Research Paper :

Economic evaluation of solar tunnel dryer for drying peeled prawns R.G. MANJAREKAR AND A.G. MOHOD

Accepted : January, 2010

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

ABSTRACT

Correspondence to: **R.G. MANJAREKAR** Department of Electrical and Other Energy Sources, College of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, RATNAGIRI (M.S.) INDIA Fish is a very important food due to high protein content and nutritional value. Being a perishable product, preservation is essential. Drying is one of the efficient and cheap methods for food preservation. Besides the preservation purposes, the demand for dried fish has also been driven by the flavour of the products. In comparison to sun drying, minimum spoilage and microbial infestation, improved and more consistent product quality is obtained in solar drying. The economic evaluation of solar tunnel dryer was done in comparison with open sun drying methods. The cost economics of dried peeled prawns was proved better for solar tunnel dryer than open sun dried method. Thus, solar tunnel dryer can be proposed as a suitable alternative to the local method of drying fish.

Key words : Economics, Tunnel, Dryer, Peeled, Prawns

Fish is a very important foodstuff in most of the countries, due to its high protein content and nutritional value. Being a perishable product, especially in hot climates and tropical areas where cold preservation techniques are often missing, drying is one of the efficient and cheap method for food preservation. Fish salting/brining, open sun drying or smoking, are traditional techniques for improving preservation and storage. Besides the preservation purposes, the demand for dried fish has also been driven by the flavour of the products. Japan, Hong Kong, Singapore, Malaysia and Hawai prefer dried squid, anchovy, sardines and cuttle fish (Dey, 1984). The sun dried salted fish like seer, tuna, perches, anchovies, bombay duck and other dried marine products are exported to Sri Lanka, South East Asian countries, Mauritius and the UK. The low cost incurred in employing traditional sun drying methods and convenience of utilizing petroleum based fuels for post harvest processing serves as a major impediment to the widespread deployment of solar dryers. Compared to sun drying, solar dryers can generate higher air temperatures and lower relative humidities, which improves drying rates and lower final moisture content of the drying material. This method has several advantages such as less spoilage and less microbial infestation, thus leads improved and more consistent product quality. Solar drying can also be a feasible alternative to those natural convection dryers that use wood or agricultural waste products as fuel.

Jain *et al.* (2004) conducted the economic analysis of forced convection type solar dryer for drying of groundnuts, ginger and garlic in comparison to an electrically operated tray type mechanical dryer. The benefit cost ratio for the solar dryer and mechanical dryer was found to be 1.56 and 1.18, respectively. Seveda et al. (2004) techno-economic analysis of walk in type semicylindrical shaped tunnel dryer with two chimneys and exhaust fan. was carried out by using different economic indicators such as net present worth (NPW), benefit-cost ratio (B-C ratio), pay back period and compared with electrical drying system. They observed that commercial solar tunnel dryer is techno-economically better than electrical drying system. Reddy et al. (2004) explained the method of analyzing cost economics of a project by using economic indicators. The capital investment, income statement and expenditure statement are made to calculate the economic indicators. The detailed procedure to calculate the economic indicators (i.e. net present worth, benefit-cost ratio, pay back period and internal rate of return) should be followed to decide feasibility of project.

METHODOLOGY

The solar tunnel dryer mainly consists of a cover of U.V. stabilized polyethylene sheet of $200 \,\mu\text{m}$ fixed on the cladding material with the help of zig-zag springs. The dryer is large enough that one can enter inside to load and unload the raw fish to be dried. The floor of the solar tunnel dryer is constructed with cement concrete and painted black for absorbing more solar radiation to increase the temperature inside the dryer. The supports for the chimney, door and exhaust fan were welded. The north wall was placed at north side of solar tunnel dryer

to minimize energy loss. The technical specifications and isometric view of solar tunnel dryer (100 kg/batch) are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1, respectively.

Economic analysis of solar tunnel dryer for drying peeled prawns (Fig. 1) :

The economics of drying operation changes as per the dryer used as well as other factors. The economics was calculated separately for drying of peeled prawns (*Parapaeneopsis stylifera*) by solar tunnel dryer and open sun drying system. Drying was continued till the moisture content of the fish tended to a value of safe moisture content (*i.e.* 16 % w.b.). The different economic indicators for the economic analysis of the fish business for drying of peeled prawns in the solar tunnel dryer are described here

Net present worth/ Net present value

$$NPW = \frac{P_1}{(1+i)^{t_1}} + \frac{P_2}{(1+i)^{t_2}} + \dots + \frac{P_n}{(1+i)^{t_n}} - C_0$$

where, P_1 = Net cash flow in first year; i= Discount rate; t= Time period; C_0 = Initial cost of the investment

Benefit- cost ratio (B-C Ratio):

It was calculated by comparing the present worth of costs with present worth of benefits.

B - C Ratio =
$$\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{B_{t}}{(1 + r)^{n}}}{\sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{C_{t}}{(1 + r)^{n}}}$$

Profitability index:

$$PI = \frac{NPV}{C_0} = \frac{1}{C_0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{C_r}{(1+i)^n}$$

where, PI = Profitability index; NPV= Net present value of cash flows; $C_0 =$ Initial capital expenditure; $C_r =$ Total capital required for the project

| Table 1 : Technical specifications of solar tunnel dryer for fish (100 kg/ batch) | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sr. No. | Particulars | Specifications | Material | | | | | |
| 1. | Collector area, sq. m | 37.5 (3.75 m width x 10 m length) | | | | | | |
| 2. | Drying tray area, sq.m. | 2.5 (1.6 m x 1.6 m) | Al. wire mesh | | | | | |
| 3. | Number of trays | 04 on each trolley | MS angle | | | | | |
| 4. | Number of trolleys | 3 Nos., width 1.67 m, Length 3.12 m | | | | | | |
| 5. | Height of tunnel, m | 2.0 | | | | | | |
| 6. | Chimney, m | 3 Nos., Ø 0.30 m, Length 0.50 m | 20 SWG MS | | | | | |
| 7. | Fresh air vent area, sq.m | 0.05 | | | | | | |
| 8. | Exhaust fan, single phase | 2 Nos, Brushless AC, 410 Wp, 1400 rpm | | | | | | |
| 9. | Door | 1.75 m x 1.75 m | MS angle | | | | | |
| 10. | North wall | Height 1.55 m, Length 10 m | GI sheet | | | | | |



Payback period:

Payback period= Amount of loan/ Average net returns

Internal rate of return:

It is the discount rate at which the present values of the net cash flows are just equal to zero, i.e. NPW = zero.



[Internat. J. agric. Engg., 3 (1) April, 2010]

| Table 2 : Cost incurred in drying prawns | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|------------------------------|------|-----------|-----------------|---|--------|---------------------------------|------|-------------------|
| Sr. | Doutionlos | Solar tunnel dryer | | | Sr. | Sr. Dortioulors | Open sun drying | | | | |
| No. | Particulars | Qty | Unit | Rate | Amount | No. | Particulars | Qty | Unit | Rate | Amount |
| 1. | Land | 100 | m^2 | | 62250 | 1 | Drying yard | | | | 10000 |
| 2. | Building storage, packing | 150.00 | m ² | | 30000 | 2 | Building storage, packing | 150.00 | m ² | | 12000 |
| 3. | Solar tunnel dryer | | | | 55500 | 3 | Shed | | | | 3000 |
| 4. | Shed | | | | 5000 | 4 | Electric fitting | | | | 1000 |
| 5. | Packing machine | 1.00 | unit | 500 | 500 | 5 | Packing machine | 1.00 | Unit | 500 | 500 |
| 6. | Electric fitting | | | | 3000 | 6 | Tub | 2.00 | Unit | 263 | 526 |
| 7. | Fencing | | | | 11000 | 7 | Bucket | 2.00 | Unit | 46 | 92 |
| 8. | Tub | 2.00 | unit | 263 | 526 | 8 | Wooden board | 2.00 | Unit | 110 | 220 |
| 9. | Bucket | 2.00 | unit | 46 | 92 | 9 | Sitting board | 2.00 | Unit | 27 | 54 |
| 10. | Wooden board | 2.00 | unit | 110 | 220 | 10 | Plate | 6.00 | Unit | 25 | 150 |
| 11. | Sitting board | 2.00 | unit | 27 | 54 | 11 | Chair | 2.00 | Unit | 180 | 360 |
| 12. | Plate | 6.00 | unit | 25 | 150 | 12 | Table | 2.00 | Unit | 448 | 896 |
| 13. | Chair | 2.00 | unit | 180 | 360 | 13 | Wooden box | 3.00 | Unit | 4250 | 12750 |
| 14. | Table | 2.00 | unit | 448 | 896 | 14 | Ice Box | 4.00 | Unit | 255 | 1020 |
| 15. | Wooden box | 3.00 | unit | 4250 | 12750 | 15 | Crate | 6.00 | Unit | 270 | 1620 |
| 16. | Ice Box | 4.00 | unit | 255 | 1020 | 16 | Fan | 1.00 | Unit | 760 | 760 |
| 17. | Crate | 6.00 | unit | 270 | 1620 | 17 | Balance | 1.00 | Unit | 2655 | 2655 |
| 18. | Fan | 1.00 | unit | 760 | 760 | | Capital cost | | | | 47603 |
| 19. | Balance | 1.00 | unit | 2655 | 2655 | | | | | | |
| | Capital Cost | | | | 188353 | | | | | | |
| 1 | Packing bags | 8.00 | Packets | 50 | 4800 | 1 | Packing bags | 5.00 | Packet s | 50 | 2500 |
| 2 | Operation Maintenance | | | | 2000 | 2 | Operation Maintenance | | | | 43200 |
| 3 | Repair at end of 5 th year | | | | 5000 | 3 | Repair at end of 5 th year | | | | 10000 |
| 4 | Ice slap | 270.00 | nos. | 25 | 6750 | 4 | Ice slap | 180.00 | nos. | 25 | 10000 |
| 5 | Transportation | | | | 2000 | 5 | Transportation | | | | 4500 |
| 6 | Stationary | | per month | 200 | 2400 | 6 | Stationary | | per month | 200 | 1350 |
| 7 | Pesticides | | per month | 100 | 1200 | 7 | Pesticides | | per month | 100 | 200 |
| 8 | Water charges | | per month | 250 | 3000 | 8 | Water charges | | per month | 250 | 1200 |
| 9 | Insurance, Taxes @ 2% | | | | 3767.06 | 9 | Insurance, Taxes @ 2% | | | | 2836.66 |
| 10 | Raw material cost, Yearly | 13500 | kg | 75 | 1012500 | 10 | Raw material cost | 9000 | Kg | 75 | 675000 |
| 11 | Labour charges @ (3+1+2) for peeling, packing and drying inspection | 810 | labour charges / batch | 80 | 64800 | 11 | Labour charges @ (2+1+3) for peeling, packing and drying inspection | 540 | labour charge s/ batch | 80 | 43200 |
| | respectively | | | | | | respectively | | | | |
| | Variable cost | | | | 1108217.1 | 12 | Land rent Variable cost | | | | 12000 843186.7 |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of the present study are presented in Table 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6.

Economic evaluation:

The total cost of construction of solar tunnel dryer has been estimated as Rs. 55,500/-. The cost incurred on drying includes the fixed cost and variable cost. The following parameters have been considered to carry out economic analysis:

- The life of solar tunnel dryer and drying platform for open sun drying is 20 years. Both the systems could be used effectively for 270 days in a year (Table 2).

- The exhaust fan of solar tunnel dryer runs on an average of 6 hrs in a day. This is only when the relative humidity inside the dryer exceeds 45 per cent.

- Since, the solar tunnel dryer is essentially walk in type of a dryer, therefore, out of 37.5 m² floor area 20% of it is kept for movement in solar tunnel dryer for carrying out operations.

- The standard discount rate is assumed 10 per cent.

Selling price of dried peeled prawns is Rs. 500/
kg. This is based on market rate. The 8% processing losses were considered in open sun drying method. The

market price will be increased by 10% since better quality will be maintained.

- The moisture content of raw material 70-80 per cent needs to reduce to 15-20 per cent. Hence, the total weight of dried peeled prawns that return per annum is 22.30 per cent of total weight of raw whole prawns.

- The by product *i.e.* prawns shells can be used as organic fertilizer and sold at Rs.12/kg. The total weight of dried prawns shells that return per annum is 27 per cent of total weight of raw whole prawns.

- The loan amount includes the sum of total fixed cost and total variable cost. For solar tunnel drying of peeled prawns loan of Rs. 1300000/- and in case of open sun drying loan of Rs. 800000/- was considered for running the drying business.

It is clear from Table 6 that the net present value of investment made in solar dryer for drying of peeled tiny prawns under solar tunnel dryer and in open sun drying system were Rs. 20,86,165/- and Rs. 85,822/-, respectively. The pay back period for solar tunnel dryer and open sun drying was found to be 2.84 and 7.01, respectively. The benefit cost ratio, profitability index and Internal rate of return calculated for solar tunnel dryer and open sun drying are 1.21, 11.08, 23.90 and 1.02, 1.80, 11.15, respectively.

| Table 3 : Productio | n of peeled prawns and byproduct | |
|---------------------|--|--|
| Particulars | Solar tunnel dryer | Open sun drying |
| Yearly batches | Requires 18-20 hrs for drying. Hence, 135 batches | Requires 4 days for drying. Hence 90 batches |
| Yearly fish | Each batch with 100 kg fish. Therefore 13500 kg of | Each batch with 100 kg fish. Therefore 9000 kg of |
| utilization | prawns for drying per year. | prawns for drying per year. |
| Yearly Recovery | Considering 45% of peeled prawn recovery per batch. | Considering 45% of peeled prawn recovery per batch. |
| of peeled prawns | Hence, yearly 6075 kg peeled prawns | Hence, yearly 4050 kg peeled prawns |
| Yearly weight loss | Considering 28% of weight loss due to moisture per | Considering 28% of weight loss due to moisture per |
| due to moisture | batch. Hence, yearly 3780 kg weight loss due to moisture | batch. Hence, yearly 2045.25 kg weight loss due to |
| | | moisture. Additional 8% was process loss due to insect |
| | | infestation etc. |
| Yearly production | By utilizing shell waste for production of organic | By utilizing shell waste for production of organic |
| of fertilizer | fertilizer @27 kg per batch yearly 3645 kg of fertilizer | fertilizer @27 kg per batch yearly 2430 kg of fertilizer |
| | could be produced | could be produced |

| Table 4 : Annual total returns in solar tunnel dryer and open sun drying method | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|------|------|------------|-----------------|------|------|--------|--|--|
| Sr. | Dorticulars | Solar tunnel dryer | | | | Open sun drying | | | | | |
| No. | | Qty | Unit | Rate | Amount | Qty | Unit | Rate | Amount | | |
| 1. | Dried peeled prawns | 3007.13 | kg | 550 | 1653918.75 | 1844.37 | kg | 500 | 922185 | | |
| 2. | Total organic | 2645 kg | ka | 12 | 42740 | 2420.00 | ka | 10 | 20160 | | |
| | fertilizer kg | 5045 Kg | кg | 12 | 43740 | 2430.00 | ĸg | 12 | 29100 | | |
| Total (iii) | | | | | 1547302.5 | | | | 951345 | | |

●HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE●

| Tabl | Table 5 : Present worth of total cash inflow and outflow for drying of peeled prawns using solar tunnel dryer | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|---|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------|--|
| | | | Solar drye | r | | Open sun drying | | | | | |
| Year | Cash | PWof | Cash | PWof | NPW | Cash | P W of | Cash | P W of | NPW | |
| | outflow | cash | inflow | cash | | outflow | cash | inflow | cash inflow | | |
| | 1005010 | outilow | | lillow | | | | | IIIIOw | | |
| 1 | 1295843 | 1178039.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1178039.09 | 796543.00 | 724130.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -724130.00 | |
| 2 | 1425990 | 1178504.13 | 1697658.75 | 1403023.76 | 224519.63 | 898273.33 | 742374.66 | 951345.00 | 786235.54 | 43860.88 | |
| 3 | 1406490 | 1056716.75 | 1697658.75 | 1275476.15 | 218759.39 | 891873.33 | 670077.64 | 951345.00 | 714759.58 | 44681.94 | |
| 4 | 1386990 | 947332.83 | 1697658.75 | 1159523.77 | 212190.94 | 885473.33 | 604790.20 | 951345.00 | 649781.44 | 44991.23 | |
| 5 | 1370490 | 850966.46 | 1697658.75 | 1054112.52 | 203146.05 | 888073.33 | 551423.67 | 951345.00 | 590710.40 | 39286.73 | |
| 6 | 1347990 | 760905.21 | 1697658.75 | 958284.11 | 197378.89 | 872673.33 | 492601.35 | 951345.00 | 537009.45 | 44408.10 | |
| 7 | 1328490 | 681725.43 | 1697658.75 | 871167.37 | 189441.94 | 866273.33 | 444535.19 | 951345.00 | 488190.41 | 43655.22 | |
| 8 | 1308990 | 610653.50 | 1697658.75 | 791970.34 | 181316.84 | 859873.33 | 401137.26 | 951345.00 | 443809.46 | 42672.21 | |
| 9 | 1289490 | 546869.64 | 1697658.75 | 719973.03 | 173103.39 | 853473.33 | 361956.01 | 951345.00 | 403463.15 | 41507.14 | |
| 10 | 1110492 | 428142.74 | 1697658.75 | 654520.94 | 226378.20 | 856073.33 | 330053.33 | 951345.00 | 366784.68 | 36731.35 | |
| 11 | 1107494 | 388169.89 | 1697658.75 | 595019.04 | 206849.14 | 840673.33 | 294650.87 | 951345.00 | 333440.62 | 38789.74 | |
| 12 | 1107496 | 352882.36 | 1697658.75 | 540926.40 | 188044.04 | 834273.33 | 265825.19 | 951345.00 | 303127.84 | 37302.64 | |
| 13 | 1107498 | 320802.72 | 1697658.75 | 491751.27 | 170948.55 | 827873.33 | 239805.42 | 951345.00 | 275570.76 | 35765.34 | |
| 14 | 1107500 | 291639.36 | 1697658.75 | 447046.61 | 155407.24 | 821473.33 | 216319.60 | 951345.00 | 250518.87 | 34199.27 | |
| 15 | 1110502 | 265845.35 | 1697658.75 | 406406.01 | 140560.66 | 824073.33 | 197276.60 | 951345.00 | 227744.43 | 30467.83 | |
| 16 | 1107504 | 241025.14 | 1697658.75 | 369460.01 | 128434.87 | 808673.33 | 175990.88 | 951345.00 | 207040.39 | 31049.51 | |
| 17 | 1107506 | 219114.16 | 1697658.75 | 335872.73 | 116758.58 | 748940.00 | 148173.79 | 951345.00 | 188218.54 | 40044.75 | |
| 18 | 1107508 | 199195.05 | 1697658.75 | 305338.85 | 106143.80 | 748940.00 | 134703.44 | 951345.00 | 171107.76 | 36404.32 | |
| 19 | 1107510 | 181086.73 | 1697658.75 | 277580.77 | 96494.04 | 748940.00 | 122457.67 | 951345.00 | 155552.51 | 33094.83 | |
| 20 | 1110512 | 165070.53 | 1697658.75 | 252346.16 | 87275.62 | 748940.00 | 111325.16 | 951345.00 | 141411.37 | 30086.21 | |
| 21 | 0 | 0.00 | 1697658.75 | 229405.60 | 229405.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 951345.00 | 128555.79 | 128555.79 | |
| | Total | 10864687.08 | | 13139205.40 | 2274518.32 | Total | 7229607.93 | | 7363032.98 | 133425.05 | |

| Table 6 : Economic indicators for drying peeled prawns | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sr. No. | Indicator | | By solar tunnel dryer | By open sun drying | | | | | |
| 1. | Capital investment | = | 188353.00 | 47603.00 | | | | | |
| 2. | NPW at 10% D.R. | = | 2274518.32 | 133425.05 | | | | | |
| 3. | NPV at 10% D.R. | = | 2086165.32 | 85822.05 | | | | | |
| 4. | BCR | = | 1.21 | 1.02 | | | | | |
| 5. | Profitability index | = | 11.08 | 1.80 | | | | | |
| 6. | Pay Back Period | = | 2.84 | 7.01 | | | | | |
| 7. | IRR | = | 23.90 | 11.15 | | | | | |

Conclusion:

The cost economics of dried peeled prawns was proved better for solar tunnel dryer than open sun dried method. Thus solar tunnel dryer can be proposed as a suitable alternative to the local method of drying fish.

Authors' affiliations:

A.G. MOHOD, Department of Electrical and Other Energy Sources, College of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, Dr. B.S. Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, RATNAGIRI (M.S.) INDIA

REFERENCES

Dey, V.K (1984). Export of drying marine products and its future prospectus. *Seafood's Export J.*, pp 9-13.

Jain, N.K., Kothari, S. and Mathur, A.N. (2004). Technoeconomic evaluation of a forced convection type solar dryer, *J. agric. Engg.*, **41**(3): 6-12.

Reddy, S., RaghuRam, P., Neelakanta Sastry, T.V. and Bhavani Devi, J. (2004). In a textbook of '*Agricultural Economics*', Oxford and IBH publishing.

Seveda, M.S., Rathore, N.S. and Singh, P. (2004). Techno-Economics of Solar Tunnel Dryer- A Case Study', *J. agric. Engg.*, 41(3): 13-17.