

Research
Paper

Rice growth response in *Boro* and *Kharif* season under influence of number of seedling and hormone

A.K. RAI, A.K. BASU AND P.C. GUPTA

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to :

A. K. RAI

Department of Seed Science
and Technology, Bidhan
Chandra Krishi
Viswavidyalaya,
MOHANPUR (W.B.)
INDIA

ABSTRACT

Field experiments were conducted in Replicated Split Plot Design at District Seed Farm "D" Block, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Nadia, West Bengal, India during *Boro* and *Kharif* seasons of 2008-09, to assess the two plant growth regulators viz., GA₃ and phytonol, on growth and seed yield of rice crop cv. Shatabi (IET-4786) at panicle emergence stage. Besides untreated control, GA₃ was used at 10 ppm and 20 ppm, while for phytonol, it was used at 1ml and 2ml/3 l. of water. Significantly highest number of effective tillers/hill. (9.200), 100 seed weight (1.756g), yield/panicle (2.104g), and yield/ha (42.828 q) were recorded due to the treatment phytonol @ 2ml/3l. of water, as compared to control, while in a comparison between seasons, the maximum plant height was obtained during *Kharif* (97.43cm) as compared to *boro*(80.55cm), whether, reverse scenario was recorded in seed yield and other attributing characters. Single seedling transplanted plant produced maximum plant height and seed weight per panicle during *Kharif*, while the more number of tillers was obtained when plant was transplanted with triple seedlings.

Rai, A.K., Basu, A.K. and Gupta, P.C. (2011). Rice growth response in *Boro* and *Kharif* season under influence of number of seedling and hormone. *Adv. Res. J. Crop Improv.*, 2 (1): 125-130.

Key words : Phytonol, GA₃, Plant height, Seed index, 100-seed weight, Seed yield

INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is an important cereal crop in developing countries, grown all over the world and it is the staple food of over half of the world's population, ranking second to wheat in terms of area cultivated as well as production. Out of total production of world, 91% yield is produced and consumed in Asia region, 5.8 % in America, 2.8 % in Africa and only 0.4 % in Europe. According to De Candolle (1886), India is the original home of rice due to availability of a large number of its wild forms.

Plant hormones and growth regulators are the chemicals that affect flowering, ageing, root growth, distortion and killing of leaves stems and other parts, prevent or promote stem elongation, prevent leafing and/or leaf fall and other conditions. Very small concentrations of these chemicals are capable enough to create major growth changes, as referred. GA₃ and phytonol (Tricontanol) are such chemicals differing in its site and mode of action. Its only commercial use is as a plant

growth regulator, and it has been widely patented for this use. It is a totally nontoxic, plant growth bio-regulator without any residual effect, produces stronger seedlings with better root system and finally develops into vigorous plants leading to better yield. It has been reported to stimulate stem elongation (Deotale *et al.*, 1998) and increase dry weight (Hore, 1982) as well as yield (Deotale *et al.*, 1998). GA₃ has been reported to increase number of pods in chick pea (Mange, 1997) and spikelet in rice. It is clear that GAs are implicated in several aspects of floral initiation in certain thermo periodic and photoperiodic plants (Evans, 1990). In spite of the fact that plant growth regulators modify the physiological process, it influences growth and may increase the yield of crops.

These are used by the farmers on very limited scale. The main limitation is that these chemicals/growth regulators are effective only at specific concentration. Similarly the appropriate stage of application of these growth regulators is also very important to exploit its beneficial effects (Nagoshi and Kowashima, 1996; Ravi

et al., 2007). Thus, the optimum concentration and right stage of the crop for application of these growth regulators are essential to have the desired results. Attempts have been made for utilization of such growth regulators for having higher yield in rice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted at District Seed Farm "D" Block, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalya, Nadia, West Bengal, India during *Boro* and *Kharif* seasons of 2008-09, to assess the two plant growth regulators *viz.*, GA₃ and phytonol, on growth and seed yield of rice crop cv. Shatabi (IET-4786) at panicle emergence stage.

The experiment was laid out in a split plot design with 15 treatment combinations of two factors: transplanting a single seedling (S₁) or double (S₂) or triple seedlings (S₃) in the main plot and spraying was initiated at panicle exertion stage of two concentrations of each GA₃ @ 10 ppm (T₁) and 20 ppm (T₂) and phytonol @ 1ml (T₃) and 2ml (T₄) in 3 l. of water along with untreated control. The each treatment combinations was replicated four times. In each replication, transplanting (single/double/triple seedlings) was done in the main plots each of 10 x 9.5 meter with the spacing 20cm x 20cm, thus having 50 rows in each main plot. Spraying with each concentration of GA₃ or phytonol or water was made over plants on 10 consecutive rows. The observations were recorded at two days before harvesting from five random hills, which were uprooted from inner six rows of each sub-plot; the outer two rows on each side were left to avoid the effect of consecutive sprays, if any. Population from those five hills was subjected to take records of different parameters *viz.*, plant height, number of effective tiller per hill, seed index, panicle length, seed yield per panicle and seed yield/ha.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It could be revealed through Table 3 that all the characters were significantly influenced by number of seedlings transplanted except 100 seed weight and yield per panicle, which were found to be significant in boro season only. Whereas spraying treatment was able to create significant variation in all the characters. Significant variation was noted for 100 seed weight only due to interaction effect.

Plant height:

Significant decreasing trend in plant height was recorded for both the seasons along with the increased number of seedlings. Average plant height was higher in

Kharif season in comparison to that in boro season irrespective of the number of seedlings transplanted. Spraying of both the hormones exhibited significant influence in enhancing plant height over the water sprayed control irrespective of the growing season. Maximum plant height was noted after application of GA₃ 20ppm irrespective of growing season, though spraying of phytonol @ 2ml/3l water was also able in making plant height significantly similar to that by spraying of GA₃ @ 20 ppm in *Kharif* season. Similarly, the lower concentration of both the chemicals produced significantly similar plant height in both the seasons. Though insignificant, 20 ppm GA₃ when sprayed over single seedling transplanted plants, produced maximum height irrespective of the growing seasons (Table 1 and 2), which may be due to the fact that GA₃ 20 ppm proved its role in cell elongation to the maximum extent. It is clear from Table 2 on change (%) in plant height that it was maximum for S₃ x T₂ (4.840%) in *Boro* and for S₁ x T₂ (3.086 %) in *Kharif* season. Increased plant height was also recorded by Katyama and Akita (1989) and Vasud *et al.* (1984) due to application of increased concentration of GA₃ through enhanced internodal elongation.

Number of effective tillers/hill:

Number of effective tillers was more, in general, during *Boro* season in comparison to that during *Kharif*. It was maximum for triple seedling transplanting (9.10 and 8.87, respectively) and was significantly in order of S₃>S₂>S₁ for its average performance in both the seasons, which may be due to the higher number of seedlings transplanted. Spraying of phytonol in higher dose influenced the production of highest average number of tillers per hill followed by GA₃ @ 20ppm, spraying of phytonol 1ml/3 l of water and spraying of GA₃@10 ppm irrespective of the seasons. Almost similar numbers of effective tillers were recorded after S₃xT₄ in both the seasons and it was the maximum value amongst different interaction combinations, though insignificant, which could also be confirmed through change per cent for this character. Phytonol apparently reacted in more advantageous way in comparison to GA₃ and thus influenced this character inducing change (%) in higher magnitude. The similar findings were corroborated with Vaiyapuri and Sriramachandracharan (2003) on production of higher number of tillers/hill due to triconanol.

Panicle length (cm):

Single seedling transplanting led to the production of panicle with highest magnitude in both the seasons followed by S₂ and S₃, though S₁ and S₂ performed significantly in

Table 1: Variance components estimated by REML for growth traits in *Boro* season

S.E.	Plant height (cm)			Number of effective tillers/m ²			Panicle length (cm)		
	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean
C ₀	79.865	78.850	77.858	8.05	8.55	8.70	22.850	22.575	21.790
C ₁	80.853	80.73	79.278	8.25	8.75	8.90	23.860	23.760	23.50
C ₂	82.388	80.860	80.552	8.55	8.95	9.35	24.725	24.755	23.600
C ₃	80.765	79.975	78.996	8.30	8.80	8.95	24.170	23.575	23.20
C ₄	81.785	79.885	79.768	8.65	9.35	9.60	24.233	23.675	22.975
Mean	81.07	79.83	76.976	8.36	8.88	9.10	23.908	23.656	22.67
S	S.D. ()	C.D. (P=0.05)	C.D. (P=0.01)	S.D. ()	C.D. (P=0.05)	C.D. (P=0.01)	S.D. ()	C.D. (P=0.05)	C.D. (P=0.01)
S	0.3597	1.279	1.8862	0.0521	0.1802	0.2730	0.2671	0.970	NS
C	0.2238	0.6720	0.8609	0.0507	0.1737	0.1927	0.1680	0.789	0.6752
(S ₁) vs (S ₂)	0.3877	NS	NS	0.668	NS	NS	0.2970	NS	NS
(S ₁) vs (S ₂) ²	0.797	NS	NS	0.6935	NS	NS	0.3708	NS	NS
(S ₁) vs (S ₂) ³									
C ₀	1.737	1.675	1.672	1.928	1.899	1.877	37.77	37.270	37.339
C ₁	1.739	1.767	1.771	1.986	1.957	1.863	39.038	39.787	39.735
C ₂	1.776	1.792	1.736	2.226	2.095	2.058	40.573	42.275	42.820
C ₃	1.739	1.737	1.737	1.996	1.962	1.880	39.797	40.036	40.33
C ₄	1.750	1.771	1.739	2.152	2.129	2.037	41.667	42.982	43.883
Mean	1.750	1.778	1.773	2.038	2.008	1.930	39.569	40.392	40.877
S	S.D. ()	C.D. (P=0.05)	C.D. (P=0.01)	S.D. ()	C.D. (P=0.05)	C.D. (P=0.01)	S.D. ()	C.D. (P=0.05)	C.D. (P=0.01)
S	0.0092	NS	NS	0.0337	NS	NS	0.8711	NS	NS
C	0.0057	0.0167	0.0220	0.379	0.0976	0.1229	0.8875	2.5369	3.7077
(S ₁) vs (S ₂)	0.0099	0.00287	NS	0.0553	NS	NS	1.5320	NS	NS
(S ₁) vs (S ₂) ²	0.0277	0.0707	NS	0.0597	NS	NS	1.6078	NS	NS
(S ₁) vs (S ₂) ³									

Table 2: Variability characteristics of the traits of rice genotypes in the Kharif season												
S	Plant height (cm)			Number of effective tillers/m ²			Panicle length (cm)			Mean		
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	Mean	S ₁		S ₂	S ₃
C ₀	95.688	95.178	93.878	97.977	8.10	8.25	8.50	8.283	26.970	26.685	26.090	26.582
C ₁	97.155	96.375	97.983	96.267	8.20	8.50	8.70	8.467	27.870	27.375	26.275	27.120
C ₂	98.670	97.605	96.030	97.795	8.50	8.80	8.85	8.717	28.263	27.370	26.875	27.193
C ₃	97.108	95.655	95.393	96.052	8.40	8.75	8.75	8.533	27.020	26.935	26.205	26.720
C ₄	97.650	97.990	95.968	97.036	8.70	9.00	9.55	9.083	27.765	26.920	26.960	27.065
Mean	97.308	96.755	96.250	96.250	8.38	8.60	8.87	8.61	27.738	27.057	26.753	27.514
S	S.D. ()	C.D. (P 0.05)	C.D. (P 0.01)	S.D. ()	S.D. ()	C.D. (P 0.05)	C.D. (P 0.01)	S.D. ()	S.D. ()	C.D. (P 0.05)	C.D. (P 0.01)	S.D. ()
S	0.2220	0.1681	0.1638	0.0307	0.0663	0.1611	0.1611	0.1798	0.1798	0.5789	0.7853	0.7853
C	0.1628	0.1670	0.6262	0.0500	0.1737	0.1923	0.1923	0.1683	0.1683	0.1826	0.6771	0.6771
(S ₁) vs (S ₂)	0.2820	NS	NS	0.0866	NS	NS	NS	0.2977	NS	NS	NS	NS
(S ₁) vs (S ₂) or (S ₂) vs (S ₃)	0.3360	NS	NS	0.0833	NS	NS	NS	0.3005	NS	NS	NS	NS
(S ₁) vs (S ₃)												
100 seed weight (g)												
C ₀	1.735	1.673	1.671	1.693	1.905	1.898	1.807	1.857	33.772	33.900	35.735	37.269
C ₁	1.738	1.705	1.685	1.710	1.973	1.937	1.877	1.916	35.038	35.890	37.675	36.868
C ₂	1.777	1.737	1.729	1.737	2.075	2.022	1.977	2.027	35.965	38.083	39.777	38.256
C ₃	1.738	1.735	1.737	1.736	1.978	1.978	1.860	1.928	35.237	35.970	37.738	36.987
C ₄	1.789	1.770	1.738	1.756	2.108	2.053	1.985	2.052	37.727	38.737	40.693	39.050
Mean	1.773	1.771	1.772	1.771	2.008	1.967	1.897	1.967	36.088	36.975	38.792	38.156
S	S.D. ()	C.D. (P 0.05)	C.D. (P 0.01)	S.D. ()	S.D. ()	C.D. (P 0.05)	C.D. (P 0.01)	S.D. ()	S.D. ()	C.D. (P 0.05)	C.D. (P 0.01)	S.D. ()
S	0.0092	NS	NS	0.0211	0.0737	NS	NS	0.0211	NS	NS	NS	NS
C	0.0057	0.0767	0.0222	0.0250	0.0778	0.0963	0.0963	0.1057	0.1057	2.0252	2.7756	2.7756
(S ₁) vs (S ₂)	0.0099	0.0287	NS	0.0737	NS	NS	NS	0.0737	NS	NS	NS	NS
(S ₁) vs (S ₂) or (S ₂) vs (S ₃)	0.0727	0.0707	NS	0.0772	NS	NS	NS	0.0798	NS	NS	NS	NS
(S ₁) vs (S ₃)												

similar manner for expression of this character (Table 1 and 2). Whereas it was maximum for spraying of 20 ppm GA₃ followed by spraying of phytonol 2ml/3 l of water and spraying of GA₃ @10 ppm in Boro season (Table 1) and T₁ and T₄ in Kharif season (Table 2), though the performance of T₂ and T₄ was statistically at par in Boro season, and T₁ and T₂ in Kharif season. Maximum panicle length was recorded after S₁ x T₂ in both the season. Both the hormones played vital role in influencing either better exertion or elongation of panicles. Higher change (%) in panicle length was commonly associated with GA₃ spraying in comparison to phytonol and more particularly it was with 20ppm concentration, excepting for S₃ in Kharif season. Enhanced panicle length due to application of GA₃ was also noted by Kim *et al.* (1998).

Seed index:

While considering the individual influence of the main and sub-factors, it could be noted that number of seedlings transplanted did not create any significant influence on 100 seed weight in both the seasons, and the magnitude in performance was in order of S₁>S₂>S₃. While it was maximum for T₄ irrespective of the seasons *i.e.*, Boro and Kharif followed by T₃, T₂ and T₁, though T₃ and T₂ were similar in performance for this parameter. Analysis of interaction effect indicates that highest seed index was recorded for (S₁X T₄) *i.e.*, spraying of phytonol 2ml/3 l of water on single seedling transplanted population in both boro and kharif season (Table 1 and 2). Production of high density seeds through application of phytonol irrespective of the number of seedlings transplanted may indicate the potential of the chemicals in creating suitable physiological activity in favour of seed development. Spraying of phytonol may apparently be considered as superior to that of GA₃ in influencing this parameter, which may be confirmed through change (%) in this character after application of the hormone. Application of phytonol, even in the lower concentration, induced change in higher magnitude (%) than the higher concentration of GA₃, excepting for single seedling transplanting in both the seasons. Tricontanol application at heading stage increased seed weight (Nagoshi and Kawashima, 1995) corroborates the findings of present investigation.

Yield per panicle:

Number of seedlings transplanted influenced significant variation in seed yield per panicle during Kharif season only (Table 1), and significant superior performance of single seedling transplanting was S₂ and S₃ did not differ between themselves. On the other hand, this parameter was significantly influenced by both the spraying hormones

Source	No. of seedlings		100 seed weight		Panicle length		No. of grains/panicle		Yield/ha	
	Boro	Kharif	Boro	Kharif	Boro	Kharif	Boro	Kharif	Boro	Kharif
Replication	2.615	1.113	0.091	0.019	0.261	1.152	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
No. of seedlings	89.606**	2.382**	2.888**	1.205**	8.071*	1.816**	0.008	0.008	8.071	22.153
Concentration	2.588	0.985	0.051	0.019	1.395	0.119	0.002	0.002	1.150	1.192
Concentration x No. of seedlings	11.968**	1.351**	1.069**	1.105**	1.352**	1.521**	0.007**	0.007**	5.116**	38.983**
SxT	0.831	0.502	0.028	0.061	0.315	0.100	0.001**	0.001	0.965	0.161
Interaction	0.601	0.318	0.030	0.030	0.339	0.310	0.000	0.000	1.183	5.983

in both the seasons. Average seed yield/panicle was maximum as recorded for T_4 followed by T_2 i.e., higher concentration of both phytonol and GA_3 , though they were statistically at par in *Boro* season. It indicated the favorable influence of both the chemicals in enhancement on its dependent parameters, particularly matured grain% (Kawashima *et al.*, 1989). Though insignificant, the maximum seed yield per panicle (2.152 g and 2.108 g in *Boro* and *Kharif* seasons, respectively) was recorded for the combination $S_1 \times T_4$, i.e., spraying of phytonol @ 2ml/3 l of water on single seedling transplanted individuals. The change (%) over control due to spraying of those chemicals did not follow definite pattern, as could be revealed through. Highest per cent enhancement in these parameters was noted that 13.25 for S_3T_2 and 11.035 for S_2T_4 in *Boro* and *Kharif* season, respectively.

Seed yield per hectare:

Seed yield is the ultimate product of different yield contributing characters. T_4 i.e., application of phytonol 2 ml/3 l. of water significantly influenced the production of seed yield per hectare with highest magnitude followed by significantly indifferent performer T_2 i.e., application of GA_3 20ppm. Among the different number of seedlings transplanted, S_3 i.e., three seedlings transplanted per hill exhibited the maximum average yield per hectare (q) in both the seasons followed by S_2 and S_1 . While considering the interaction effect, it could be noted that when T_4 combined with S_3 produced maximum seed yield followed by $T_4 \times S_2$ and $T_2 \times S_3$ in both the seasons (Table 1 and 2). It was recorded in higher magnitude during *Boro* season (Table 1), as compared to that during *Kharif* season (Table 2), it is the general reflection in case of paddy as favourable environment prevails during seed development and maturation during *Boro* season. Similar to the expression in seed yield per hill, better influence of phytonol was recorded over that of GA_3 in both the seasons for both the characters studied as could be revealed through change (%) in those parameters. The similar results on seed yield was noted after GA_3 (25ppm) spraying, triconanol induced little effect (Thangaraj and Sivasubramanian, 1992 and Ravi *et al.*, 2007).

Authors' affiliations:

A.K. BASU, Department of Seed Science and Technology, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, NADIA (W.B.) INDIA

P.C. GUPTA, Department of Plant physiology, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, NADIA (W.B.) INDIA

LITERATURE CITED

- Deotale, R.D., Make, V. G. and Sorte, B.S. (1998). Effect of GA_3 and NAA on morphophysiological parameters of soybean. *J. Soils. Crop*, **8**: 91-94.
- De Candolle, R. (1886). *Botany of the crops. IInd edition*, **633**: 37-38.
- Evans, M.R. (1990). Control of long day floral initiation in euphorbic pulcherrima- Ph. D. Diss., Univ. of Miisot, Paulo.
- Hore, J.K. (1982). Effect of presowing treatment on germination, growth and yield of kidney bean. *J. Agron. Crop. Sci.*, **160**: 94-101.
- Katayama, K. and Akita, S. (1989). Effect of exogenously applied gibberellic acid (GA_3) on initial growth of rice cultivars. *Japanese J. Crop Sci.*, **58**(2): 217-224.
- Kawashima, S., Murata, Y., Nagoshi, T., Yokozawa, K. and Nakamura, T. (1989). Isolation and characterization of triacontanol-regulated genes in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): possible role of triacontanol as a plant growth stimulator. *Japanese J. Crop Sci.*, **58**(1): 84-90.
- Kim, J.H., Lee, S.C. and Song, D.S. (1998). Morpho-physiological studies on elongation of mesocotyl and seminal root in rice plant. II. Effects of seed treatment and soil moisture content on mesocotyl elongation. *Korean J. Crop Sci.*, **34**(4): 325-330.
- Mange, M. (1997). Study of action of gibberellic acid on development of *Cicer arietinum* and its rotation to metabolism. *Botanique*, **11**: 1-10.
- Nagoshi, T. and Kawashima, S. (1995). Effect of foliar application of triacontanol on growth and yield of rice plants. II. Effect of the triacontanol concentration. *Japanese J. Crop Sci.*, **64**(3): 537-544.
- Nagoshi, T. and Kawashima, S. (1996). Effect of growth hormones under different fertility levels on grain yield and yield attributes of rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Japanese J. Crop Sci.*, **65**(3): 437-444.
- Ravi, S., Ramesh, S. and Chandrasekaran, B. (2007). Influence of foliar application of phytohormones and nutrients on yield and nutrient uptake of transplanted rice in Annamalainagar, (T.N.) India. *Internat. J. Plant Sci.*, **2**(1): 69-71.
- Thangaraj, M. and Sivasubramanian, V. (1992). Effect of growth regulators on productivity in samba and thaladi rices. *Madras Agric. J.*, **79**(2): 71-76.
- Vaiyapuri, V. and Sriramachandrasekharan, M.V. (2003). Effect of nutron (triacontanol) on wheat production. *J. Ecobiology*, **15**(3): 197-201.
- Vasud, F., Powell, A.A. and Matthews, S. (1984). Seed quality in grain, legumes. *Internat. Rice Res. Notes*, **9**(3): 12-15.
