Management of pea mosaic virus by leaf extracts of some medicinal plants PREETI TIWARI, HENA KHAN, N.A. ANSARI AND J.P. TEWARI

International Journal of Plant Protection (April, 2010), Vol. 3 No. 1 : 117-119

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to : J.P. TEWARI Plant Pathology Lab, Department of Botany, M.L.K. (P.G.) College, BALRAMPUR (U.P.) INDIA

Key words :

mosaic virus,

Medicinal plants

Management, Pea

SUMMARY

The present investigation deals with effect of seven medicinal plants extracts on the inhibition of three strains of pea mosaic virus *viz.*, A (mild), B (severe), and C (moderate) on different intervals. It was recorded that medicinal plant extracts were inhibitory for all the three strains. Maximum reduction in disease incidence was noted by leaf extrats of *Rauwolfia serpentina* for all the three strains upto 75 days. Treatment of leaf extracts of *Rauwolfia serpentina* was also very effective for plant growth parameter.

Dea (Pisum sativum L.) is one of the most important pulse crops of the world. In our country, pea is grown commercially in U.P., M.P., Bihar and Maharashtra. The pea is affected by many diseases among which viral diseases play an important role in economy. The most common viral disease on pea is pea mosaic virus (Tu et al., 1970; Singh and Mall, 1974; Dhingra and Chenulu, 1980; Agarwal et al., 1995; Singh et al., 2007 and Singh, 2009). Many workers have investigated reduction in plant virus diseases of different crops by application of several other methods and found increased yield (Griffing, 1956; Simons, 1960; Ali and Said, 1987; Singh et al., 2004; Ansari and Tewari, 2005; Devaraju and Patil, 2005; Pun et al., 2005; Awasthi and Verma, 2006; Shukla et al., 2006; Srivastava et al., 2009; Ansari, 2007; Ansari et al., 2007 and Singh et al., 2009).

The present investigation have been planned to see the effect of leaf extract of some medicinal plants on pea infected by pea mosaic virus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The seeds of pea var. contender were grown in an insect proof condition. Three strains of pea mosaic virus *viz*. A, B, C, already maintained in laboratory were used as inoculum (Singh, 2009). Fifteen days old seedlings were taken and divided into four groups each containing fifty seedling. Seedling of I, II and III groups were inoculated with three strains of PMV while the IVth group was inoculated with natural phosphate buffer which served as control. Treatments were given at 15 days intervals upto 75 days. The disease incidence was recorded by visual observation of symptoms at two weeks interval and % incidence was determined/ plot using standard method. Changes of plant growth parameters in each treatment were also recorded and analysed statistically.

The leaf extracts of seven medicinal plants were used in this study. For preparation of leaf extract, the healthy leaves were air dried in shade for the duration of 15 days and then finely powdered. The powdered leaf materials were mixed in water in container and then heated on water bath at 80°C for one hour. The extract was filtered and volume of the filtrate was made upto 1:10 dilution (w/v), then were used for further studies. All experiments were conducted in R.B.D. and datas were statistically analyzed (Chandel, 2004).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of Table 1 revealed that leaf extracts of all the seven tested medicinal plants were effective in reducing the incidence of the disease and promoting the growth of the plants (Table 1 and 2). Among the treatments, *Rauwolfia serpentina* leaf extracts were highly effective. It reduced the disease incidence of PMV-A (81.19%), PMV-B (79.06%) and PMV-C (83.65%). The general mean incidence of the disease indicates that

Accepted : March, 2010

Table 1: Effect of medicinal plants on disease incidence of Pea mosaic virus Disease incidence	cinal pla	ants on c	disease	inciden	ce of Pe Disea	of Pea mosaic vi Disease incidence	ic virus ence														
E		15 days			30 days		7	45 days		ē	60 days		6	75 days		Avera	Average incidence of Disease	ence	Perce	Percent reduction ever control	tion
Ireaunen	0 14	PMV			PMV			PMV			PMV			PMV			PMV				
	¥¥	B*	ů	Α	в	с	Α	в	c	Α	В	ပ	A	В	C	Ą	в	0			
Catharanthus roseus	12.00	12.00 18.00 6.00 13.00 18.50	6.00	13.00	18.50	10.00	13.50	18.50	10.50	14.00	18.90	9.00	14.00	20.00	00.6	13.30	18.78	8.90	10.00 13.50 18.50 10.50 14.00 18.90 9.00 14.00 20.00 9.00 13.30 18.78 8.90 47.22 35.38 46.12	35.38	46.12
Withania somenifera	19.00	19.00 20.00		5.50 14.50 21.50	21.50	8.50	15.00	22.00		7.50 15.00 22.50			14.50	7.00 14.50 22.40	6.90	14.60	14.60 21.68	7.68	42.06	26.55	57.14
Bacopa monerii	11.00	11.00 17.00 5.00 12.50 17.40	5.00	12.50	17.40	9.50	13.00	19.50	10.00	12.00	20.40	11.00	11.50	20.50	11.00	12.00	13.00 19.50 10.00 12.00 20.40 11.00 11.50 20.50 11.00 12.00 18.96 9.30 12.00 18.96 9.30 10.00	9.30	52.30	35.77 43.70	43.70
Boerhaavia diffusa	8.00	8.00 15.50	4.00	9.50 16.40	16.40	6.00	0.60	17.00	6.50	9.50	17.50	6.50	9.20	17.50	7.00	9.22	16.78	6.00	63.41	43.15	63.68
Calotropis procera	8.20	8.20 12.00 4.50	4.50	9.30 13.00	13.00	6.00	6.00	14.50	7.00	9.00	14.50	7.00	8.50	14.00	6.50	8.80	13.60	6.20	65.07	53.92	62.46
Ocimum sanctum	5.50	8.00	3.50	6.90	9.00	4.00	7.20	9.50	5.50	7.20	9.40	5.00	7.20	9.40	4.90	6.80	90.6	4.58	73.00	69.30	72.27
Rauwolfia serpentina	4.00	5.00	2.00	5.20	5.90	3.50	5.50	6.90	3.00	4.50	6.50	2.50	4.54	6.50	2.50	4.74	6.18	2.70	81.19	79.06	83.65
Control	18.50	18.50 29.20 15.40 25.30 30.00	15.40	25.30	30.00	16.20	26.00	32.80	17.50 25.20		31.10	17.00	31.00	24.50 16.50	16.50	25.20	29.52	16.52			
SD	3.29	3.29 5.11 1.24 3.13 5.11	1.24	3.13	5.1	2.40	3.24	5.07	2.41	3.46 5.44		2.51	3.34	5.54	2.52	3.29	5.25	2.14			
S.E. ±	1.24	1.24 1.93 0.47 1.18 1.93	0.47	1.18	1.93	06.0	1.23	1.23 1.92	0.913 1.31 2.06	1.31		0.95	1.26	0.95 1.26 2.09 0.956 1.24	0.956	1.24	1.99	0.81			
* $A = mile$	* B	* B = Severe	16	*	* C = Moderate	erate															

[Internat. J. Plant Protec., 3 (1) April, 2010]

all the treatments significantly reduced incidence of the disease when compared to control. The results also indicate that statistically maximum inhibition occurred after 75 days, afterwards it became static.

All the growth characteristics *viz.*, plant length, number of flower, number of pod, and fruit length were superior with *Rauwolfia serpentina* leaf extracts treatment in comparison to other treatments (Table 2).

	fect of med arameters of		nts on plai	nt growth
1			th parameter	
Treatments	Plant length (cm.)	No. of flower	No. of pod/plant	Fruit length
Catharanthus roseus	125 (44.0)*	7 (42.8)	9 (55.5)	8(37.5)
Withania somenifera	132 (46.9)	8 (50.0)	10 (60.0)	9 (44.4)
Bacopa monerii	100 (30.0)	5 (20.0)	8 (50.0)	7 (28.5)
Boerhaavia diffusa	145 (51.7)	9 (55.5)	12 (66.6)	12 (58.3)
Calotropis procera	135 (48.1)	8 (50.0)	11 (63.6)	10 (50.0)
Ocimum sanctum	149 (53.0)	10 (60.0)	15 (73.3)	13 (61.5)
sanctum Rauwolfia serpentina	165 (57.5)	12 (66.6)	20 (80.0)	15 (66.6)
Control	70	4	4	5
S.D.	18.99	2.05	3.83	2.66
S.E. ±	7.19	0.77	1.45	1.00

* Increase over control.

It is clear from the results presented in Table 1 and 2 that leaf extracts of different medicinal plant applied before virus infection reduced the disease incidence, symptom severity and delayed symptoms appearance. The protective effect was more pronounced if the number of sprays were increased upto 5, which showed highest reduction in the disease incidence and promoting the growth parameters of the plants.

Similar results were obtained and similar trend has been recorded by Verma and Prasad, 1983; Verma *et al.*, 1984, Awasthi *et al.*, 1989; Patel *et al.*, 1997 and Ansari *et al.*, 2007.

Thus, the leaf extracts of medicinal plants offer a novel, economic and eco-friendly tool for the management of pea mosaic virus disease. Therefore, the extract of medicinal plants may be recommended against pea mosaic virus disease.

•HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE•

Acknowledgement :

The authors are grateful to Head, Department of Botany, M.L.K. (P.G.) College, Balrampur (U.P.) for providing necessary facilities.

Authors' affiliations:

PREETI TIWARI, HENA KHAN AND N.A. ANSARI, Department of Botany, M.L.K. (P.G.) College, BALRAMPUR (U.P.) INDIA

REFERENCES

Agarwal, S.R., Singh, K. and Singh, A.K. (1995). Effect of cowpea mosaic virus infection on the bacterial population and nitrogen fixation by cowpea plant. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting & Symposium on foliar diseases of field crops & their management (Abst.) Faizabad, 17th to 18th Jan., 1995.

Ali, A.A. and Said, N.M.H. (1987). Influence of seedling production methods on tomato transplant infestation with tobacco whitefly an subsequent infection with tomato yellow leaf curl virus in plastic house. *Arabic J. Pl. Protec.*, **5** : 24-30.

Ansari, N.A. and Tewari, J.P. (2005). Eco-friendly management of tomato leaf curl disease by using leaf extracts from some angiosperms. *Geobios*, **32**:203-205.

Ansari, N.A. (2007). Studies on the epidemiology and ecofriendly management of tomato leaf curl disease (LCD) in eastern Uttar Pradesh. Ph.D. Thesis, Dr. R.M.L. Avadh Univ. Faizabad (U.P.).

Ansari, N.A., Pathak, M., Tewari, H.D. and Tewari, J.P. (2007). Management of tomato leaf curl virus by gymnosperms neem, and insecticides. *Ann. Pl. Protec. Sci.*, **15** : 429 – 433.

Awasthi, L.P., Kluge, S. and Verma, H.N. (1989). Characteristics of antiviral agents induced by *Boerhaavia diffusa* glycoprotein in host plants. *Indian J. Virol.*, **3**:156-169.

Awasthi, L.P. and Verma, H.N. (2006). *Boerhaavia diffusa* – a wild herb with potent biological and antimiciobial properties. *Asian-History*, **10**: 55-68.

Chandel, S.R.S. (2004). *A Hand Book Of Agricultural Statistics*. Achal Prakashan Mandir, Kanpur, India.

Devaraju and Patil, M.S. (2005). Management of potato viral diseases by use of mulches and chemicals. *Indian J. Plant Pathol.*, **23**: 77-80.

Dhingra, K.L. and Chenulu, V.V. (1980). Effect of soybean mosaic virus on yield and nodulation of soybean cv. BRAGG. *Indian Phytopath.*, **33**:586–590.

Griffing, B. (1956). Concept of general combining ability in relation to diallel crossing system. *Australian J. Biol. Sci.*, **9** :463-493.

Patel, N.C., Patel, J.J., Jayani, B.D., Patel, J.R. and Patel, B.D. (1997). Bioefficacy of conventional insecticides against pests of okra. *Indian J.Ent.*, **59**:51-53.

Pun, K.B., Doraiswamy, S. and Jeyarajan, R. (2005). Management of okra yellow vein mosaic virus disease and its whitefly vector. *Indian, J.Virol.*, **16**:32-35.

Shukla, D., Ansari, N.A., Srivastava, G., Shukla, S., Chaturvedi, R.C., Srivastava, A.K., Pandey, A., Yadav, A.K. and Tewari, J.P. (2006). Integrated management of a viral disease of *Luffa acutangula* Roxb. caused by watermelon mosaic virus I. J. Liv. – World, 13:37-40.

Simons, J.N. (1960). Effects of foliar sprays of cytoririn on susceptibility and transmissibility of potato virus in pepper. *Phytopathology*, **50** : 109-111.

Singh, I.P., Ansari, N.A., Gautam, A., Shukla, D., Srivastava, S., Mishra, V.A., Shukla, S., Srivastava, G.P. and Tewari, J.P. (2007). Some metabolic changes in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) infected with pea mosaic virus. *Internat. J. Plant Sci.*, **2** (1): 192-196.

Singh, A.K., Nazam A., Verma H.N. and Awasthi L.P. (2009). Control of natural virus infection on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) by root extract of *Boerhavia diffusa*. *Internat. J. Plant Protec.*, **2** (2): 195-198.

Singh, I.P. (2009). Studies on a mosaic virus of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) with reference to host metabolism and control Ph.D. Thesis, Dr. R.M.L. Avadh University, Faizabad, 2009.

Singh, R. and Mall, T.P. (1974). Studies on the nodulation and nitrogen fixation by infected leguminous plants. I. Effect of arhar mosaic virus infection on nitrogen value, nodulation and nitrogen fixation by some pulse crops. *Plant Soil*, **41** : 279-286.

Singh, S. and Awasthi, L.P. (2004). Prevention of infection and spread of mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MVMV) on urdbean (*Vigna mungo.*) through *Boerhavia diffusa* root extract. *Indian J. Plant Pathol.*, **22** (1 & 2) : 50-55.

Srivastava, G., Verma, Anju and Tewari, J.P. (2009). Management of watermelon mosaic virus disease by use of leaf extracts of some medicinal plants. *Internat. J. Plant Protect.*, **2**(2):224-226.

Tu, J.C., Ford, R.E. and Quiniones, S.S. (1970). Effects of soybean mosaic virus and/or bean pod mottle virus infection in soybean nodulation. *Phytopathology*, **60** : 518-523.

Verma, H.N. and Prasad, V. (1983). In : *Recent advances in Plant Pathology* (A. Hussain, K. Singh, B.P. Singh and V.P. Agnihotri eds.), 312pp., Print house (India) Lucknow.

Verma, H.N., Chaudhary, B. and Rastogi, P. (1984). Antiviral activity of leaf extracts of different *Clerodendrum* L. species. Z. *Pflanzenkos Pflanzens-chutz*, **91**:34-41.
