppm of IBA | 4 | |
| T ₅ - 500 ppm of NAA | 4 | |
| T ₆ - 1000 ppm of NAA | 4 | |
| T7 - 1500 ppm of NAA | 6 | |
| T ₈ - 2000 ppm of NAA | - | |
| Mean | 5.88 | |
| S.E. <u>+</u> | 0.816 | |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | 1.731 | |

| ~~~ | |
|-----|---|
| 00 | A |
| 20 | н |
| | |

Agric. Update, **12** (TECHSEAR-1) 2017 : 88-91 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute significantly produced the lowest number of leaves (4.00). Increase in leaf number may be due to their significant effect on inducing vigorous rooting system by growth regulators thus enabling the cuttings to absorb more nutrients thereby producing more leaves as reported by Rahman and Ishtiaq (1996) and Stancato *et al.* (2003).

Chlorophyll index :

The chlorophyll index was maximum in the 1500ppm of IBA (39.3) followed by 1500 ppm of NAA (38.4) (Table 4). The leaf chlorophyll content which is the key factor in determining the rateof photosynthesis is also considered as an index of the metabolic efficiency of plants. This pigment, responsible for harnessing solar energy and converting it into chemical energy, exhibits a differential pattern in its accumulation in response to nutrients (Farzad Nazari *et al.*, 2009 and Karthik, 2011).

| Table 4 : Effect of growth regulators on chlorophyll index | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|
| Treatments | Chlorophyll index (mg/g) | |
| T ₀ - Control | 31.4 | |
| T ₁ - 500 ppm of IBA | 27.2 | |
| T ₂ - 1000 ppm of IBA | 35.1 | |
| T ₃ - 1500 ppm of IBA | 39.3 | |
| T ₄ - 2000 ppm of IBA | 34.5 | |
| T ₅ - 500 ppm of NAA | 26.5 | |
| T ₆ - 1000 ppm of NAA | 37.2 | |
| T ₇ - 1500 ppm of NAA | 38.4 | |
| T ₈ - 2000 ppm of NAA | - | |
| Mean | 33.7 | |
| S.E. <u>+</u> | 0.427 | |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | 0.906 | |

Conclusion :

Application of both growth regulators and growing media had a significant effect on the growth and development parameters of rose cuttings. IBA had more strong beneficial effect than NAA on root growth and development. Dipping the cuttings in IBA at1500 ppm shows better performance in days to sprout, bud sprouts percentage, number of leaves plant⁻¹ and chlorophyll index.

Authors' affiliations :

B. SUBHA SHREE AND B. SUVETHA, Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, POLLACHI (T.N.) INDIA

REFERENCES

Anderson, R.G. and Woods, T.A. (1999). An economic evaluation of single stem cut rose production. *Acta Hort.*, **481** : 629 - 34.

Arteca, R.N. (1996). Plant Growth Substances.pp. 131 - 40. Chapman and Hall Inc. New York, USA.

Aslam Khan, M., Khurram Ziaf and Iftikhar Ahmad (2009). Effect of Various Hormones and Different Rootstocks on Rose Propagation. *Pakistan J. Bio. Sci.*, **7** (10) : 1643 - 1646.

Chate, S.P., Stirnberg, P., Forde, B.G and Leyser, O. (2000). The hormonal regulation of axillary bud growth in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.*, **24** : 159 - 169.

Costa, J.M. and Challa, H. (2008). The effect of the original leaf area on growth of softwood cuttings and planting material of rose. *Sci. Hort.*, **95** (1 - 2): 111 - 121.

De Vries, D.P. and Dubois, A.M. (2004). The effect of BAP and IBA on sprouting and adventitious root formation of 'Amanda' rose single-node softwood cuttings. *Sci. Hort.*, **34** (1 - 2) : 115 - 121.

Farzad, Nazari, Morteza, Khosh-Khui and Hassan, Salehi (2009). Growth and flower quality of four *Rosa hybrida* L. cultivars in response to propagation by stenting or cutting in soilless culture. *Sci. Hort.*, **119** (3): 302 - 305.

Hartmann, H.T. and Kester, D.E. (1983). *Plant Propagation Principles and Practices*, 4th Ed. pp. 321 - 3. Prentice hall, Inc. England cliffs. New Jersey.

Hobbie, L.J. (1998). Auxin: molecular genetic approaches in Arabidopsis. *Plant Phys. Bioch.*, **36**: 91 - 102.

Karthik, K. (2011). Studies on the response of mango var. Alphonso to drip irrigation regimes and fertigation levels under ultra-high density planting (UHDP). M.Sc. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, T.N. (INDIA). **Keisling, T.C.** and Kester, D.E. (1979). Aluminum and manganese toxicity of rose plants growth in East Texas. *Hort. Sci.*, **14**: 509 - 510.

Khan, M.A., Ziaf, K. and Ahmad, I. (2004). Effect of various hormones and different rootstocks on rose propagation. *Pakistan J. Biol. Sci.*, 1643 - 1646.

Khan, Muhammad Sohail, Khan, Rahmat Ullah and Waseem, Kashif (2010). Effect of some auxins on growth of damask rose cuttings in different growing media. *J. Agri. Soc. Sci.*, **2** (1): 13 - 16.

Lidwien, A.M., Roggemans, J., Soyeurt, G. and Vries, D.P. (2006). Comparison of the growth and development of dwarf rose cultivars propagated *in vitro* and *in vivo* by softwood cuttings. *Sci. Hort.*, **35** (3 - 4): 293 - 299.

Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1978). Statistical method for agricultural workers, 2nd Edn. ICAR, New Delhi, India.

Rahman, S. and Ishtiaq, M. (1996). Response of *Jasminum sambac* cuttings in different soil media. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, **12**: 409-415.

Stancato, G.C., Aguiar, F.F.A., Kanashiro, S. and Tavares, A.R. (2003). *Rhipsalis grandiflora*. Haw. propagation by stem cuttings. *Scientia Agricola*, **56** : 185 - 190.

Sun, Z.F. and Chen, L. (1998). Effect of cut position and plant growth regulators on growth and flowering in cut roses. Qingdao Institute of Agricultural Science, China. *Adv. Hort.*, **2** :711-5.

Uma, S. and Gowda, J.V.N. (2007). Studies on the effect of pruning, nutrients and their interaction on growth and flowering of rose cv. SUPER STAR. *Mysor J. Agric. Sci.*, **21**: 455 - 60.

Younas, A. and Riaz, A. (2005). Effect of various hormones and different rootstocks on rose propagation. *Caderno De Pesquisa Série Biologia*, **17**: 111 - 118.



91