

Research **P**aper

Self efficacy in sleep disorder patients

Saba Khan and Nalini Totuka

Received: 18.04.18; Revised: 17.10.2018; Accepted: 29.10.2018

■ ABSTRACT : Sleep, a complex biologic process controlled by the nervous system and is important for proper cognitive, immune and metabolic function. As the aging occurs, it leads to changes in sleep, and the prevalence of sleep disorders increases with age, having a negative impact on the quality of life and well being in older adults. The present study was aimed to examine the effect of sleep disorder on self efficacy of adults. The sample consisted of 240 patients, 120 sleep disorder and 120 non-sleep disorder patients. Test of sleep disorder screening questionnaire by Emory Health Care Centre and Academic Medical College Atlanta, Georgia and self efficacy by Pareek (1988) were used. For analysis of data Mean, SD and t test were used. Results revealed that patients with sleep disorder have poor self efficacy in comparison to normal adults. Patients with Insomnia sleep disorder have better self efficacy in comparison to other types of sleep disorder patients.

See end of the paper for authors' affiliations Saba Khan Department of Human Development, The IIS University, Jaipur (Rajasthan) India

KEY WORDS: Sleep disorder, Self efficacy, Quality of life, Well being

■ HOW TO CITE THIS PAPER : Khan, Saba and Totuka, Nalini (2018). Self efficacy in sleep disorder patients. *Asian J. Home Sci.*, **13** (2) : 528-531, **DOI: 10.15740/HAS/AJHS/13.2/528-531.** Copyright@ 2018: Hind Agri-Horticultural Society.

S leep, a complex biologic process controlled by the nervous system, is essential for proper cognitive, immune, and metabolic function (Barsam *et al.*, 2012). (Sleep disturbances are associated with diminished quality of life, increased risk for psychological disorders, inappropriate use of sleep aids, decreased daytime functioning, and significant morbidity and mortality among older adults. Although sleeping problems are common among all age groups, the elderly suffer a higher prevalence of sleep disorders. Overall, 57 per cent of the elderly have been reported to suffer from sleep disturbances (Foley *et al.*, 1995). Likewise, in another study, more than 50 per cent of the elderly had poor sleep quality (Bazargan, 1996). Likewise, Catherine and colleagues reported the prevalence rate of sleep problems

to be 77.7 per cent in the elderly (Lo and Lee, 2012). A large number of epidemiological studies have also suggested that upto 50 per cent of the elderly individuals complain about poor sleep (Vitiello *et al.*, 2004). Weaver and colleague evaluated the self-efficacy for sleep apnea among patients. According to the results, the subjects with high selfefficacy had higher motivation to cope with sleep disorders (Weaver *et al.*, 2003). The study by Mazloomy and colleagues also showed that self-efficacy levels increased with individuals' development through the five stages of behaviour change (Mahmoudabad *et al.*, 2010).

Inability to sleep can lead to difficulty in sustaining attention, a slowed response time, impairments in memory and concentration, and decreased performance (Martin and Ancoli-Israel, 2003).

Rutledge *et al.* (2013) to identify factors impacting self-efficacy for sleep. A significant association was found between sleep self-efficacy and race (p < 0.01). All predicator variables except one were found to be significantly correlated with the self-efficacy for sleep (p < 0.01).

Schlarb *et al.* (2012) sleep problems, especially insomnia, are a common complaint among adults. Short sleep was significantly associated with a considerably increased rate of insomnia (20%). Insomniacs showed lower self-efficacy than students without sleep problems.

Self-efficacy is defined as the situation-specific confidence that people can tackle with high-risk conditions without revert to their former behaviours (Bandura, 2001). This construct has been retrieved from Bandura's self-efficacy theory.16 Weaver and colleague evaluated the self-efficacy for sleep apnea among patients. According to the results, the subjects with high selfefficacy had higher motivation to cope with sleep disorders (Weaver *et al.*, 2003).

The study by Mazloomy and colleagues also showed that self-efficacy levels increased with individuals' development through the five stages of behaviour change (Mahmoudabad *et al.*, 2010).

According to the Mahin Nazari *et al.* (2014) results the positive correlation between self-efficacy and sleep quality showed that the individuals with higher selfefficacy had better sleep quality. The present study findings demonstrated significant relationships between self-efficacy and the variables of stages of change.

In-line with this, Bouchard and colleagues discussed low self-efficacy in the context of sleep problems (Bouchard *et al.*, 2003).

One could anticipate that high self-efficacy might be a protective factor for sleep problems; in contrast, low self efficacy seems to be a risk factor for sleep problems and, thus, should be kept in mind in the prevention and therapy of sleep disorders (Angelika *et al.*, 2012).

Bihlmaier *et al.* (2016) Children with chronic insomnia (n = 54) had significantly lower GSE scores (p < 0.001) compared to a sample of healthy school-age children (n = 54) matched concerning age (M =7.5 years) and gender (59.3 % boys, 40.7 % girls). In general, higher sleep disturbance scores were associated with lower GSE ($r_s = -0.37, p < 0.001$).

Objectives of the study:

To study the well-being in government and private sectors employees having sleep apnea.

- To examine self efficacy among adult sleep disorder patients.

- To investigate the self efficacy in different types of sleep disorders.

- To study the various dimensions of self efficacy among sleep disorder patients.

Hypotheses of the study :

Ho1 Sleep disorder patients will not have better self efficacy in comparison to normal.

Ho2 There will be difference in self efficacy among types of sleep disorder.

Ho3 Dimensions of self efficacy will be different among sleep disorder patients.

RESEARCH METHODS

Sample:

The total number of sample in the study consists of 240 patients. The patients were selected from Bikaner city. The elimination criteria werebeing chronic diseases. *i.e.* heart problem diabetes and terminal disease.

Sampling:

Instruments:

The two tool were used to analyze the data. Sleep disorder screening questionnaire (2010) Emory Health Care Centre and Academic Medical College, Atlanta, Georgia and Major Scale was used to assess the data. Udai (1988) Personal Effectiveness Scale (PE Scale-S) DN Pestponjee, Handbook of Psychological and Social Instrument. Concept: New Delhi was used to measure effective and ineffective dimensions of the persons behaviour in the area of self discloser openness to feedback and perfectiveness.

Statistical techniques:

The data collected will be analyzed with suitable statistical measures Mean, Standard deviation, t test and any other higher statistics according to the data collected.

■ RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 indicates that gender difference in self efficacy among sleep disorder patients and normal adults. There is significant difference among males with the

Self efficacy in sleep disorder patients

Table 1 : Self efficacy among normal and sleep disorder patients									
Gender	Normal adult				t voluo				
	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	t value		
Male	60	28.20	2.349	60	26.05	2.062	5.328*		
Female	60	28.12	2.116	60	26.23	2.445	4.511*		

Table 2 : Self efficacy among sleep disorder and normal adult in different types of sleep disorder								
Types of sleep disorder	Sleep disorder adult			Normal adult				
Types of sleep disorder	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	t value	
Insomnia	30	38.0	3.08	30	44.2	2.81	3.34**	
Sleep – Apnea	30	35.8	2.77	30	42.9	4.35	7.54**	
Narcolepsy	30	32.9	2.82	30	37.4	3.10	5.88**	
PLMD	30	32.8	2.26	30	38.8	2.80	9.13**	

** indicates significance of value at P=0.01 level

Table 3 : Dimensions of self efficacy among sleep disorder and normal adult								
Dimensions	Sleep disorder adult			Normal adult				
Dimensions	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	t value	
Self disclosure	120	10.4	2.20	120	12.8	1.94	8.96**	
Openness to feed back	120	13.1	1.99	120	15.2	2.20	7.75**	
Perceptiveness	120	11.4	1.22	120	12.9	1.27	9.33**	

** indicates significance of value at P=0.01 level





mean score of 28.20 and 26.05 and t value of 2.996. Similarly in females differ significantly with mean score 28.12 and 26.23 and t value of 5.328. There is a clear



difference in self-efficacy among sleep disorder and normal adults. The difference clearly show that sleep disorder adults both male and female have less believe in one's belief in one's own ability to complete tasks and reach goals. The result further depicts that all the types of sleep disorder was found to be significant in sleep disorder patients.

The result depicts that normal adults have better self efficacy than sleep disorder patients. Amongst the all, Insomnia patients have better self efficacy in comparison to others.

All the dimensions of self-efficacy are significant in normal adults in comparison to sleep disorder adults. Self-disclosure, openness to feedback perceptiveness of normal adults is significantly higher in comparison to sleep disorder adults with mean score of 10.4, 13.1, 11.4 (sleep disorder adults), 12.8, 15.2, 12.9 (normal adults), respectively. This clearly indicate that normal adults, disclose their feelings, emotions, views with others they open for positive and negative feedback and also perceive others thoughts. Both on other hand Sleep disorder patients are very secretive tensed and emotionally unstable. They hide themselves from others. They don't perceive others and remain isolated.

Authors' affiliations:

Nalini Totuka, Department of Human Development, The IIS University, Jaipur (Rajasthan) India

■ REFERENCES

Angelika, A. Schlarb, Dominika Kulessa and Marco D. Gulewitsch (2012). Sleep characteristics, sleep problems, and associations of self-efficacy among German university students. *Nature & Sci. Sleep*, **4** : 1-7.

Bandura, A. (2001). Social cognitive theory: An agentic perspective. *Annual Rev. Psychol.*, **52**(1): 1-26

Barsam, T., Monazzam, M.R., Haghdoost, A.A., Ghotbi, M.R. and Dehghan, S.F. (2012). Effect of extremely low frequency electromagnetic field exposure on sleep quality in high voltage substations. *Iranian J. Environ. Health Sci. & Engg.*, 9(1): 1-7.

Bazargan, M. (1996). Self-reported sleep disturbance among African-American elderly: the effects of depression, health status, exercise, and social support. *Internat. J. Aging & Human Development*, **42**(2): 143-160.

Bouchard, S., Bastien, C. and Morin, C.M. (2003). Selfefficacy and adherence to cognitive-behavioral treatment of insomnia. *Behav. Sleep Med.*, **1**(4):187–199

Foley, D.J., Monjan, A.A., Brown, S.L. and Simonsick, E.M. (1995). Sleep complaints among elderly persons: an epidemiologic study of three communities. *Sleep: J. Sleep Res.* & *Sleep Medicine*, **18** (6) : 425-432.

Lo, C. and Lee, P.H. (2012). Prevalence and impacts of poor sleep on quality of life and associated factors of good sleepers in a sample of older Chinese adults. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*; 10 : 72.

Mahin Nazari, Mostafa Taheri, Sareh Keshavarzi and Ali Javadpour (2014). The relationship between sleep quality, self efficacy and stages of change among the elderly: A pilot study. *J. Health Sci. Surveillance System*, **2** (2) : 72-77.

Mahmoudabad, S.S.M., Mohammadi, M. and Sharifabad, M.A.M. (2010). Exercise and its relation to self efficacy based on stages of change model in employees of Yazd in 2008. *J. Kerman Univ. Med. Sci.*, **17**(4): 346-354.

Martin, J. and Ancoli-Israel, S. (2003). Insomnia in older adults. In: Szuba MP, Kloss JD, Dinges DF, eds. Insomnia: Principles and Management. Cambridge UK: Cambridge University Press., 135-52 p., 2003.

Pareek, Udai (1988). *Organizational behaviour processes.* Rawat Publications, Jaipur (Rajasthan) India.

Rutledge, C.M., La, Guardia A.C. and Bluestein, O. (2013). Predictors of self efficacy for sleep in primary care. *J. Clinical Nurs.*, **22**(10): 1254-1261.

Schlasb, A.A., Kulessa, D. and Gulewitsch, M.D. (2012). Sleep problem and association of Self-efficacy among German University Student. *Nat. Sci. Sleep*, **4** : 1-7.

Vitiello, M.V., Larsen, L.H. and Moe, K.E. (2004). Age-related sleep change: gender and estrogen effects on the subjective-objective sleep quality relationships of healthy, non-complaining older men and women. *J. Psychosomatic Res.*, **56**(5): 503-510.

Weaver, T.E., Maislin, G., Dinges, D.F., Younger, J., Cantor, C. and McCloskey, S. (2003). Self-efficacy in sleep apnea: instrument development and patient perceptions of obstructive sleep apnea risk, treatment benefit, and volition to use continuous positive airway pressure. Sleep-New York Then Westchester; 26(6): 727-34.

■ WEBLIOGRAPHY

Bihlmaier, I. and Schlarb, A.A. Somnologie (2016) 20: 275. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11818-016-0085-1

