

Agriculture Update_ Volume 13 | Issue 2 | May, 2018 | 207-210

Visit us : www.researchjournal.co.in



RESEARCH ARTICLE: Association between selected independent variables and adoption of moth interventions by the respondents

Ramdhan Ghaswa, S.K. Sharma, S.S. Bana and Amit Kumar

ARTICLE CHRONICLE :

Received : 22.02.2018; Revised : 04.04.2018; Accepted : 17.04.2018

KEY WORDS:

Adoption, Association, Variables, Moth interventions, Respondents Security Mission is in operation in all six Panchayat Samities of Bikaner district. Out of which fifty per cent Panchayat Samities, *i.e.* three Panchayat Samities Nokha, Lunkarnshar, Sri Dungargarh were selected purposely. Out of selected Panchayat Samities three Gram Panchayats were selected randomly from each Panchayat Samities on the basis of random sampling method. Thus, the total 9 villages were selected. To know the impact of National Food Security Mission, a control group of villages was also be required. Therefore, three distant villages where the National Food Security Mission was not in operation were also selected on the basis of random sampling technique from each identified Panchayat Samiti. 7 beneficiary and 7 non-beneficiary Gram respondents were selected randomly from each identified village. Thus, a total of 63 beneficiary respondents and 63 non-beneficiary Gram respondents were not significantly associated with adoption of recommended interventions of moth. Education, size of land holding, income level, cosmopoliteness and extension contact were significantly associated with adoption of recommended interventions of moth. It means that there was significant effect of these personal variables on adoption of recommended interventions of moth.

SUMMARY: The present study was conducted in Bikaner district of Rajasthan. The National Food

How to cite this article : Ghaswa, Ramdhan, Sharma, S.K., Bana, S.S. and Kumar, Amit (2018). Association between selected independent variables and adoption of moth interventions by the respondents. *Agric. Update*, **13**(2): 207-210; **DOI : 10.15740/HAS/AU/13.2/207-210.** Copyright@2018: Hind Agri-Horticultural Society.

Author for correspondence :

Ramdhan Ghaswa Directorate of Extension Education, S.K. Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner (Rajasthan) India Email:ghaswaextension@ gmail.com

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

Moth is an annual legume of dry and warm habitat and characterized as one of the most drought hardy legumes in arid region. Moth is mainly used in the Bikaneri Bhujia, papad and namkeen industry which is an important source of earning the foreign currency and provides the year long employment to the large number of people. National Food Security Mission is being run at present in all 13, 33 and 12 districts of Rajasthan under the component of wheat, pulses and course cereals, respectively. The emphasis in component third on NFSM- pulse reflects that several million people in the country remain largely bypassed by the green revolution and modern agricultural practices. The component NFSM- pulse is being implemented in Bikaner district of Rajasthan since 2007-08. Bikaner comes under hyper arid and partially irrigated western plains. The mission is in full swing and so far no impact study in the operational area of the mission has been conducted regarding the response of farmers about moth interventions introduced under NFSM. This is the right time to assess the impact of the mission with regards to interventions introduced in moth cultivation. With this background in view, the present study on association between selected independent variables and adoption of moth interventions by the respondents was undertaken with the following specific objectives:

 Association between adoption level of respondents and the selected independent variable of recommended moth production technology.

RESOURCES AND **M**ETHODS

The present study was conducted in Bikaner district of Rajasthan. The National Food Security Mission is in operation in all Panchayat samities of Bikaner district, out of which three Panchayat samities were taken for study. For selection of villages a list of villages were prepared from the selected Panchayat samities for the study purpose where the interventions of moth were taken under NFSM. There were 12 villages in the selected Panchayat samities where NFSM activities have been in operations in the last year 2014-15. Out of the list three villages were selected randomly for the study purpose from each selected Panchayat Samiti. This way a total of 9 villages were selected from this identified Panchayat samities. To know the impact of National Food Security Mission, a control group of villages was also required. Therefore, three distant villages where the National Food Security Mission was not in operation were also selected on the basis of random sampling technique. Thus, in total 9 villages were selected from all the identified Panchayat Samities and these villages were considered as non-beneficiaries villages. For selection of beneficiary respondents, a comprehensive list of moth growers who were benefitted under National Food Security Mission in 2014-15 was prepared separately. From the lists so prepared, 7 moth respondents were selected randomly from each identified village. Thus, a total of 63 moth beneficiary respondents were selected on the basis of random sampling method from the identified villages. Likewise, 7 moth respondents were

selected on the basis of random sampling technique from the each identified non-beneficiary village. Total 63 moth respondents were selected from all the non-beneficiary villages.

OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

The association between the selected independent variable *i.e.* age, education, size of land holding, income level, economic motivation, cosmopoliteness and extension contacts with the extent of adoption about gram intervention was measured by computing 'Multiple regression technique' to know the effect of these variables separately as combindly and the results have been presented in Table 1.

Total respondents :

The data in Table 1 depict that all the seven selected independent variables (\mathbb{R}^2 value 0.851) were jointly contributed towards 85.10 per cent of variation in the extent of adoption of moth crop by the respondents. The result implied that all the seven independent variable would account for a significant amount of variation in the adoption of moth technology by the respondents. it was observed that the 't' test of significance indicated that co-efficient of regression (b-value) were found to be significant for the variables education, size of land holding, cosmopoliteness and extension contacts at 1 per cent level of significance and income level at 5 per cent level of significance. This means that these variables were the important for predicting the adoption level about moth production technology by the respondents. The regression co-efficient was found non-significant for remaining variable namely: age, and economic motivation. This leads to the conclusion that these variables were not important with regard to adoption of moth technology by the respondents.

Hence the Null hypotheses $H_{0\,1.1}$, $H_{0\,1.5}$ were accepted and the Null hypothesis $H_{0\,1.2}$, $H_{0\,1.3}$, $H_{0\,1.4}$, $H_{0\,1.6}$, $H_{0\,1.7}$ were rejected.

Beneficiary respondents :

It was revealed from the same table the seven independent variables taken together explained to the extent of 85 per cent in the adoption of moth technology by the beneficiaries. The result implied that all seven independent variables would account for a significant amount of variation in the adoption level of the Association between selected independent variables & adoption of moth interventions by the respondents

Table 1 : Association between personal attributes of moth growers with their extent of adoption of moth production technology									
Sr. Personal attributes	Beneficiary farmers (n=63)			Non-beneficiary farmers (n=63)			Pooled (n=126)		
	b value (R.Coef.)	S. E. of b	t value of b	b value (R.Coef.)	S. E. of b	t value of b	b value (R.Coef.)	S. E. of b	t value of b
Age	0.148	0.101	1.458 ^{NS}	0.086	0.080	1.070^{NS}	0.076	0.063	1.211 ^{NS}
Education	1.640	0.462	3.545**	1.553	0.619	2.510*	1.233	0.346	3.559**
Size of land holding	5.841	1.662	3.514**	3.978	1.467	2.712*	4.171	1.056	3.948**
Income level	1.693	0.972	1.741 ^{NS}	1.680	0.877	1.917 ^{NS}	1.512	0.638	2.368*
Economic motivation	0.258	0.349	0.740^{NS}	0.075	0.321	0.235 ^{NS}	0.271	0.201	1.347 ^{NS}
Cosmopoliteness	2.105	0.554	3.800**	1.500	0.599	2.505*	1.918	0.368	5.215**
Extension contacts	3.203	0.667	4.802**	3.351	1.111	3.018**	4.264	0.473	9.020**
* and ** indicates significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01 level of probability				bility	NS=Non-significant				
ficiary			-	Pooled					
	Personal attributes Age Education Size of land holding Income level Economic motivation Cosmopoliteness Extension contacts ** indicates significance of iciary	Benefici b value (R.Coef.) Age 0.148 Education 1.640 Size of land holding 5.841 Income level 1.693 Economic motivation 0.258 Cosmopoliteness 2.105 Extension contacts 3.203 ** indicates significance of values at P=0. N	Beneficiary farmers Personal attributes Beneficiary farmers b value S. E. of (R.Coef.) Age 0.148 0.101 Education 1.640 0.462 Size of land holding 5.841 1.662 Income level 1.693 0.972 Economic motivation 0.258 0.349 Cosmopoliteness 2.105 0.554 Extension contacts 3.203 0.667 ** indicates significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01 Non-Benefici	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Beneficiary farmers (n=63) Non-beneficiary farmers (n=63) Personal attributes $Beneficiary farmers (n=63)$ Non-beneficiary farmers (n=63) b value S. E. of t value of b value S. E. of Age 0.148 0.101 1.458 ^{NS} 0.086 0.080 1.070 ^{NS} Education 1.640 0.462 3.545** 1.553 0.619 2.510* Size of land holding 5.841 1.662 3.514** 3.978 1.467 2.712* Income level 1.693 0.972 1.741 ^{NS} 1.680 0.877 1.917 ^{NS} Economic motivation 0.258 0.349 0.740 ^{NS} 0.075 0.321 0.235 ^{NS} Cosmopoliteness 2.105 0.554 3.800** 1.500 0.599 2.505* Extension contacts 3.203 0.667 4.802** 3.351 1.111 3.018** ** indicates significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01 level of probability NS=Non-significiary Pooled	Beneficiary farmers (n=63) Non-beneficiary farmers (n=63) Polyne Personal attributes b value S. E. of t value of b value S. E. of t value S. E. of t value of b value S. E. of t value 1.233 S. Size of land holding 5.841 1.662 3.514**	Beneficiary farmers (n=63) Non-beneficiary farmers (n=63) Pooled (n=120) Personal attributes b value S. E. of t value of b value S. E. of t value S. E. of t value of b value S. E. of t value t value t value t value t value t value t value

Co-efficient of determination $(R^2) = 0.850$ Co-efficient of determination $(R^2) = 0.816$ Multiple correlation R = 0.922Multiple correlation R =0.904 Multiple correlation R =0.923 F- Calculated =44.619 d.f. 62 F- Calculated =34.922 d.f. 62

Co-efficient of determination $(R^2) = 0.851$

F- Calculated =96.567 d.f. 62

beneficiaries.

Further, 't' test of significance indicated that coefficient of regression (b-value) were found to be significant for the variables: education, size of land holding, cosmopoliteness and extension contacts. This means that these variables were the important for predicting the adoption of moth technology by the respondents. The regression co-efficient was found non-significant for remaining variable namely: age, income level and economic motivation.

Hence the Null hypotheses H_{0 2.1}, H_{0 2.4}, H_{0 2.5} were accepted and the Null hypothesis $H_{02.2}^{2.1}$, $H_{02.3}^{2.2}$, $H_{02.6}^{2.7}$, $H_{02.7}$ were rejected.

Non beneficiary respondents :

It was also revealed from same table that the seven independent variables taken together explained to 81.60 per cent of the variation in the adoption level of the nonbeneficiaries. The result implied that all seven independent variables would account for a significant amount of variation in the adoption of the non-beneficiaries.

The 't' test of significance indicated that co-efficient of regression (b-value) were significant for the variables extension contacts at significant 1 per cent level of significance and education, size of land holding, cosmopoliteness and extension contacts at 5 per cent level of significance. This means that these four variables were the most important for predicting the adoption of moth technology by the non-beneficiaries as compared to rest of the variables under the study. The regression co-efficient was found non-significant for remaining variable namely age, income level, economic motivation.

Hence, the Null hypotheses $H_{03,1}$, $H_{03,4}$, $H_{03,5}$, were

accepted and the Null hypothesis H_{032} , H_{033} , H_{036} , H_{037} were rejected.

From the above discussion it could be concluded that education, size of land holding, income level, cosmopoliteness and extension contacts were the important variables which determined the adoption of gram technology by the respondents. The findings of the study are in conformity with the findings of Singh et al. (2012) and Singh et al. (2015).

Arneja and Khangura (2003); Chaudhary and Yadav (2017) and Singh et al. (2016) also worked on the related topic and the results found were more or less similar to the present investigation.

Conclusion :

In case of total moth farmers was found that personal characteristics viz., age and economic motivation were not significantly associated with adoption of recommended interventions of moth. Education, size of land holding, income level, cosmopoliteness and extension contact were significantly associated with adoption of recommended interventions of moth. It means that there was significant effect of these personal variables on adoption of recommended interventions of moth.

In case of moth beneficiaries it was found that personal characteristics viz., age, income level and economic motivation and in case of non-beneficiaries age, income level and economic motivation were not significantly associated with adoption of recommended interventions of moth. Education, size of land holding, cosmopoliteness and extension contact of beneficiary and education, size of land holding, cosmopoliteness and extension contact of non-beneficiary respondents were significantly associated with adoption of recommended interventions of gram. It means that there was significant effect of these personal variables on adoption of recommended interventions of moth.

Authors' affiliations :

S.K. Sharma and Amit Kumar, Directorate of Extension Education,
S.K. Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner (Rajasthan) India
S.S. Bana, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner (Rajasthan) India

REFERENCES

Arneja, C.S. and Khangura, R.S. (2003). A study of the knowledge of pea growers and its association with their selected socio personal characteristics. *Rural India*, **66**: 166-

168.

Choudhary, S. and Yadav, J.P. (2017). Association between knowledge level of beneficiary and non beneficiary farmers in Nagaur district of Rajasthan. *Internat. J. Agric. Sci. & Res.*, **7**(3):415-420.

Singh, B., Singh, Y.K., Swapna, T. and Binjhade, C.L. (2015). Adoption of improved pulse production technology by pulse growers of Chhindwara district of Madhya Pradesh. *Indian Res. J. Extn. Edu.*, **15** (4): 189

Singh, P., Lakhera, J.P. and Chandra, S. (2012). Knowledge and adoption of moth production technology in western zone of Rajasthan. *Rajasthan J. Extn. Edu.*, **20**: 35-38.

Singh, P., Jat, H.L., Sharma, S.K. and Lakhera, J.P. (2016). Association of socio-economic characteristics of mothbean growers with adoption of its production technology. *J. Food Legumes*, **25**(3): 227-230.

13th ***** of Excellence *****