### Research Paper:

# Integrated management of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* infesting okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]

A.G. SHENDGE, N.L. MHASE, S.A. LANDGE AND R.V. KADU

International Journal of Plant Protection (October, 2010), Vol. 3 No. 2: 369-371

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

## Correspondence to : **A.G. SHENDGE**

Department of Entomology, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, AHMEDNAGAR (M.S.) INDIA

#### SUMMARY

The micro plot experiment was conducted for the integrated management of root-knot nematode, M. incognita infesting okra with thirteen treatments including an untreated control. All the treatments were significantly superior over an untreated control in reducing the root-knot nematode population, number of root-gall and gall index and increasing length, fresh and dry weights of root and shoot and yield of okra at termination. However, soil application of carbofuran  $3G \otimes 2 \text{ kg a.i./ha}$  was found to be most effective in reducing root-knot nematode population (59.49 %), number of root galls (69.57 %) and gall index (39.33 %) and increasing the length of root (64.28 %) and shoot (71.37 %), fresh weight of root (93.41) and shoot (83.67 %), dry weight of root (103.13 %) and shoot (87.58 %) and the fruit yield of okra (32.94 %) in micro plots.

root galls or knots as a below ground symptoms. The above ground symptoms, hence, are those of slow debility of roots in its function of nutrient and water uptake and translocation. The plants may be dwarfed, yellowish with smaller foliage and poor and fewer fruits. The symptoms are often mistaken for macro or micro nutrient deficiency or moisture stress. Nematodes in addition to their own pathogenic effects may also play a role with other disease causing agencies like fungi, bacteria and viruses acting as incitants or vectors thus helping other organisms to be more effective in causing diseases. Nematodes themselves are also

The root-knot nematodes, *Meloidogyne* 

spp. are basically parasites of roots cause

Key words: Rust disease, Puccinia penniseti, Bajra, Ahmedpur

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

capable of breaking disease resistance.

The experiment was conducted in root knot nematode sick microplots (1.8x1.1m size) of AICRP on nematodes, Department of Entomology, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri during *Rabi*, 2008. There were thirteen treatments accommodated in a randomized block design with three replications. Okra (cv.arka anamika) sown at 30x15 cm spacing was grown by following recommended agronomic practices. The bioagents *P. fluorescens*, *T. viride*, *P. lilacinus* and *T. plus* were applied as seed treatment (5 g/kg seed)

and soil application (5 kg/ha). The nematicides, Carbosulfan 25 DS and Abamectin 400 FS as seed treatment at 3 % w/w and 1 ml /kg seed, respectively. and soil application of Carbofuran 3G at 2 kg a.i./ha.were given before sowing. Similarly, the neem cake at 2 t/ha was applied in soil 15 days before sowing.

The observations pertaining to initial root knot nematode population before sowing, final root knot nematode population, number of root galls and egg masses/plant, gall index/plant were recorded at the time of termination of experiment. Similarly, the fruit yield of okra was recorded and incremental cost benefit ratio of treatment was worked out.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All the treatments were significantly superior over an untreated control in reducing root-knot nematode population, number of root galls and gall index and increasing the length, fresh and dry weights of root and shoot and yield of okra at termination. However, soil application of carbofuran 3G @ 2 kg a.i./ha was found to be most effective in reducing root-knot nematode population (59.49 %), number of root galls (69.57 %) and gall index (39.33 %) and increasing length of root (64.28 %) and shoot (71.37 %), fresh weight of root (93.41 %) and shoot (83.67 %), dry weight of root (103.13 %) and shoot (87.58 %) and the yield

Accepted: September, 2010

		Decima (%)			(%) 08:20:51						
O V	Nem 2/00/2	100 EE 18/	Communication of the second	300, 000	S.:00.		W. San	W V.	Ww	V. C. C. B.	233
	7477 0. So <sup>77</sup>	According to the	de company y	, See and the second	See the See	.400%	300.	.400°.	, 90		
Scoi "ceimem" win P. finorescens el	32.53	91.11	1.5%	50.9	25.35	3.37	33.50	9.01.	/3.06		
5 g/kg of scale	(3/.76)*	*(88°.)	(25.31)*	(33.53)	*(1.60)	(33.97)*	(38.3/)*	W.C.S.D.	*(66.07)	**(666)	
Scot "celimiem" win " viride 2" 5	32.09	13.82	1.9.6	7.93	7.36	9.8	321	9. 9	7. 61	50	3,98
BAKE O. Soci	(3/18)	(9/1)	(08)	(9/0%)	(.88.)	(8.20)	(2:33)	(08.5)	(2121)	(6.3)	
Scot "celimen" with 12 libraries et 5	31 3.	15.13	20.00	2022	25.17	35.6	1.1.18	77.85		20.	9/5
BAKE O. Scool	(88.18)	(1871)	(36.15)	(26.70)	(38.86)	(36.2.)	(38,99)	(1989)	(12.31)	(3.28)	
Scool most ment, with 7" plass st. 5 g/sg	8008	13.08	100%	080		8.56	00	18.91	5.00	00	897
0. 8000.	(33.37)	(00 1)		(8.5)		(38.9)	(88.6)	(6811)	(26.22.)	(85.)	
Scot 102 mc, wir 32,303E. 7.5	38.80	19.05	25.33	B. W. Coll		6011	35.12.	75.62	57.3.	7.56	20.
DS @ 3 % whw	(38.53)	(5/11)	(302.)	(9.1%)	(33.58)	(39".)	(36.72)	(90.09)	((6.35)	6.80	
Sooi "toe'men", wi'n ebenou'm @ 1	38.66	SS 32 /	1.900	20.82	30.22	1000	35.39	7.00.07.	20.50	3.25	3.33
	(38)	(11.33)	(180)	(26.12.)	(32,83)	(39./3)	(36.50)	(59.37)	(5.38)	(278)	
Soil amilianion with fluorescensel	18.31	97.55	29.33			65.53	61.1.1	86.76	01.15	08.8.	150:
S. September	(12.30)	(18.33)	(37.77.)	(33.69)	(39.77)	(8,22)	(89.87)	(69.93)	(1961)	(28.12)	
Soi eggiation with Winde 2. 5	15.23	1.788	78.67	30.7	36.23	11.19	15.02	86.69	53.6	3.60	180
Z. 123.	(13.2.5)	(8.8)	(32,32)	(33.2.9)	(36.93)	(1.18)	(2.69)	(68.62)	(801.)	(22.57)	
Soil errollocation with P. Hardinas E. S	687.7	9	1.908	1.788	133.55	67.66	55.30	7.50.000	59.3	3.50	50.0
N. F. J. Cont.		(19.67)	(33.59)	(38.3%)	(5/12)	(59.97)	(80.87)	(05:01)	(50.31)	(27.30)	
O. Soil eppidación win 71. plus el 5	98//	53.97	7.8.67	138%	35.1	79.03	11.35	99. /30 /30	5.85	11.6.	91.0
The field of		(321)	(3. 68)	(32,89)	(36.20)	(1.37)	(617)	(67.63)	(80.6)	(1.13C)	
Soil application with carballuran SC	6/69	1.5.69	39.33	6/28	1.8.1.	93.7	7.9 83	.03.3	000 1000 1000	9.7.	
@ 7. kg z. / Lz	(2073)	(99.55)	(38.83)	(5333)	(87.8)	(76.39)	(6.7.9)	(1.5/18)	(1.6.69)	(32,97)	
2. – Soli application with norm cake @ 2	1.15	58.73	38.00	58.35	6273	88.66	1.:91.	90.66	1. 888	11.8.	87.0
Just .	(80.67)	(7.55)	(38.05)	(90.99)	(8:18)	(10.66)	(6: :9)	(83.0)	(66.12)	(18.60)	
3. Jiminderal daminal	000	42.42.42	00.0	000	0.00	00.00	4000	000	000	09.0	
	3.73		Color .	2.30		112.	(2) (2)	7.83	1:3	87.0	
		981	20 4	Y Y		706.	76	8		0000	

[Internat. J. Plant Protec., 3 (2) October, 2010]

of okra (32.94%) at termination

The reduction in nematode population as a result of soil application of carbofuran 3G may be due to inhibition of root-knot nematode. This is in conformity with that of reported by Poornima and Vadivelu (1990) and Singh (2006) in bringal, mung and cauliflower, respectively. The effectiveness of carbofuran 3 G for the control of root-knot nematode was also reported by Mahajan (1982) in brinjal. Ravichandra and Reddy (2008) in tomato and Shevale *et al.* (2006) in mung,.

Authors' affiliations:

N.L. MHASE, S.A. LANDGE AND R.V. KADU, Department of Entomology, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, AHMEDNAGAR (M.S.) INDIA

#### REFERENCES

**Mahajan, R.** (1982). Efficacy of spot treatment with nematicides for the control of *Meloidogyne incognita* in egg plant, *Solanum melongena*. *Indian J. Nematol.*, **12** (2): 375-419.

**Poornima, K. and Vadivelu, S. (1990).** Comparative efficacy of nematicides, oil cakes and plant extracts in the management of *Meloidogyne incognita, Pratylenchus delatteri* and *Rotylenchulus reniformis. Indian J. Nematol.*, **20** (2): 170-173.

Ravichandra, N.G. and Reddy, B.M.R. (2008). Efficacy of *Pasteuria penetrans* in the management of *Meloidogyne inocgnita* infecting tomato. *Indian J. Nematol.*, **38** (2): 172-175.

Shevale, B.S., Kadam, D.B. and Mhase, N.L. (2006). Management of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid and White, 1919) Chitwood, 1949 by use of carbosulfan in mungbean. *J. Maharashtra agric. Univ.*, **31** (1): 123-124.

**Singh, V.K.** (2006). Management of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne javanica* infesting tomato. *Indian J. Nematol.*, **36** (1): 126-127.

\*\*\*\*\*