



December, 2011 | Volume 2 | Issue 2 | 118-120

Research Article

Effect of potassium and integrated nutrient management on quality and economics of soybean

B.S. GUNJAL AND S.S. CHITODKAR

Abstract : The quality components *viz.*, oil, oil yield, protein and protein yields were found to be superior under potassiun and integrated nutrient management over rest of control and other treatments. The application of 50 kg N + 75 kg P_2O_5 + 50 kg K₂O + 5 t FYM ha⁻¹ was found to be superior over other treatments. The highest gross income (Rs. 36420), net monetary returns (Rs.18210) and B : C ratio (2.00) was observed in the application of 50 kg N + 75 kg P_2O_5 + 50 kg K₂O + 5 t FYM ha⁻¹. Increasing the levels of fertilizer in combination of K₂O and FYM levels enhanced the quality as well as economic of soybean.

Key Words : Integrated nutrient management, Yield, Quality soybean and economic returns, FYM

How to cite this Article: Gunjal, B.S. and Chitodkar, S.S. (2011). Effect of potassium and integrated nutrient management on quality and economics of soybean, *Internat. J. Forestry & Crop Improv.*, **2** (2) : 118-120.

Article Chronical: Received: 07.02.2011; Revised: 02.06.2011; Accepted: 28.09.2011

INTRODUCTION

Soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] is one of the important pulse and oilseed crops of the world. It becomes miracle crop of twentieth century and designated as "Golden Bean". It has high nutritive value and it is extensively grown is verusol of India because of its wider adaptability to agroclimatic condition and high market value.

The crop soybean was introduced in sixties as supplementary oilseed crop to overcome the edible oil shortage in the country. Among all oilseed crops, soybean occupied third position in the edible oil scenario of India. It contains high quality of protein 43.2 per cent and oil 19.5 per cent. It also contains 26 per cent carbohydrates, 4 per cent minerals and 2 per cent phospholipids (Halvankar *et al.*, 1994). It is rich source of Vitamin A, B and D. Being best and

MEMBERS OF RESEARCH FORUM -

Author of the Correspondence : B.S. GUNGAL, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, DHULE (M.S.) INDIA

Address of the Coopted Authors :

S.S. CHITODKAR, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, DHULE (M.S.) INDIA

cheapest source of high quality protein amongst vegetable and animal protein source. The protein from soybean is equivalent to that of milk product, eggs and meat in quality, hence it is called as "poor man's meat".

Imbalance nutrition is one of the important constraints of soybean productivity in North Indian Plains. Continuous use of high level of chemical fertilizers has led to problems of soil degradation, which is proving detrimental to soybean production. A crop producing 6,720 kg/ha biomass removed about 614 kg N: 148 kg P and 486 kg K/ha. Therefore, adequate and balanced fertilization is necessary to increase productivity and quality of soybean. The supplementary and complimentary use of organic manures and bio-fertilizer improve soil physical, chemical and biological properties, fertilizer use efficiency, mitigates short supply of micro nutrients, stimulates the proliferation of diverse group of micro-organisms and plays and important role in the maintenance of soil fertility and improves the ecological balance of rhizosphere. Hence an experiment was conducted to study the performance of soybean with different integrated nutrient management systems in terms quality and economics of soybean. Fertilizers play an important role in crop production. A substantial increase in production can be

HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE

obtained by use of fertilizers.

Therefore adequate and balanced fertilization is necessary to increase productivity and quality of soybean.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at the M.P.K.V., Rahuri, Dist. Ahmednagar during rainy (*Kharif*) 2005 at Director of Farm, 'D' Block, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri (M.S.). The experimental soil was clayey in texture, contains 0.42 per cent organic carbon, 209.52 kg N, 21.73 kg /ha available P and 313.20 kg/ha available K. The experiment consisted of 8 treatments *viz.*, T_1 : Control, T_2 : 50 kg N + 75 kg P₂O₅, T_3 : 50 kg N + 75 kg P₂O₅ + 25 kg K₂O, T_4 : 50 kg N + 75 kg P₂O₅ + 50 kg k₂O, T_5 : 50 kg N + 75 kg P₂O₅ + 25 kg K₂O, T_4 : 50 kg N + 75 kg P₂O₅ + 50 kg N + 75 kg P₂O₅ + 25 kg K₂O + 25 kg + 2.5 t FYM ha⁻¹, T_6 : 50 kg N + 75 kg P₂O₅ + 50 kg K₂O + 2.5 t FYM ha⁻¹, T_8 :50 kg N+75 kg P₂O₅ + 50 kg K₂O + 2.5 t FYM ha⁻¹, T_8 :50 kg N+75 kg P₂O₅ + 50 kg K₂O + 2.5 t FYM ha⁻¹, T_8 :50 kg N+75 kg P₂O₅ + 50 kg K₂O + 2.5 t FYM ha⁻¹, T_8 :50 kg N+75 kg P₂O₅ + 50 kg K₂O + 2.5 t FYM ha⁻¹, T_8 :50 kg N+75 kg P₂O₅ + 50 kg K₂O + 2.5 t FYM ha⁻¹, T_8 :50 kg N+75 kg P₂O₅ + 50 kg K₂O + 2.5 t FYM ha⁻¹, T_8 :50 kg N+75 kg P₂O₅ + 50 kg K₂O + 2.5 t FYM ha⁻¹, T_8 :50 kg N+75 kg P₂O₅ + 50 kg K₂O + 50 kg K₂O + 2.5 t FYM ha⁻¹, T_8 :50 kg N+75 kg P₂O₅ + 50 kg K₂O + 50 kg K₂O + 2.5 t FYM ha⁻¹, T_8 :50 kg N+75 kg P₂O₅ + 50 kg K₂O + 50 kg K₂O + 2.5 t FYM ha⁻¹, T_8 :50 kg N+75 kg P₂O₅ + 50 kg K₂O + 50 kg K₂O + 2.5 t

The full dose of NPK and organic manure through urea, single superphosphate, muriate of potash and FYM, respectively were applied basally as per treatment at the time of sowing. The seeds were inoculated with *Rhizobium* and PSB culture to all treatments before sowing.

The grain and haulm were analyzed for nitrogen content by micro-Kjeldhal's method, potassium by flame photometer method and phosphorus was estimated by Vandomolybdate-yellow colour method as per A.O.A.C. (1992) and Jackson (1973), respectively. Protein content (%) was worked out by multiplying N content with 5.71 and protein yield per hectare was calculated by multiplying the protein content with per hectare grain yield of respective treatments.

The oil content (%) in grains of soybean was estimated

with the help of soxhelt apparatus using ether as solvent for oil extraction. Oil yield per hectare was calculated by multiplying oil content with grain yield of respective treatments.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The results obtained from the present study have been presented under following heads :

Nutrient content:

There was a significant effect of integrated nutrient management on N, P and K content of both grain and haulm (Table 1). The N, P and K content increased with increase the fertilizer and FYM levels. The application of 50 kg N + 75 kg P_2O_5 + 50 kg K_2O + 5 t FYM ha⁻¹ registered the maximum nitrogen concentration in grain (7.07%) and haulm (0.62%), phosphorus concentration in grain (0.66%) and haulm (0.30%) and potassium concentration in grain (0.96%) and haulm (2.96). Lowest nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content at flowering and harvesting stages were significantly enhanced due to increase levels of fertilizer. Similar, results were also reported by Patel and Chandravanshi (1996).

Quality parameter:

Protein and oil content were well with in the desirable limits in all the treatments and although there were no significant differences among integrated nutrient management treatments (Table 2), but improved with integrated nutrient management, the highest protein yield and oil yield of soybean was recorded under 50 kg N+75 kg P_2O_5 + 50 kg K₂O + 5 t FYM ha⁻¹. Protein and oil yield of soybean significantly increased by the combination of

Content				-		
Treatments	Grain			Haulm		
	N	Р	K	N	Р	K
T ₁ : Control	6.95	0.55	0.73	0.54	0.20	2.80
$T_2: 50 \text{ kg N+ 75 kg P}_2O_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$	6.98	0.57	0.80	0.55	0.22	2.82
$T_3 : 50 \text{ kg N} + 75 \text{ kg P}_2O_5 + 25 \text{ kg K}_2O \text{ ha}^{-1}$	6.99	0.58	0.81	0.55	0.23	2.84
$T_4: 50 \text{ kg N} + 75 \text{ kg P}_2O_5 + 50 \text{ kg K}_2O \text{ ha}^{-1}$	7.01	0.59	0.88	0.57	0.24	2.85
$T_5: 50 \text{ kg N} + 75 \text{ kg P}_2O_5 + 25 \text{ kg K}_2O + 2.5 \text{ t FYM ha}^{-1}$	7.03	0.59	0.91	0.59	0.26	2.57
$T_6: 50 \text{ kg N} + 75 \text{ kg P}_2O_5 + 25 \text{ kg K}_2O + 5 \text{ t FYM ha}^{-1}$	7.04	0.60	0.93	0.59	0.27	2.89
$T_7: 50 \text{ kg N} + 75 \text{ kg P}_2O_5 + 50 \text{ kg K}_2O + 2.5 \text{ t FYM ha}^{-1}$	7.06	0.65	0.95	0.60	0.29	2.93
$T_8: 50 \text{ kg N} + 75 \text{ kg P}_2O_5 + 50 \text{ kg K}_2O + 5 \text{ t FYM ha}^{-1}$	7.07	0.66	0.96	0.62	0.30	2.96
S.E. <u>+</u> Mean	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.07	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.03

Table 1 : Nutrient content (%) in grain and haulm of soybean as influenced by different integrated nutrient management treatments

Internat. J. Forestry & Crop Improv.; | Dec., 2011 | Vol. 2 | Issuel | |118-120 HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE

EFFECT OF POTASSIUM & INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON QUALITY & ECONOMICS OF SOYBEAN

Treatments	Yield (q/ha)	Oil (%) in seed	Oil yield (kg/ha)	Protein content (%)	Protein yield (kg/ha)	
T ₁ : Control	17.61	18.35	3.23	39.68	6.99	
T ₂ : 50 kg N+ 75 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	20.93	18.42	3.86	39.85	8.34	
$T_3: 50 \text{ kg N} + 75 \text{ kg P}_2O_5 + 25 \text{ kg K}_2O \text{ ha}^{-1}$	22.03	18.54	4.08	39.91	8.79	
T ₄ : 50 kg N+ 75 kg P ₂ O ₅ +50 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	23.10	18.63	4.30	40.02	9.24	
$T_5: 50 \text{ kg N} + 75 \text{ kg P}_2O_5 + 25 \text{ kg K}_2O + 2.5 \text{ t FYM ha}^{-1}$	24.45	18.70	4.57	40.14	9.81	
$T_6: 50 \text{ kg N} + 75 \text{ kg P}_2O_5 + 25 \text{ kg K}_2O + 5 \text{ t FYM ha}^{-1}$	26.33	18.82	4.96	40.19	10.58	
$T_7: 50 \text{ kg N} + 75 \text{ kg P}_2O_5 + 50 \text{ kg K}_2O + 2.5 \text{ t FYM ha}^{-1}$	26.50	18.93	5.02	40.31	10.68	
$T_8: 50 \text{ kg N} + 75 \text{ kg P}_2O_5 + 50 \text{ kg K}_2O + 5 \text{ t} FYM \text{ ha}^{-1}$	28.01	19.02	5.33	40.36	11.30	
S.E. <u>+</u>	0.94	0.12	0.17	0.62	0.62	
C.D. (P=0.05)	2.88	NS	0.60	NS	1.80	

Table 3 : Monetary economic as influenced by various integrated nutrient management treatments Benefit : cost Cost of Gross monetary Net monetary Treatments cultivation returns returns (Rs. ha⁻¹) ratio $(Rs. ha^{-1})$ $(Rs. ha^{-1})$ T₁: Control 14020 22893 11115 1.63 T2: 50 kg N+ 75 kg P2O5 ha-1 16094 27639 12362 1.69 $T_3: 50 \text{ kg N} + 75 \text{ kg P}_2O_5 + 25 \text{ kg K}_2O \text{ ha}^{-1}$ 16277 28639 13570 1.76 T₄: 50 kg N+ 75 kg P₂O₅+50 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ 16460 30030 14633 1.83 $T_5: 50 \text{ kg N} + 75 \text{ kg P}_2O_5 + 25 \text{ kg K}_2O + 2.5 \text{ t FYM ha}^{-1}$ 17152 31785 14633 1.85 $T_6: 50 \text{ kg N} + 75 \text{ kg P}_2O_5 + 25 \text{ kg K}_2O + 5 \text{ t FYM ha}^{-1}$ 18027 34229 16202 1.90 $T_7: 50 \text{ kg N} + 75 \text{ kg P}_2O_5 + 50 \text{ kg K}_2O + 2.5 \text{ t FYM ha}^{-1}$ 17335 34450 17115 1.99 $T_8: 50 \text{ kg N} + 75 \text{ kg P}_2O_5 + 50 \text{ kg K}_2O + 5 \text{ t FYM ha}^{-1}$ 18210 36420 18210 2.00S.E. +Mean 766 494 0.03 C.D. (P=0.05) 2323 1499 0.09

inorganic fertilizer along with FYM. Similar results were also reported by Sharma and Dixit (1987).

Economics:

Use of no fertilizer was found to be uneconomical and recorded low values of gross returns, net returns and benefit : cost ratio. The gross returns, net returns and benefit : cost ratio increased progressively with increasing levels of FYM from 2.5 to 5 t/ha and K_2O levels 25 to 50 kg/ha (Table 3) Integrated application of inorganic fertilizer and FYM resulted in better economic returns and benefit : cost ratio. Maximum gross returns of Rs. ha⁻¹ 36,420, net returns of Rs. ha⁻¹ 18,210 and benefit : cost ratio of 2.00 were obtained with application of 50 kg N+75 kg P_2O_5 +50 kg K_2O in conjuction of 5 t FYM/ ha might be due to balanced fertilization.

REFERENCES

A.O.A.C. (1992). Official methods of analysis, Washington D.C.

- Halvankar, G.B., Raut, V.M. and Patil, V.P. (1994). Response of soybean to nitrogen and phosphorus doses. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ., 19 (2): 198-200.
- Jackson, M.L. (1973). Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi.
- Patel, S.R. and Chandravanshi, B.R. (1996). Nitrogen and phosphorus nutrition of soybean (*Glycine max*) grown in vertisol. *Indian J. Agron.*, **41**(4):601-603.
- Sharma, R.A. and Dixit, B.K. (1987). Effect of nutrient application on rainfed soybean. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 35(3):452-455.
