Production of protein by fungi, *Pleurotus* species from different agricultural wastes

R.M. KADAM, S.S. PATIL AND B.S. JADHAV

Accepted : July, 2008

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:

R.M. KADAM Department of Botany, Mahatma Gandhi Mahavidyalaya, Ahmedpur, LATUR (M.S.) INDIA

ABSTRACT

Five *Pleurotus* species namely. *P. Sajor-caju, P. astreatus, P. eous, P. florida* and *P. flabellatus* were evaluated for their protein content during different pickings when grown on different substrates (Groundnut, paddy, green gram, black gram straw and their combination in 1:1 proportions). The protein contents of *Pleurotus* species were ranged between 38.00 - 18.92% when cultivated on different substrates. Among five *Pleurotus* species, *P.ostreatus* had shown maximum protein content (38.00%) during Ist picking when grown on groundnut straw, followed by *P.eous* (30.90%) during IInd picking on green gram straw.

Key words : Proteins, Pleurotus spp., Different substrates, Agricultural wastes.

The most renewable biomass on earth consist of cellulose and much of cellulose in nature is bound physico - chemically with lignin. As lignin is highly resistant, which protects cellulose from attack by most of microbes. Some higher fungi such as mushrooms can convert ligno - cellulose (Biologically) into fungal protein suitable for human consumption. *Pleurotus* spp. are an efficient lignin degrading mushroom, they can grow well on different types of ligno - cellulosic materials. The cultivation of *Pleurotus* spp. becomes more popular in Indian plains because of availability of large quantities of agro-wastes along with tropical to sub-tropical weather in large part of country and this mushroom has simple and low cost production technology.

Protein is important component of food and decides about the dietary level of human being. Mushroom protein is intermediate in quality between vegetable and animal protein (Kurtzman, 1976). It is rich in all the essential amino acids Hayes and Haddad (1976), Buigut (2002), Stephen et al. (2004), Purkayastha and Nayak (1980), Akindahunsi and Oyetayo (2006), Maw and Flegg (1975), reported the digestibility of mushroom protein ranged between 71-90%. Pleurotus species are valuable for protein requirement for human nutrition (Breene, 1990, Mattila et al., 2000, Dikeman et al., 2005). In general Pleurotus spp. contains 20-37% protein (on dry weight basis) Ortega et al. (1992) reported 23-33%, Hafiz et al. (2003) reported 33.18%, Rathor and Thakore (2004) reported 35%,. Patil et al. (2006) reported 27-29% protein in different *Pleurotus* spp. Protein content of *Pleurotus* spp. differed from species to species and differ as per the substrate used.

Though, the protein content of *Pleurotus* spp. are

known, there is no literature available on effect of different substrates on protein content of *Pleurotus* spp. during different pickings. Therefore this work was undertaken.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The cultures of *Pleurotus sajor - caju, P. ostreatus,* P. eous, P. florida and P. flabellatus were obtained from N.C. I. M., National chemical laboratory, Pune. The substrates, groundnut, paddy, green gram, black gram straw and their combination in 1:1 proportions were used for filling the bags. The substrates were chopped to pieces of 2-3 cm. and soaked in water overnight to moisten them. After soaking, the substrates were steam sterilized at 121°c for 30 min. in an autoclave. The polythene bags of size 40-60 cm were used and filled with sterilized substrate (1kg dry substrate sample in each bag) Multi layered technique was adopted for spawning the substrate. The spawn was added to the bags at the rate of 2% of wet weight basis of substrate. Five replications were maintained for each treatment. After inoculation, the bags were transferred to mushroom house where temperature and humidity were maintained at 20-25°c and 80-90%, respectively.

When spawn run (mycelial growth) was completed, the polythene bags were removed to promote mushroom formation. The bags were moistened and ventilated throughout the harvest period. The beds were maintain up to the harvest of three flushes.

Protein estimation:

Nitrogen content was estimated by micro - kjeldhal method. The protein content was calculated by using the

protein conversion factor 4.38% total N. This estimation of protein was more accurate than the conversion factor 6.25 because of chitin or other N contributor (non protein N) compounds in mushrooms (Garcha *et al.*, 1993, Manzi *et al.*, 1999, Dikeman *et al.*, 2005).

Statistical Analysis :

The recorded data in the present study was subjected to statistical analysis as per the procedure recommended by Panse and Sukhatme (1978).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The protein content of *Pleurotus* spp. differed significantly (ranged between 38.00 - 18.92%) when grown on different substrates (Table.1)

P. Sajar - caju showed significantly maximum protein (28.85%) content during Ist picking when cultivated on black gram straw, it was found minimum (18.92%) during IIIrd picking when grown on paddy straw. Protein content of *P.astreatus* was reported significantly maximum during Ist picking (38.00%) when cultivated on groundnut straw, whereas it was observed minimum (23.80%) during IIIrd picking when cultivated on combination of blackgram + Paddy straw. The protein content of *P.eous* was significantly higher during IInd picking (30.90%) when grown on green gram straw, the lower protein content was reported on paddy straw (21.32%) during IIIrd picking. Maximum protein content was reported on paddy straw (21.32%) during IIIrd picking. Maximum protein content in P.florida was reported (26.80%) during Ist picking when grown on groundnut straw, the minimum protein was obtained during IIIrd picking (21.30%) on paddy straw. In case of P.flabellatus, protein content was found significantly higher (25.62%) during IInd Picking. When cultivated on combination of green gram + paddy straw, whereas lower protein content was reported during IIIrd picking (19.60%) when cultivated on combination of blackgram + paddy straw.

Protein contents of different *Pleurotus* species determined in this research were generally in accordance with the previous studies (Chang *et al.*, 1981, Ortega *et al.*, 1992, Dhanda *et al.*, 1996, Khydagi *et al.*, 1998, Periasamy and Natrajan, 2002, Vidz and Karakaplan, 2003, Hafiz *et al.*, 2003, Patil *et al.*, 2006, Patil and Dakore 2007, Patil *et al.*, 2008.)

From the result it could be concluded that the protein content of *Pleurotus* species differed from species to species and substrate to substrate used for cultivation. *Pleurotus* species observed to be rich in protein and can become important food item.

[Internat. J. Plant Protec., 1 (2) Oct. 2008]

Table 1 : Protein contant of Pleurotus spp.	irotus spp														
Substrates	Р	P. Sajor-caju	n	ł	P. astreatus			P. cous			P.f.orida		P	P.flabellatus	s
	-	Ξ	Π	-	п	Ξ	-	Π	Ш	-	Ξ	III	-	п	III
Groundnut Straw	28.61	28.61 26.90	24.22	38.00	37.80	36.85	28.50	27.10	26.00	26.80	26.80	25.00	23.62	24.12	22.60
Paddy Straw	22.98	20.19	18.92	29.70	29.00	28.88	23.00	22.90	21.32	22.90	22.90	22.80	20.55	20.92	19.92
Green gram straw	27.40	24.82	23.62	35.00	34.65	31.90	30.00	30.90	27.90	23.15	23.15	23.60	23.12	23.60	20.18
Black gram straw	28.85	28.12	27.92	37.00	36.30	34.10	29.80	27.00	24.50	25.90	25.90	24.85	23.65	24.20	21.25
Groundnut + Paddy straw	24.55	22.30	21.12	33.25	31.30	29.00	26.92	24.10	22.72	22.30	22.30	23.00	22.90	23.40	22.15
Green gram - Paddy straw	23.84	21.20	21.00	34.20	34.00	35.98	25.70	25.00	23.68	25.80	25.80	24.12	24.50	25.67	23.96
Black gram + Paddy straw	23.98	23.43	30.36	33.10	31.62	23.80	23.80	23.40	22.72	22.90	22.80	22.30	19.62	21.12	19.60
Green gram + Black gram straw	27.90	25.10	22.81	35.50	35.10	32.75	27.65	26.50	23.32	24.38	24.38	24.10	25.00	25.26	22.90
S.E. ±	0.56	0.38	0.75	06.0	0.68	0.93	0.5	0.39	0.45	0.53	0.53	0.46	1.02	0.51	0.28
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.82	1.17	2.26	2.72	2.03	2.84	1.66	1.20	1.46	1.70	1.70	1.51	3.27	.63	0.88
Where I = First Picking	= 11	II = Second Picking	cking	= []]	III = Third Picking	cing									

•HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE•

Authors' affiliations:

S.S. PATIL AND B.S. JADHAV, Department of Botany, Mahatma Gandhi Mahavidyalaya, Ahmedpur, LATUR (M.S.) INDIA

REFERENCES

Akindahunsi, A.A. and Oyetayo, F.L. (2006). Nutrient and antinutrient distribution of edible mushroom, *P.tuber-regium* (fries) singer. *LWT*, **39**: 548-553.

Breene, W.M. (1990). Nutritional and medicinal value of speciality mushrooms. *J. of Food Protection*, **53**: 883 - 894.

Buigut, S.K. (2002) Mushroom production in sustainable small scale farming system opportunities and constraints. A survey of Uasin Gishu district. In Proceedings of the Horticulture seminar on sustainable, Horticultural production in the Tropics at Jomo Kenyatta Univ. of Agri. and Technology, Juja, Kenya 3rd - 6th Oct., 2001 Eds., Wesong JM, Losenge T, Ndungus cK, fricke, A. Han, B., Stuzel, H. PP - 1-5.

Chang, S.T., Lau, D.W. and Cho, K. Y. (1981). The cultivation and nutritional value of *P. sajor - caju. Eur. J. Appl. Microbiol. Biotech.*, 12: 58-62.

Dhanda, S., Sodhi, H.S. and Phutela, R.P. (1996). Nutrition and Yield evaluation of oyster mushroom, *Pleurotus* species. *Indian J. of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 33: 11, 275 - 279.

Dikeman, C.I., Bauer, L.L., Flincker, E.A. and Fahey, A.G.C. Jr. (2005). Effects of stage of maturity and cooking on the chemical composition of selected mushroom verities. *J. of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*, **53**: 1130-1138.

Hafiz. F., Parveen, S. and Azad, A.K.M. (2003). Study of edible mushroom grown on *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* trunk and under the soil of *Adbizzia procera*. *Pakistan J. of Nutrition*, **2** (5) : 279-282.

Hayes, W.A. and Haddad, S.P. (1976). The nutritive value of mushrooms. *Mushroom. J.*, **30**: 204.

Khydagi, K.S., Sharda, G.S. and Rao, Meera (1998). Proximate composition of oyster mushroom. *Karnataka J. of Agri. Sci.* 11(2): 548 - 549

Kurtzman, R.H. (1976). Nitration of *P. sapidus* effects of lipid. *Mycologia*, **68**: 268 - 295.

Mattila, P., Suanpaa, K. and Piironen, V. (2002) functional properties of edible mushrooms. *Nutrition*, 16 (7/8): 694-696.

Maw., G.G. and Flegg, P.B. (1975). Nutritive value of mushroom. Annual report of the Glass House Crop Research institute, PP. 137-151.

Ortega, G.M., Martinez, E.O., Betancourt, D., Gonzalez, E.A. and Otero, M.A. (1992). Bio - conversion of sugarcane crop residues with white-rot fungi., *Pleurotus* sp. *World J. of Microbiology* and *Biotechnol.*, 8 (4) : 402-405.

Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1978). *Stat. Math.*, Agri. work. ICAR publication, New Delhi.

Purkayastha, R.P. and Nayak, D. (1980). Analysis of protein patterns of an Edible mushroom by Gel-Electrophoresis and its amino acid composition. *J. of Food Sci. and Technology*, **18**: 89-91.

Patil S.S., S. Abarar, A., Shinde, S.L. and Baig, M.M.V. (2006). Studies on chemical contents in *P.Sajar - caju* cultivated on different substrates. *Bull. of Pure and Applied Sci.*, **25B** (2) : 117-119.

Patil, S.S. and Dakore, H.G. (2007). Comparative study on yield performance and nutritive value of Oyster mushroom on Soyabean straw. *Bioinfolet*, **4**(1): 57 - 59.

Rathore, V.R.S. and Thakore, B.B.L. (2004). Effect of different substrates on the production and nutritional value of sporophores of *P.florida*. *J. of Mycology and Plant Pathology*, **34 (1) :** 66-68.

Periasamy, K. and Natarajan. K. (2002). Selection, Cultivation and nutritive value of wild and improved strains of *Pleurotus dajamor var. roseus, Mushroom Research*, **11(2)**: 81-84.

Stephen, J.M.M. Mayunga, H.H.N., Vitus, A.N. and Isai, T.U. (2004). Amino acid composition of some Tanzanian wild mushrooms. *Food Chemistry*, 86 : 179-182.

Yldz, A. and Karaka plan, M. (2003). Evaluation of some agricultural wastes for the cultivation of edible mushrooms (*Pleurotus astreatus var. salignus*) J. Food Science and Techonology Mysore, 40 (3): 290-292.

Patil, S.S., Kadam, R.M., Shinde, S.L. and Deshmule, S.A. (2008) Effects of different substrates on productivity and Proximate composition of *P. florida*. *Intenat. J. Plant Sci.*, **3**(1) : 151-153.
