

Agriculture Update_____ Volume 13 | Issue 2 | May, 2018 | 197-202

Visit us : www.researchjournal.co.in



Research Article:

Production and marketing pattern of banana in Nagaon district of Assam

Trishnalee Saikia, K.C. Bora and Horindra Gogoi

ARTICLE CHRONICLE : Received : 28.02.2018; Revised : 02.04.2018; Accepted : 15.04.2018

KEY WORDS:

Market intermediaries, Pre-harvest contractors, Distant wholesaler, Marketing cost, Marketing margin, Marketing efficiency

Author for correspondence :

Trishnalee Saikia Krishi Vigyan Kendra (AAU), Sivasagar (Assam) India Email:trishnaleesaikia@ gmail.com

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

SUMMARY : The study was conducted to examine the marketing pattern of banana in Nagaon district of Assam. The marketing process of banana in the study area was facilitated by various market intermediaries like pre harvest Contractors cum Processor (Distant), Pre harvest Contractors cum wholesaler, Distant Wholesaler, Local traders etc. The pre-harvest contractors play a vital role in marketing of banana in the district. Out of the six marketing channels identified in the study area, major portion of produces were sold through channel II (Producer-Pre harvest Contractors cum wholesaler - Distant Retailers - Consumers) where the pre-harvest contractors cum wholesalers directly brough the produces to distant markets and sold to distant wholesalers and thereby to distant retailers and then to consumers. Within the district major marketing channel was observed to be channel IV (Producer- Wholesalers-retailers -consumers) where 26.53 per cent of the total produce by the sample farms were routed to the ultimate consumers through the wholesalers and retailers. Channel V (Producer-Retailers - Consumers) was found to be the most efficient channel in marketing of banana in Nagaon district with the efficiency index of 1.764 (following the Shepherds' approach) involving middlemen.

How to cite this article : Saikia, Trishnalee, Bora, K.C. and Gogoi, Horindra (2018). Production and marketing pattern of banana in Nagaon district of Assam. *Agric. Update*, **13**(2): 197-202; **DOI : 10.15740/HAS/AU/13.2/197-202.** Copyright@2018: Hind Agri-Horticultural Society.

BACKGROUND AND **O**BJECTIVES

Although fruit cultivation has been practised in Assam since long but it was not on a large scale. Soil and climatic condition of Assam is suitable for growing majority of the fruit crops. But the cultivation of fruit crops in a systematic manner is, however still neglected in Assam, probably due to ignorance, heavy capital investment and long gestation period. Moreover, due to the existence of weak marketing infrastructure fruit growers have often failed to realize expenses incurred for cultivation of the crop. Marketing plays an important role in the development of agriculture as the adoption of new technology depends on the return, profitability as well as efficient marketing of crops. An efficient marketing system only can help the producers in getting the remunerative prices for their produces. Production and marketing of agricultural produce are interdependent to each other. Thus, an efficient marketing system is very much crucial to farmers for production of crops at commercial level. Marketing of horticultural crops is quite complex and risky due to the perishable nature of the produce, seasonal production and bulkiness. The high profit margin of intermediaries is quite disproportionate to their services. According to the Research Report, 2011-12 on Agricultural Marketing System in Assam conducted by National Institute of Agricultural Marketing (NIAM), Jaipur Rajasthan (Yadav, 2012), agricultural marketing system in Eastern and North-Eastern States is distinctly different from the other states in the country. The markets operate under pressure in terms of infrastructure, service and facilities, introduction by innovations, new technologies etc. Therefore, keeping in view all these aspects present study was conducted to analyse the marketing scenario of banana in Assam with the following objectives:

- To study the production pattern of banana

- To study the existing channels of banana marketing, price spread and marketing efficiency of the channels.

Resources and Methods

Multistage random sampling technique was followed for selection of final sample for the present study. At first stage three blocks (one block from each of the 3 civil sub divisions) namely Nagaon, Kaliabor and Hojai) were selected. At the second stage five banana growing villages from each block and at the third stage from each village 10 banana growers were selected at random. The selected sample fruit growers were categorised into 3 categories according to their area under fruit cultivation viz., Marginal (<1ha), small (1.01 to 2 ha) and medium (2.01 to 3 ha) and sample fruit growers from each village were drawn in the ratio of 5:3:2. A total of 50 growers from each block and 150 growers are taken as final sample. For market study three markets viz., Samaguri, Lanka and Dhing market in Nagaon district were purposively selected for relevant data collection on marketing pattern and marketing costs. The primary data were collected from sample cultivators through pre-tested interview schedule for the agricultural year 2012-13. However the data pertaining to the year 2012-13 and relating to the price of items, cost and returns in production and marketing of crops etc. were updated/modified to the price level of the year 2013-14 and accordingly analysis were done.

Analytical framework :

Marketing costs:

Marketing cost is worked out by using the following formula (Baruah *et al.*, 2001)

$$Tc = C_p + \sum_{i=1}^n MC_i$$

where, Tc gives the total cost of marketing

Cp shows the cost incurred by the producer in marketing of his produce

Mc_i are the marketing costs incurred by the ith trader.

Marketing margin:

Marketing margin is calculated by using the following formula (Baruah *et al.*, 2001)

 $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{m}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{c}})$

where, a=Quantity of produce

 A_m is the absolute margin of the middlemen or the traders

 P_{m} is the selling price of the traders

P_b is the buying price of the traders

M_c is represent the marketing costs of the traders

The producers' share in consumers' rupee is worked out by using the following formula

 $P_{0} = (P_{p} / P_{r}) \times 100$

where P_0 is the producers share in the consumers' rupee

P is producers' price for their produce

 P_r^{r} is the price paid by the consumers or sale price of the retailers.

Marketing efficiency :

The marketing efficiency of the selected channels are studied with the help of slightly modified Acharya's formula (Acharya and Agarwal, 2009) as given below:

MME= FP/(MC+MM)

where, MME= Modified measure of marketing efficiency

FP= Farmers' price

MC=Marketing costs

MM= Marketing margin of intermediaries

Marketing efficiency can also be calculated using the Shepherd's approach (Pandey *et al.*, 2011) as

 $M.E.=Cp/(P_{a}+C+A_{mi})$

where, Cp= Consumers purchase price,

Pc= Marketing cost of producer,

C= Marketing cost of all the intermediaries involved in the channel

 A_{mi} =Market margin of the intermediaries involved in the channel.

OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

Banana is one of the remunerative plantation fruit crops grown in Assam. It is cultivated in every household of Assam in homestead gardens. There are different varieties of banana cultivated in Assam. In the present study only "Amritsagar" variety of banana is considered being cultivated by majority of the commercial banana cultivators of Nagaon district.

Distribution of area and number of plants :

Average area under banana plantation was 1.10 ha in the sample farms of the district which ranged between 0.37 ha in marginal farms to 2.41 ha in medium farms. Analysing the plant population among the banana orchards of different group of banana growers, it was observed that the banana growers did not maintained uniform plant population. Average per hectare plant population varied from 2410 numbers in medium farms to 2694 numbers in marginal farms which decreased with the increase in size of the farms. Average per farm and per hectare plant population were found 2789 numbers and 2541 numbers, respectively in the sample farms.

Production pattern of banana :

Table 2 presents the production pattern of banana in the sample farms of the study area and shows that total production of banana was 4,18,288 number of bunches which varied from 75,252 number of bunches in marginal farms to 1,74,513 number of bunches in medium farms. It was observed that each bunch of banana comprised of about 6 hands and each hand comprised of 14 fingers. On the other hand, the number of banana bunches produced in the sample farms were found about 5 per cent less than the total plant population. The result also reveals that per hectare production of banana varied from 2,290 number of bunches in medium farms to 2,560 number of bunches in marginal farms with an average of 2414 number of bunches. Average per farm production was found to be 2,649 number of

| Size category | No. of household | Area under banana (ha) | | No. of plants | | |
|---------------|------------------|------------------------|----------|---------------|----------|-------------|
| | | Total | Per farm | Total | Per farm | Per hectare |
| Marginal | 75 | 27.93 | 0.37 | 75252 | 1003 | 2694 |
| Small | 45 | 64.27 | 1.43 | 168523 | 3745 | 2622 |
| Medium | 30 | 72.4 | 2.41 | 174513 | 5817 | 2410 |
| Pooled | 150 | 164.60 | 1.10 | 418288 | 2789 | 2541 |

| Table 2 : Area and production pattern of banana across various size groups of farms in Nagaon district | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|----------|--|
| Size category | No. of household | Total area (ha) | Total no. of | Production (no. of bunches) | | | |
| | | | | Total | Per ha | Per farm | |
| Marginal | 75 | 27.93 | 75252 | 71490 | 2560 | 953 | |
| Small | 45 | 64.27 | 168523 | 160096 | 2491 | 3558 | |
| Medium | 30 | 72.40 | 174513 | 165787 | 2290 | 5526 | |
| Pooled | 150 | 164.60 | 418288 | 397373 | 2414 | 2649 | |

| Table 3 | ble 3 : Channel wise transaction of banana in Nagaon district | | | | |
|---------|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Sr. No. | Channels | Total No. of bunches transacted | Per cent of bunches transacted (%) | | |
| 1. | Producer-Pre harvest Contractors cum Processor (Distant) - | 55621 | 14.39 | | |
| | Retailers - Consumers | | | | |
| 2. | Producer-Pre harvest Contractors cum wholesaler -Distant | 104207 | 26.96 | | |
| | Wholesaler - Distant Retailers - Consumers | | | | |
| 3. | Producer- Local traders- Wholesalers - Retailers - Consumers | 89171 | 23.07 | | |
| 4. | Producer- Wholesalers-retailers -consumers | 102545 | 26.53 | | |
| 5. | Producer-Retailers - Consumers | 33473 | 8.66 | | |
| 6. | Producer- Consumer | 1507 | 0.39 | | |
| | Total | 386524 | 100.00 | | |

bunches which varied from 953 number of bunches in marginal farms to 5,526 number of bunches in medium farms.

Marketing pattern of banana:

The marketing process of banana in the study area

was facilitated by various market intermediaries like pre harvest Contractors cum Processor (Distant), Pre harvest Contractors cum wholesaler, Distant Wholesaler, Local traders etc. The pre-harvest contractors play a vital role in marketing of banana in the district. The terms and conditions of the contract vary from one farm to another

| Table 4 : Channel wise marketing cost, marketing marketing | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Name of the functionary/items of cost | Channel III | Channel IV | Channel V |
| Cost incurred by the producer | | | |
| Transportaion cost | 0 | 300.32(1.19) | 335.14(1.38) |
| Market fee | 0 | 50.21(0.19) | 53.56(0.22) |
| Spoilage cost | 27.81 (0.097) | 28.78(0.11) | 27.67(0.11) |
| Miscellaneous cost | 50.15(0.176) | 45.34(0.18) | 56.07(0.23) |
| Subtotal | 77.96(0.274) | 424.65(1.68) | 472.44(1.94) |
| Net price to producer | 8,922.04 (31.331) | 9375.35(37.06) | 10527.56(43.31) |
| Gross price to producer | 9,000(31.605) | 9800(38.74) | 11000 (45.26) |
| | Local traders purchase price | Wholesalers purchase price | Retailers purchase price |
| Cost incurred by the local traders' | | | |
| Transportation | 398.68(1.4) | - | - |
| Loading and unloading | 49.56(0.174) | - | - |
| Spoilage cost | 869.4(3.053) | - | - |
| Godown rent/Shop rent | 58.4(0.20) | - | - |
| Market fee | 55.74(0.19) | - | - |
| Miscellaneous cost | 40.12(0.14) | - | - |
| Local traders' margin | 1800(6.32) | - | - |
| Local traders sale price / Wholesalers' purchase price | 12,271.9(43.09) | - | - |
| Cost incurred by the wholesaler | | | |
| Transportation | 600.13(2.11) | 595.67(2.35) | - |
| Loading and unloading | 65.11(0.23) | 60.03(0.24) | - |
| Spoilage cost | 1490.81(5.23) | 1357.85(5.37) | - |
| Godown rent/Shop rent | 60.14(0.21) | 75.11(0.66) | - |
| Market fee | 50.32(0.18) | 64.88(0.26) | - |
| Miscellaneous cost | 150(0.53) | - | - |
| Wholesaler margin | 2250(7.90) | 1950.33(7.71) | - |
| Wholesaler sale price /retailer purchase price | 16,938.41(59.48) | 13903.87(54.96) | - |
| Cost incurred by the retailer | | | |
| Transportation | 455.04(1.60) | 445.11(1.76) | 556.54(2.29) |
| Godown rent/Shop rent | 50.25(0.18) | 30.01(0.12) | 30(0.12) |
| Spoilage cost | 898.4(3.15) | 895.17(3.54) | 950.25(3.91) |
| Market fee | 56.45(0.19) | 65.14(0.26) | 65.15(0.27) |
| Ripening cost (labour cost) | 50.02(0.18) | 54.89(0.22) | 53.65(0.22) |
| Miscellaneous cost | 47.69(0.17) | 40.05(0.16) | 60.32(0.25) |
| Retailers' margin(@Rs. 1.2503/finger) | 9980(35.05) | 9865.08(38.99) | 11589.49(47.68) |
| Sub Total | 11,537.85(40.52) | 11395.45(45.04) | |
| Retailers sale price/consumers price | 28,476.26(100) | 25299.32(100) | 24305.40(100) |
| Price spread | 19,554.22 | 15923.97 | 13305.40 |
| Producer Share in Consumer Rupee (%) | 31.60(Gross price basis) | 38.74 (Gross price basis) | 45.26(Gross price basis) |
| | 31.33(Net price basis) | 37.06 (Net price basis) | 43.31(Net price basis) |

N.B. Figures within parentheses indicate percentage to total

200 Agric. Update, **13**(2) May, 2018 : 197-202 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute depending upon the bargaining power of the grower. Some of the pre-harvest contractors play the role of wholesaler and sell the produce to distant retailers. Generally the pre-harvest contractors directly bring the produces to markets outside the district generally to Guwahati, Shillong etc and sell the produces to distant wholesalers or retailers. On the other hand majority of the pre harvest contractors own processing plants.

In the present study, six marketing channels were identified in the marketing of the banana in Nagaon district which are as follows and the channel wise transaction of banana in the district is presented in Table 3.

| Channel-I | : | Producer-Pre harvest Contractors |
|--------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| | | cum Processor (Distant) - Retailers |
| | | – Consumers |
| Channel-II | : | Producer-Pre harvest Contractors |
| | | cum wholesaler -Distant Wholesaler |
| | | -Distant Retailers - Consumers |
| Channel-III | : | Producer-Local traders-Wholesalers |
| | | - Retailers -Consumers |
| Channel-IV | : | Producer- Wholesalers-retailers - |
| | | Consumers |
| Channel-V | : | Producer-Retailers - Consumers |
| Channel-VI | : | Producer- Consumer |
| It was about | | d that the major partian of preduces |

It was observed that the major portion of produces were sold through channel II(26.96%) where the preharvest contractors cum wholesalers directly brought the produces to distant markets and sold to distant wholesalers and thereby to distant retailers and then to consumers.

Within the district major marketing channel was observed to be channel IV where 26.53 per cent of the total produce by the sample farms were routed to the ultimate consumers through the wholesalers and retailers. The producers sold the banana to wholesalers in bulk and the wholesalers sold the produces to retailers for retail sale to consumers.

About 23.17 per cent of total produce were transacted through channel III within the district where local traders collects the fruits from the producers and then sell to the wholesalers and then to the retailers.

Marketing margin and price spread :

For analysing the marketing margin, price spread and efficiency of banana marketing channels of Nagaon district of Assam, following three major marketing channels operating at Samaguri, Dhing and Hojai markets of Nagaon district are taken into consideration.

| : | Producer-Local traders-Wholesalers |
|---|------------------------------------|
| | - Retailers - Consumers |
| : | Producer- Wholesalers-retailers - |
| | Consumers |
| : | Producer-Retailers - Consumers |
| | : |

Marketing efficiency of various marketing channels of banana:

Marketing efficiency of various marketing channels

| Sr. No. | Particulars | Channel III | Channel IV | Channel V | | | |
|---------|---|-------------|------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| 1. | Price received by producer (Rs.) | 9000.00 | 9800 | 11000 | | | |
| 2. | Marketing cost incurred by: (Rs.) | | | | | | |
| | Producer | 77.96 | 424.65 | 472.44 | | | |
| | Local Trader | 1471.90 | - | - | | | |
| | Wholesaler | 2416.51 | 2153.54 | - | | | |
| | Retailer | 1557.85 | 1530.37 | 1715.91 | | | |
| | Total | 5524.22 | 4108.56 | 2188.35 | | | |
| 3. | Marketing margin (Rs.) of | | | | | | |
| | Local Trader | 1800.00 | - | - | | | |
| | Wholesaler | 2250.00 | 1950.33 | - | | | |
| | Retailer | 9980.00 | 9865.08 | 11589.49 | | | |
| | Total | 14030.00 | 11815.41 | 11589.49 | | | |
| 4. | Price paid by consumer (Rs.) | 28476.26 | 25299.32 | 24305.4 | | | |
| 5. | Price spread (Rs.) | 19554.22 | 15923.97 | 13305.4 | | | |
| 6. | Marketing efficiency (%) (using Shepherds' approach) | 1.456 | 1.589 | 1.764 | | | |
| 7. | Marketing efficiency (%) (using modified Acharya's formula) | 0.460 | 0.615 | 0.798 | | | |

of banana is presented in Table 5. Comparing various marketing channels of banana in three markets of Nagaon district, total marketing cost per 100 bunches of banana was found highest in the channel III (Rs. 5,524.22) followed by channel IV (Rs. 4,108.56) and Channel V (Rs.2,188.35). Whereas, marketing margins of market functionaries were found as high as Rs.14,030.00 per 100 bunches in case of channel III and as low as Rs.11,589.49 per 100 bunches in channel V. Consumers' purchase price (Rs. 28,476.26 per 100 bunches) as well as price spread (Rs. 19,554.22 per 100 bunches) were found maximum in channel III. While computing the marketing efficiency it was found that channel V was the most efficient channel in marketing of banana in Nagaon district with the efficiency index of 1.764 (following the Shepherds' approach). This was because in this channel, less number of market intermediaries were involved in marketing of banana from producers to the ultimate consumers. Marketing efficiency index was found to be 1.456 and 1.589 in channel I and Channel II, respectively. Similar work related to the present investigation was also carried out by Amin and Hossain (2012); Deka et al. (2004); Mahapatra et al. (2010); Mali et al. (2003); Rao et al. (2009) and Suresh et al. (2004)

Conclusion:

Average per hectare plant population of banana orchards in the study area varied from 2410 numbers in medium farms to 2694 numbers in marginal orchards which decreased with the increase in size of orchards. Per hectare banana production varied from 2,290 number of bunches in medium farms to 2,560 number of bunches in marginal farms with an average of 2414 number of bunches. Five marketing channels were found functioning in marketing of banana in the district out of which major portion (26.96 %) of produce were routed through channel II (Producer-Pre harvest Contractors cum wholesaler -Distant Wholeseller -Retailers – Consumers).Whereas Channel V (Producer-Retailers – Consumers) was found most efficient channel (1.764) in marketing of banana in Nagaon district due to the involvement of less number of market intermediaries.

Authors' affiliations :

K.C. Bora, Department of Economics, Dibrugarh University, Dibrugarh (Assam) India

Horindra Gogoi, Krishi Vigyan Kendra (AAU), Golaghat (Assam) India

REFERENCES

Acharya, S.S. and Agarwal, N.L.(2009). *Agricultural marketing in India*, 4th Ed., Oxford and IBH publication, New Delhi

Amin, N. and Hossain, M. (2012).Reduction of postharvest loss and prolong the shelf-life of banana through hot water treatment. *J. Chemical Engg., IEB*, Vol. ChE. **27**, No. 1

Deka, B.C., Sharma, S., Patgiri, P., Saikia, A. and Hazarika, C. (2004). Post harvest practices and loss assessment of some commercial horticultural crops of Assam. *Indian Food Packer*, January- February, **58**:85-87

Hussain, A. (1992). Economics of Production and Marketing of Banana in Goalpara District of Assam. M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat (Assam) India

Mahapatra, D., Mishra, S. and Sutar, N. (2010).Banana post harvest practices and current status. *Agric. Rev.*, **31** (1): 56-62

Mali, B.K., Bhosale, S.S., Shendage, P.N. and Kale, P.V. (2003). Economics of production and marketing of banana in Jalgaon district of Western Maharashtra. *Indian J. Agric. Econ.*, **17** (1):173-181.

Pandey, D., Kumar, Anil and Singh, Rakesh (2011). Marketing of sweet orange (Malta) in Kumaon region of Uttarakhand. *J. Recent Adv. Appl. Sci. (JRAAS)*, **26**:6-11

Rao, D.V., Reddy, G.R. and Paul, K.S.R. (2009). Practical manual on farm management and production economics, Department of Agricultural Economics, Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, www.angrau.ac.in/media/10949/aeco241.pdf

Suresh, A. and Reddy, T.R. Keshava (2004). An Economic Analysis of Banana Cultivation in Peechi Command Area of Thrissur District of Kerala State, *Agric. Situ. India.* December, **61** (9): 629-631.

