International Journal of Agricultural Sciences Volume 17 | Issue 1 | January, 2021 | 42-47

■ ISSN: 0973-130X

RESEARCH PAPER

Effect of different sources of zinc on the activities of plant and soil enzymes

B. Aparna*, R. Gladis, Gowripriya and U. A. Aswathy

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture (KAU), Vellayani (Kerala) India (Email: aparna.b@kau.in)

Abstract : The present investigation was conducted to study the effect of zinc fertilization on major biomeric characteristics, yield and post-harvest soil nutrient status. The experiment was carried out at college of Agriculture, Vellayani during 2017 - 2019. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with eight treatments and three replications. The treatments were Absolute control (T₁), N, P, K as per POP-75:45:25 kg ha⁻¹ (T₂), N, P, K+ Soil application of Zn as ZnSO₄- 10 kg ha⁻¹ (T₃), N, P, K+ Foliar application of Zn as 0.5 per cent ZnSO₄ (T₄), N, P, K+ Zn as Zn EDTA-18 kg ha⁻¹ (T₅), N, P, K+ Zn solubilizer -5 per cent (T₆), N, P, K+ Zn Humate- 44 kg ha⁻¹ (T₇), N, P, K+ K solubilizer 5 per cent (T₈). From the study, it was observed that the treatment T₇ with the application of N, P, K+ Zn Humate (44 kg ha⁻¹) recorded the highest for enzymes such as carbonic anhydrase, peroxidase and catalase *viz.*, 910 EU g⁻¹, 48.17 activity min⁻¹ g⁻¹ and 27.06x10³ units ml⁻¹, respectively. An incubation study was carried out to assess the trend os activity of the enzymes and the results revealed peroxidase and carbonic anhydrase showed an increasing trend in activitfy while peroxidase registered a decreasing trend. Treatment T₄ with the application of Soil + Zn as 1.5 ppm ZnSO₄ registered the highest value for peroxidase (11.98 activity min⁻¹ g⁻¹) and carbonic anhydrase activity (385 EU g⁻¹) in the incubation study.

Key Words : Peroxidase, Carbonic anhydrase, Catalase, Zinc solubilizer

View Point Article : Aparna, B., Gladis, R., Gowripriya and Aswathy, U.A. (2021). Effect of different sources of zinc on the activities of plant and soil enzymes. *Internat. J. agric. Sci.*, **17** (1): 42-47, **DOI:10.15740/HAS/IJAS/17.1/42-47**. Copyright@2021: Hind Agri-Horticultural Society.

Article History : Received : 17.08.2020; Revised : 11.11.2020; Accepted : 14.12.2020

INTRODUCTION

Zn plays an important role in regulating the nitrogen metabolism, cell multiplication, photosynthesis and auxin synthesis in plants and helps in the consumption of phosphorous and nitrogen during seed formation. Zn is essential for protecting the cells from oxidation damage and maintaining regeneration (Cakmak, 2000). Zn plays an important role in enzyme activation or catalysis, carbohydrate metabolism, chlorophyll production, energy dissipation, cytochrome and nucleotide synthesis. Sharma *et al.* (1995) explained the involvement of Zn in stomatal opening, as a component of carbonic anhydrase maintaining the bicarbonate in the guard cell and also in the K⁺ uptake in to the guard cells.

Zn is required for functioning of more than 300 enzymes. It functions as a cofactor for 6 classes of

^{*} Author for correspondence :

enzymes like transferases, lyases, oxidoreductases, isomerase, hydrolases and ligases (Broadley *et al.*, 2007). Zn is a structural part of enzymes such as alcohol dehydrogenase, superoxide dismutase, carbonic anhydrase and RNA polymerase. Zinc is the main structural part of many enzymes and is required for the plant enzymes formation; furthermore, many enzymatic reactions activated by zinc (Akay, 2011).

Extensive research has been done on the role of Zn fertilizers to correct Zn deficiencies in crops. The status of total zinc content in soils of Kerala ranged from 25-55 mg kg⁻¹. The Zn deficiency in Kerala was due to excessive quantity of phosphatic fertilizers applied and excessive levels of Fe, Mn and Al due to ion competition (Kumar et al., 2013). These fertilizers vary considerably in Zn content, chemical reactivity, cost and effectiveness for crops. Methods of Zn application varies, depending on the crop type, farming system and tools available. Therefore, it is important to know about Zn sources, their methods of production and application and their performance in soil, along with chemical evaluation and regulations affecting Zn fertilizers before applying them in the soil. Hence, the present study is carried out to assess the effect of different sources of zinc on the activity of plant enzymes in a zinc deficient soil.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

An experiment titled "Effect of zinc fertilization on major plant and soil enzymes in southern laterites" has been carried out in the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Vellayani during 2018- 2019. The study was envisioned to assess the effect of various sources and methods of application of zinc on the activities of major plant enzymes and soil enzymes, in laterite soils using tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) as a test crop.A soil test database has been developed and maintained by the Department of

Table A : Ti	reatment details
T ₁	Absolute control
T ₂	N, P, K as per POP (75:45:25 kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₃	N, P, K+ Soil application of Zn as $ZnSO_4$ (10 kg ha ⁻¹)
T_4	N, P, K+ Foliar application of Zn as 0.5% ZnSO ₄
T ₅	N, P, K+ Zn as Zn EDTA (18 kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₆	N, P, K+ Zn solubilizer (5 %)
T ₇	N, P, K+ Zn Humate (44 kg ha ⁻¹)
Τ ₈	N, P, K+ K solubilizer (5%)

Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry. From the database, laterite soil in Neyyatinkkara series with Zn deficiency was located. Then soil samples were collected and subjected to analysis of major and micro nutrients to confirm the deficiency of Zn. The soil of the experimental site was loamy, kaolinitic, isohyperthermic, typic haplustalf and belongs to vellayani series. Treatment combinations of the field experiment is depicted in Table A.

A laboratory incubation study was conducted for a period of 3 months from 14-12-2018 to 8-03-2019. The main objective of the study was to assess the relationship between various doses of zinc and enzymes, at periodic intervals *viz.*, 0th, 14th, 28th, 42nd, 56th, 70th and 84th day of incubation *viz.*, T₁: Soil aloneT₂: Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (0.5 ppm) T₃: Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (1.0 ppm) T₄: Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (2.0 ppm).

Carbonic anhydrase:

About 400 mg of leaf material was ground with 10 ml of ice cold 10 mM tris-Hcl buffer at a pH of 8.2 containing 5 mM 2-mercapto-ethanol. The mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant thus received was used for enzyme analysis. CA activity was assayed during fractionation by calorimetric method (Wilbur and Anderson, 1948). It was then expressed as enzyme unit per gram of fresh leaf weight (EU g⁻¹).

PEP carboxylase (PEPC):

The activity of PEPC was assayed by coupling with NAD malic dehydrogenase and monitoring NADH oxidation at 340 nm in a Shimadzu UV-Vis Spectrophotometer. The assay was performed at 30°C, the assay mixture (1 ml) contained 50 Mm TRIS- HCl, pH 7.3, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM NADH, 2 mM MAD, 2.5 mM PEP, 10 mM NaHCO₃ and leaf extract. The extraction was done by taking 1 g of fresh leaves and washing it with deionised water. The leaf sample was ground into a fine paste in a chilled mortar and pestle by adding the extraction buffer was later filtered and centrifuged at 30000 x g for 30 min at 4°C. It was then expressed in imol mg⁻¹ Chl h⁻¹ (Parvathi *et al.*, 2000).

Carboxy dismutase :

Five gram leaf sample was ground in 20 ml ice cold extraction medium (10 mM of MgCl₂, DTT, MnCl₂ and 1.3 g sodium salt of HEPES in 75 ml distilled water) and centrifuged at 20,000 g for 10 minutes. A reaction mixture

was prepared using 0.20 ml enzyme extract with 0.10 ml HEPES buffer, 0.05 ml RuBP, 0.05 ml MgCl₂ and 0.05 mL DTT and it was illuminated for 2 min. After illumination the reaction was initiated using 1 micro mole of NaHCO₃ and was incubated for 10 minutes after using 0.1 ml of 10 per cent acetic acid. Then it was filtered and counted. Along with this a blank was also prepared using 0.05 ml buffer instead of RUBP (Kung *et al.*, 1980).

Catalase:

One gram plant tissue was grounded in chilled pestle and mortar using 0.0067 M phosphate buffer and the contents were centrifuged at 18000 g at 4°C for 15 min. The supernatant was used as enzyme source within 2-4 hours. 0.01ml of enzyme sample was mixed with 3 ml H_2O_2 -PO₄ buffer and read against a control cuvette containing H_2O_2 - free PO₄ buffer at 240 nm and the time was noted for a decrease in absorbance from 0.45 to 0.40. It was expressed in Units ml⁻¹ extract (Luck, 1974).

Peroxidase:

One gram of leaf sample was homogenised in 5 ml of 0.01M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) and it is centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 15 min for 4°C. 50 μ l of enzyme extract was taken and 1ml 0.05M pyrogallol was added and to initiate the reaction, one ml of H₂O₂ was added and the concentration was measured at 420 nm and was expressed in activity min⁻¹ g⁻¹ of sample (Srivatsava and Kumar, 1989).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the study it is observed that the treatments had significantly influenced the plant enzymes carbonic anhydrase, PEP carboxylase and Carboxy dismutase. In general, an increase in activity of these enzymes were noted in treatments applied with Zn sources. The highest value for carbonic anhydrase was noticed in the treatment T_{z} (910.00 EU g⁻¹) with the application of N, P, K+ Zn Humate (44 kg ha⁻¹) which was found to be stastically superior over all other treatments (Table 2). Zn is an essential constituent of carbonic anhydrase, the main function of these enzyme is to catalyses the reversible hydration of carbon dioxide to bicarbonate and hydrogen ions (Graham and Reed, 1971). This might have increased the photosynthetic CO₂ fixation along with this, Zn also have an important role in chlorophyll and carotenoid metabolism (Kumar et al., 1976). Thus, an increase in carbonic anhydrase along with photosynthetic pigments might have triggered an increase in activity of PEP carboxylase and carboxy dismutase thereby exhibiting a positive correlation between Zn and plant enzymes (Table 1). Similar results were also reported by Shotri et al. (1983). I the case of PEP carboxylase and carboxy dismutase the highest values of 131.33 µmol mg⁻¹ 138 Units g⁻¹ were recorded by the treatment T_{4} with the application of N, P, K+ Foliar application of Zn as 0.5 per cent $ZnSO_4$, respectively.

From the study, it was observed that the treatments had imposed a significant effect on oxido- reductases such as dehydrogenase, peroxidase and catalase. These enzymes showed an increase in activity during the peak stage of fruiting. It was noticed that the treatment T_5 -N, P, K+ Zn as Zn EDTA (18 kg ha⁻¹) recorded the highest value of dehydrogenase. This might be due to the role of Zn in enhancing the activity of dehydrogenases like alcohol dehydrogenase, glutamic dehydrogenase, Dglyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase and malic dehydrogenase. The increased rate of Zn assimilation, N metabolism, photosynthesis, respiration and

Table 1 : Effect of treatments on plant enzymes Treatments	Carbonic an hydrase (EU g ⁻¹).	PEP carboxylase (μmol mg ⁻¹)	Carboxy dismutase (Units g ⁻¹)	
T ₁ - Absolute control	362.50	52.00	100.00	
T ₂ -N, P, K as per POP (75:45:25 kg ha ⁻¹)	268.67	68.67	103.50	
T ₃ - N, P, K+ Soil application of Zn as $ZnSO_4$ (10 kg ha ⁻¹)	401.67	119.67		
T ₄ -N, P, K+ Foliar application of Zn as 0.5% ZnSO ₄	665.67	131.33	227.00	
T ₅ - N, P, K+Zn as Zn EDTA (18 kg ha ⁻¹)	710.50	102.67	200.50	
T ₆ - N, P, K+Zn solubilizer (5 %)	575.83	73.00	1 10.50	
T ₇ - N, P, K+Zn Humate (44 kg ha ⁻¹)	910.00	91.67	129.00	
T ₈ - N, P, K+K solubilizer (5%)	404.67	78.00	107.00	
C.D. (P=0.05)	21.83	8.94	16.13	

carbohydrate metabolism might have also triggered an increase in dehydrogenase activity. Similar observations were recorded by Moore and Patrick (1988).

From the study, it was observed that the treatment T_7 - N, P, K+ Zn Humate (44 kg ha⁻¹) registered the highest value for peroxidase and catalase. Peroxidase and catalase constitute the H_2O_2 scavenging system in cells. The high peroxidase and catalase activity might be due to the exposure to Zn. This is in accordance with the findings of Jain *et al.* (2010). The excess of Zn might have triggered an intensive oxidative stress along with this, humic acid activated several biochemical processes which resulted in increased enzymatic activity. These metabolic changes led to an increase in the concentration of catalase and peroxidases. This findings corroborated with the results of Bertrand and Poirier (2005).

Incubation study:

In general there was an increasing trend with respect to carbonic anhydrase activity with the advancement of the incubation period. There was significant variation in carbonic anhydrase activity by the treatments during the 56^{th} , 70^{th} and 84^{th} day of incubation.

From Table 3. it is noticed that the treatment, T₄ with the application of Soil + Zn as $ZnSO_4$ (1.5 ppm) and T_5 with the application of Soil+Zn as $ZnSO_4$ (2 ppm) recorded the highest mean value of 165 EU g⁻¹ on the 0^{th} day of incubation. On the 28^{th} day treatment T₃: Soil + Zn as $ZnSO_4$ (1 ppm) registered the highest value of 220 EU g⁻¹. Treatment T₂ with the application of Soil + Zn as $ZnSO_4$ (0.5 ppm) and T_4 with the application of Soil+ Zn as $ZnSO_4$ (1.5 ppm) registered the highest value of 275 and 385 during the 70th and 84th day of incubation, respectively. Thus, in the case of carbonic anhydrase, a significant influence in activity was observed two month after the application of Zn. There is a general increase in Carbonic anhydrase activity which might be due to the limited production of oxidizing agents. It is also observed that treatment T_4 : Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (1.5ppm) registered the highest value for carbonic anhydrase activity. This might be attributed by the production of limited oxidising agents and slightly acidic pH.

The treatment T_3 with the application of Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (1 ppm) registered the highest mean value of 13.53 activity min⁻¹ g⁻¹ on 0th day of incubation. On the 14th day there was an increase in peroxidase activity in

Table 2 : Effect of treatments on enzymes (Oxidoreductases	5)		
Treatments	Dehydrogenase (µg TPF g-1 24h ⁻¹)	Peroxidase (min ⁻¹ g ⁻¹)	Catalase (Units ml ⁻¹ extract.)
T ₁ - Absolute control	331.67	11.70	15134.8
T_2 -N, P, K as per POP (75:45:25 kg ha ⁻¹)	247.99	22.17	13582.2
T ₃ - N, P, K+ Soil application of Zn as ZnSO ₄ (10 kg ha^{-1})	365.26	34.60	13564.7
T4-N, P, K+ Foliar application of Zn as 0.5% ZnSO4	219.45	33.30	15134.4
$T_{5^{\text{-}}}$ N, P, K+ Zn as Zn EDTA (18 kg ha $^{\text{-}1})$	455.03	41.57	16972.4
T ₆ - N, P, K+ Zn solubilizer (5 %)	238.90	23.57	10461.8
T_{7-} N, P, K+ Zn Humate (44 kg ha ⁻¹)	338.33	48.17	27058.4
T ₈ - N, P, K+ K solubilizer (5%)	160.78	22.73	12334.4
C.D. (P=0.05)	22.693	0.599	300.488

Table 3 : Effect of treatments on carbonic anhydrase (incubation study) Treatments Carbonic anhydrase (EU g ⁻¹)							
Incubation period	0 th day	14 th day	28 th day	42 nd day	56 th day	70 th day	84 th day
T ₁ : Soil alone	110	137.5	165	166.25	165	110	165
T ₂ : Soil + Zn as ZnSO ₄ (0.5 ppm)	110	165	165	165	165	275	385
T ₃ : Soil + Zn as ZnSO ₄ (1 ppm	110	165	220	220	220	247.5	330
T4: Soil + Zn as ZnSO4 (1.5 ppm)	165	165	165	220	330	275	385
T ₅ : Soil + Zn as ZnSO ₄ (2 ppm	165	165	165	165	167.5	220	357.5
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	112.509	70.998	102.621

NS= Non-significant

all the treatments except T_3 with the application of Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (1 ppm). Treatment T_4 with the application of Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (1.5 ppm) recorded the highest mean value of 11.98 activity min⁻¹ g⁻¹ and was found to be on par with T_2 : Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (0.5 ppm), T_3 : Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (1 ppm) and T_5 : Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (2 ppm). Treatment T_4 with the application of Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (1.5 ppm) recorded the highest mean value of 11.20, 7.97, 7.65 and 5.83 activity min⁻¹ g⁻¹ on the 42nd, 56th, 70th and 84th day of incubation, respectively. Treatment T_4 : Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (1.5 ppm) reported the highest value for peroxidase activity. This might be due to the slightly acidic pH provided by 1.5 ppm ZnSO₄, as it becomes completely inactive at pH 2.5 and \geq 8.5. Similar results were reported by Mizobutsil *et al.* (2010).

From the study it was observed that dehydrogenase activity was significantly influenced by Zn. On 0th day of incubation the highest mean value of 151.01 µg TPF g^{-1} 24 h⁻¹ was registered by T₅ with the application of Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (2 ppm) and the lowest mean value of 120.87 µg TPF g^{-1} 24 h⁻¹ registered by T₄ with the application of Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (1.5 ppm). The lowest mean value for all the treatments except T₁ (Soil alone) was noticed in the 14th day of incubation. The peak value of 248.18 µg TPF g^{-1} 24 h⁻¹ was registered on the 84th day of incubation with T₅: Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (2 ppm). On the 28th, 42nd, 56th, 70th and 84th day of incubation treatment T₅ with the application of Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (2 ppm) registered the highest values of 147.89, 183.45, 177.21, 190.07 and the peak value of 248.18 μ g TPF g⁻¹ 24 h⁻¹, respectively. A general increase in the activity was observed. This might be due to the addition of FYM. FYM acted as a substrate which provided carbon and energy to the soil microbes resulting in higher dehydrogenase activity. This results corroborated with the findings of Walls- Thumma (2000).The highest mean value for dehydrogenase activity was given by T₅-Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (2 ppm). The addition of Zn sources might have resulted in the multiplication of microbial population and thereby an increase in dehydrogenase activity.

Conclusion:

From the study it was observed that Treatment T_5 -N, P, K+ Zn as Zn EDTA (18 kg ha⁻¹) registered the highest value for dehydrogenase while T_7 -N, P, K+ Zn Humate (44 kg ha⁻¹) recorded the highest value for peroxidase, catalase, carbonic anhydrase.With respect to the incubation study, the treatment T_4 : Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (1.5 ppm) was found to be superior over all treatments for Peroxidase and Carbonic anhydrase whereas T_5 : Soil + Zn as ZnSO₄ (2 ppm) was the best treatment for dehydrogenase. Thus, from the study it is inferred that Zn Humate can serve as an efficient nutrient source of nutrients which increases the nutrient uptake and increase the effectiveness of applied nutrients as well as nutrients in the labile pool.

Treatments	Peroxidase activity(min ⁻¹ g ⁻¹)						
Incubation period	0 th day	14 th day	28 th day	42 nd day	56 th day	70 th day	84 th day
T ₁ : Soil alone	5.35	6.03	7.77	5.98	5.69	5.46	4.85
T ₂ : Soil + Zn as ZnSO ₄ (0.5 ppm)	10.75	11.78	11.33	8.60	7.94	7.48	5.58
T ₃ : Soil + Zn as ZnSO ₄ (1 ppm	13.53	11.43	9.43	9.03	5.98	6.03	5.44
T4: Soil + Zn as ZnSO4 (1.5 ppm)	8.28	11.98	7.79	11.20	7.97	7.65	5.83
T ₅ : Soil + Zn as ZnSO ₄ (2 ppm)	9.45	11.13	7.95	6.02	7.77	6.50	5.35
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.071	1.194	1.262	1.348	1.262	0.891	0.371

Table 5 : Effect of treatments on dehydrogenase (incubation study)								
Treatments	Dehydrogenase activity (µg TPF g ⁻¹ 24 h ⁻¹)							
Incubation period	0 th day	14 th day	28 th day	42 nd day	56 th day	70 th day	84 th day	
T ₁ : Soil alone	127.16	130.86	130.90	151.01	147.89	128.70	112.28	
T ₂ : Soil + Zn as ZnSO ₄ (0.5 ppm)	1 30.90	109.07	115.45	173.61	134.36	171.64	173.42	
T ₃ : Soil + Zn as ZnSO ₄ (1 ppm)	130.86	89.35	128.70	141.03	136.28	166.60	176.44	
T ₄ : Soil + Zn as ZnSO ₄ (1.5 ppm)	120.87	83.40	127.16	176.97	153.55	167.52	192.61	
T ₅ : Soil + Zn as ZnSO ₄ (2 ppm)	151.01	112.28	147.89	183.45	177.21	190.07	248.18	
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.700	1.535	1.515	1.226	1.551	1.266	1.183	

Internat. J. agric. Sci. | Jan., 2021 | Vol. 17 | Issue 1 | 42-47 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

REFERENCES

Akay, A. (2011). Effect of zinc fertilizer applications on yield and element contents of some registered chickpeas varieties. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, **10**: 13090-13096.

Bertrand, M. and Poirier, I. (2005). Photosynthetic organisms and excess of metals. *Photosynth.*, **43**: 345–353.

Broadley, M. R., White, P. J., Hammond, J. P., Zelko, I. and Lux, A. (2007). Zinc in plants. *New Phytol.*, 173: 677-702.

Cakmak, I. (2000). Role of zinc in protecting plant cells from reactive oxygen species. *New Phytol.*, **146**:185–205.

Graham, D. and Reed, M. L. (1971). Carbonic anhydrase and the regulation of photosynthesis and dry mass of mustard. *Nat. New Biol.*, 231: 81–83.

Jain, R., Srivastava, S., Solomon, S., Shrivastava, A.K. and Chandra, A. (2010). Impact of excess zinc on growth parameters, cell division, nutrient accumulation, photosynthetic pigments and oxidative stress of sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.) *Acta Physiol. Plant.*, **32**: 979–986.

Kiese, M. and Hastings, A.B. (1940). Factors affecting the activity of carbonic anhydrase. *J.Biol. Chem.*, 132: 281-292.

Kumar, R., Gangwar, M. S. and Rathore, V. S. (1976). Effect of DMSO on Zn availability (L-value) growth and metabolic activities of the rice plants. *Plant & Soil*, **45**: 235-246.

Kumar, S. P., Bindu, P. S., Geetha, P. and Santhosh, C. (2013). Chemistry of soil and plant nutrient dynamics. In: Rajasekharan, P., Nair, K. M., Rajasree, G., and Kutty, M. C. N. (eds), *Soil fertility assessment and information management for enhancing crop production in Kerala state planning Board, Government of Kerala*, Trivandrum, pp. 93-106.

Kung, S. D., Chollet, R. and Marsho, T. V. (1980).

Crystallization and assay procedures of tobacco ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase. *Methods Enzymol.*, **69**: 326-335.

Luck, H. (1974). *Methods of enzymology*. Academic Press, New York, 885pp.

Mizobutsil, G. P., Finger, F. L., Ribeiro, R. A., Puschman, R., Neves, L. L. and Ferreira da Mota, W. (2010). Effect of pH and temperature on peroxidase and polyphenoloxidase activities of litchi pericarp. *Sci. Agric.*, 67: 213-217.

Moore, P.A. and Patrick, Jr. W. H. (1988). Effect of zinc deficiency on alcohol dehydrogenase activity and nutrient uptake in rice. *Agron. J.*, 80: 882–885.

Parvathi, K., Bhagwat, A.S., Ueno, Y., Izui, K. and Raghavendra, A.S. (2000). Illumination increases the affinity of phosphoenol pyruvate carboxylase to bicarbonate in leaves of C4 plant, *Amaranthus hypochondriacus*. *Plant Cell Physiol.*, **41** (8): 905-910.

Sharma, Parma N., Tripathi, Alka and Bisht, Sher Singh (1995). Zinc requirement for stomatal opening in cauliflower. *Plant Physiol.*, 107: 751-756.

Shotri, C.K., Mohanty, P., Rathore, V. C. and Tewari, M. N. (1983). Zinc deficiency limits the photosynthetic enzyme activation in *Zea mays* L. *Biochem. Physiol.*, 178: 213-217.

Srivatsava, R. P. and Kumar, S. (1989). *Fruit and vegetable preservation- principles and practices.* International Book Distributing Co, Lucknow, 444p.

Walls- Thumma, D. (2000). Dehydrogenase activity in soil bacteria. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, **4** : 33-41.

Wilbur, K. M. and Anderson, N. G. (1948). Electrometric and colorimetric determination of carbonic anhydrase. *J. Biol. Chemi.*, **176** (1): 147-154.

17th **** of Excellence ****