Research Paper

ADVANCE RESEARCH JOURNAL OF C R P I M P R O V E M E N T Volume 3 | Issue 2 | December, 2012 | 105-109

#### AUTHORS' INFO

INDIA

#### Associated Co-author : <sup>1</sup>Remote Sensing Applications Centre U.P., LUCKNOW (U.P.)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Soil Science, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, MEERUT (U.P.) INDIA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Agronomy, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, MEERUT (U.P.) INDIA

## Author for correspondence : **PREM NATH**

Department of Agronomy, Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, FAIZABAD (U.P.) INDIA Email : nath.prem81@gmail.com

# Effect of phosphorus and weed control measures on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

PREM NATH, AMAR NATH<sup>1</sup>, SATENDRA KUMAR<sup>2</sup>, DHARMENDRA KUMAR<sup>2</sup> AND ASHISH DWIVEDI<sup>3</sup>

**ABSTRACT :** The field experiment was conducted during the *Rabi* season of 2005-06 at Agronomy Research Farm at Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj) Faizabad, U.P. to, study the effect of phosphorus and weed control measures on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) variety Udai (KPG-59). Sixteen-treatment combinations comprised of four levels of phosphorus (control, 20, 40 and 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>) and four treatments of weed control measures (weedy check, hand weeding at 30 DAS, pendimethline@ 1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and rice straw mulch) were tested in Randomized Block Design with three replications. Growth and yield attributes as well as root length, number of nodules were affected significantly due to increase the phosphorus levels. However, weed density and weed dry weight were decreased significantly with increasing levels of P. Among the weed control measures, hand weeding at 30 DAS proved its superiority over other methods of weed control in respect of all the growth characters and yield attributes as well as grain and straw yield of chickpea crop followed by pendimethlin@ 1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. On the basis of economics the highest net return was recorded under hand weeding at 30 DAS alone and found most remunerative, which was recorded the highest net income in rupee invested of Rs 3.52.

Key Words : Chickpea, Phosphorus levels, Weed control measures

How to cite this paper : Nath, Prem, Nath, Amar, Kumar, Satendra, Kumar, Dharmendra and Dwivedi, Ashish (2012). Effect of phosphorus and weed control measures on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicerarietinum* L.), *Adv. Res. J. Crop Improv.*, **3** (2) : 105-109.

Paper History : Received : 18.06.2012; Revised : 01.09.2012; Accepted : 27.10.2012

he pulses in the dietary to the mankind make high edible protein which contains essential amino acid to meet the optimum protein requirement of vegetation population. The pulses fix the atmospheric nitrogen into the soil thereby enriching the soil with nitrogen at no extra cost among the winter season pulses. Chickpea has diversified uses such as dal, basan, fresh green seeds for vegetable and fresh green leaves for sag for human consumption and feeding to animals. It is considered to have medicinal effect and it is used for blood purification, chickpea contains 18-22 per cent protein, 52-70 per cent carbohydrate, 4-10 per cent fat and sufficient quantity of minerals and vitamins. Besides, being a rich source of protein it is also considered important for sustainable agriculture, improves the physico- chemical characteristics as well as biological properties of soil and function as mini nitrogen factory. Chickpea is one of the important pulse crops of Rabi season. The chickpea is grown in India on an area of 8.81 mha. With production of 6.68 mtwhich

amount 65 and 68 per cent of the global area and production, respectively. In Uttar Pradesh, it is cultivated on an area of 868 lakh hectares with an annual production of 828.4 lakh tonnes. Thus, the average productivity of chickpea in Uttar Pradesh is very low out of several reasons for low productivity, soil fertility status and inadequate weed management may be considered as major constraints. Phosphate fertilization of chickpea promotes growth nodulation and enhance yield. Phosphorus imparts hardiness shoots, improves grain quality, regulate the photosynthes is govern physico-biocamical processes and also helps in root enlargement, nodule production and there by increases nitrogen fixation (Chaudhary et al., 1975). Weed control is achieved through direct methods and by adopting indirect methods such as altered land preparation, soil moisture regulation, planting methods and fertility management. Manual weeding at 25 and 40 days after sowing increased seed yield of chickpea by 170 per cent over weedy check. Mulch also increased the grain yield and straw yield of chickpea.

## **R**ESEARCH **P**ROCEDURE

The field experiment was conducted during Rabi season, 2005-2006 at Agronomy Research Farm of Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj) Faizabad (U. P.) India. The field study was planned and layout in randomized block design. Chickpea was sown in second fortnight of October and was harvested in the second fortnight of March. The soil of the experimental field was poor in available nitrogen and medium in phosphorus and potassium with alkaline in reaction. The organic carbon content in the soil was 0.34 per cent. During crop season, the maximum temperature varied from 21.8°C to 35.0 °C. The maximum rainfall of 24.2 mm was recorded in the month of October and total rainfall received during the crop period was 69.5 mm. The sunshine hours ranges from October 2.6 to 9.9 hours. Relative humidity was the maximum 78 per cent in the month of October. Chickpeavariety Udai (KPG- 59) was sown in furrows opened by Kudal at the spacing of 30 cm apart using 80 kg seed ha<sup>-1</sup>. Soil of the experimental site has been classified as sandy loam and field was drained and well leveled. Soil samples were collected at random from different parts of experimental field (16 places) with the help of a soil auger to a depth of 0-22.5 cm prior to the fertilizer application. The collected soil samples were mixed together and a composite sample was drawn and analyzed. A basal dose of 20 kg nitrogen through urea was applied uniformly to all plots. The observations pertaining to growth and dry matter accumulation were recorded at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest. The value was averaged and expressed as height/plant (cm). Weed population was studied with the help of a quadrate (50cm x 50cm) placed in second row in the different corners of the plot in different observations. The populations counts were taken at different stages of crop growth i.e. 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest, sampled plants were dried in sun and subsequently into oven at 70°C till constant weight were obtained and total dry matter accumulation of whole plant was recorded.

### **R**ESEARCH ANALYSISAND REASONING

The results obtained from the present investigation have been discussed in the following sub heads:

#### **Plant height:**

Phosphorus levels per hectare and weed control measures markedly influenced the plant height at all the crop growth stages in the year (Table 1). The plants grow slowly upto 60 days and there after a fast growth rate was observed upto 90 days. Plant height was affected significantly by different phosphorus levels, except at 20 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> ( $P_1$ ) at all the stages of crop growth except 30<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> day stages. At30<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> day stages plant height was recorded at par due to various phosphorus levels. Among all the phosphorus treatments, higher plant height was recorded at w<sub>0</sub>( $P_2$ ) at all the stages and

Table 1 : Effect of phosphorus and weed control measures on plant height (cm)				
Treatments	Plant height at various stages			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest
Phosphorus (kg P2	O <sub>5</sub> )/ ha			
P <sub>0</sub>	15.69	26.11	43.34	59.45
P <sub>1</sub>	16.14	26.47	46.28	61.14
P <sub>2</sub>	16.62	26.81	50.67	65.84
P <sub>3</sub>	17.25	27.11	55.39	71.17
S.E.±	0.53	0.52	1.3	1.72
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	3.76	4.96
Weed control measures				
$W_0$	15.72	23.61	46.33	58.28
$\mathbf{W}_1$	16.42	27.00	49.25	65.61
<b>W</b> <sub>2</sub>	16.37	26.42	47.42	64.06
<b>W</b> <sub>3</sub>	16.75	29.47	52.67	69.64
S.E.±	0.53	0.52	1.3	1.72
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	1.5	3.76	4.96
Interaction PxW	NS	NS	NS	NS
NS=Non-significan	t			

lowest with control ( $P_0$ ). Phosphorus 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> ( $P_3$ ) recorded significantly higher plant height on all the lower levels of phosphorus at 90 and at harvest stage of crop growth. The effect of different weed control measures on plant height is depicted. Plant height was affected significantly due to various weed control measures at all the stages of crop growth, except at 30<sup>th</sup> day crop stage. Among all the weed control treatments, plant height was recorded in mulch (w<sub>3</sub>) and lowest in weedy check  $(w_0)$  treatments at all the stages of crop growth. All the weed control measures did not observe significant difference as compared to weedy check  $(w_0)$  at all the stages. Hand weeding  $(w_1)$  and pendimethalin @1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  $(w_2)$  being at par with weedy check  $(w_0)$  at 90 day of crop growth. Mulch  $(w_3)$ recorded significantly higher plant height as compared to all the weed control measure at all the stages of crop growth except at 30 day stage.

#### Dry matter accumulation plant<sup>-1</sup> (g):

Phosphorus levels per hectare and weed control measures markedly influenced the dry matter accumulation (g) at all the crop stages in the year (Table 2). In general, dry matter accumulation increased with increasing crop age. Lower doses of phosphorus resulted in substantially less dry matter as compared to all other treatments. Phosphorus at 20 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> ( $P_1$ ) being at par with other higher level of phosphorus at 30<sup>th</sup>days and at harvest recorded significantly more crop dry matter as compared to weedy check ( $w_0$ ). Phosphorus 40 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> ( $P_2$ ) being at par with 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> ( $P_3$ ) recorded significantly more crop dry matter as compared to lower phosphorus levels at 60 DAS. At 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> ( $P_3$ ) recorded significantly more crop dry matter as compared to lower

Table 2 : Effect of phosphorus and weed control measures on dry weight plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)					
Treatments -		Dry weight $plant^{-1}(g)$			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest	
Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> )/ ha					
P <sub>0</sub>	0.37	1.51	3.36	19.12	
P <sub>1</sub>	0.41	1.67	4.23	22.77	
P <sub>2</sub>	0.43	1.85	4.52	23.03	
P <sub>3</sub>	0.44	1.98	5.43	23.71	
S.E. <u>+</u> .	0.01	0.05	0.13	0.35	
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.03	0.14	0.37	1.01	
Weed control measures					
$\mathbf{W}_0$	0.39	1.53	3.67	19.35	
$\mathbf{W}_1$	0.43	1.88	4.80	23.91	
$\mathbf{W}_2$	0.42	1.82	4.71	23.69	
$W_3$	0.41	1.78	4.66	23.08	
S.E. <u>+</u> .	0.01	0.05	0.13	0.35	
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.03	0.14	0.37	1.01	
Interaction PxW	NS	NS	NS	NS	

NS=Non-significant

phosphorus levels at 90 DAS. Among weed control measures, weedy check  $(w_0)$  resulted in significantly less dry matter accumulation as compared to all other treatments, at all the stages of crop growth. All the weed control measures being at par resulted in significantly higher dry matter accumulation at all the stages of crop growth as compared to weedy check  $(w_0)$ .

#### Effect on yield:

The perusal of the data revealed that phosphorus 40 kg  $P_2O_5/ha$  ( $P_2$ ) being at par with 60 kg  $P_2O_5/ha$  ( $P_3$ ) resulted in significantly higher grain yield as compared to lower phosphorus levels (Table 3). Among weed control measures, weedy check ( $W_0$ ) resulted significantly less grain yield as compared to rest of the treatments. Among weed control measures, hand weeding ( $W_1$ ) showed significantly higher grain yield as compared to other weed control measures.

The perusal of the data revealed that phosphorus 40 kg  $P_2O_5/ha$  ( $P_2$ ) being at par with 60 kg  $P_2O_5/ha$  ( $P_3$ ) resulted in significantly higher straw yield as compared to lower phosphorus levels. The control ( $P_0$  recorded) the significantly less straw yield among all the treatments. Among weed control measures, weedy check ( $W_0$ ) resulted significantly less straw yield as compared to all other weed control measures while hand weeding ( $W_1$ ) treatments being at par with pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha ( $W_2$ ) showed significantly higher straw yield as compared to other weed control measures. The different levels of phosphorus and weed control measures did not influence the harvest index of chickpea.

#### Nitrogen depletion by weeds:

Nitrogen depletion consistently increased with increasing

Table 3 : Effect of phosphorus and weed control measures on grain and straw yield and harvest index of chickpea					
Treatments	Grain yield (q/ha)	Straw yield (q/ha)	Harvest index (%)		
Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub>	Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha)				
P <sub>0</sub>	16.19	24.09	40.01		
P <sub>1</sub>	19.26	26.94	41.21		
P <sub>2</sub>	21.28	30.75	41.74		
P <sub>3</sub>	22.93	31.42	42.61		
S.E. <u>+</u>	0.67	0.93			
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.94	2.67			
Weed control measures					
$\mathbf{W}_0$	17.15	24.5	40.21		
$\mathbf{W}_1$	22.24	31.52	41.99		
$\mathbf{W}_2$	20.88	29.38	41.06		
<b>W</b> <sub>3</sub>	19.38	27.8	40.86		
S.E. <u>+</u>	0.67	0.93			
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.94	2.67			
Interaction PxW	NS	NS			

NS=Non-significant

phosphorus levels (Table 4). Application of phosphorus at 40 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha ( $P_2$ ) being at par with 60 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha ( $P_3$ ) recorded significantly higher depletion of nitrogen by weeds as compared to lower levels of phosphorus. Phosphorus depletion consistently increased with increasing phosphorus levels. Application of phosphorus at 60 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha ( $P_3$ ) being at par with 40 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha recorded maximum phosphorus depletion (0.128 kg/ha) by weeds, which was significantly higher over 20 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha ( $P_1$ ) fallowed by control. Application of 60 kg  $P_2O_5$ /h

Table 4 : Effect of phosphorus and weed control measures on nitrogen and phosphorus depletion by weeds				
Treatments	Depletion by weed kgha <sup>-1</sup>			
Treatments	Nitrogen	Phosphorus		
Phosphorus P2O5 kgha <sup>-1</sup>				
P <sub>0</sub>	1.60	0.110		
P <sub>1</sub>	1.66	0.117		
$P_2$	1.86	0.124		
P <sub>3</sub>	1.89	0.128		
S.E.±	0.06	0.003		
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.17	0.009		
Weed control measures				
$\mathbf{W}_0$	1.90	0.118		
$W_1$	1.67	0.114		
$W_2$	1.68	0.121		
<b>W</b> <sub>3</sub>	1.77	0.127		
S.E.±	0.06	0.003		
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.17	0.009		
Interaction (P x W)	NS	NS		

NS=Non-significant

ha (P<sub>3</sub>) being at par with 40 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha (P<sub>2</sub>) recorded significantly higher phosphorus depletion by weeds as compared to control. The weeds allowed to grow with crop for the entire season removed the highest quantity of nitrogen (1.90 kg/ha) from the field while lowest quantity of nitrogen removed was recorded with the hand weeding. Among weed control measures, all the treatment being at par significantly lower level of depletion by weeds as compared to weedy check. The weeds allowed to grow with crop for the entire season removed the highest quantity of phosphorus (0.118 kg/ha) from the field while lowest quantity of phosphorus removed was recorded with the hand weeding (W<sub>1</sub>). Among weed control measures, hand weeding (W<sub>1</sub>) recorded significantly lower as compared to mulch (W<sub>3</sub>) and at par with other treatments.

#### Total dry matter production of weeds:

Dry matter production was decreased with increasing levels of phosphorus at all the stages of crop growth (Table 5). Dry weight of weeds was recorded at par at all the levels of phosphorus significantly less quantity of dry matter accumulation was recorded at all the growth stages.Weed dry matter was also depicted at various crop growth stages under the different weed control measures.All the weed control resulted significantly lower dry matter accumulation at all the stages of crop growth as compared to weedy check. Interaction between phosphorus levels and weed control increases found non- significant at all the stages of crop growth with respect to

ary weight accumulation (g/m)				
	Crop growth stage (DAS)			
Treatments	60	90	At harvest	
			(147)	
Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha)				
$P_0$	9.65	10.98	12.41	
P <sub>1</sub>	8.06	9.13	10.34	
$P_2$	7.80	7.90	10.14	
P <sub>3</sub>	7.44	8.48	9.94	
$S.E.\pm$	0.33	0.44	0.54	
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.03	1.27	1.56	
Weed control measures				
$\mathbf{W}_0$	9.82	11.13	12.49	
$W_1$	7.37	8.49	9.77	
$W_2$	7.67	8.73	10.00	
<b>W</b> <sub>3</sub>	9.67	9.16	10.57	
S.E.±	0.33	0.44	0.54	
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.03	1.27	1.56	
Interaction (P x W)	NS	NS	NS	

Table 5 : Effect of phosphorus and weed control measures on weed

 $P_{0=0}$  kg  $P_2$  O<sub>5</sub>,  $P_{1=}$  40 kg  $P_2$  O<sub>5</sub>,  $P_{3=}$  60 40 kg  $P_2$  O<sub>5</sub>,

W<sub>0=</sub> weedy check, W<sub>1=</sub> Hand weeding 30 DAS,

W<sub>2=</sub>Pendimethalin 1.0 kgha<sup>-1</sup> (pre-Em.),

 $W_{4=}$  Rice straw mulch 5 cm thick (post Em.)

DAS= Days after Sowing

dry matter accumulation due to weeds.

## LITERATURE CITED

Ahuja, K.N. and Yaduraju, N.T. (1995). Response of chickpea genotypes to herbicides application. Indian J. Weed Sci., 27 (1 & 2): 89.

- Al, M. and Kumar, S. (2005). Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) research in India: accomplishment and future strategies. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.*, **75** (3): 125-133.
- Ali, M., Kumar, S. and Singh, N.B. (2003). Chickpea research of India. Indian Institute Pulse Research, pp.99-118.
- Bahadur, M.M., Ashrofuzaman, M., Kabir, M.A., Chaudhary, M.F. and Majumdar, D.A.N. (2002). Response of chickpea varieties to different levels of phosphorus. *Crop Res.*, *Hisar*, 23 (3): 293-299.
- Balyan, R.S. and Bhan, V.M. (1984). Promising herbicides for weed control in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L). Haryana J. Agron., 7 (2): 69-75.
- Chaudhary, S.L., Ram, S. and Giri, G. (1975). Effect of phosphorus nitrogen and inoculum on root, nodulation and yield of gram. *Indian J. Agron.*, **20** (3): 290-291.
- Chopra, N., Singh, H.P. and Chopra, N.K. (2001). Effect of herbicides and weeding on weeds in chickpea. *Indian J. of Weed Sci.*, **33** (3 & 4): 194-197.
- Dadhich, S.C. and Mali, A.L. (1991). Effect of herbicide and phosphorus on crop-weed competition in chickpea. *Indian J. Agron.*, **36**: 283-285.
- Dixit, J.P., pandey, R.P. and Namdeo, K.N. (1983). Influence of phosphorus fertilizers on Bengal gram (*Cicer arietinu* L.) *Madras Agric. J.*, **70**: 478-479.
- Manjhi, S. and Chaudhary, S.L. (1971). Response of Bengal gram (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to four levels of phosphorus applied alone combined with nitrogen and potassium. *Indian J. Agron.*, **16** (2): 247-249.
- Meena, L.R., Singh, R.K. and Gautam, R.C. (2006). Effect of moisture conservation practices, phosphorus levels and bacterial inoculation on growth and economics of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L) *Legume, Res.*, **29** (1):68-72.

#### EFFECT OF PHOSPHORUS & WEED CONTROL MEASURES ON GROWTH & YIELD OF CHICKPEA (Cicerarietinum L.)

- Mishra, M.N. (1971). Effect of doses and method of phosphorus placement on growth, yield and uptake of phosphorus by gram (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under irrigated conditions. *Indian J. Agron.*, **16**: 60-63.
- Singh, A.N. and Bhan, V.M.(1995). Effect of phosphorus and weed control treatment on weeds in chickpea. Annual report (1994-95) *NRCWS*, Adhartal, Jabalpur.
- Singh, Ajay and Vaishya, R.D. (2001). Effect of weed management techniques and phosphorus levels on weed infestation and seed yield of late sown chickpea. *Indian J. Pulses Res.*, 14 (2): 119-121.
- Tomar, R.K.S. and Raghu, J.S. (1994). Response of Chickpea to phosphorus and rhizobium inoculation under rainfedcondition. *Indian J. Pulse Res.*, 7 (1): 38-40.
- Vaishya, R.D., Rai, O.P. and Singh, S.S. (1999). Weed control in chickpea with pre-emergence herbicides in Uttar Pradesh. *Indian J. Pulses Res.*, 12 (2): 197-200.
- Yadav, M.P., Singh, M.M. and Dixit, R.S. (1985). Response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L) varieties to phosphorus. *Indian J. Agron.*, **30** (1): 122-123.

\*\*\*\*\*\*