

## Bio-efficacy of bio-pesticides against whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* infesting cotton

H.V. BORICHA, K.L. RAGHVANI, M.D.JOSHI, R.R. MAKADIA AND J.M. VARMORA

International Journal of Plant Protection (April, 2010), Vol. 3 No. 1 : 8-10

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to :

**M.D. JOSHI**

Department of  
Entomology, College of  
Agriculture, Junagadh  
Agricultural University,  
JUNAGADH  
(GUJARAT) INDIA

### SUMMARY

An experiment was conducted to evaluate the bio-efficacy of bio-pesticides against whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* infesting hybrid cotton at Instructional Farm, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh during *Kharif* season of 2007. The results revealed that the treatment of acetamiprid (0.005 per cent) was found effective against whitefly followed by thiamethoxam (0.008 per cent). Among the bio-pesticides, combination of biopesticides, viz., *V. lecanii* 1.25 kg/ha and *B. bassiana* 1 kg/ha with thiamethoxam (0.008 per cent) was effective against the pest.

### Key words :

Bio-pesticides,  
Whitefly, *Bemisia*.  
*tabaci*, Cotton

Cotton, the “white gold” is a premier commercial crop of Gujarat. In Gujarat, cotton is cultivated in 23.90 lakh hectares with a production of 101.00 lakh bales and productivity of 718 kg/ha (Anonymous, 2008). In India, 160 species of insect pests have been reported to attack the cotton crop right from the time of germination till the final harvesting of cotton (Agrawal, 1978). Due to introduction of transgenic cotton in India, problem of bollworm has been solved up to the greater extent. However, sucking pest causes damage throughout the crop period. Hence, an attempt was made to study the bio-efficacy of bio-pesticides against whitefly, *B. tabaci* infesting hybrid cotton.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

With a view to test the bio-efficacy of bio-pesticides against whitefly, *B. tabaci*, a field trial was conducted during *Kharif* season of 2007 at Instructional Farm, College of Agriculture, Junagadh on Cotton variety G. Cot. Hybrid-10. Eleven treatments were tested in Randomized Block Design with four replications. The crop was sown at the spacing of 120 cm x 45 cm having gross and net plot size of 5.4 m x 4.8 m and 3.6 x 2.4 m, respectively. All the recommended agronomical practices were followed for raising the crop. Total three applications of the treatments were given with the help of high volume knapsack sprayer. Five plants were randomly selected

from each net plot and tagged. Observation of whitefly was recorded before 24 hours and 1, 3 and 7 days after treatment from three leaves (upper, middle and lower) of each tagged plant. The data thus, obtained were converted into per cent mortality by using a modified formula given by Henderson and Tilton (1955).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained from the present investigation are summarized below :

#### First spray :

Data presented in Table 1 indicated that the differences in mortality per cent of whitefly in different treatments after 1 day, 3 days and 7 days of spraying was found statistically significant.

After 1 day of insecticidal spray, the treatment of acetamiprid (0.005 per cent) recorded the highest mortality per cent of whitefly *i.e.* (97.82 per cent) which was statistically at par with thiamethoxam 0.008 per cent, *V. lecanii* @ 1.25 kg/ha + thiamethoxam (0.004 per cent), *B. bassiana* @ 1 kg/ha + thiamethoxam (0.004 per cent) and *M. anisoplae* 1.25 kg/ha + thiamethoxam 0.004 per cent which recorded 97.35, 94.94, 93.08 and 89.83 per cent mortality of whitefly, respectively and thus, found equally effective against cotton whitefly.

The treatments of *V. lecanii* @ 1.25 kg/ha + acetamiprid 0.0025 per cent, *B. bassiana*

Accepted :  
November, 2009

**Table 1 : Bio-efficacy of different insecticides against whitefly infesting hybrid cotton**

Sr. No.	Treatments	Per cent mortality of whitefly after days								
		1 <sup>st</sup> spray			2 <sup>nd</sup> spray			3 <sup>rd</sup> spray		
		1 DAS	3 DAS	7 DAS	1 DAS	3 DAS	7 DAS	1 DAS	3 DAS	7 DAS
1.	<i>B. bassiana</i> 2.0 kg/ha	6.34*	12.69	17.76	5.44*	9.97	15.41	5.80*	10.15	15.95
		(1.22)	(4.82)	(9.31)	(0.90)	(3.0)	(7.06)	(1.02)	(3.11)	(7.55)
2.	<i>V. lecanii</i> 2.5 kg/ha	8.60	17.19	24.07	7.37	13.51	20.88	7.86	13.76	21.62
		(2.23)	(8.74)	(16.64)	(1.64)	(5.46)	(12.70)	(1.87)	(5.65)	(13.57)
3.	<i>M. anisoplae</i> 2.5 kg/ha	5.40	10.81	15.13	4.63	8.49	13.12	4.94	8.65	13.59
		(0.89)	(3.52)	(6.81)	(0.65)	(2.18)	(5.11)	(0.74)	(2.26)	(5.52)
4.	Thiamethoxam 0.008 %	80.63	78.75	78.25	76.58	76.08	75.83	76.45	75.95	75.70
		(97.35)	(96.19)	(95.85)	(94.61)	(94.21)	(94.00)	(94.51)	(94.11)	(93.90)
5.	Acetamiprid 0.005 %	81.50	81.00	80.50	81.05	80.55	80.30	80.93	80.43	80.18
		(97.82)	(97.55)	(97.28)	(97.58)	(97.30)	(97.16)	(97.51)	(97.23)	(97.09)
6.	<i>B. bassiana</i> 1.0 kg/ha + Thiamethoxam 0.004 %	74.75	72.75	72.00	74.40	73.90	73.65	74.28	73.78	73.53
		(93.08)	(91.21)	(90.45)	(92.77)	(92.31)	(92.08)	(92.65)	(92.19)	(91.96)
7.	<i>V. lecanii</i> 1.25 kg/ha + Thiamethoxam 0.004 %	77.00	75.75	75.00	74.95	74.45	74.20	74.83	74.33	74.08
		(94.94)	(93.94)	(93.30)	(93.26)	(92.81)	(92.59)	(93.15)	(92.70)	(92.47)
8.	<i>M. anisoplae</i> 1.25kg/ha + Thiamethoxam 0.004 %	71.50	70.75	70.00	71.13	70.63	70.38	71.00	70.50	70.25
		(89.93)	(89.13)	(88.30)	(89.53)	(88.99)	(88.72)	(89.40)	(88.86)	(88.58)
9.	<i>B. bassiana</i> 1.0 kg/ha + Acetamiprid 0.0025 %	66.50	65.63	64.80	66.05	65.55	65.30	65.93	65.43	65.18
		(84.10)	(82.97)	(81.87)	(83.52)	(82.87)	(82.54)	(83.36)	(82.70)	(82.37)
10.	<i>V. lecanii</i> 1.25 kg/ha + Acetamiprid 0.0025 %	68.75	68.00	67.08	68.38	67.88	67.63	68.25	67.75	67.50
		(86.86)	(85.97)	(84.83)	(86.42)	(85.82)	(85.51)	(86.27)	(85.66)	(85.36)
11.	<i>M. anisoplae</i> 1.25 kg/ha + Acetamiprid 0.0025 %	63.75	62.75	62.75	63.13	62.63	62.38	63.00	62.50	62.25
		(80.44)	(79.04)	(79.04)	(79.57)	(78.86)	(78.50)	(79.39)	(78.68)	(78.32)
	S.E. ±	3.07	2.92	2.96	3.27	3.20	3.16	3.28	3.28	3.32
	C.D. (P=0.05)	8.84	8.40	8.51	9.42	9.21	9.11	9.46	9.44	9.56
	C. V. %	11.34	10.61	10.59	12.30	11.86	11.48	12.35	12.15	12.00

\*Angular transformation Figures in parentheses are original values

@ 1 kg/ha + acetamiprid 0.0025 per cent and *M. anisoplae* @ 1.25 kg/ha + acetamiprid 0.0025 per cent recorded 86.86, 84.10 and 80.44 per cent mortality of whitefly, respectively and found moderately effective against cotton whitefly. While, the treatment of *V. lecanii* @ 2.5 kg/ha, *B. bassiana* @ 2 kg/ha and *M. anisoplae* @ 2.5 kg/ha recorded significantly less mortality per cent of whitefly *i.e.* 2.23, 1.22 and 0.89 per cent, respectively.

More or less similar observations were recorded on 3 and 7 days of insecticidal spray. The only difference was that all the three bio-pesticides alone showed increasing trend in the pest mortality with the duration.

### Second and third spray :

Similar trend in the mortality of the pest in different treatments was observed in second and third applications (Table 1). The present investigations are in confirmation

with the results of Ulaganathan and Gupta (2004) and Sonalkar (1999).

Thus, looking to the effectiveness of different insecticidal treatments, it can be concluded that three sprays of acetamiprid 0.005 per cent, thiamethoxam 0.008 per cent and the combination of *B. bassiana* 1 kg/ha or *V. lecanii* 1.25 kg/ha with thiamethoxam 0.004 per cent were found economically effective for the control of whitefly on hybrid cotton, G. Cot. Hybrid-10.

Authors' affiliations:

**H.V. BORICHA, K.L. RAGHVANI, R.R. MAKADIA AND J.M. VARMORA**, Department of Entamology, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, JUNAGADH (GUJARAT) INDIA

**REFERENCES**

- Agrawal, R. A.** (1978). Cotton insect pests and their control. *Richer Harvest*, **1**: 22-29.
- Anonymous** (2008). www. FAO. Organization.
- Henderson, C. F. and Tilton, E. W.** (1955). Tests with acaricides against the brown wheat mite. *J. Econ. Ent.*, **48**(2): 157-161.
- Sonalkar, V.** (1999). *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) control with acetamidrid on okra in Vidarbha region of Maharashtra. *Pest Manag. & Eco. Zool.*, **7**(1): 87-89.
- Ulaganathan, P. and Gupta, G.P.** (2004). Effect of insecticidal spray schedules on sucking pests of American cotton, *Gossypium hirsutum* L. *Ann. Plant Prot. Sci.*, **12**(2): 283-287.

\*\*\*\*\*