

International Journal of Agricultural Sciences Volume **20** | Issue 1 | January, 2024 | 191-195

■ ISSN: 0973-130X

RESEARCH PAPER

Studies on the genotypic co-efficient of variation and phenotypic co-efficient of variation in chickpea (Cicer arientinum L.) germplasm for yield-attributing characters

B. G. Kamble, S. B. Sarode, M. B. Akhare, M. D. Patil and U. G. Gadkar* Department of Botany, College of Agriculture (VasantraoNaik Agricultural University), Badnapur (M.S.) India (Email:maheshdp182000@gmail.com)

Abstract : The magnitude of the genotypic co-efficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic co-efficientof variation (PCV) in chickpea germplasm was observed high for characters *like* seed yield per plant followed by number of pods per plant, 100 seed weight, plant height, number of secondary branches per plant and number of primary branches per plant. The characteristics viz., seed yield per plant showed high GCV and PCV, moderate heritability and high genetic advance as a per cent of the mean. The characters viz. number of pods per plant, 100 seed weight, harvest index, number of secondary branches per plant and plant height recorded a highly positive significant correlation with seed yield at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. This indicates the simultaneous improvement of these characters through the selection of chickpeas.

Key Words : Chickpea, GCV, PCV, Correlation, Yield attributing characters

View Point Article : Kamble, B. G., Sarode, S. B., Akhare, M. B., Patil, M. D. and Gadkar, U. G. (2023). Studies on the genotypic coefficient of variation and phenotypic co-efficient of variation in chickpea (Cicer arientinum L.) germplasm for yield-attributing characters. Internat. J. agric. Sci., 20 (1): 191-195, DOI: 10.15740/HAS/IJAS/20.1/191-195. Copyright@2024: Hind Agri-Horticultural Society.

Article History : Received : 01.09.2023; Revised : 05.10.2023; Accepted : 07.11.2023

INTRODUCTION

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.), also known as Gram, Bengal Gram, Garbanzo bean and Egyptian pea is aautogamous legume crop with chromosome no. 2n =14 or 2n = 16. Chickpea is an important protein-rich pulse crop with considerable diversity present among 44 known annual Cicer species. A large collection of chickpea germplasm including wild Cicer species has been conserved in different gene banks globally.

Legume crops have a unique place in Indian agriculture due to their ability to maintain and restore soil fertility, as well as their nutritious importance. The development of chickpea varieties is possible with the availability and use of divergent genetic material in this crop. Therefore, the magnitude of the genotypic coefficient of variation and phenotypic co-efficient of variation in this crop was studied in the present investigation.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present investigation was conducted during Rabi season of 2021-2022 at the College of Agriculture, VNMKV, Badnapur, Maharashtra, India to estimate the genetic diversity. The experimental material consisted of 41 diverse genotypes of chickpea including with five standard checks viz. Akash (BDNG-797), Digvijay, Vijay, Phule Vikram and JAKI 9218. These chickpea genotypes were evaluated in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with two replications. The chickpea genotypes were sown in arow of 4 m length with a spacing of 45 cm for row to row and 10 cm for plant to plant. Observations were recorded for ten traits viz., days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, 100 seed weight, seed yield per plant and harvest index. The analysis of divergence was carried out by D2 statistics proposed by Mahalanobis (1928 and 1936) and described by Rao (1952).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The magnitude of the phenotypic co-efficient of variation (PCV) was higher than the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) in chickpeas genotypes for all the characters studied, indicating that the apparent variation is not only due to genotypes but also due to the environmental effect. The analysis of variance and variability parameters are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

The GCV was maximum for seed yield per plant (33.66%) followed by the number of pods per plant (23.76%), 100 seed weight (22.97%) and plant height (22.38%). The PCV was maximum for seed yield per plant (35.80%) followed by the number of pods per plant (25.80%), 100 seed weight (23.49%) and plant height (22.83%). The PCV was generally greater than the GCV.

The estimates of heritability ranged from 51.60 % to 96.10 %. Maximum heritability was observed for plant

	Mean sum of squares											
	d.f.	Days to	Days to	Plant	No. of	No. of	No. of	No. of	100	Harvest	Seed yield/	
Sources of		50	maturity	height	Primary	Secondary	pods/	seeds/	seed	index (%)	plant (g)	
variation		%		(cm)	branches/	branches/	plant	pod	weight (g)			
variation		flowering			plant	plant						
Replications	1	8.89	7.02	2.25	0.04	0.98	10.18	0.001	4.55	1.85	0.53	
Genotypes	40	33.79**	60.20**	229.34**	0.28	8.61	788.41**	0.068	59.93**	25.22**	107.05*	
Error	40	2.79	2.52	2.69	0.02	0.96	64.70	0.01	1.34	8.06	3.52	

* and ** indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

Table	2 : Estimates of variabil	lity parameters	for ten qu	ıantitative	character	s in chick	реа					
Sr. No.	Name of the characters	Range	Mean	$\sigma^2 g$	σ ² p	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	h ² (b.s.) (%)	G.A.% (5%)	G.A. % (1%)	G.A.as % of mean (5%)	G.A.as % of mean (1%)
1.	Daysto 50% flowering	41.00-57.00	50.54	15.50	18.29	7.78	8.46	84.70	7.46	9.56	14.77	18.93
2.	Days to maturity	94.00-116.50	107.21	28.83	31.36	5.00	5.22	92.00	10.60	13.59	9.89	12.67
3.	Plant height (cm)	18.15-73.55	47.35	112.3	116.9	22.38	22.83	96.10	21.40	27.43	45.19	57.92
4.	Number of primary	1.90-3.50	2.49	0.13	0.15	14.48	15.81	83.90	0.68	0.87	27.31	35.00
	branches per plant											
5.	Number of secondary	7.00-16.10	10.63	3.82	4.79	18.38	20.58	79.80	3.59	4.61	33.82	43.35
	branches per plant											
6.	Number of pods per	44.20-120.30	80.04	361.85	426.56	23.76	25.80	84.80	36.09	46.25	45.09	57.78
	plant											
7.	Number of seeds per	1.00-1.70	1.23	0.030	0.039	13.97	16.08	75.50	0.31	0.39	25.00	32.05
	pod											
8.	100 seed weight(g)	13.20-36.00	23.56	29.30	30.64	22.97	23.49	95.60	10.90	13.97	46.27	59.30
9.	Harvest index(%)	29.16-44.91	38.81	8.58	16.64	7.54	10.51	51.60	4.33	5.55	11.16	14.31
10.	Seed yield per plant(g)	8.45-41.52	21.05	50.24	56.81	33.66	35.80	88.40	13.73	17.59	65.21	83.57

Internat. J. agric. Sci. | Jan., 2024 | Vol. 20 | Issue 1 | 191-195

Studies on the genotypic co-efficient of variation & phenotypic co-efficient of variation in chickpea germplasm for yield-attributing characters

height (96.10 %) followed by 100 seed weight (95.60 %), days to maturity (92.00 %), seed yield per plant (88.40%), numbers of pod per plant (84.80%), days to 50 % flowering (84.70%), number of primary branches per plant (83.90%), number of secondary branches per plant (79.80 %), numbers of seed per pod (66.70%) and harvest index (51.60 %).

The estimates of genetic advance ranged from 0.31 % to 36.09 % at 5% level of significance and 0.39 % to 46.25 % at 1% level of significance. At 5% level of significance and at 1% level of significance the maximum estimate observed in case of number of pods per plant was 36.09 % and 46.25 % followed by plant height (21.40 %) and (27.43 %), seed yield per plant (13.73 %) and (17.59 %), 100 seed weight (10.90 %) and (13.97 %), days to maturity (10.60 %) and (13.59 %), days to 50 % flowering (7.46 %) and (9.56 %), harvest index (4.33 %) and (5.55 %), number of secondary branches per plant (3.59 %) and (4.61 %), number of primary branches per pod at 0.31 % and 0.39 %, respectively.

The estimates of genetic advance as per cent of mean ranged from 9.89 % to 65.21 % at 5% level of

significance and 12.67 % to 83.57 % at 1% level of significance. At 5% level of significance and at 1% level of significance the highest estimate recorded in case of seed yield per plant was 65.21 % and 83.57 % followed by 100 seed weight (46.27 %) and (59.30 %), plant height (45.19 %) and (57.92 %), number of pods per plant (45.09 %) and (57.78 %), number of secondary branches per plant (33.82 %) and (43.35 %), number of primary branches per plant (27.31 %) and (35.00 %), number of seeds per pod (25.00%) and (32.05%), days to 50% flowering (14.77 %) and (18.93 %), harvest index (11.16 %) and (14. 31 %), and days to maturity(9.89 %) and (12.67 %).

For days to 50% flowering and days to maturity both the genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation was lowest. Earlier workers, Gumber *et al.* (2002) reported the highest values of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) for seed yield per plant. Dwivedi and Gaibriyal (2009) reported the highest values of the genotypic co-efficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic co-efficient of variation (PCV) for traits like100 seed weight and number of pods per plant.

Zeeshan et al. (2012) found high heritability for 100

Characters	Days to 50 % flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of primary branches/ Plant	Number of secondary branches/ plant	Number of pods/ plant	Number of seeds/pod	100 seed weight (g)	Harvest index (%)	Seed yield /plant (g)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Days to 50%	1.000	0.5824**	0.1877	-0.2471*	-0.0734	-0.0971	0.2810*	-0.0857	0.0791	-0.1278
flowering										
Days to maturity		1.000	0.3944**	-0.1376	-0.0412	-0.0299	0.1361	0.2213	0.2303*	0.0969
Plant height (cm)			1.000	-0.1068	0.1609	0.1750	0.1411	0.4754**	0.2072	0.3480**
Number of primary					0.2127*	-0.2106	0.0402	-0.0837	-0.1271	-0.1476
branches per plant				1.000						
Number of						0.2815*	0.0474	0.1183	0.1052	0.3623**
secondary branches					1.000					
per plant										
Number of pods						1.000	-0.2364*	-0.0586	0.2563*	0.6488**
Per plant										
Number of seeds							1.000	0.0837	-0.1188	-0.1316
per pod										
100 seed weight (g)								1.000	0.4736**	0.6065**
Harvest index (%)									1.000	0.5174**
Seed yield per plant										1.000
(g)										

* and * indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

Table 4 : Genotypic	al correlation	co-efficien	ts for seed yie	eld per plant	t with its com	ponents in cl	hickpea			
Characters	Days to 50 % Flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of primary branches/ Plant	Number of secondary branches/ plant	Number of pods/ plant	Number of seeds/pod	100 seed weight (g)	Harvest index (%)	Seed yield /plant (g)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Days to 50%	1.000	0.6581*	0.2139	-0.2971*	-0.0992	-0.1255	0.3477**	-0.0938	0.2148	-0.1400
flowering		*								
Days to maturity		1.000	0.4170**	-0.1889	-0.920	-0.0667	0.1684	0.2391	0.3801**	0.0906
Plant height(cm)			1.000	-0.1203	0.1909	0.1743	0.1441	0.5077**	0.3349*	0.3649**
Number of primary				1.000	0.2186*	-0.2417	0.0614	-0.0838	-0.2417	-0.1613
branches per plant										
Number of					1.000	0.2552**	-0.0076	0.1639	0.0643	0.3662**
secondary branches										
per plant										
Number of pods						1.000	-0.3462**	-0.0627	0.3527**	0.6096**
Per plant										
Number of seeds							1.000	0.1234	-0.2844*	-0.2133
per pod										
100 seed weight (g)								1.000	0.6343**	0.6664**
Harvest index(%)									1.000	0.7310**
Seed yield per plant										1.000
(g)										

B. G. Kamble, S. B. Sarode, M. B. Akhare, M. D. Patil and U. G. Gadkar

* and ** indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

seed weight and plant height. These findings are supported by similar observations of Kumar *et al.* (2002) for the number of pods per plant, Akhtar *et al.* (2011) for the number of pods per plant and 100 seed weight, Jadhav *et al.* (2012) for 100 seed weight, Padmawati *et al.* (2013) for number of primary branches per plant, Gul *et al.* (2013) for number of pods per plant and Suyog *et al.* (2018) seed yield per plant.

The values of phenotypical correlation co-efficients are shown in Table 3 and genotypical correlation coefficients in Table 4. The seed yield per plant had significant positive correlation with number of pods per plant (p=0.6488; g=0.6096), 100 seed weight (p=0.6065; g=0.6664), harvest index (p=0.5174; g=0.7310), number of secondary branches per plant (p=0.3623; g=0.3662) and plant height (p=0.3480; g=0.3649) at both phenotypic and genotypic level.

Earlier studies too have indicated such a positive significant correlation for number of pods per plant by Guler *et al.* (2001). Arshad *et al.* (2004) found that seed yield had a positive and significant correlation with number of pods per plant, plant height and 100 seed weight. Vaghela *et al.* (2009) found that seed yield per plant has

a significant and positive correlation with number of pods per plant, number of primary branches per plant, harvest index and 100 seed weight at genotypic as well as phenotypic levels.

Conclusion :

The magnitude of the genotypic co-efficient of variation and phenotypic co-efficient of variation in chickpea crop is important for the development of chickpea varieties when the divergent genetic material is used.

Acknowledgement :

The authors are thankful to the authority of the College of Agriculture, Badnapur for providing the necessary research field facilities for the conduct of the experimentation. The authors wish to thank Prof. S. G. Borkar of Endeavour Scientific Agriculture, Nashik for helping in preparation of the manuscript in its present form.

Conflict of Interest:

There is no conflict of interest

Studies on the genotypic co-efficient of variation & phenotypic co-efficient of variation in chickpea germplasm for yield-attributing characters

REFERENCES

Akhtar, L. H., Parvez, Muhammad A. and Muhammad, N. (2011). Genetic divergence and inter-relation studies in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Pak. J. Agric. Sci.*, **48** (1) : 35-39.

Dwevedi, K.K. and Gaibriyal, L. M. (2009). Assessment of genetic diversity of cultivated chickpea. Asian *J. Agric. Sci.*, **1**(1): 7-8.

Gul, R., Khan, H., Bibi, M., Ain, Q.U. and Imran, B. (2013). Genetic analysis and interrelationship of yield attributing traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *J. Anim. & Pl. Sci.*, **23**(2) : 521-526.

Gumber, R.K., Singh, S., Rathore, P. and Singh, K. (2002). Selection indices and character association in chickpea. *Indian J. Pulses Res.*, **13** (2) : 56-58.

Jadhav, R.S., Mohir, M.N. and Ghodke, M.K. (2012). Genetic

variability in chickpea. *Bioinfolet.*, 9 (2): 199-201.

Kumar, A., Babu, G. U. and Lavnya, G. R. (2012). Character association and path analysis in early segregating population in chickpea. *Legume Res.*, **35** (4) : 337-340.

Padmavati, P.V., Murthy, S.S., Rao, V.S. and Lal Ahamad, M. (2013). Correlation and path co-efficient analysis in Kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Int. J. Appl. Biol. Pharma. Tech.*, 4 (3): 107-110.

Suyog, D. Warkad., Gabrial, M. Lal., Nikhil and Suryawanshi, A. (2018). Study on genetic diversity in chickpea *(Cicer arietinum L.)* germplasm. *IJABR*, 8 (3) : 347-335.

Zeeshan, M., Arshad, W., Ali, S., Owais, M., Zulkiffal, M. and Hussain, M. (2012). Genetic divergence and character association in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under rainfed conditions. *Wudpecker J. Agric. Res.*, **2** (1):028-032.

20th Year **** of Excellence ****