# Effect of water deficit and nitrogen levels on yield, water-use-efficiency (WUE) and economics of rice (Oriza sativa L.)

# S. K. Prasad\*, A.K. Singh and S. N. Das

Department of Agronomy, Rajendra Agricultural University, Bihar, Pusa, SAMASTIPUR (BIHAR) INDIA

# ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Pusa Farm, Rajendra Agricultural University Bihar, Pusa during the year 2000 and 2001 to study the effect of water deficit and nitrogen levels on yield, water-use-efficiency and economics of rice. It is evident from the data that 'So' moisture regime and 120 kg N/ha i.e. 'N<sub>3</sub>' level of nitrogen produced significantly maximum grain yield in comparison to lower moisture regimes and nitrogen levels. Water-use-efficiency significantly increased with 'S<sub>1</sub>' moisture regime and 120 kg N/ha i.e. N<sub>3</sub> higher gross and net return was recorded with 'So' moisture regime and 120 kg N/ha i.e. N<sub>3</sub> nitrogen level. Benefit: Cost ratio was higher with 'S<sub>1</sub>' moisture regime but in turn it was at par with 'So' moisture regime. 120 kg N/ha i.e. N<sub>3</sub> nitrogen level which fetched higher B:C ratio than that of their lower nitrogen levels.

Key words : Water deficit, WUE, B:C ratio.

## INTRODUCTION

Water and nitrogenous fertilizer are the major inputs for higher production of rice. However, these are expensive inputs and subjected to various losses affecting farmers economy. Thus it was felt imperative to find out appropriate scheduling of irrigation to optimise the water-use-efficiency and yield of rice. Gajbhiye, *et al.* (1990) reported that knowledge on optimum level of soil moisture for plant establishment and growth are important for scheduling irrigation.

Nitrogen is the key nutrient element limiting the yield of rice. Often it has been reported that fertilizers N-use efficiency are very low ranging from 18-40 per cent. Thus judicious and adequate amount of nitrogen is imperative not only to increase grain yield but also economise cost of production and improving economy of rice grower. Keeping this in view, the present study was undertaken to find out the effect of water deficit and nitrogen levels on yield, wateruse-efficiency and economics of rice production.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was undertaken during Ph.D. Programme at Pusa Farm, Rajendra Agricultural University, Bihar, Pusa (Samastipur) during year 2000 and 2001 in two consecutive Kharif season. The physico-chemical properties of experimental plot was sandy loam in texture and had pH 8.4, organic carbon (0.31%) and low in available NPK 206.3, 12.3 and 113.8 kg/ha, respectively. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design having three replication. The experiment consisted of 16 treatments comprising four nitrogen levels (0, 40, 80 and 120 kg N/ha) and four moisture regimes i.e. "S<sub>0</sub>' 5±2 cm irrigation after 3 days disappearance of ponded water; "S1" Irrigation withheld during 10-60 days after transplanting (DAT); "S<sub>2</sub>" irrigation with-held during 10-60 and 61-75 DAT; and S<sub>3</sub>"- (rainfed) control. 7 cm water was applied during each irrigation according to the treatment. Nitrogen was applied according to treatments but phosphorus and potassium @ 40 and 20 kg/ha, respectively was uniformly applied. The source of

\* Author for corrospondence.

nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were Urea, SSP and MOP, respectively. Crop received one fourth of nitrogen and full dose of phosphorus, potassium and zinc sulphate (25 q/ha) as a basal and remaining half and one-fourth of nitrogen was applied at the time of tillering and panicle initiation period. The water-use-efficiency (kg/ha-cm) was calculated as the ratio of grain yield to the total amount of water used (rainfall + irrigation) and expressed in kg ha<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>. The data of grain and straw yield were recorded and economics was worked out using the prevailing cost of input and market rate of produce.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** Grain and straw yield:

Grain and straw yield was significantly increased with different moisture regimes and levels of nitrogen. The maximum grain 32.09 and 36.68 q/ha and straw yield 51.92 and 62.12 q/ha was recorded with "S<sub>0</sub>" moisture regime i.e.,  $5\pm 2$  cm irrigation after 3 days disappearance of ponded water and minimum with 'S<sub>3</sub>' (rainfed) during the year 2000 and 2001, respectively (Table 1). However, during first year of investigation straw yield of 'S<sub>1</sub>' moisture regime was at par with 'S<sub>0</sub>" over S<sub>3</sub> (rainfed) was 32.71 and 30.62 during year 2000 and 2001, respectively. This was might be due to favourable moisture condition with "S<sub>0</sub>" moisture regime which increased the growth and yield attributing characters and ultimately increased the grain yield. Similar results was obtained by Patjoshi and Lenka (1998).

There was a significant increased in grain and straw yield of rice with increase in levels of nitrogen (Table 1). Maximum grain (34.82 and 39.96 q/ha) and straw (56.61 and 65.81 q/ha) yield was recorded with 'N<sub>3</sub>" nitrogen level i.e., 120 kg N/ha and minimum with 'No' nitrogen level i.e., 0 kg N/ha (Table 1). The maximum grain and straw yield with increasing N-levels might be due to better N-uptake leading to greater dry matter production and its translocation to sink site. The percentage increase of grain yield with 'N<sub>3</sub>" over 'No' was 77.74 and 78.15 during 2000 and 2001,

## HIND AGRI-HORTICULTURAL SOCIETY

respectively. This finding corroborated well with finding of Shivey *et al.* (2001).

# Water-use-efficiency:

Moisture regimes significantly increased water-useefficiency. The maximum water-use-efficiency (24.41 kg/ ha cm) was recorded with "S<sub>1</sub>" moisture regime and minimum (22.17 kg/ha cm) with "So" moisture regime during year 2000. Similar finding was recorded by Mutajubuddin and Borulker (1985). However during the year 2001 WUE was found to be non-significant. This might be due to heavy rain during crop period. Water-use-efficiency also increased with increasing levels of nitrogen. Maximum water-useefficiency 28.73 and 23.50 kg/ha cm was recorded with 'N<sub>3</sub>' nitrogen level which account 77 and 78 per cent increased WUE from 0 to 120 kg N/ha during the year 2000 and 2001, respectively (Table 1).

# **Economics:**

Economic analysis (Table 2) revealed that moisture regimes significantly increased net income and benefit: cost ratio. The maximum net return Rs. 7,699.00 and 10,554.00 per hectare was recorded with "So" moisture regime during first and second year of investigation, respectively. However, it was statistically at par with 'S<sub>1</sub>' moisture regime in both the years. Higher B:C ratio (1.78 and 2.02) was recorded with "S<sub>1</sub>" moisture regime during year 2000 and 2001, respectively. But it was statistically at par with "So" moisture

Table 1: Effect of water deficit and nitrogen levels on grain, straw yield and water use efficiency (kg/ha -cm.)

Treatments	Grain yield (q/ha)		Straw yield (q/ha)		Water use efficiency (kg/ha-cm)	
-	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001
Moisture regimes						
So	32.09	36.68	51.92	62.12	22.17	19.00
S <sub>1</sub>	30.07	33.81	49.95	57.58	24.41	19.66
S <sub>2</sub>	27.8	30.53	47.11	52.63	24.05	18.50
S <sub>3</sub> (Rainfed)	24.18	28.08	41.22	48.53	23.66	18.60
S.Em(±)	0.42	0.96	0.99	1.10	0.49	0.41
C.D.at 5%	1.21	2.79	2.88	3.18	1.42	NS
Nitrogen levels						
No	19.59	22.43	33.74	40.75	16.20	13.15
N <sub>1</sub>	27.17	30.66	45.64	53.50	22.44	17.98
N <sub>2</sub>	32.64	36.06	54.21	60.80	26.91	21.13
N <sub>3</sub>	34.82	39.96	56.61	65.81	28.73	23.50
S.Em(±)	0.42	0.96	0.99	1.10	0.49	0.41
C.D.at 5%	1.21	2.79	2.88	3.18	1.42	1.18

Table 2 : Effect of water deficit and nitrogen levels on gross income (Rs./ha), net income (Rs/ha) and benefit : Cost ratio.

Treatments	Gross income (Rs/ha)		Net incom	e (Rs/ha)	Benefit: Cost ratio	
	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001
Moisture regimes						
S <sub>o</sub>	18,028.50	20,884.00	7,699.00	10,554.00	1.72	2.00
S <sub>1</sub>	17,024.00	19,282.00	7,594.50	9,852.50	1.78	2.02
<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	15,865.50	17,475.00	6,736.00	8,345.50	1.71	1.89
S <sub>3</sub> (Rainfed)	13,796.00	16,088.00	5,266.50	7,558.50	1.59	1.86
S.Em(±)	340.57	334.68	123.48	177.06	0.02	0.04
C.D.at 5%	983.51	966.52	356.60	511.32	0.08	0.12
Nitrogen levels						
N <sub>o</sub>	11,211.00	13,046.00	2,509.00	4,344.00	1.27	1.49
N <sub>1</sub>	15,434.50	17,613.00	6,297.00	8,476.00	1.68	1.92
N <sub>2</sub>	18,478.50	20,504.00	8,906.50	10,932.00	1.92	2.13
N <sub>3</sub>	19,590.00	22,566.00	9,585.00	12,559.00	1.95	2.24
S.Em(±)	340.57	334.68	123.48	177.00	0.02	0.04
C.D.at 5%	983.51	966.52	356.60	511.32	0.08	0.12

Internat. J. agric. Sci. (2007) 3 (1)

regime. As regard to nitrogen levels each increment in nitrogen levels from 0 to 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> increased the net profit Rs.2,509.00 to 9,585.00 and 4,344.00 to 12,559.00 per hectare during first and second year, respectively. Benefit: Cost (B:C) ratio increased upto 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> but it was statistically at par with 80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> following the law of diminishing returns (Prasad, *et al.*, 1992).

#### REFERENCES

Gajbhiye, K. S., Gaikawad, S. T., Challa, O., Hazare, T. N. and Deshmukh, S. N. (1990). Evaluation of optimum range of soil moisture stress for establishment of wheat crop in vertisols. *J. Indian Soc. Soil. Sci.*, **38**: 139-141.

Muntajabuddin, K. and Borulker, D. N. (1985). Consumptive use and daily rate of evapotranspiration of upland irrigated rice as influenced by levels of irrigation and nitrogen. *Oryza*, **22** (1): 23-26. Patjoshi, A. K. and Lenka, D. (1998). Determination of water management practices and effective rainfall in direct seeded medium land rice under varying water table situation. *Oryza*, **35 (2)**: 145-147.

**Prasad, U. K., Prasad, T. N. and Kumar, A. (1992).** Response of direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa*) to levels of nitrogen and irrigation in calcareous soil. *Indian J. Agron.*, **37:** 686-689.

Shivay, Y. S., Prasad, R., Singh, S. and Sharma, S. N. (2001). Coated prilled Urea with neem (*Azadirachta indica*) for efficient nitrogen use in low land transplanted rice. *Indian J. Agron.*, **46(3)** :453-457.

Received : July, 2006; Accepted : November, 2006