Received : January, 2010; Accepted : July, 2010



Research Paper

See end of the article for

Directorate of Extension

Agriculturarl University,

JUNAGADH (GUJARAT)

authors' affiliations

Correspondence to :

Education, Junagadh

J.J. SAVALIYA,

INDIA

Evaluation of new herbicides for weed management in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

S.P. KACHADIYA, J.J. SAVALIYA, V.B. BHALU, P.K. CHOVATIA AND S.G. SAVALIYA

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted on medium black soil at Instructional Farm, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh during the Rabi season. The experiment comprising of twelve weed management treatments was conducted in a randomized block design with four replications. The results revealed that the highest mean seed (2937 kg ha⁻¹) and stover (3215 kg ha⁻¹) yields were recorded under conventional methods involving manual weeding and interculturing as and when required. The herbicidal treatments involving fluchloralin (0.675 kg ha⁻¹) or oxyfluorfen (0.120 kg ha⁻¹) as pre-emergence supplemented by post-emergence application of imazethapyr (0.050 kg ha⁻¹) at 30-35 DAS were also found equally effective. Significantly higher values of growth characters and yield attributes viz., plant height, plant spread, number of branches per plant, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, number of root nodules per plant and seed weight per plant were recorded with these treatments. However, test weight was not significantly influenced by various weed control treatments. Hand weeding and interculturing as and when required (weed free treatment), oxyfluorfen (0.120 kg ha⁻¹ pre-eme.) with 1 hand weeding and interculturing at 30-35 DAS, fluchloralin (0.675 kg ha⁻¹) or oxyfluorfen (0.120 kg ha⁻¹) as pre-emergence supplemented by post-emergence application of imazethapyr (0.050 kg ha⁻¹) at 30-35 DAS established their superiority over rest of the treatments in keeping down weed population (viz., sedge, monocot and dicot), weed biomass with higher weed control efficiency. Application of fluchloralin (0.675 kg ha⁻¹) or oxyfluorfen (0.120 kg ha⁻¹) as preemergence supplemented by post-emergence application of imazethapyr (0.050 kg ha⁻¹) at 30-35 DAS recorded lower weed index and higher herbicide efficiency index. Among all treatments tried in this experiment manual weeding and interculturing as and when required was found to be best and profitable by recording maximum net realization of Rs. 45384 ha⁻¹. This was closely followed by oxyfluorfen at 0.120 kg ha⁻¹ (Rs. 42517 ha⁻¹) or fluchloralin at 0.675 kg ha⁻¹ (Rs. 40820 ha⁻¹) as pre-emergence supplemented by postemergence application of imazethapyr at 0.050 kg ha⁻¹.

Kachadiya, S.P., Savaliya, J.J., Bhalu, V.B., Chovatia, P.K. and Savaliya, S.G. (2010). Evaluation of new herbicides for weed management in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), *Adv. Res. J. Crop Improv.*, **1** (2) : 79-84.

Key words : Weed management, Herbicides, Oxyfluorfen, Fluchloralin

INTRODUCTION

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is world's important pulse crop occupying third position among pulses. Among a dozen of different grain legumes under cultivation in India, chickpea is the leading crop and is grown in *Rabi* season. Indian subcontinent accounts for 67 per cent of production of chickpea in the world. Indian farmers pay reasonable attention to cultivation, especially in respect of seed bed preparation, manuring and irrigation. Crop yield losses due to weeds have been estimated to range from 30 to 50 per cent (*Singh et al.*, 1985; *Balayan*, 1987). However, sufficient attention has not been paid to weed control aspect which remains one of the constraints in boosting up the gram production.

In view of the paucity of adequate research on integrated weed management in the chickpea crop, the present investigation was undertaken.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with four replications. The treatments were assigned at random to each experimental plot in each replication at Instructional Farm, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Gujarat Agricultural

University, Junagadh during *Rabi* season 2004. The soil of experimental plot was clayey in texture having pH 7.9. From fertility point of view, the soil was low in available nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and high in available potash. The experiment comprised of twelve weed management treatments viz., oxyfluorfen @ 0.120 kg ha⁻¹ pre-eme. (T₁), oxyfluorfen @ 0.120 kg ha⁻¹ preeme. + 1 HW + 1 IC at 30 - 35 DAS (T₂), fluchloralin @ $0.675 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ pre-eme.} + 1 \text{ HW} + 1 \text{ IC at } 30 - 35 \text{ DAS}$ (T_2) , imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha⁻¹ post-eme. (T_4) , imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg ha⁻¹ post-eme. (T₅), quizalofop ethyl @ 0.025 kg ha⁻¹ post-eme. (T_6), quizalofop ethyl @ 0.050 kg ha^{-1} post-eme. (T₇), fluchloralin @ 0.675 kg ha⁻¹ ¹ pre-eme. + imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha^{-1} post-eme. (T_s), oxyfluorfen @ 0.120 kg ha-1 pre-eme. + imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha⁻¹ post-eme. (T_0), 1 HW at 30 DAS (T_{10}), weed free (T_{11}) and unweeded control (T_{12}) . Observations were recorded on different characters and mean values were subjected to statistical analysis

RESULTS AND **D**ISCUSSION

The experimental findings as influenced by different parameters are discussed below :

Effect on growth parameters:

Plant population:

Initial and final plant populations were not affected significantly by the different weed control treatments. Fluchloralin, oxyfluorfen, imazethapyr and quizalofop ethyl were found safe for chickpea (Table 1).

Plant height (cm):

Plant height of chickpea at 60 DAS was affected significantly by the different weed control treatments (Table 1). The minimum values of plant height at 60 DAS were recorded only under post-emergence application of quizalofop ethyl at higher and lower doses. However, plant heights recorded at 90 DAS and at harvest were not differed significantly by different weed control treatments.

Plant spread (cm):

80

Higher values of plant spread were obtained under treatment imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg ha^{-1} post-eme. at 30-35 DAS (Table 1). It was comparable with pre-emergence application of oxyfluorfen @ 0.120 kg ha^{-1} with imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha^{-1} post-eme. at 30-35 DAS.

Effect of different treatments on yield attributes and yield :

Yield attributing characters were significantly

		T' were a warmen i al'enne		,C.	man " man as a la	and the second	, M	T' and recorded from	1. m
" ross	a na balance de la companya de la co	THE (5 DAS)	A. LETVOS	SVC 05 SVC 09	V SAC 06	AL LETVOS	SAC 03	SVC 06	nj Al îletiyosî,
	Oxy Lartan @ 0.120 kg i.z. ¹ 2.3	202.25 a.	2.0.50 2.	29.52. 8	23 250 73 - 250 73 - 250	36.12. 2.	29.05 20	3. 64 2.3	3/ 03 2
	OxyCapitation @ 0.120 kg is 733 117W 110 4 30 35 2AS	205.50 a.	215 8.	26.11 23	30.16 8.	36.98 a.	29.15 a.	3. 15 2.2	3/ 20 2.
	Macharth @ 0.675 kg ta 121 122 12 23 35 3AS	201.25 a.	2.025 a.	26.89 ij	3.33 8	36.95 a.	28.00 cbc	30.50 é.a	32.85 2.00
		206.00 z.	2 15 E.	27.36 25	32.11 2.	3121 2.	26.25 200	28.68 e'ro	29.53 cc
	100 - 27 37 900 D	1.98.75 a.	20125 2	28/5 23	32.142	38./ G a.	29.55 a.	32.19 8.	37.66 E.
	Quinzialan Ninyi @ 0.005 ke izi 200.0	Lassan E	209.15 8.	22.60 c	so	36.'/9 z.	26.05 các	28.38 20	30.56 beć
	Quizziolog 1219. @ 0.050 kg zz 1.203	2.025 E	2.6.50 a	25.31 36	3. 53 a.	38.87. z.	21 25 60	25.12.0	28/56
	Vuotioreite © 0.675 kg re ¹ 33. Trexofregyr © 0.050 kg re ¹ 2013	Austra e	2.2.50 e.	26.95 e's	37.59 £	39.67 e.	7.3. / 5 E.m.	30.99 an	33,31/ e.o
	OxyLuation @ 0.120 kg ita ¹ 311 - Imaxofragya @ 0.050 kg ita ¹ 2013	205.50 e	2.2.25 E.	21.31 2.3	3. 5a s	38.63 z.	29.10 a	32.03 E.	3119 a.
		201100 E.	2	2.1.16 2.3	3.35 8	36./ 9 a.	24,10 2.20	29.35 E.M	32.19 2.30
	Wessel	2.07.25 a.	250 a.	26.97 £3	32.03 £.	38.66 a.	26.95 žiseč	31.68 els	31.10 a.
	ັນ ‴Wexee ຣ໌ລີ ລີ່ມີພະລີ.	. 37125 E.	201.50 E.	28.92 a.		38.57 z.	23.50 c	25.18 0	27.93 6
			3.38	28.92 E.		38.57 a.	23.50 c	25.18 0	27.93 6
C.V. %			3.20	- 00°		. 55		90°,	0
	3.1. Der termentersterenden Stande Zunde Zunderen under ander zum 2013 2024 3	701. 70% cmcgawa, DAS	Days 2. and 20Wing Notices . On OWCO. By Barney Englished Winning Commences and State 2. Were St	ing Woan	"S.O. OWCC.	JY 82		i	S Sto DStr. VS

 Radio A = 14 where the second restance is the survey of the survey of the second s									
Station of Station Stations and Stations	Brzmalina vor Dizmi	Poás por pismi	Sanis vai voi	Saad waight oor plant (g)	Slova: waigiti pat gʻatti (B)	. 00 sooi. Wo'g'i' (B)	Sacc y.c.c (xg/rz)	Slover ylcící (kij/re.)	E Vo LE Vo
Oxy	. SI. 1.	59.75 ba	: 24 6	1.02.05	3.3/ 0.8	. 8. / 8 z.	2.80 is	2678 30	15:11
OxyLucrise @ 0.120 kg iz i 11 1 11W 11C z/ 30 35 DAS	8,50 č	63.10.5		.6.1 zizeć	3.78 3460		2530 50	21615	081.1
17. udžioračim @ 0.615 kg ha ¹ 213 1. 1. 1. 1. 0. 2. 30. 35 DAS	.1.95 c."	5/ .80 da			3.12. dc.2	7.780 °.	22.01 éc	21 01 sé	
		61.20.50	. 31 ac	7.98 300	3.65 các.	.1.83 £	231 : 66	2,62,6 '2¢ć.	
imandinaryy @ 0.015 kg ina 1.2003	8.60 č	62.05 20		.6.56 r.sc	3.87 '200	.8.5 £	2511 30	2900 els	16,18
Quixelado: 12.9/ @ 0.025 kg iz ¹ 201	3.20 ás	52,80 c	. 55 30	1.53 206	3.82, 546	.1.82 E.	22.69 éc	26.9 286	16.19.
Quizziados 1219/ @ 0.050 kg izz ¹ 2011		57.55 cč.	. 52 Sec.	.6. 2 abad	1.01.30	37 z .	2511 30	2863 5	1.8.1.1
17 uditorežim @ 0.675 kg ire i 713. žmezočnegyr @ 0.050 kg ire i 2013	9.35 c	50.15 c.	. 83 E.	. 6.62 £30		781.	26.775	2859 5	13. 1.1
OxyLuorfer @ 0.120 kg izz 733 Trezechergy @ 0.050 kg izz 7003	9.85	51 2.0 é.c	C.2 11 .	1.1.36 £2	1.22.23	8.35 E.	2119 23	2911 23	

affected by different treatments. Maximum values of yield attributes *viz.*, number of branches per plant, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, number of root nodules per plant and seed weight per plant were recorded under weed free condition. Among different treatments, pre-emergence application of fluchloralin at 0.675 kg ha⁻¹ and oxyfluorfen at 0.120 kg ha⁻¹ each with post-emergence application of imazethapyr at 0.050 kg ha⁻¹ recorded higher values of these components. However, test weight was not affected by different treatments (Table 2).

Effect of different treatments on yield on chickpea: Yield (kg ha⁻¹):

Significantly higher seed yield (2937 kg ha⁻¹) and stover yield(3215 kg ha⁻¹) of chickpea was recorded under weed free condition over rest of the treatments except oxyfluorfen @ 0.120 kg ha⁻¹ pre-eme. + imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha⁻¹ post-eme (Table 2).

Effect of different treatments on weed parameters: Weed population:

Pre-emergence application of oxyfluorfen @ 0.120 kg ha⁻¹ + 1 HW + 1 IC at 30 – 35 DAS and fluchloralin @ 0.675 kg ha⁻¹ pre-eme. + 1 HW + 1 IC at 30 – 35 DAS were found effective against all types of weeds at 20 and 40 DAS. Oxyfluorfen @ 0.120 kg ha⁻¹ pre-eme. + imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha⁻¹ post-eme. was found effective against all types of weeds at 60 DAS. Oxyfluorfen @ 0.120 kg ha⁻¹ pre-eme. + 1 HW + 1 IC at 30 – 35 DAS and fluchloralin @ 0.675 kg ha⁻¹ pre-eme. + imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha⁻¹ pre-eme. + 1 HW + 1 IC at 30 – 35 DAS and fluchloralin @ 0.675 kg ha⁻¹ pre-eme. + imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha⁻¹ post-eme. were found effective against all types of weeds at harvest (Table 3).

Dry weight of weeds (kg ha⁻¹):

Significantly lower dry weight of weeds was recorded under weed free treatment. However, oxyfluorfen @ 0.120 kg ha^{-1} pre-eme. + 1 HW + 1 IC at 30 – 35 DAS and fluchloralin @ 0.675 kg ha}{-1} pre-eme. + imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha^{-1} post-eme were also found equally effective in this respect (Table 4).

Weed control efficiency:

Significantly higher weed control efficiency was obtained under weed free treatment (100 %). It was closely followed by oxyfluorfen @ 0.120 kg ha⁻¹ pre-eme. + 1 HW + 1 IC at 30 – 35 DAS (99.01 %) and fluchloralin @ 0.675 kg ha⁻¹ pre-eme. + imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha⁻¹ post-eme. (98.54 %) (Table 4).

	జప⊺త 3 ∦ిదోతర్తి రేదోతాలార్ రాయర్థాలాగి అంయా ప్రకాణాలరలా	v0 s>-o	1000 JE-E-										
Adv		SVC 0X	No of socied wo	c woods / <u>~</u> 50_JAS	AL TETYCS	SAC 05	to of moros 10_2AS	VO O' TOTOGO' WCCCS/T ² / 0 DAS 60 DAS /	A. TETYOS.	SAC DAS	NO OL ÉLER 10 JAS	Volo of diladi waadis / m ² Vol DAS 60 DAS	AL LETYON
Res	Oxylination @ 0.120 kg	2.090 c	3.980 0	/ .830 '5	5.190 cč.	2.860 0	3.950 5	1.950 3	5.760's	32100	5,0901	1.930 3	5.8/02
I		(7.39)	(.8.5.)	(23.32)	(26.99)	(8.18)	(15.59)	(4.176)	(33.: 5)	(07:00)	(27.8)	(15 10)	(3/.08)
Crop Imp	OxyCupitan @ 0.120 kg hai 111 110 ki	2.360 c	2.3.0 úc	2.980 c	3.//0.0	2.9.0 %	2.620 đ	3.650 c	/ 160 cú	13. CO	2.720 c	3.7.0 các 7.5 vez	5.350 le Martin
nrov .	30 35 DAS	(100)	(A. C)	62.2	(····· \$3)	6	(a.xx)	(22.2.)	(x 23)	(n. n. j	21.319	ç. 3. (3)	(18.11)
(^{see} Vol	l'ushorette @ 0.675 kg	0.08/.",	22.00	3.6.0 úc	3.670 c	3.030 20	2.610 ć.	3.690 c	5.020 6	3.37/0 20	2.690 c	3.950 các	5.5/ 0.30
1 (2);		(3.16)	(1.8.1)	(30%)	(3.5)	(076)		(3.60)	(25.18)	(::.36)	(123)	(.5.58)	(30.12)
(De	3×3000 @ 0.020 K	3.5.00	3.520 20	1.510'20	5.080 cč	3.790 c.	1.500 83	2 000° /	5.050.3	1.080 £.	/ .600 E.	3.950 các	5.390 20
c 2		(2,35)		(20.67)	(38:32)	(1.35)	(20.22)	(.6.12)	(25.63)	(16.65)	6:::0	(28.62)	(60)
୍ <u>ମ</u> 010)		C2 000 /	3.960 5	1.050.56	1.650 č.	3.8.0 8	/ .530 žo	3.800 c	1.3.0.5	11:0:2	/ .630 z.	3.590 ác	560 c
	5.0C - 37	(5.96)	(12:65)	(279)	(2.52)	(8/7.)	(20.53)		(23.13)	(376)	(0,, 0)	(13.8.1)	(39.63)
	Quire o Do Viry @	1.22.0 2.3	1.20.3	5.050.5	5.8.0.36	3.660 ej	5.0.77	3.870 c	1.930 c	3.930 ±2	3.110 cć	22 0 /	5.270.36
	0.025 kg in 2011	(1.1.)	(16.9.)	(25.52)	(33:78)	(338)	(.5.88)	(667)	(37, 33)	(1.193	(18-1)	(16:91)	(1.1.1.2)
$h_{\gamma\gamma}$	Quizzio an Viry. @	1.3/0 a.	5,0877	5.080.5	5.760'36	3.1/0 a	1.503	3.630 c	1.650 cć.	/ 260 a.	3.1.10 ada	3.950 các	5.000 sč.
	0.050 (8 12 300.0	(38.8.)	(977.)	(3:2:18)	(33.20)	(.3.9.1)	(20133	(:3.:8)	(3:2)	(978)	(07.6)	(1993)	(96' 70)
200 2	3. 5.12	2.520 c	2.630 é.c	3 11317 C	3.550 c	S. and the "sec	3.350 6	3 000 6	1.230 éc	3126 200	3,550 m	3.590 é.c	/ .620 če
	.0.050 kg hz 1903	(6.37)	(2.6.9)	(6::6)	(12,63)	(9.39)	(.7.)	(3.3)	(38)))	(1977.)	(.1.7.)	(2.87)	(2: 35)
< : 55	Oxy Cuarter @ 0.120 kg	5 41.16	2,500 60	2.8/0 0	3,630 0	2.9.0 6		2.730 č.	3,890 0	3,720 6	3,350 cč.	3,160 0	1.320.0
	ne in one indonezoy: (% 0.050 kg ne ¹ .2013	(6.2)	(6.25)	(8.22)	(.3.6)	(879)	(0::0)	(971)	((:0:73)	(: 23)	(5671)	(37.8.)
9	W e. 30	3.830 t.o	2.90 ac	5.230 'a	6.030 5	10 8	2.'/80 oć.	3.110 0	5.190 e	1.370. 8.	2.910 éco	1.2100	5.5.0.30
		(397)	(8.62)	(0/10)	(36.32)	(16.86)	(1.13)		(26.89)	(.9.3)	(8.62.)	(861.)	(30.37)
	Wessi. Too	0.000 5	1. W.W	ግን ይካፈንፈን	ፈካ «ካስካለ» ዲዞ «ህዝዛሥር»	0.000 X	0,000 0	0,000	, WWW	20000		an ananan " 41.4144	1. WWW
		Carar ar	(m m)	(and a)	(m) m)	(000)	Correr or ?	Correr or	(moral)	(mar ar)	(march)	(mara)	Correr and
	Umvraciai contro.	3.910 ED	6.270 E.	5.790 E.	8.590 E.	11:02	1.990 E.	5.660 a.	6.720 a.	1.520 E.	5.080 £.	5.52.0 %.	· I · · / W E.
		(:2:13)	(39.35)	(202)	(73.83)	(977.7)	(58.70)	(31.98)	(6:::)	(1700)	(08.80)	(30.16)	(57:5)
1		0.255/	0.2.62	02121	0.2535	02:01	0.2037	ar dra dra	0.165	6.610	0.1758	.91.70	0.116.
C.V			312	7.3	.0.98	. 3.2.6	96	3.51	561.	80.1	990.	3.10	
	2.3 Pro ornorgonos Paros in parorificais aro originai ya	vačuo	Days alkor sowring 20 Luc Moars Tollow	PON Post	l amarganeo mo elorebola	i sečina sočila S wričina sočila	X.ogram min denotes	ar hoc'ero e' per veluo	,	೦ ರೆಜ್ಜೆ ೩ವಿಶ್ರೆಯನ	ad la squara	'00', ''ZT-S.O	alon (Nx)

Adv. Res. J. Crop Improv.; Vol. 1 (2); (Dec., 2010)
HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE

Table	4 : Effect of different treatments on dry weig efficiency index (HEI), economics and net re				ed control	efficiency (W	(CE) herbicide
Treatn		Dry weight of weeds (kg/ha)	WI (%)	WCE (%)	HEI (%)	Net realization Rs./ha	Net return increase over control Rs./ha
T_1	Oxyfluorfen @ 0.120 kg ha ⁻¹ PE	42.03 d	16.88 ab	93.22 d	1.23 c	34477	2457
T ₂	Oxyfluorfen @ 0.120 kg ha ⁻¹ PE + 1 HW + 1 IC at 30–35 DAS	6.13 h	14.03 b	99.01 a	27.84 a	39402	7382
T ₃	Fluchloralin @ 0.675 kg ha ⁻¹ PE + 1 HW + 1 IC at $30-35$ DAS	22.98 efg	25.08 a	96.33 bc	5.87 bc	34187	2167
T_4	Imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha ⁻¹ POE	30.00 de	18.28 ab	95.18 cd	3.90 bc	36836	4816
T_5	Imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg ha ⁻¹ POE	25.90 ef	9.38 bc	95.82 c	6.69 bc	39990	7970
T ₆	Quizalofop Ethyl @ 0.025 kg ha ⁻¹ POE	83.15 c	18.56 ab	86.70 f	1.60 c	35657	3637
T_7	Quizalofop Ethyl @ 0.050 kg ha ⁻¹ POE	70.00 c	10.93 b	88.76 e	2.79 с	40082	8062
T ₈	Fluchloralin @ 0.675 kg ha ⁻¹ PE + Imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha ⁻¹ POE	9.08 gh	10.89 b	98.54 a	28.97 a	40820	8800
T9	Oxyfluorfen @ 0.120 kg ha ⁻¹ PE + Imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha ⁻¹ POE	11.13 fgh	7.55 bc	98.21 ab	20.61 ab	42517	10497
T ₁₀	1 HW at 30 DAS	117.05 b	17.46 ab	81.14 g		33135	1115
T ₁₁	Weed free	0.00 h	0.00 c	100.00 a		45384	13364
T ₁₂	Unweeded control	622.20 a	27.54 a	0.00 h		32020	0
S.E. <u>+</u>		5.04	3.31	0.69	5.29		
C.V. 9	$\frac{7}{6}$ DAS = Days after sowing	$\frac{11.64}{POF = Post-6}$	44.97	1.60	95.73	ner hectare	

PE = Pre-emergence DAS = Days after sowing POE = Post-emergence kg ha⁻¹ = Kilogram per hectare

Figure in parenthesis are transformed value

Means followed by same alphabets within column denotes at par value

Weed index and herbicide efficiency index:

Significantly lower weed index and higher herbicide efficiency index was observed under treatment oxyfluorfen @ 0.120 kg ha⁻¹ pre-eme. + imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha⁻¹ post-eme. However, it was comparable with fluchloralin @ 0.675 kg ha⁻¹ pre-eme. + imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha⁻¹ post-eme (Table 4).

Economics :

The most advantageous treatment was weed free treatment resulting in higher seed yield with maximum net realization of Rs. 45384 ha⁻¹. The other profitable treatments were oxyfluorfen @ 0.120 kg ha⁻¹ pre-eme. + imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha⁻¹ post-eme. (Rs. 42517 ha⁻¹) and fluchloralin @ 0.675 kg ha⁻¹ pre-eme. + imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha⁻¹ post-eme (Rs. 40820 ha⁻¹) (Table 4).

Based on the results of one year experimentation, it seems quite logical to conclude that potential production, profit and effective weed management in chickpea on medium black soil of South Saurashtra Agro-Climatic Region can be achieved by conventional methods *i.e.* weed

free condition where labours are easily available. Alternatively combination of fluchloralin at 0.675 kg ha⁻¹ or oxyfluorfen @ 0.120 kg ha⁻¹ as pre-emergence supplemented by imazethapyr @ 0.050 kg ha⁻¹ as post-emergence at 30-35 DAS should be adopted where farm labourers are scare.

Authors' affiliations:

S.P. KACHHADIYA, Department of Bio-chemistry, Junagadh Agricultural University, JUNAGADH (GUJARAT) INDIA

V.B. BHALU, Main Oil Seed Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, JUNAGADH (GUJARAT) INDIA

P.K. CHOVATIA, Department of Agronomy, Junagadh Agricultural University, JUNAGADH (GUJARAT) INDIA

S.G. SAVALIYA, Junagadh Agricultural University, JUNAGADH (GUJARAT) INDIA

LITERATURE CITED

Balayan, R. S. (1987). Weed control in *Rabi* pulses. *Farmer & Parliament*, **22** (1): 17-18.

Singh, R.C., Singh, M. and Dahiya, D.R. (1985). Comparative studies of herbicides in relation to agronomical practices in chickpea (Gaurav). In Abstracts of papers, annual conference of Indian Society of Weed Science (undated) 56-57.

> ************* *****