International Journal of Agricultural Sciences Volume 15 | Issue 1 | January, 2019 | 98-101

■ ISSN: 0973-130X

RESEARCH PAPER

Dissemination of productivity enhancement technologies in pigeonpea through frontline demonstrations

K.R. Shreenivasa* **and** T.S. Sukanya Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Konehally, Tumkur (Karnataka) India (Email: shreenikr@rediffmail.com)

Abstract : The demontration was carried out during *Kharif* 2016 in three villages of Tumkur district where 25 demonstrations on Pigeonpea crop were carried out in an area of 10 ha by the active participation of farmers with the objective to demonstrate the improved technologies in pigeonpea to exploit production potential. The improved technologies consisted of use of improved wilt resistant variety, seed treatment with *Rhizobium* culture, soil application *Trichoderma* and phosphate solubilising bacteria, balanced fertilizer application and integrated pest management. Frontline demonstrations (FLDs) recorded higher yield as compared to farmers' local practice. The improved technological gap, extension gap existed. The improved technology gave higher gross return, net return with higher benefit/cost ratio than farmers' practices.

Key Words: Pigeonpea, FLD, Improved technologies

View Point Article : Shreenivasa, K.R. and Sukanya, T.S. (2019). Dissemination of productivity enhancement technologies in pigeonpea through frontline demonstrations. *Internat. J. agric. Sci.*, **15** (1) : 98-101, **DOI:10.15740/HAS/IJAS/15.1/98-101.** Copyright@2019: Hind Agri-Horticultural Society.

Article History : Received : 26.07.2018; Revised : 30.11.2018; Accepted : 06.12.2018

INTRODUCTION

Pigeonpea, *Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp is an important pulse crop of India next to chickpea. It is mainly grown in states of Maharashtra, Karnataka, U.P., MP and Gujarat. It has multiple uses and occupies an important place in the prevailing farming systems in the country and vegetarian diet. It also plays an important role in sustainable agriculture by enriching the soil through biological nitrogen fixation along with deep root system of this crop which makes it more suitable for its cultivation under rainfed conditions.

The productivity of pigeonpea is Karnataka is lower than national average reason being use on local varieties, Faulty sowing practices, improper crop geometry, avoid use of biofertilizers, *Trichoderma*, other intercultural operations and climatic variability's are predominant reasons for limiting the potential yield of pigeonpea. In order to demonstrate the production potential improved technologies front line demonstrations were conducted.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Frontline demonstration on pigeonpea using new

K.R. Shreenivasa and T.S. Sukanya

Table A : The criteria's taken for improved practices and compared to farmers practices for pigeonpea under FLD								
Sr. No.	Technology	Improved practices	Farmers practice	GAP (%)				
1.	Variety	BRG-5	BRG-2	Partial gap				
2.	Land preparation	Ploughing and harrowing	Ploughing and harrowing	Nil				
3.	Seed rate	12.5 kg/ha	17.5 kg/ha	High seed rate				
4.	Sowing method	Line sowing	Line sowing	No gap				
5.	Seed treatment	With biofertilizers and Trichoderma	No seed treatment	Full gap				
6.	Plant protection	IPM	Indiscriminate application of pp chemicals	Full gap				

crop production technology was carried out at the Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Tumkur during Kharif season in the farmers' fields of 3 villages during 2016 with the objective of showing the productive potentials of the new production technologies under real farm situation over the locally cultivated varieties. All 25 frontline demonstrations in 10 ha area were conducted in different villages. Each frontline demonstration was laid out on 0.4 ha area, adjacent 0.4 ha was considered as control for comparison (farmer's practice). The integrated crop management technology comprised improved variety of pigeon pea BRG-5, proper seed rate, seed treatment with Rhizobium and Trichoderma, proper nutrient and pest management (Table A). Optimum plant population was maintained in the demonstrations. The sowing was done in first week of June, the fertilizers were applied as per as per soil test based recommendation as and when required. Other agronomic practices were followed as per recommendation. The yield data were collected from both the demonstration and farmers practice. Qualitative data were converted into quantitative form and expressed in terms of per cent increase in yield calculated using following formula as suggested by Samui *et al.* (2000) as given below:

Technology gap= Potential yield – Demonstration yield Extension gap= Demonstration yield – Farmers' yield

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The gap between the existing and recommended technologies of pigeonpea in district Tumkur is presented in Table 1. Full gap was observed in case of use of resistant variety, sowing method, seed treatment, plant protection and weed management and partial gap was observed in fertilizer dose, which definitely was the reason of not achieving potential yield. Farmers were not aware about recommended technologies. Farmers in general used local or old-age varieties instead of the recommended high yielding resistant varieties. Unavailability of seed in time and lack of awareness were the main reasons. Farmers followed thick sowing against the recommended line sowing and because of this, they applied higher seed rate than the recommended.

During frontline demonstrations results obtained are presented in Table 2. The results revealed that due to

Table 1: Techno	logy gap and exte	ension gap of pig	eonpea under	FLDs					
Name of the crop	Demos Characteri (No.)		cteristics of the variety	e demo Po	otential yield of the variety	demo Techr	nology gap (%)	Extension gap (%)	
Pigeonpea	25	5 Dual	purpose variet	y, wilt	16.00 q/ha	2	29.18	64.63	
		resistan	t and medium	duration					
Table 2 : Effect	of integrated croj	p management te	chnologies on	yield of pigeon	pea under FLD				
		Y	ield obtained	(q/ha)					
Farmers plot				Demonstration plot Y				ield increase (%)	
Max.	Min.	Av.		Max.	Min.	Av.			
8.25	2.5	5.54	. ,	10.5	3.5	7.23	.23 30.50		
Table 3: Effect of	of integrated crop	management teo	hnologies on	economics of pi	geonpea under FL	D			
		Ex	penditure and	returns (Rs./ha)					
Farmers plot				Demonstration plot				Net returns	
Gross cost	Gross return	Net return	B:C	Gross cost	Gross return	Net return	B:C	increase (%)	
(Rs./ha)	(Rs./ha)	(Rs./ha)	ratio	(Rs./ha)	(Rs./ha)	(Rs./ha)	ratio		
30514	21640	8874	1.40	39765	21560	18205	1.84	205.14	

Internat. J. agric. Sci. | Jan., 2019 | Vol. 15 | Issue 1 | 98-101 . Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

front line demonstration on pigeonpea an average yield was recorded 7.23 q/ ha under demonstrated plots as compared farmers practice 5.54q/ha. The highest yield in the FLD plot was 10.5 q/ha and in farmers practice 8.25 q/ha in the same year and lowest yield yield in the FLD plot was 3.5 q/ha and in farmers practice 2.5 q/ha was recorded. This results clearly indicated that the higher average grain yield in demonstration plots over the years compared to local check due to knowledge and adoption of full package of practices *i.e.* appropriate varieties such as BRG-5., timely sowing, seed treatment with bio fertilizers) (Rhizobium and PSB) Trichoderma @4g/ kg of seed, use of balanced dose of fertilizer (10kg N and $20 \text{kg P}_2 O_5$ per ha), method and time of sowing, timely weed management, need based plant protection. The average yield of pigeonpea increased 30.50 per cent. The yield of pigeonpea could be increased over the yield obtained under farmers practices (old variety, no use of the balanced dose of fertilizer, untimely sowing and no control measure adopted for pest management) of pigeonpea cultivation. The above findings are in similarity with the findings of Singh (2002); Raj et al. (2013); Sharma et al. (2011) and Tomar et al. (1999).

Technology gap:

The technology gap, the differences between potential yield and yield of demonstration plots was 29.18 per cent. The technology gap observed may be attributed to dissimilarity in the soil fertility status, agricultural practices and local climatic situation.

Extension gap:

Extension gap under FLD programme was 64.63 per centa which emphasized the need to educate the farmers through various extension means *i.e.* front line demonstration for adoption of improved production and protection technologies, to revert the trend of wide extension gap. More and more use of latest production technologies with high yielding varieties will subsequently change this alarming trend of galloping extension gap.

Economic return:

The inputs and outputs prices of commodities prevailed during the study of demonstration were taken for calculating net return and benefit: cost ratio (Table 3). The cultivation of pigeonpea under improved technologies gave higher net return of Rs.18205 per ha as compared to Rs.8874 in farmers practices. Similar findings were reported by Singh *et al.* (2014). The benefit cost ratio of pigeonpea cultivation under improved cultivation practices was 1.84 whereas 1.40 under farmer's practices. This may be due to higher yield obtained under improved technologies compared to local check (farmers practice). This finding is in corroboration with the findings of Mokidue *et al.* (2011) and Singh *et al.* (2013).

Conclusion:

The FLD produces a significant positive result and provided the researcher an opportunity to demonstrate the productivity potential and profitability of the latest technology (Intervention) under real farming situation, which they have been advocating for long time. This could be circumventing some of the constraints in the existing transfer of technology system in the Tumkur district, of Karnataka. The productivity gain under FLD over existing practices of pigeonpea cultivation created greater awareness and motivated the other farmers to adopt suitable production technology of pigeonpea in the district.

REFERENCES

Mokidue, I., Mohanty, A.K. and Sanjay, K. (2011). Corelating growth, yield and adoption of urd bean technologies. *Indian J. Ext. Edu.*, **11**(2): 20-24.

Raj, A. D., Yadav, V. and Rathod, J.H. (2013). Impact of front line demonstration (FLD) on the yield of pulses. *Internat. J. Sci. & Res.*, **9**(3): 1-4.

Samui, S.K., Mitra, S., Roy, D.K., Mandal, A.K. and Saha, D. (2000). Evaluation of front line demonstration ongroundnut. *J. Indian Soc. Costal Agric. Res.*, **18**(2): 180-183.

Sharma, A.K., Kumar, V., Jha, S.K. and Sachan, R.C. (2011). Frontline demonstrations on Indian mustard: Animpact assessment. *Indian J. Ext. Edu.*, **11** (3): 25-31.

Singh, A.K., Manibhushan, Bhatt, B.P., Singh, K.M. and Upadhyaya, A. (2013). An analysis of oilseeds and pulses scenario in Eastern India during 2050-51. *J. Agril. Sci.*, **5** (1): 241-249.

Singh, D., Patel, A.K., Baghel, S.K., Singh, M.S., Singh, A. and Singh, A.K. (2014). Impact of front line demonstration on the yield and economics of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Sidhi district of Madhya Pradesh. *J.Agric. Search*, 1(1): 22-25.

Singh, P.K. (2002). Impact of participation in planning on

adoption of new technology through FLD. *Manage. Extn. Res. Rev.*, July-Dec. 45-48pp.

Tomar, R.K.S., Sharma, P. and Yadav, L.N. (1999). Comparison of yield and economics of irrigated chickpea under improved and local management practices, *Internat. Chickpea* Pigeonpea News Lett., 6:22-23.

Tomar, R.K.S. (2010). Maximization of productivity for chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* Linn.) through improved technologies infarmers field. *Indian J. Natul. Produ. & Resou.*,1(4):515-517.

15th **** of Excellence ****