

#### RESEARCH ARTICLE:

ISSN-0973-1520

# Awareness of members about functioning of selfhelp group

■ R.B. Zodape, S.U. Mokhale, A.N. Deshmukh and H.S. Karnase

#### **ARTICLE CHRONICLE:**

Received: 15.02.2020; Revised: 03.06.2020; Accepted: 15.07.2020

KEY WORDS:

Self-help group, Selfhelp group members, Functioning, Awareness SUMMARY: The present study was conducted in Amravati district of Vidarbha region of Maharashtra state. The study "Awareness of members about functioning of self-help group" was conducted in Nandgaon Khandeshwar and Bhatkuli talukas of Amravati district, from this talukas ten villages selected and from each village fifteen respondents were selected on the basis of Agriculture based self-help group, 150 respondents were purposively selected for study. Exploratory research design was used for the research study. It was noticed that, half of the respondents i.e. 58.67 per cent were in middle age group, 43.33 per cent respondents were educated upto junior college level, half 51.33 per cent of the respondents were having agriculture as a occupation, majority 64.00 per cent of the respondents had medium family size, majority 60.00 per cent of the respondents had medium family income, majority 68.67 per cent of the respondents had low experience in SHG, half 51.33 per cent of the respondents had low training received, majority 64.67 per cent of the respondents had medium extension contact, majority of the respondents i.e. 74.67 per cent had medium social participation and majority of the respondents i.e. 68.67 per cent were having medium achievement motivation. In the case of awareness about functioning of self-help group 80.00 per cent respondents having high level of awareness. The independent variables namely education, family size, extension contact and achievement motivation are positively significant with awareness about the functions of self-help group at 0.01 level of probability. Whereas the variables namely family income and training received are positively significant with awareness about the functions of self-help group at 0.05 level of probability. The variables namely family occupation and social participation are non-significantly correlated with awareness about the functions of self-help group. Whereas the variables namely age and experience in SHG are negatively significant with awareness about the functions of self-help group.

**How to cite this article:** Zodape, R.B., Mokhale, S.U., Deshmukh, A.N. and Karnase, H.S. (2020). Awareness of members about functioning of self-help group. *Agric. Update*, **15**(4): 269-273; **DOI: 10.15740/HAS/AU/15.4/269-273.** Copyright@ 2020: Hind Agri-Horticultural Society.

#### Author for correspondence:

S.U. Mokhale Department of Extension Education, Shri Shivaji Agriculture College, Amravati (M.S.) India

Email: shekharmokhale17 @gmail.com

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

## BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

The concept of self-help groups serves to underline the principle "for the people, by the people and of the people". A self-help group is a financial intermediary committee usually composed of 10 to 20 local women or men. The government of India and various State Government has been implementing various programmes for rural uplift. The selfemployment programme of IRDP, training of rural youth for self-employment (TRYSEM), Development of women and children in rural areas (DWCRA), Ganga Kaylan Yojana (GKY) and Million Well Scheme were all merged into a single selfemployment programme called the Swarnjayanti Gram Swarojgar Yojana (SGSY). This programme was launched on 1 April 1999 at 75:25 cost sharing between central and state Government. The main objective of this programme was to bring the beneficiaries above the poverty line by providing income generating assets to them through bank credit and Government subsidy. The origin of self-help group can be dates back to the Grameen bank of Bangladesh, which was founded by Mohamed Yunus. SHG's which was mainly established to provide assistance to the rural women was started and formed in 1975. In India NABARD is initiated in 1986-1987. The non-existence of recognized and formal facilities existing in the deprived sections of rural area has led to the formation of SHG's. According to the National Rural Livelihood Mission statistics the total number of SHG's in India is 66,28,240, in Maharashtra 4,78,489 and in Amravati district 19,427 SHG's.

#### Specific objectives of the study:

- To study the profile of the self-help group members
- To study the extent of awareness of the self-help group member about the functions of SHG.
- -To study relationship between characteristics of group members and their awareness about functions of SHG.

### RESOURCES AND METHODS

Nandgaon Khandeshwar and Bhatkuli Talukas of Amravati district was selected for studies. The self-help group members were interviewed with the help of structured interview schedule personally. Total 150 respondents were selected for research purpose. The interview schedule was constructed by relevant questions in accordance with the objective of study. The data were analysed by using mean, S.D. and co-efficient of correlation methods were used for analysis of the data.

#### OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

The findings of the study as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under the following heads.

It is observed from Table 1 that, 58.67 per cent of respondents were included in the middle age (31 to 49

Table 1 : Distribution of respondents according to their profile  Sr. Respondents (n=150)			
No.	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Age			
1.	Young (Upto 30)	42	28.00
2.	Middle (31 to 49)	88	58.67
3.	Old (Above 49)	20	13.33
	Total	150	100
Edu	cation		
1.	Illiterate	0	0.00
2.	Primary school	07	04.67
3.	Middle school	12	08.00
4.	High School	56	37.33
5.	Junior college	65	43.33
6.	Senior College	10	06.67
	Total	150	100
Fam	ily occupation		
1.	Agriculture (1)	77	51.33
2.	Agri + Labour (2)	62	41.33
3.	Agri + Subsidiary (3)	10	06.67
4.	Agri + Subsidiary + other (4)	1	0.67
	Total	150	100
Fam	ily size		
1.	Low (Upto 3)	32	21.33
2.	Medium (4 to 5)	96	64.00
3.	High (above 5)	22	14.67
	Total	150	100
Fam	ily income		
1.	Low (Upto Rs. 25,000.)	46	30.67
2.	Medium (Rs. 25,001 to Rs. 50,000)	90	60.00
3.	High (Above Rs. 50,000)	14	09.33
	Total	150	100.00
Ехре	erience in SHG		
1.	Low (Upto 5.)	101	67.33
2.	Medium (6 to 11)	34	22.67
3.	High (Above 11)	15	10.00
	Total	150	100.00
Trai	ning received		
1.	No training low training	77	51.33
2.	Medium	53	35.33
3.	High	20	13.34
	Total	150	100

Tab	le 1 : Contd		
Ext	ension contact		
1.	Low (Upto 2)	27	18.00
2.	Medium (3 to 8)	97	64.67
3.	High (Above 8)	26	17.33
	Total	150	100
Soc	ial participation		
1.	Low (Upto 0)	23	15.33
2.	Medium (1 to 2)	112	74.67
3.	High (Above 2)	15	10.00
	Total	150	100.00
Ach	nievement motivation		
1.	Low (Upto 19)	31	20.67
2.	Medium (20 to 23)	103	68.67

years) category, 43.33 per cent of respondents were educated upto junior college, large proportion of respondents 51.33 per cent had Agriculture as a occupation, 64.00 per cent respondents had medium category of family size, 60.00 per cent of the respondents

3.

High (above 23)

Total

had family income between Rs.25,001 to Rs.50,000, 67.33 per cent of the respondents had low level experience in SHG, 51.33 per cent of the respondents had low level of training received, 64.67 per cent of the respondents were in the medium level of extension contact, majority of the respondents 74.67 per cent had belonged to medium category of social participation and 68.67 per cent of the respondents had medium level of achievement motivation. (Leena and Ahuja, 2016; Bhabar, 2012; Lalitha and Prasad, 2011; Mishra, 2018; Sharma and Kumar, 2015 and Verma *et al.*, 2013).

It is observed from Table 2 that, 92.67 per cent respondents had awareness about various social activities can be undertaken by SHG. 88.67 per cent of respondents had awareness about how the SHG decision are made. 88.00 per cent of the respondents had awareness about for what work the loan is given. 85.33 per cent of respondent had awareness about what measures are taken for providing experience to SHG members. 84.00 per cent had awareness about how the joint fund of SHG is utilized. 83.33 per cent respondents had awareness about when should be the refund is made. 78.00 per cent respondents had awareness about which account records

Table 2:	Table 2: Distribution of respondents according to their awareness about various functions of self-help group			
Sr. No.	Statement —	Awareness		
	Statement	Freq.	Percentage	
1.	What are the various types of SHG meeting.	101	67.33	
2.	What should be done for the absence of members of SHG meetings.	109	72.67	
3.	What do you mean by minutes of the meetings	102	68.00	
4.	How the SHG decision are made	133	88.67	
5.	For what work loans are given.	132	88.00	
6.	When should be refund made.	125	83.33	
7.	Which account records are maintained.	117	78.00	
8.	How much amount is retained by SHG in cash.	113	75.33	
9.	What measures are taken for providing experience to SHG members.	128	85.33	
10.	What social activities can be undertaken by SHG's.	139	92.67	
11.	How the joint funds are utilized.	126	84.00	
12.	What is the necessity of auditing of accounts.	105	70.00	

10.66

100

16

150

	Table 3: Distribution of respondents according to their awareness level about functioning of self-help group			
Sr. No.	Awareness level	Respondents (n=150)		
		Frequency	Percentage	
1.	Low (Upto 33.33)	14	9.33	
2.	Medium (33.34 to 66.67)	16	10.67	
3.	High (Above 66.67)	120	80.00	
	Total	150	100.00	

Table 4: Correlation co-efficients of characteristics of the SHG members with awareness of SHG members about functions of SHG

Sr. No.	Variables	ʻr' values
1.	Age	-0.4520**
2.	Education	0.4996**
3.	Family occupation	$0.0073^{\rm NS}$
4.	Family size	0.2309**
5.	Family income	0.1777*
6.	Experience in SHG	-0.1 824*
7.	Training received	0.1764*
8.	Extension contact	0.2391**
9.	Social participation	$0.0337^{\rm NS}$
10.	Achievement motivation	0.2284**

<sup>\*</sup> and \*\* indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

NS=Non-significant

are maintain. 75.33 per cent of respondent had awareness about how much amount is retained by SHG in the form of cash. 72.67 per cent respondent had awareness about what should be done for the absence of members of SHG meetings. 70.00 per cent respondents had awareness about what is the necessity of auditing of SHG accounts. 68.00 per cent respondents had awareness about what is mean by minutes of meetings. 67.33 per cent respondents had awareness about what are the various types of SHG meetings.

#### Relational analysis:

Relationship of selected profile of respondents with awareness:

Data presented in Table 4, revealed that among selected characteristics of the respondents education, family size, extension contact and achievement motivation are positively significant with awareness about the functions of self-help group at 0.01 level of probability. Whereas, the variable namely family income and training received are positively significant with awareness about the functions of self-help group at 0.05 level of probability. The variables education, family occupation, family size, family income, training received, extension contact, social participation and achievement motivation of the respondents had positive influence on awareness of SHG members about the functions of SHG. Whereas, the correlation analysis of age and experiencein SHG of the SHG members with awareness about functions of SHG was resulted negatively significant (Kale, 2012; Parmar and Jadeja, 2015 and Rewani and Tochhawng, 2014).

### **Conclusion:**

Majority of the respondents 80.00 per cent had high

level of awareness about functions of self-help group. Whereas 10.67 per cent members were having medium level of awareness about functions of self-help group. And 9.33 per cent respondents were having low level of awareness about the functions of self-help group. Thus, study concluded that majority of the respondents had high level of awareness about functioning of self-help group.

Authors' affiliations:

R.B. Zodape, A.N. Deshmukh and H.S. Karnase, Department of Agricultural Extension and Communication Shri. Shivaji Agriculture College, Amravati (M.S.) India

### REFERENCES

**Bhabar, Randeep Singh** (2012). A study on empowerment of rural women under district poverty initiative project (DPIP) in Barod Block of Shajapur district in Madhya Pradesh. M.Sc. (Ag.). Thesis, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Gwalior, M.P. (India).

**Chikane, Sanket Ramesh** (2018). Entrepreneurial behaviour of self-help group members. Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani. p.101.http://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/handle/1/5810074249.

**Kale, R. A.** (2012). Training needs of farm women in dairy farming. M.Sc. Thesis, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, M.S. (India).

**Lalitha, K.** and Prasad, G. (2011). Impact of women empowerment and role of SHGs in value based emancipation. *Indian Streams Research J.*, **1**(II): 130-133.

**Leena** and Ahuja, A. (2016). Banking behaviour of self-help group women members in the selected districts of Uttar Pradesh and Uttarakhand. *Internat. J.Farm Sci.*, **6**(1): 134-139.

Mehta, S.K., Mishra, H.G. and Singh, A. (2011). Role of self-

help group in socio –economic change of vulnerable poor pf Jammu region. *International Conference On Economic & Finance Research IPEDR*, **4**:519-5123.

**Parmar, S.** and Jadeja, R.M. (2015). Quintessence of group dimensions in relation to self-help groups development in Gujarat. *Int. J. Agric. Sci. & Res.*, **5** (2): 59-66.

**Rewani, S. K.** and L. Tochhawng (2014). Social empowerment of women self-help group members engaged in livestock

rearing. Indian Res. J. Extn. Edu., 14 (2): 116-119.

**Sharma, N.** and Kumar, S. (2015). Social empowerment of women through self-help groups: An impact assessment in district Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu.*, **15**(4):78-84.

**Verma, N.K.,** Pandey, D.K. and Upadhayay, A.D. (2013). Performance and evaluation of fishery based self-help groups in West Tripura. *Indian Res. J. Extn. Edu.*, **13**(3): 15-18.

