

Evaluation of hydraulic characteristics of different micro and mini sprinklers

■ M.S. MADHUSUDHAN, M.S. AYYANAGOUDAR, H.K. SHIVANAND AND D.T. SANTOSH

ABSTRACT : The study was conducted to evaluate the hydraulic characteristics of different micro and mini sprinklers in the department of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering at College of Agricultural Engineering, Raichur. The results reveal that the average discharge was found in rate for micro sprinkler at 0.5 kg/cm² was 24.47 lph, at 1 kg/cm² it was 41.58 lph but for mini sprinklers the average discharge was increased 26 lph to 45.42 lph as pressure increases from 0.5 to 1 kg/cm². The coefficient of uniformity for micro sprinkler was found to be 40.4 per cent at an operating pressure of 1.0 kg/cm², but it was 48.4 percent in mini sprinkler. The moisture distribution pattern for both micro and mini sprinklers of different make found to be excellent, the moisture content of soil can be increased only to a depth of 25 cm when operated the mini sprinkler for 2 hours at a operating pressure of 1.0 kg/cm² and having discharge rate of of 65 lph in deep black cotton soil.

Key words : Micro sprinkler, Mini sprinkler, Pressure, Discharge, Coefficient of uniformity

How to cite this Article : Madhusudhan, M.S., Ayyanagoudar, M.S., Shivanand, H.K. and Santosh, D.T. (2012). Evaluation of hydraulic characteristics of different micro and mini sprinklers. Engg. & Tech. in India, 3(1&2): 39-41.

Article Chronicle : Received : 01.02.2012; Revised : 12.02.2012; Accepted : 27.02.2012

INTRODUCTION

The availability of adequate, timely and assured supply of water is an important determinant for agricultural productivity. Irrigation is thus critical to the agricultural development of the country. The development of major and minor irrigation projects with huge investments has quite extent release agriculture from the dependence on monsoon. But, as the quantity of water available for irrigation is decreasing at faster rate, there is a need for the scientist to design a system of irrigation which manages the available water to the crop so as to maximize the yield and hence profit.

Micro and mini sprinkler irrigation system was originally introduced for irrigating highly productive crops, orchards and lawns in areas where water is scarce and expensive. Now a day's micro and micro mini sprinklers are used for irrigating a

MEMBERS OF RESEARCH FORUM

Address for correspondence : M.S. MADHUSUDHAN, Department of Soil and Water Conservation Engineering, University of Agricultural Sciences, RAICHUR (KARNATAKA) INDIA Email: er.msmadhu@gmail.com

Coopted Authors :

M.S. AYYANAGOUDER, H.K. SHIVANAND AND D.T. SANTOSH, Department of Soil and Water Conservation Engineering, University of Agricultural Sciences, RAICHUR (KARNATAKA) INDIA

wide range of crops, orchards in areas where water is abundant. However, micro and mini sprinkler irrigation are profitable when used in areas with hilly topography, poor soils and /or scarcity of water.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Study was carried out in the department of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering at College of Agricultural Engineering, Raichur. This place is situated in the North-eastern dry zone (Zone-2, Region-1) of Karnataka state at 160 15' N latitude and 770 20' E longitude at an elevation of 389 m above the mean sea level. A single lateral was used for the experiment; to this three micro sprinklers were attached to accomplish the experiment one at a time. The same experiment was followed by the mini sprinkler attachment. The discharge of micro and mini sprinklers were measured at three different pressures beginning at 0.5 kg/ cm² increased to 1.0 kg/cm² and further increased to 1.5 kg/cm² Lower pressures were used to micro and mini sprinklers because of their sensitivity to pressure for the discharge rate. The experiments were done simultaneously for pressure-discharge and coefficient of uniformity to have accurate readings. Pre experiment set up was made by adjusting the required pressure in the lateral line. After one hour the water collected in the containers were measured. The same test was repeated for all the micro and mini sprinklers. In this way the pressure-discharge relationship was found out. The coefficient of uniformity was computed from field observation of the depths of water caught in open cans placed at regular intervals within sprinkled areas. In the field experiments studies soil samples were collected after irrigating the field for 2 hours and leaving for 1 hour from the mini sprinkler randomly up to 200cm from the mini sprinkler at soil surface and from soil depth of 0,15,30,45 cm by screw auger. The moisture content of soil samples was determined by gravimetric method for studying the moisture movement in the soil.

EXPERIMENTAL FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

The micro and mini sprinklers test studies were conducted to evaluate the pressure-discharge characteristics of ten micro and five mini sprinklers under different operating pressures. The data given in Table 1 and 2 which reveals that, as the operating pressure increased for each type of micro and mini sprinklers. However, the nominal variation in discharge with respect to pressure was observed. But as the operating pressure increased or decreased the co-efficient of uniformity changes, hence the problem of drift was found to be cause for co-efficient uniformity. Therefore, the safe pressure for operation was determined as 1 kg/cm².

Totally ten micro sprinklers were tested for pressure discharge relationship, were of four different companies and of different orifice sizes. The micro sprinklers were fixed head operating pressures are given in Table 1.

The Table 1 shows that, the average discharge of 22 lph, 15 lph, 68.6 lph and 25.05 lph for EPC, Jet, Premier and for Jain respectively at 0.5 kg/cm² operating pressure. The minimum discharge of 11.5 lph was found in Jet Orange (B) at 0.5 kg/cm² and maximum discharge of 68.6 lph for Premier (orange). The maximum average discharge of 54.75 lph, 43.25 lph, 119.9 lph and 52.5 lph for EPC, Jet, Premier and for Jain, respectively at

1.5 kg/cm². The maximum discharge was found in Premier (orange) *i.e.*, 119.9 lph and minimum was in Jet (orange) was 32.0 lph. Two different pieces of micro sprinklers of Jain Company were tested for pressure- discharge relationship. Jain Black micro 340° was operated for 0.5 kg/cm². 1 kg/cm² and 1.5 kg/cm². The flow rate was found to be constant and it was best suited for logarithmic type. The pressure discharge relationship was found for Premier Orange micro sprinkler. The discharge was found to be maximum, among all the tested micro sprinklers at 0.5 kg/cm² and also at 1.5 kg/cm²

Pressure discharge relationship for mini sprinklers:

Discharge rates were tested for different operating pressures for mini sprinklers of EPC and Jain, having different orifice sizes. Totally five mini sprinklers were tested for pressure-discharge relationship. The results were presented in Table 2

The Table 2 gives us an idea about how the discharge was interrelated with the operating pressure. At 0.5 kg/cm² the minimum discharge was found in Jain Blue, where as maximum in Jain Black. At 1.0 kg/cm² pressure and at 1.5 kg/cm², the minimum discharge was found in Jain Blue only, but maximum was found in Jain white(65 lph) and Jain black at respective pressures.

Coefficient of uniformity:

The coefficient of uniformity for micro and mini sprinklers of different make were determined and enlisted in Table 3. The maximum coefficient of uniformity for micro sprinklers was found in Jet blue (N) the minimum was EPC green. For min sprinklers maximum was found in Jain blue.

Soil moisture movement in mini sprinkler irrigation:

Table 4 shows that before irrigation, the soil moisture was continuously on higher side with the increase in soil depth. It was observed that the moisture content at 15 cm depth increased by 18.97 per cent after 1 hour irrigation. At 6 hour the

| Sr. No. | Pressure kg/cm ² - | EPC | | Jet | | | Premier Jain | | n | |
|---------|-------------------------------|---------|------|-------|----------|--------|--------------|--------|-----------|-------|
| 51. NO. | | Blue 90 | Blue | Green | Orange B | Blue N | Blue E | Orange | Black 340 | Black |
| 1. | 0.5 | 25 | 15 | 25.5 | 11.5 | 16 | 15 | 68.6 | 15 | 35.10 |
| 2. | 1 | 44 | 30 | 44.5 | 21.5 | 28.8 | 30 | 100 | 30 | 55 |
| 3. | 1.5 | 58 | 58 | 58.20 | 32 | 48 | 47 | 119.9 | 35.08 | 69.2 |

| | | 1 1100 | |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Table 2 : Discharge | for mini sprinklei | 's under different | i onerating pressures |
| Tuble 2 Thisehunge | for mini sprimaei | 5 under unteren | operating pressures |

| | | Discharge in LPH | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------------|------------------|------|-------|------|----|--|--|
| SI. No. | Pressure kg/cm ² | | EPC | | | | | |
| | | White | Blue | Green | Red | | | |
| 1. | 0.5 | 32.8 | 13 | 23 | 37.2 | 24 | | |
| 2. | 1.0 | 65 | 24 | 40 | 56.1 | 42 | | |
| 3. | 1.5 | 84 | 31 | 49 | 71.2 | 63 | | |

Table 3 : Coefficient of uniformity for mini and micro sprinklers

| Make | Colour | Uniformity coefficient (%) | Presure kg/cm ² | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| For mini sprinklers | | | | | | | | |
| Jet | Blue N | 73.8 | 1 | | | | | |
| | Orange B | 33.35 | 1 | | | | | |
| EPC | Blue 90 | 61.88 | 1 | | | | | |
| | Green | 18.27 | 1 | | | | | |
| Jain | Black | 23.05 | 1 | | | | | |
| | Black (semi) | 23.67 | 1 | | | | | |
| For mic | For micro sprinklers | | | | | | | |
| EPC | Green | 68.79 | 1 | | | | | |
| Jain | Green | 40.45 | 1 | | | | | |
| | Red | 59.1 | 1 | | | | | |
| | Blue | 74.26 | 1 | | | | | |

| Table 4 : Average soil moisture | (%) | recorded | at | different | soil |
|---------------------------------|-----|----------|----|-----------|------|
| depths, time periods | | | | | |

| depuis, time periods | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------|----------|-------------------|-------------|----------|--|--|
| Operating | Soil | Average | Time a | fter termin | ation of | | |
| pressure | depth | soil | irrigation hrs | | | | |
| kg/cm ² | cm | moisture | | | | | |
| | | db (%) | 1 | 6 | 24 | | |
| | 0 | 17.54 | 28.60 | 24.05 | 23.83 | | |
| 1 | 15 | 18.71 | 22.26 | 24.54 | 23.27 | | |
| 1 | 30 | 19.98 | 19.77 | 19.46 | 18.88 | | |
| | 45 | 4520.6 | 18.51 | 17.61 | 18.72 | | |
| | | | | | | | |

in respect to pressure discharge.

REFERENCES

Argade, K.B. and Thombal, N.V. (1994):Studies on pressure discharge throw diameter relationship and spray pattern of micro sprinkler. B.Tech Thesis, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rshuri, AHMEDNAGAR, M.S. (INDIA).

moisture content at 15 cm depth was increased by 31.16 per cent. At 24 hours, the moisture content reduced by 6.78 per cent. Khol and Deboer (1984) and Giavi *et al.* (1988) has worked on some aspects related to the present investigation. Argade and Thombal made studies on spray pattern of micro sprinkler

Giari, M., Schawankl, L.J and Fltona (1988). Uniformity of low energy preise application irrigation machine. *California agric.*, **42**(5):12-14

Khol, R.A. and Deboer, D.W. (1984): Drop size distribution for a low pressure spray type agril sprinklers.*Trans.ASAE*, 6 :1836-1840
