RESEARCH ARTICLE

Association between socio-economic profile characteristics of Tibetan rehabilitants and their problems

E.K. MARBANIANG, L. MANJUNATH, V.S. YADAV, S. SADAQATH AND K.V. NATIKAR

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted during 2009-10 in Uttar Kannada district of Karnataka state. Mundgod Taluk of the district where the Tibetans resided were purposively selected with 135 rehabilitants as the sample. Data were collected to analyze the association of the profile characteristics on livelihood activities of Tibetan rehabilitants. The results revealed that education, family size, annual income, risk orientation, economic motivation and social participation were found to be significantly associated with livelihood activities. Major problems expressed by the Tibetan rehabilitants in livelihood activities were lack of labour force (63.70%), uncertainty of rainfall and lack of irrigation facilities (62.96%), lack of veterinary facilities in the settlement (29.63%) and lack of remunerative price for farm produce and high price fluctuation (11.12%). Majority of the Tibetan rehabilitants (59.25%) suggested to create water facilities. A considerable per cent (44.45) suggested for training on skill development in the enterprises, followed by 29.62 and 25.92 per cent suggested for better milk price and veterinary hospital facilities, respectively.

KEY WORDS : Livelihood activities, Rehabilitants, Training, Remunerative price

Marbaniang, E.K., Manjunath, L., Yadav, V.S., Sadaqath, S. and Natikar, K.V. (2011). Association between socio-economic profile characteristics of Tibetan rehabilitants and their problems, *Adv. Res. J. Soc. Sci.*, **2** (1) : 96-100.

INTRODUCTION

Socio-economic profile is of paramount importance as it regulates the decision making and adoption behaviour of an individual. Poor participation of the people in the social organization and simultaneously average exposure to different communication sources and education level contribute a lot to the welfare of the living standard of the people. A livelihood comprises the capabilities, assets (stores, resources, claims and access) and recovers from stress and shocks maintain or enhance its capabilities and assets and provide sustainable livelihood opportunities for the next generation and which contributes net benefits to other livelihoods at the local and global levels and in the long and short run. The Tibetans had been migrated to India in the wake of the takeover of Tibet in 1959 by the China. They brought their culture and implanted here and became integral part of India. The Tibetans had been adjusted to host society for over the years- adaptation to the local environment and social conditions facing problems

in one way or the other. Keeping this in view, the present investigation was designed with the following specific objectives : to find out the association between socioeconomic characteristics and livelihood activities undertaken by the Tibetan rehabilitants and to identify the problems and suggestions for improvement in their livelihood activities.

METHODOLOGY

The investigation was carried out in Tibetan refugee colony, Mundgod Taluk of Karnataka state during the 2009-10. A list of nine villages were selected from Tibetan refugee colony by adopting simple random sampling method with 135 respondents as the total sample. Thus, 15 respondents was taken from each village. The socioeconomic profile was probed with the help of an interview schedule developed for the study. For quantitative analysis, percentages, mean, standard deviation were used for the study. Chi-square test was calculated to find out the

Correspondence to:

E.K. MARBANIANG, Department of Agricultural Extension Education, University of Agricultural Sciences, DHARWAD (KARNATAKA) INDIA Email : ereneusmarbaniang@gmail.com

Authors' affiliations:

L. MANJUNATH, V.S. YADAV, S. SADAQATH AND K.V. NATIKAR, Department of Agricultural Extension Education, University of Agricultural Sciences, DHARWAD (KARNATAKA) INDIA

association between the socio-economic characteristics and livelihood activities undertaken by the Tibetan rehabilitants.

OBSERVATIONS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of the present study as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads:

Association between socio-economic characteristics and livelihood activities undertaken by the Tibetan rehabilitants:

Education:

It can be seen from the data presented in Table 1 that the chi-square value (51.26) between education and livelihood activities was found to be highly significant associated. In terms of education, 17.78 per cent of the Tibetan rehabilitants with agriculture + dairy had education up to Primary School, whereas 0.74 per cent of them with non-farm had education up to Middle School. The findings were in line with the research results of Sarma (2004). Education is not merely a process of imparting or acquiring knowledge and habits through instruction or study but its main aim is to prepare an individual for life and all-round development of human in his/her society.

Family size:

A perusal of Table 2 expressed that family size was

significantly associated with the livelihood activities. Less percentage of 18.52 with agriculture + non-farm and agriculture + dairy (17.78%) had medium family size and 2.97 per cent each with non-farm and agriculture had large size family. As majority (51.85%) of the Tibetan rehabilitants belonged to big family, more number of the family members participated in the livelihood activities. The findings were in line with the research results of Dolli (2006).

Annual family income:

Chi-square value (65.23) between annual family income and livelihood activities was found to be significantly associated. Considerable per cent (19.26) with agriculture had low income level, followed by agriculture + dairy (17.78%) who had semi-medium income level. More the income generating activities more will be the opportunities for generating more annual income. The findings are in line with the research results of Tranthi and Mahabub Hussain (2001).

Extension contact:

Table 4 signifies that extension contact had no significant difference with the livelihood activities. Data revealed that 20.74 per cent of the Tibetan rehabilitants with agriculture + non-farm and agriculture + dairy (19.26%) had medium level of extension contact, whereas

Education					Livelihoo	od activities					Chi-square
level	Agr	iculture	Agri	+ Dairy	Agri+	Non-farm	No	n -farm	Γ	Total	value
	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	
Illiterate	14	10.37	14	10.37	6	4.45	6	4.45	40	29.63	
Primary School	15	11.11	24	17.78	16	11.85	9	6.67	64	47.41	
Middle School	-	-	3	2.23	6	4.45	1	0.74	10	7.41	
High School	-	-	1	0.74	8	5.92	6	4.45	15	11.11	51.26**
College	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	2.22	3	2.22	
Graduate	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	2.22	3	2.22	
Total	29	21.48	42	31.12	36	26.67	28	20.76	135	100	

Note: F = Frequency; % = Percentage,

* and ** indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

Table 2 : Chi-square value of family size and the livelihood activities (n=135)

				Li	velihood	activities					Chi-square
Family size	Ag	riculture	Agri	+Dairy	Agri+l	Non-farm	Nor	ı -farm	Т	otal	value
-	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	
Low	14	10.38	6	4.45	5	3.70	8	5.93	33	24.45	16.03*
Medium	11	8.14	24	17.78	25	18.52	16	11.86	76	56.30	
High	4	2.97	12	8.89	6	4.45	4	2.97	26	19.25	
Total	29	21.48	42	31.12	36	26.67	28	20.76	135	100	

Note: F = Frequency; % = Percentage,

* and ** indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

Annual family		Livelihood activities										
	Agriculture		Agri+Dairy		Agri+Non-farm		Non -farm		Total		value	
income	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	_	
Low income	26	19.26	10	7.40	5	3.71	2	1.48	43	31.86		
Semi-medium income	2	1.48	24	17.78	16	11.85	13	9.63	55	40.73		
Medium income	1	0.74	5	3.70	10	7.40	9	6.67	25	18.51	65.23**	
High income	-	-	3	2.23	5	3.71	4	2.97	12	8.90		
Total	29	21.48	42	31.12	36	26.67	28	20.76	135	100		

Table 3: Chi-square value of annual family income and the livelihood activities (n=135)

Note: F = Frequency; % = Percentage,

* and ** indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

Table 4 : Chi-square value of extension contact and the livelihood activit	es (n=135)
--	------------

Extension	Livelihood activities									Chi-square	
contact	Agi	riculture	Agri	+Dairy	Agri-	-Non-farm	No	n -farm]	Fotal	value
	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	
High	10	7.40	14	10.38	7	5.19	5	3.71	36	26.67	
Medium	18	13.34	26	19.26	28	20.74	18	13.34	90	66.67	10.56 NS
Low	1	0.74	2	1.48	1	0.74	5	3.71	9	6.67	
Total	29	21.48	42	31.12	36	26.67	28	20.76	135	100	

Note: F = Frequency; % = Percentage,

* and ** indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

0.74 per cent of them with agriculture had low level of extension contact. Majority of the respondents had extension contact with the Tibetan Cooperative Service Bank Ltd. whenever problem arises. Extension contact will not be of immense help to the respondents unless an individual really becomes aware enough of the farm technology around, as well as participate in any farm technology.

Economic motivation:

A glance at the data given in the Table 5 reveals that economic motivation was highly significant associated with the livelihood activities. About 18.52 per cent of the Tibetan rehabilitants with agriculture + dairy had high level of economic motivation, followed by agriculture + non-farm (17.04%) who had medium level of economic motivation. This shows that there was a significant difference between the selection of livelihood activities and economic motivation among the Tibetan rehabilitants. The findings are in line with the research results of Biradar (2008).

Risk orientation:

Risk orientation was highly significant associated with the livelihood activities (Table 6). About 19.26 per cent of the respondents with agriculture + dairy had high level of risk orientation, followed by agriculture + non-farm (17.78%) who had medium risk orientation. As nearly half of the respondents (47.40%) were educated up to primary school, the respondents really had capacity to take decision under uncertainty and can also withstand the uncertainties in their activity. Thus, an individual can progress in his/her day-to-day livelihood activities. The findings are in line with the research results of Sushma (2007).

Table 5 : Chi-square value of economic motivation and the livelihood activities (n=135)

Economic		Livelihood activities										
motivation	Agr	iculture	Agr	i+Dairy	Agri-	⊦Non-farm	No	n -farm		Fotal	value	
mouvation	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%		
High	4	2.96	25	18.52	10	7.40	2	1.49	41	30.37		
Medium	18	13.34	15	11.12	23	17.04	13	9.63	69	51.11	41.91**	
Low	7	5.18	2	1.48	3	2.23	13	9.63	25	18.52		
Total	29	21.48	42	31.12	36	26.67	28	20.75	135	100		

Note: F = Frequency; % = Percentage,

* and ** indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

Risk orientation		Livelihood activities											
	Agr	iculture	Agri	i+Dairy	Agri+l	Non-farm	No	n -farm]	Fotal	value		
	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%			
High	6	4.45	26	19.26	8	5.92	6	4.45	46	34.08			
Medium	22	16.29	14	10.38	24	17.78	13	9.63	73	54.06	34.37**		
Low	1	0.74	2	1.48	4	2.97	9	6.67	16	11.86			
Total	29	21.48	42	31.12	36	26.67	28	20.75	135	100			

Table 6: Chi-square value of risk orientation and the livelihood activities (n=135)

Note: F = Frequency; % = Percentage,

* and ** indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

Social participation:

From Table 7, it was very clear that social participation was significant associated with the livelihood activities. Here, 17.78 per cent of the respondents with agriculture + dairy and agriculture + non-farm (15.56%) had high level of social participation, whereas only 1.48 of them with non-farm had medium level of social participation. Majority of the respondents used to participate in any activities conducted by the Tibetan Cooperative Service Bank Ltd. *viz.*, training, fairs and festivals. Through their participation they used to share the problems and suggestions faced in their livelihood activities among them which brought more support and strength to face any uncertainty in their activities. The findings are in line with the research results of Kumawat and Sharma (1997).

Problems and suggestions of the Tibetan rehabilitants:

Problems:

It is clear from Table 8 that majority (63.70%) had lack of labour force problem because the labourers were mainly the Indian daily wage earners from the nearest villages of Koppa, Gangarathi, Sindoor, Hunugund and Bommigatta. They were few in numbers and were more technically experienced than the Tibetans. Also, the family labours among the Tibetans were very few. Due to lack of irrigation facilities and uncertainty of rainfall, 62.96% of farmers were mainly dependent for their crops only on monsoon. About 29.63 per cent expressed lack of veterinary facilities because of more susceptibility of cows and buffaloes to disease and pests, it may cause to lose their animals frequently. Again 11.12 per cent of the rehabilitants revealed the lack of remunerative price for farm produce and high price fluctuation. It may due to the fact that, majority of the Tibetan rehabilitants were facing the constraints like failure and erratic rain, high cost of inputs and labour problem. The findings are in line with the research results of Manjunath (2007).

Suggestions:

An analysis from Table 9 revealed that majority of the Tibetan rehabilitants (59.25%) suggested for creating water facilities because of sinking open wells, tube wells or by constructing small tanks for crop cultivation as well as for their animals sufficiently as most of the farmers were depending on monsoon for agriculture. A

Table 7: Chi-square value of social participation and the livelihood activities (n=135)

Social		Livelihood activities											
participation	Agr	Agriculture		Agri+Dairy		Agri+Non-farm		Non -farm activities		otal	square		
participation	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	value		
High	20	14.81	24	17.78	21	15.56	22	16.30	87	64.44			
Medium	3	2.22	5	3.71	3	2.22	2	1.48	13	9.62	15.28*		
Low	6	4.45	13	9.63	12	8.89	4	2.97	35	25.94			
Total	29	21.48	42	31.12	36	26.67	28	20.75	135	100			

Note: F = Frequency; % = Percentage,

* and ** indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

Table 8: Problems associated with the Tibetan rehabilitants (n=135)

Sr. No.	Problems	Frequency	Percentage
1.	Lack of labour force	86	63.70
2.	Uncertainty of rainfall and lack of irrigation facilities	85	62.96
3.	Lack of veterinary facilities in the settlement	40	29.63
4.	Lack of remunerative price for farm produce and high price fluctuation	15	11.12

Sr. No.	Suggestions	Frequency	Percentage
1.	Better milk price	40	29.62
2.	Creation of water facilities	80	59.25
3.	Training on skill	60	44.45
	development		
4.	Veterinary hospital	35	25.92
	facilities		
5.	Increase in salary of the	10	7.40
	service personnel		
6.	Education on improvement	42	31.12
	of dairy management		

Table 9 : Suggestions of the respondents for their livelihood improvement (n=135)

considerable per cent of (44.45) suggested training on skill development in the enterprises, followed by 29.62 and 25.92 per cent suggested for better milk price for the producer and veterinary hospital facilities, respectively because majority of the respondents had undertaken cow and buffalo dairy activities in which the livestock were more susceptible to pest and diseases, so, they need regular vaccination and other treatments. The findings are in line with the research results of Deepak (2003).

Other suggestions offered by Tibetan rehabilitants were educating them on improvement of dairy management practices, especially on feeding of milch animals, pregnant animals, care of pregnant animals (31.12%) and 7.40 per cent of them suggested to increase the salary among the service personnel to improve their daily livelihood. The findings are in line with the research results of Singh *et al.* (2004).

LITERATURE CITED

- Biradar ,B. (2008). A study on impact of income generating activities on sustainable rural livelihoods of KAWAD project beneficiaries. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka (India).
- Deepak. M.P. (2003). A Study on perception of beneficiaries and non beneficiaries towards WYTEP programme in Dharwad district. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka (India).
- Dolli, S.S. (2006). Sustainability of natural resources management in watershed development project. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka (India).
- Manjunath, K. (2007). A study on rehabilitant farmers in upper Krishna project area of Bagalkot district in Karnataka. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka (India).
- Kumawat, S.R. and Sharma, S.K. (1997). Factor affecting the impact of Nirman Sansthan (NGO). *Indian J. Soc. Res.*, 38(1&2): 11-17.
- Sarma, E.A.S. (2004). Rural economy breaking down farmer's suicide in Andhra Pradesh. *Econ. Pol. Weekly*, pp.3087-3089.
- Singh, P., Singh, M., Jaiswal, R.S. and Raikwar, R. (2004). Feeding of existing concentrate mixtures to dairy animals in the Kumaon Hills of Uttaranchal. *Indian J. Animal Res.*, 38(2): 147-149.
- Sushma, K.C. (2007). An analysis of entrepreneurship development in women through EDP trainings. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka (India).
- Tranthi, O.T., Mahabub Hossain, A.J. (2001). Modern farm technology and infrastructure in Vietnam : Impact on income distribution and poverty. *Econ. Pol. Weekly*, 33(52&55): 4638-4643.

