International Journal of Agricultural Sciences Volume 15 | Issue 1 | January, 2019 | 173-176

■ ISSN: 0973-130X

RESEARCH PAPER

Influence of plant spacing, training and fertigation on growth, yield and quality of capsicum under naturally ventilated polyhouse

Jasbir Singh, Vicky Singh* **and** Pardeep Kumar¹ Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Ferozepur (Punjab) India (Email: j-sandhu@pau.edu; vickysinghpau@gmail.com)

Abstract : Capsicum hybrid Mekong was grown under naturally ventilated polyhouse during summer season of 2012 at Vegetable Research Farm, Department of Vegetable Science and Floriculture, CSKHPKV Palampur, Himachal Pradesh. Planting was done in two rows on 0.9 m wide bed leaving 60 cm path between two beds following the spacing of 60 cm \times 30 cm (S₁) and 45 cm \times 30 cm (S₂), with double (T₁), three (T₂) and four (T₃) stems and fertilized (F₁) twice a week and (F₂) thrice a week. The data were analyzed statistically following Factorial Randomized Block Design. The result revealed that the plant height, fruit weight, fruit length, fruit breadth, pericarp thickness were significantly more in S₁T₁F₂ treatment. The number of fruits per plant, fruit yield per plant, yield/m² and benefit cost ratio was significantly superior in S₁T₃F₂ treatment. While there was no significant effect of spacing, training and fertigation levels on days to 50 per cent flowering, days to first harvest, harvest duration and shelf life at room temperature.

Key Words : Spacing, Training, Fertigation, Quality growth

View Point Article : Singh, Jasbir, Singh, Vicky and Kumar, Pardeep (2019). Influence of plant spacing, training and fertigation on growth, yield and quality of capsicum under naturally ventilated polyhouse. *Internat. J. agric. Sci.*, **15** (1) : 173-176, **DOI:10.15740/HAS/IJAS/15.1/ 173-176.** Copyright@2019: Hind Agri-Horticultural Society.

Article History : Received : 17.07.2018; Revised : 18.12.2018; Accepted : 24.12.2018

INTRODUCTION

Basically capsicum is a cool season tropical crop and lacks adaptability to varied environmental conditions (Yoon *et al.*, 1989). Despite its economic importance, growers are not in a position to produce good quality capsicum with high productivity due to various biotic (pest and diseases), abiotic (rainfall, temperature, relative humidity and light intensity) and crop factors (flower and fruit drop). Due to erratic behaviour of weather, the crops grown in open field are often exposed to fluctuating levels of temperature, humidity, wind flow etc. which ultimately affect the crop productivity adversely Ochigbo and Harris (1989). Besides this, limited availability of land for cultivation hampers the vegetable production. Hence, to obtain a good quality produce and production during off season, there is a need to cultivate capsicum under protected condition such as green houses or polyhouses. Pruning the plants to two stems, three stem or four stems will not only facilitate easy training operation, but also

^{*} Author for correspondence:

¹Department of Vegetable Science and Floriculture, C.S.K. Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, Palampur (H.P.) India

permit closer planting, early ripening of fruits and get higher yields of larger sized fruits. Proper fertigation dose is also very important for long harvest duration and high yields. Hence, the study was initiated to find out suitable spacing, fertigation levels and effect of training in capsicum under polyhouse conditions.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted under polyhouse conditions at Vegetable Research Farm, Department of Vegetable Science and Floriculture, CSKHPKV Palampur, Himachal Pradesh during the period 2012. Healthy seedlings of hybrid Mekong were transplanted in Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications. The plot size was kept $1.9 \text{ m} \times 0.9 \text{ m}$, two plant spacing *i.e.* 60×30 cm (S₁) and 45×30 cm (S₂), three training systems *i.e.* double stem (T_1) , three stem (T_2) and four stem (T_2) and two fertigation levels *i.e.* (F₁) fertigation twice a week NPK (19:19:19) @ $2g/m^2$ and (F_2) fertigation thrice a week @ 2g NPK (19:19:19)/ m²) were tried. Plants were trained along the plastic thread tide to galvanized iron wire stretched over head along the bed. The observations were recorded on various vegetative, quality, yield and yield contributing parameters.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads:

Vegetative characters:

Among the vegetative characters (Table 1) data were recorded on plant height, days to 50 per cent flowering, days to first harvest and harvest duration. The plant height was significantly higher (198.4 cm) in $S_1T_1F_2$ (60 cm×30 cm, double stem and fertigation thrice a week). These results are in accordance with the findings of Anez and Tavira (1996); Aliyu and Yusuf (1991) and Shabnam et al. (2004). It may be due to the fact that the plants at closer spacing received less space to expand and thereby leading to reduction in height and vice-a-versa in case of wider spacing, while pruning of side branches causing flow of nutrients to the axillary branches might have reduced which in turn leads to flow to the apical tissues leading to elongation of stem and the fertilizers use to be the main driving force behind plant life processes which

Display to the part of the par	Dav	s to 50	0/ 100	vering	Table 1. Effection training, praint spacing and rectugation in Days to 50% flowering	Shin	Dav	re to fire	at harve	vet.		orden in c		Plant height (cm)	evers on vegetative cinal acters of capacitum under portune conditions ave to first harvest plant height (cm)				Har	rvest du	ration (day	10	
T ₃ T ₁ T ₂ T ₃ T ₁ T ₂ T ₁ T ₁ 72.3 74.0 72.0 71.6 170.0 146.2 124.0 156.6 72.0 71.6 72.5 73.0 198.4 177.0 158.8 188.27 NS NS 4.56			5	S ₂			S		1 101- 10	S2				2	(ma) m	S 2					na) manna	S2	
72.3 74.0 72.3 71.6 170.0 1462 124.0 156.6 72.0 71.6 72.5 73.0 138.4 177.0 158.8 188.27 NS 4.56	T3	5	-	T ₂	T_{3}	Γ,	E	Ę	t I	T_2	T ₃	T,	T_2	T,	T_1	T,	T3	T.	T2	Тз	T	Ę	T3
72.0 71.6 72.5 73.0 198.4 177.0 155.8 188.27 NS 4.56	40.6		40.6	40.3	41.0	72.6	75.6	72.3	74.0	72.0	71.6	170.0	146.2	124.0	156.6		121.0	151	152.6	151	154.0		151.3
NS 4.56	40.3		42.3	40.3	41.0	71.3	72.6	72.0	7].6	72.5	73.0	198.4	177.0	158.8	188.27	164.4	135.4	151	153.3	151	150.6	151	152.6
			-	SZ				SN						4.56						~	NS		
	NS = Non-significant																						
)	Perica	urp thick	Pericarp thickness (cm)	u)						She	If-life at	room te	mperat	Shelf-life at room temperature on weight loss basis	ight loss	s basis		

0.79 0.90 C.D. (P=0.05)

15.33 14.67

17.00 15.67

13.67 16.00

14.67 4.33

15.67 15.00

0.68 07.0

0.70 57.0

0.74 61.0

ñ

5.33 16.00

0.78 0.71

000 0.82 0.77

Non-significant

ñ

S

NS

lead to enhanced vegetative growth. Interaction between $(S \times T \times F)$ had non-significant effects on days to 50 per cent flowering, number of days to first harvest and harvest duration.

Quality characters:

Pericarp thickness and shelf-life at room temperature were recorded under quality characters. There was no significant effect of spacing, training and fertigation on shelf-life of capsicum at room temperature, but in case of pericarp thickness significantly higher pericarp thickness (0.9 cm) was recorded in treatment combination ($S_1 = 60 \text{ cm} \times 30 \text{ cm}$, $T_1 = \text{Two stem and } F_2$ = Fertigation thrice a week @ 2g NPK (19:19:19)/m²) $S_1T_1F_2$ (Table 2). This may be due to less competition for the nutrients on the two stem as compared to three or four stems. This result is in conformity with the findings of Sanchez *et al.* (1993) and Michelik and Wierzbicka (2001).

Yield and yield contributing characters:

Among these characters data were recorded on fruit weight, fruit length, fruit breadth, number of fruits per plant, yield per plant and yield per meter square (Table 3). All the characters were significantly influenced by spacing, training and fertigation levels. Treatment combination $S_1T_3F_2$ ($S_1 = 60 \text{ cm} \times 30 \text{ cm}$, $T_3 = \text{Four stem}$ and F_2 = Fertigation thrice a week @ 2g NPK (19:19:19)/ m²) resulted into maximum number of fruits per plant (23.6) (AnChulg et al., 2000 and Onis et al., 2001) and yield per plant (1.9 kg.). Similar results were also reported by Onis et al. (2001); Lee et al. (2006); Mishrinky and Alphonse (1994) and Maya et al. (1997), while treatment combination $S_1T_1F_2$ ($S_1 = 60 \text{ cm} \times 30 \text{ cm}$, $T_1 =$ Two stem and $F_2 =$ Fertigation thrice a week @ 2g NPK (19:19:19)/m²) produced significantly higher fruit weight (101.1 g) (Lee and Liao, 2007 and Dobromilska, 2000 and Jan et al., 2006) and fruit length (8.1 cm). Similar results in capsicum have also been reported by Maya et al. (1997) and Gare et al. (2000).

Economics:

Data presented in Table 4 indicated that maximum net return (Rs. 27677.80) and B:C ratio (1.59) were recorded in treatment combination $S_1T_3F_2$ ($S_1 = 60 \text{ cm} \times$ 30 cm, $T_3 =$ Four stems and $F_2 =$ Fertigation thrice a week @ 2g NPK (19:19:19)/m²). These results corroborate the findings of Ramakrishna and Palled

S1 S2 S2 T1 T2 T3 T1 T2 T3 F1 15.0 15.6 19.3 14.6 15.6 173 7 F2 13 23.6 15.0 18.0 23.3 10 C.D. (P=0.05) 0.90 0.90 13.0 23.3 10 Table 4: Effect of training, plant spacing and fertigation 10 10 10 10	T₁ 15.0 18.3 ≥=0.05)	S1 T. T. T. 1. T. 1. T. 1. 15.0 15.6 19.3 15.6 19.3 18.3 17.3 23.6 0.90 0.90	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	T ₁ 14.6 15.0		T, 173 233	T ₁ 76.0 101.1	S ₁ T ₂ 70.5 94.2	T ₃ 63.9 6 87.2 9 3.13	T ₁ 69.3 3	S ₂ T ₂ 74.1 90.0	T ₃ 61.5 76.0	T. 7.4 8.1	S ₁ 7.1 7.5	T ₃ T ₁ 6.9 7.2 6.9 7.5 0.17		r, T 6.6 1 6.8 1	S1 S1 T T T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		T 1.1 4 1.1 9 1.4 0.019	$\begin{array}{cccc} & S_2 \\ T_3 & T_1 & T_2 \\ 1.4 & 1.1 & 1.3 \\ 1.9 & 1.4 & 1.5 \\ 0.019 & 0.019 \end{array}$	T ₃ 1.3 1.7
F ₁ F ₂ C.D. (P Table	T₁ 15.0 18.3 >=0.05)	T ₂ 15.6 17.3	T ₅ 19.3 23.6 0.90	T, 14.6 15.0		n n						T ₃ 61.5 76.0	T, 7.4 8.1		T ₃ 6.9 0.17	T, T 7.2 6 7.5 7						T ₅ 1.3 1.7
F1 F2 C.D. (P Table	15.0 18.3 →=0.05)	15.6 17.3	19.3 23.6 0.90	14.6	15.6				<u>e</u> l			61.5 76.0	7.4 8.1		6.9 6.9 6.9 0.17	7.2 6						1.3
F ₂ C.D. (P Table	18.3 =0.05)	173	23.6 0.90	15.0	18.0							76.0	8.1		0.17	1.5 7.						1.7
Table	<u>1−0.05</u>)		0.90						3.13						0.17					0.01		
Table																						
					Net	Net return (Rs.)	(Rs.)										B:C	B: C ratio				
			S_1						S_2							Ś					S2	
	T,		\mathbf{T}_{z}		Τ,		T,		T_z		Τ,	5		Ţ		$\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}}$	т,		Ē		T_2	Т,
F_{I}	11478.20	:20	14762.60	60	16976.00	0	9717.00	•	13049.00	_	14564.27	4.27	Ŭ	0.68		0.87	1.00		0.57		0.77	0.86
F,	23617.52	52	19474.73	73	27677.80	0	15159.00	0	18974.93	200	23489.00	00.6		1.35		1.12	1.59		0.87		1.09	1.35

Jasbir Singh, Vicky Singh and Pardeep Kumar

(2005) who recorded higher net returns and B: C ratio with 60 cm row spacing and higher dose of fertilizers.

REFERENCES

Aliyu, L. and Yusuf, Y. (1991). Response of two chilli pepper (*Capsicum fruitscens*) varieties to intra row spacing and nitrogen levels. *Capsicum Newsletter*, **10**: 43-44.

AnChulg, Geon, Kim, Yeong, Bong Jeong and Byong Ryong (2000). Effect of shoot training method on quality and yield of 'Sinsakigake-2' and 'Shishito' peppers. *Korean J. Hort. Sci. & Technol.*, **18** (4): 503-507.

Anez, B. and Tavira, E. (1996). Growth and yield of capsicum in response to different planting distances and nitrogen rates. *Revise-de-Ca=Facltad-de-Agromomia-Universidad-del-Zulia*, 10 (1):1-21.

Dobromilska, R. (2000). The effect of the planting method and plant spacing on the growth, yield and biological value of sweet peeper cv. MAYATA F1. *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie Skodowska Sectio EEE, Horticultura*, **8** : 333-339.

Gare, B.N., More, S.M., Japhav, M.G. and Burli, A.V. (2000). Effect of spacing and fertilizer on yield of rainfed chilli in sub montane zone of Maharashtra. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.*, 25 (3): 270-271.

Jan, N.E., Khan, I.A., Khan, N.A. and Sher, A. (2006). Growth and yield of tomato as affected by different doses of nitrogen and phosphorus in light textured soil of Juglote, Northern Areas of Pakistan. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, **22**(1): 93-97.

Lee, Ahchiou and Liao, Fangshin (2007). Effects of organic substrates, training systems and plant density on yield of sweet pepper grown in basket culture under plastic. Acta Horticulturae, **761**: 533-537.

Lee, SuYeon, Sim, SangYeon, Lee, SangWoo, Lee, HaeKil and Lim, Jae Wook (2006). Growth and fruit characteristics as affected by different planting distances among plant types in pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) for pickles. *Korean J.* Hort.Sci. & Technol., 24: 162-167.

Maya, P., Natarajan, S. and Thamburaj, S. (1997). Flowering, fruit characters and quality as influenced by spacing and N and P in sweet pepper cv. CALIFORNIA WONDER. *South Indian Hort.*, **45**(3&4): 125-127.

Michelik and Wierzbicka, B. (2001). Effect of plant training way on productivity and quality of sweet paprika grown in a high unheated plastic tunnel. *Sodininkyste ir Darzininkyste*. 20 (3-2): 122-131.

Mishrinky, J.F. and Alphonse, M. (1994). Effect of nitrogen and plant spacing on growth, yield and fruit mineral composition of pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Bull. Facul. Agric., Univ. Cairo,* **45**(2): 413-431.

Ochigbu, A.A. and Harris, G.P. (1989). Effect of film plastic cover on the growth and yield of bush tomato grown in a bed system. *J. Hort. Sci.*, **64**(1): 300-302.

Onis, A., Lopez, Camelo A. and Gomez, P. (2001). Effect of pruning to two and four branches on bell peppers production in a non-heated greenhouse. *Revista de la Facultey de Agrnomia Universidad de Buenos Aires*, **21**(1): 5-11.

Ramakrishna, T. and Palled, Y.B. (2005). Effect of plant geometry and fertilizer levels on growth and yield of chilli. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **18**(4): 892-895.

Sanchez, V.M., Sundstroml, F.J. and Lang, N.S. (1993). Plant size influences bell pepper seed quality and yield. *Hort. Sci.*, **28**(8): 809-811.

Shabnam, A., Sher, M. and Hummayun, K. (2004). Effect of row spacings and cultivars on the yield and yield components of chillies. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, **20** (1): 16-20.

Yoon, J.Y., Green, S.K., Tschang, A.T., Tsou, S.C.S. and Changa, L.C. (1989). Pepper improvement for tropicsproblems and the AVRDC approach in tomato and pepper production in the tropics. International Symposium on Integrated Management Practices, 86-98pp.

15th **** of Excellence ****