## Validation of CERES-RICE model for simulation of growth stages, nitrogen uptake and yield of rice

#### A.K Chaubey, A.K Agnihotri and Pankaj Singh\*

Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Ag. & Tech., Pantnagar, U.S NAGAR, (U.A.) INDIA

### ABSTRACT

CERES-RICE model runs on a Personal Computer and is based on management programs for climate, soil and cultural practices. Development and validation of this model can improve understanding of the underlying processes, pinpoint the inadequate understanding, and hence, supports strategic agricultural research. The simulated values for Panicle Initiation, Flowering and Physiological Maturity dates were overestimated in all the treatments. The simulated values of grain nitrogen content grain nitrogen uptake and grain yield were underestimated for most of the treatments Considering the values for simulated grain yield and measured grain yield, this model may be used with fair accuracy. Though there is still large scope of fine tuning this model after which it may be adopted for prediction of growth and yield parameters. The simulation control and several other parameters may also be adjusted to reach a better prediction.

Key words : Ceres - rice model, Simlation, Nitrogen uptake.

#### INTRODUCTION

Water and nitrogen are the primary factors in increasing the grain yield of rice. A rice crop may need 1000-4000 mm of water during the growing season. A major part of irrigation water in Asia goes to rice production but the efficiency on-farm may average as low as 30% in areas that are well supplied with water (Kampen, 1970).

Use of computer simulation models offer a convenient tool to evaluate different management options for optimizing N management in rice-wheat. In the most satisfactory crop growth models, current knowledge of plant growth and development from various disciplines, such as crop physiology, agrometeorology, soil science and agronomy, is integrated in a consistent, quantitative and process-oriented manner. After proper validation, the models are used to predict crop responses to different environments that are either result of global change or induced by agricultural management and to test alternative crop management options.

CERES-RICE model runs on a PC and is based on management programs for climate, soil and cultural practices. Development and validation of this model can improve understanding of the underlying processes, pinpoint the inadequate understanding, and hence, supports strategic agricultural research. Hence the current study was undertaken with the objective to study and understand the application of DSSAT model for simulation of growth, nitrogen uptake and yield of rice.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during kharif season of the year 2000 with rice crop cv Pant Dhan-4 at Crop Research Center of G.B.P.U.A & T, Pantnagar in RBD with four nitrogen levels and three water regimes replicated three times. The water regimes included continuous submergence with  $5 \pm 2.5$  c.m water (W<sub>0</sub>), 7.5 cm water one day after disappearance of ponded water (W<sub>1</sub>) and 7.5 cm water three days after its disappearance (W<sub>3</sub>). The 4 nitrogen levels were 0 (N<sub>0</sub>), 90 (N<sub>1</sub>), 120 (N<sub>2</sub>) and 150 (N<sub>3</sub>) kg N per hectare. Observations on growth stages, grain nitrogen content, grain nitrogen uptake and yield of grain were recorded. The data pertaining to treatments, soil initial values, planting, irrigation, fertilizers and required weather parameters for the year 2000 were used for running the model.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The measured and simulated results on Panicle Initiation dates (DAT), Flowering dates(DAT) and Physiological Maturity dates (DAT) and their percent accuracy are given in table 1. The percent accuracy

Table 1: Performance of CERES – RICE model for Panicle Initiation, Flowering and Physiological Maturity dates.

Treatments	Panicle Initiation (DAT)		%	Flowering(DAT)		%	Physiological Maturity(DAT)		% Accuracy
	Simulated	Measured	Accuracy	Simulated	Measured	Accuracy -	Simulated	Measured	,
$N_0W_0$	70	58	82.9	101	92	91.1	128	117	91.4
$N_0W_1$	70	60	85.7	105	90	85.7	131	115	87.8
$N_0W_3$	70	61	87.1	105	89	84.8	131	114	87.0
$N_1W_0$	70	65	92.9	105	93	88.6	131	118	90.1
$N_1W_1$	70	63	90.0	101	91	90.1	128	116	90.6
$N_1W_3$	70	66	94.3	105	92	87.6	131	117	89.3
$N_2W_0$	70	69	98.6	105	93	88.6	131	118	90.1
$N_2W_1$	70	70	100	105	92	87.6	131	117	89.3
$N_2W_3$	70	67	95.7	101	90	89.1	128	115	89.8
$N_3W_0$	70	65	92.9	105	94	89.5	131	119	90.8
$N_3W_1$	70	62	88.6	105	93	88.6	131	118	90.1
$N_3W_3$	70	66	94.3	105	92	87.6	131	117	89.3

\*DAP: Days After Transplanting



Fig. : 1 Simulated and measured grain N uptake (kg/ha) in rice.

Table 2 : Performance of CERES -	RICE model for (	arain nitrogen conte	nt. arain	nitrogen i	iptake and v	vield
		grunn mill ogon oomo	n, gran	maogonia	ipiuno una	yioia

Treatments	Grain N %		%	Grain N kg I	Grain N uptake kg N/ha		Grain yield (kg/ha)		% Accuracy
	Simulated	Measured	Accuracy	Simulated	Measured	Accuracy	Simulated	Measured	
$N_0W_0$	1.01	0.97	96.0	47	48.5	96.9	5475	5026	91.8
$N_0W_1$	1.07	0.95	88.8	65	46.0	70.8	5099	4834	94.8
$N_0W_3$	1.11	0.94	84.7	70	43.4	62.0	4380	4627	94.7
$N_1W_0$	1.12	1.10	98.2	74	67.6	91.4	6691	6168	92.2
$N_1W_1$	1.01	1.04	97.1	47	61.5	76.4	5475	5940	92.2
$N_1W_3$	1.07	1.01	94.3	65	40.3	62.0	5099	4000	78.5
$N_2W_0$	1.11	1.16	95.7	70	70.3	99.6	7080	6308	89.1
$N_2W_1$	1.12	1.12	100	74	72.9	98.5	7691	6512	84.7
$N_2W_3$	1.01	1.11	90.9	47	69.6	67.5	5475	6289	87.1
$N_3W_0$	1.07	1.20	89.2	65	78.1	83.2	7099	6505	91.6
$N_3W_1$	1.11	1.19	93.3	70	74.8	93.6	7080	6289	88.9
$N_3W_3$	1.12	1.17	95.7	74	69.9	94.5	7691	5976	77.7



Fig. 2 : Simulated and measured grain yield (kg/ha) in rice

of Panicle Initiation dates ranged from a low of 82.9 in  $N_0W_0$  to highest (100) being in treatment  $N_2W_1$ . The percent accuracy of Flowering dates ranged from 84.8 to 91.1 being highest for treatment  $N_0W_0$  and lowest for  $N_0W_3$  treatments. The Physiological Maturity had percent accuracy which ranged from lowest (87.0) in  $N_0W_3$  to highest (91.4) for treatment  $N_0W_0$ . The simulated values for Panicle Initiation, Flowering and Physiological Maturity dates were overestimated in all the treatments.

The measured and simulated results on grain nitrogen content (%), grain nitrogen uptake (kg /ha), yield (kg/ ha) and their percent accuracy are given in table 2. The percent accuracy of grain nitrogen ranged from 84.7 to 100 being highest for treatment  $N_2W_1$  and lowest for  $N_0W_3$  treatment. The percent accuracy of grain nitrogen uptake ranged from a low value of 62.0 in  $N_1W_3$  and  $N_0W_3$  treatments to a high value of 99.6 in  $N_2W_0$  treatment. The percent accuracy of grain yield ranged from 77.7 in  $N_3W_3$  treatment to 94.8 being highest for treatment  $N_0W_4$ .

The simulated values of grain yield were underestimated for treatments  $N_0W_3$ ,  $N_1W_1$  and  $N_2W_3$  while remaining treatments showed overestimated simulated values (Fig. 2). The simulated values of grain nitrogen content were underestimated for treatments  $N_1W_1$ ,  $N_2W_0$ ,  $N_2W_3$ ,  $N_3W_0$ ,  $N_3W_1$  and  $N_3W_3$  while remaining treatments showed overestimated values. The simulated values of grain nitrogen uptake were underestimated for treatments  $N_0W_0$ ,  $N_1W_1$ ,  $N_2W_0$ ,  $N_3W_3$ ,  $N_3W_1$  and  $N_3W_3$  while remaining treatments showed overestimated values. The simulated values of grain nitrogen uptake were underestimated for treatments  $N_0W_0$ ,  $N_1W_1$ ,  $N_2W_0$ ,  $N_2W_3$ ,  $N_3W_0$  and  $N_3W_1$  while remaining treatments showed overestimated values (Fig. 1).

These observations suggest that still improvements are needed in this model. The low yields under high water condition could be attributed to excessive nitrogen leaching as a result of heavy rainfall which occurred during the experimentation period. Similar observations were also made by Tripathi *et al.*, 1986, O'Toole and Padilla, 1984. Considering the values for simulated grain yield and measured grain yield, this model may be used with fair accuracy. Though there is still large scope of fine tuning this model after which it may be adopted for prediction of growth and yield parameters (Jintrawet, 1995). The simulation control and several other parameters may also be adjusted to reach a better prediction.

#### REFERENCES

Jintrawet, A. (1995). A decision support system for rapid assessment of lowland rice based cropping alternatives in Thailand. *Agric. Syst.* 47: 245-258.

Kampen, T. (1970). Water losses and water balance studies in lowland rice irrigation. Ph.D. Thesis, Cornell University. 416p.

O'Toole, J. C. and Padilla, J. L. (1984). Water deficits and nitrogen uptake as affected by different water table depth in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) *Plant and Soil.* 80(1): 127-131

Tripathi, R. P.; Kushwaha, H. S. and Mishra, R. K. (1986). Irrigation requirements of rice under shallow water table conditions *Agri. Water Management.* **12**: 127-136.

Received : October, 2005; Accepted : May, 2006

# AN ASIAN JOURNAL OF SOIL SCIENCE AN INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL