ADVNACE RESEARCH JOURNAL OF CROP IMPROVEMENT
Volume 1 Issue 2 (December, 2010) Page : 130-133

Received : July, 2010; *Accepted :* September, 2010

Research Paper

Nutrient requirement of Bt cotton by conjoint use of organic and inorganic fertilizers based on targeted yield approach on virtisols

S.V. DESHMUKH, U.S. KUDTARKAR AND J.R. KADAM

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to :

U.S. KUDTARKAR
Regional Sugarcane and Jaggery Research Station, KOLHAPUR (M.S.) INDIA

ABSTRACT

Nutrient requirement of Bt cotton by conjoint use of organic and inorganic fertilizers based on targeted yield approach on vertisols was conducted with Bt cotton (NCS-207, Mallica) at Rahuri during 2007-08. Fertilizer adjustment equations under (STCRC) system were formulated for Bt cotton following Ramamoorthy's inductive-cum-targeted yield model. The nutrient requirement for production of one quintal of Bt cotton was found to be 5.84, 2.02 and 3.51 kg of N, P_2O_5 and K_2O, respectively. The per cent contribution soil and fertilizer nutrients were found to be 45.87 and 37.77 for N, 83.63 and 31.90 for P_2O_5 and 17.68 and 27.99 for K_2O, respectively. Likewise, the per cent contribution from farmyard manure (FYM) was 40.17, 37.38 and 31.47 kg ha^{-1} of N, P_2O_5 and K_2O, respectively. The quantity of FYM that could be adjusted to the levels of farmyard manure was evaluated to be 0, 10 and 20 t ha^{-1}, respectively for fertilizer with FYM.

Deshmukh, S.V., Kudtarkar, U.S. and Kadam, J.R. (2010). Nutrient requirement of Bt cotton by conjoint use of organic and inorganic fertilizers based on targeted yield approach on virtisols, *Adv. Res. J. Crop Improv.,* **1** (2) : 130-133.

Key words : Bt-cotton, Fertilizer adjustment equations, STCRC, Vertisols

INTRODUCTION

The present levels of fertilizer production in India are not enough to meet the total plant nutrient requirement in order to feed growing population of the country. The continuous unjudicious use of chemical fertilizers adversely affects the sustainability of agriculture production and causing environmental pollution. Because of imbalanced and inadequate fertilizer use coupled with low efficiency of other inputs response ratio to added nutrients has declined under intensive agriculture.

Bt cotton is one of the important commercial cash crops grown in India and has the largest average (95.30 lakh hectares) under cotton at global level and has the productivity of 553 kg lint ha^{-1} and ranks second in production (310 lakh bales) after China during 2007-08. The productivity is still below the world average (642 kg ha^{-1}). The Maharashtra state is having the largest cotton growing area of 31.91 lakh ha and production of 60 lakh bales with productivity of 320 kg lint ha^{-1} (Anonymous, 2009).

The fertilizer application practices indicated the possibility of enhancing production potentials of Bt cotton. It will be always better than the soil fertility and crop requirement should be based on fertilizing the crops. Such studies are possible only through inductive-cum-targeted yield approach (Ramamoorthy *et al.* 1967) which provides a scientific basis for balanced fertilization not only among the fertilizer nutrients but also with soil available nutrients (Subba Rao and Srivastava, 1999). The Bt cotton is widely cultivated in Maharashtra and so far STCRC studies have not been conducted. Hence, the present study was undertaken to develop a balanced fertilizer by conjoint use of organic and inorganic fertilizers based on targeted yield approach on vertisols.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment based on inductive-methodology was conducted in vertisols of Rahuri during *Kharif* 2007-08 with Bt cotton (var. Mallica NCS-207). The soil of the experimental field was clayey in texture with pH 8.1 and EC 0.30 dSm^{-1}. The initial $KMnO_4$-N – Olsen – P and NH_4OAC – K status were 191.6, 17.69 and 449.8 kg ha^{-1}, respectively. Following the inductive methodology of Ramamoorthy *et al.* (1967), three fertility gradients were

created by dividing the experimental field into three equal strips which were fertilized with $N_0P_0K_0$, $N_1P_1K_1$ and $N_2P_2K_2$ levels. The recommended fertilizers ($N_1P_1K_1$) were 200, 150 and 150 kg ha^{-1} of N, P_2O_5 and K_2O, respectively. An exhaust crop of fodder maize was grown so that the fertilizers could undergo transformations in the soil with plant and microbial agencies.

By growing the exhaust crop, the operational range of soil fertility was created in the fertility strips which was evaluated in forms of variations in fodder yield uptake and soil test values. After the harvest of the exhaust crop, each fertility strip was divided into 24 plots, out of which there were 21 treatments with three levels of N (100, 200 and 300 kg ha^{-1}), three levels of P_2O_5 (75, 125 and 150 kg ha^{-1}), three levels of K_2O (100, 150 and 200 kg ha^{-1}) and three levels of FYM (0, 10 and 20 t ha^{-1}) and 3 controls were superimposed to different plots in each strip in such a way that these occurred in three consecutive sub-blocks whether taken in north to south or east to west direction thus making a total of 72 plots over the three strips in both the directions. The fertilizer components *viz.,* NPK alone, NPK plus FYM were applied across each strip. Pre-sowing soil samples were collected from each plot before the superimposition of the treatments and were analysed for alkaline $KMnO_4$-N (Subbiah and Asija, 1956), Olsen-P (Olsen *et al.,* 1954) and neutral normal NH_4OAC-K (Hanway and Heidal, 1952). The test crop Bt cotton (var. Mallica, NCS-207) was sown during July, 2007 and grown to maturity and harvested during December 2007. The seed and stalk yields were recorded plotwise. The plant samples from each plot were analysed for total N, P and K content (Piper, 1966) and total uptake was computed using Bt cotton seed and stalk yield data.

Using the data on seed cotton yield, nutrient uptake, pre sowing soil available nutrients and fertilizer dose applied, the basic parameters *viz*., nutrient requirement (kg q^{-1}), contribution of nutrients from soil (CS) and contribution of fertilizer (CF) were calculated as described by Ramamoorthy *et al*. (1967) and Reddy *et al*. (1994). The per cent contribution of nutrients from the applied FYM was estimated as described by Santhi *et al*. (1999). These parameters were used for the formulation of fertilizer adjustment equations for deriving fertilizer loses and the soil test based fertilizer recommendations were prescribed in the form of ready reckoner for described yield target of Bt cotton under NPK alone as well as NPK with FYM.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained from the present investigation have been discussed in the following sub heads :

Soil available nutrients and seed cotton yield:

The range and mean values of seed cotton yield uptake and soil available nutrients of treated and control plots are furnished in Table 1. The $KMno_4$ -N ranged from 170 to 224.11 kg ha^{-1} with a mean of 197 kg ha^{-1}, Olsen -P ranged from 17.68 to 28.22 kg ha^{-1} with a mean of 19.95 kg ha^{-1} and NH_4OAC -K ranged from 388 to 583 kg ha^{-1} with a mean 485.5 kg ha^{-1}. The seed cotton yield in treated plots ranged from 22.00 to 34.93 q ha^{-1} and in control plots ranged from 20.54 to 27.00 q ha^{-1}. The above data clearly indicate that a wide variability existed in the soil test values and seed cotton yield of treated and control plots, which is a pre requisite for calculating the basic parameters and fertilizer adjustment equations for calibrating the fertilizer doses for specific yield targets.

Table 1 : Range and mean values of available nutrients in the pre sowing surface soil of Bt cotton

Parameter	Range	Mean
Soil test value (kg ha^{-1})		
N	170-224.11	197
P	17.68-28.22	19.95
K	388-583	485.5
Seed cotton yield (q ha^{-1})		
Treated plots	22.00-34.93	28.46
Control plots	20.54-27.00	23.77

Basic parameters:

The basic data *viz*., the nutrient requirement for producing one quintal of Bt cotton yield (kg q^{-1}), the per cent contribution from soil (CS), fertilizer (CF), FYM (CFYM) have been calculated and furnished in Table 2. These basic parameters were used for formulating the fertilizer adjustment equations under NPK alone and NPK with FYM.

The nutrient requirements of N, P_2O_5 and K_2O were 5.84, 2.02 and 3.51 kg q^{-1} of Bt cotton, respectively. The per cent contributions from soil and fertilizer nutrients were found to be 45.87 and 37.77 for nitrogen, 83.63 and 31.90 for phosphorus (P_2O_5) and 17.68 and 27.99 for potassium (K_2O). Similarly the per cent contribution of N, P_2O_5 and K_2O from fertilizer with FYM was 40.17, 37.38 and 31.47, respectively and per cent contribution from FYM was 11.74, 14.54 and 14.57, respectively.

Fertilizer prescription equations for desired yield targets of Bt cotton:

Soil test based fertilizer models or equations for

Table 2 : Nutrient requirement, per cent contribution from soil, fertilizer and FYM

Parameter	N	P	K
Nutrient requirement (kg q^{-1} of Bt cotton)	5.84	2.02	3.51
Contribution from soil available nutrients (%)	45.87	83.63	17.68
Without FYM			
Contribution from fertilizer nutrients (%)	37.77	31.90	27.99
With FYM			
Contribution from fertilizer nutrients (%)	40.17	37.38	31.47
Contribution from FYM (%)	11.74	14.54	14.57

Table 3 : Soil test based fertilizer models/equations under conjoint use of organic and inorganic fertilizers

Fertilization programme	Fertilizer adjustment equation	
Without FYM	FN	= 15.46 T – 1.21 SN
	FP_2O_5	= 6.33 T – 2.62 SP
	FK_2O	= 12.54 T – 0.63 SK
With FYM	FN	= 14.53 T – 1.14 SN – 1.97 FYM
	FP_2O_5	= 5.40 T – 2.23 SP – 1.33 FYM
	FK_2O	= 11.15 T – 0.56 SK – 2.53 FYM

Note : FN, FP_2O_5 and FK_2O - fertilizer N, P_2O_5 and K_2O in kg ha^{-1}, T - yield target q ha^{-1} and SN, SP and SK - soil available N, P and K kg ha^{-1} and FYM - farm yard manure in t ha^{-1}.

targeted yield of Bt cotton were formulated using the basic parameters and are furnished in Table 3. On the basis of these equations a ready reckoner was prepared for a range of soil test values and for a different yield target (Table 4) under different fertilization programmes. It is evident from the data that the fertilizer N, P_2O_5 and K_2O requirements decreased with increase in soil test values.

By using these equations on chemical fertilizers, the fertilizer doses were higher for 45 q ha^{-1} target of Bt cotton. For the same yield target of 45 q ha^{-1} and soil test values

Table 4 : Estimation of soil test based fertilizer recommendations for different yield target of Bt cotton (kg ha^{-1})

Soil test values	Without FYM				With FYM			
	30	35	40	45	30	35	40	45
Nitrogen								
140	294.4	371.7	449.0	526.3	256.6	329.25	401.9	474.55
150	282.3	359.6	436.9	516.2	245.2	317.85	390.5	463.15
160	270.2	347.5	424.8	504.1	233.8	306.05	379.1	451.75
170	258.1	335.4	412.7	492.0	222.4	295.05	367.7	440.35
180	246.0	323.3	400.6	479.9	211.0	283.65	356.3	428.95
190	233.9	311.2	388.5	467.8	199.6	272.25	344.7	417.55
200	221.8	299.1	376.4	455.7	188.2	260.85	333.5	406.15
210	209.7	287.0	364.3	443.6	176.8	249.45	322.1	394.75
Phosphorus								
12	158.46	190.11	221.96	253.41	121.94	160.91	175.94	202.94
13	155.84	187.49	219.14	250.79	119.71	146.71	173.71	200.71
14	153.22	184.87	216.52	248.17	117.48	144.48	171.48	198.48
15	150.60	182.25	213.90	245.55	115.23	142.25	169.25	196.25
16	147.98	179.63	211.28	242.93	113.02	140.02	167.02	194.02
17	145.36	177.01	208.66	240.31	110.79	137.79	164.79	191.79
18	142.74	174.39	206.04	237.69	108.56	135.56	162.56	189.56
19	140.12	171.77	203.42	235.07	106.33	133.33	160.33	187.33
Potassium								
200	247.5	309.75	372.0	434.25	197.2	252.95	308.7	364.45
250	216.0	278.25	340.5	402.75	169.2	224.95	280.7	336.45
300	184.5	246.75	309.0	371.25	141.2	196.95	252.7	308.45
350	153.0	215.25	277.5	339.75	113.2	168.95	224.7	280.45
400	121.5	183.75	246.0	308.25	85.2	140.95	196.7	252.45
450	90.0	152.25	214.5	276.75	57.2	112.95	168.7	224.45
500	58.5	120.75	183.0	245.25	29.2	84.95	140.7	196.45
550	27.0	89.25	151.5	213.75	1.2	56.95	112.7	168.45

(150 kg N ha^{-1}, 16 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1} and 400 kg K_2O ha^{-1}) by using equations of conjoint use of chemical fertilizers and organic manure (10 t FYM ha^{-1}) there was reduction in the N, P and K of fertilizers by 53.05, 48.91 and 55.8 kg ha^{-1}, respectively over the sole use of chemical fertilizers. This indicated a net saving in fertilizers by conjoint use of organic manures and fertilizers.

Conclusion:

The findings of the above study indicate that in conjoint use of organic and inorganic fertilizer technology, the fertilizer doses are tailored to the requirements of specific yield targets of Bt cotton taking into account the contribution from soil, fertilizers, organics and inorganics. Hence, there will be a balanced supply of nutrients coupled with recycling of organic wastes avoiding either under-or over-usage of fertilizer inputs.

Authors' affiliations:
S.V. DESHMUKH AND J.R. KADAM, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, AHMEDNAGAR (M.S.) INDIA

LITERATURE CITED

Hanway, J. and Heidal, H.D. (1952). Soil analysis method used in Iowa State College Soil Testing Laboratory. *Iowa Agric*., **57** : 1-3.

Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.V., Watanabe, F.S. and Dean, L.A. (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with $NaHCO_3$. USDA cir 939 (quoted from *methods of soil analysis* C.A. Black (ed) (1965) Am. Soc. Agron. Inc. Madison Wisconsin USA. 1035 pp.

Piper C.S. (1966). *Soil and plant analysis*, Hans Publication, Bombay, 368 pp.

Ramammoorthy, B., Narsimhan, R.L. and Dinesh, R.S. (1967). Fertilizer application for specific yield targets of Sonora-64. *Indian Fmg.,* **27**(4): 43-44.

Reddy, K.C.K., Velayutham, M. and Maruthi Sankar, G.R. (1994). Extension Bulletin of All India Coordinated Soil Test Crop Response Correlation Project, CRIDA, Hyderabad.

Santhi, R., Selvakumari, G. and Rani Perumal. (1999). *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.,* **47** : 288.

Subbba Rao, A. and Srivastava, S. (1999). *Fert. News*, **44** : 83.

Subbiah, B.V. and Asija, G.L. (1956). A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Curr. Sci.,* **25** : 259-260.

****
******