# Ascertaining shelf life of selected food items stored in household refrigerators for consumer safety

# G. KAUR, P. SANDHU, R. BAKHSHI AND M. SIDHU

Study was planned with the objective to undertake microbiological and toxicity analysis to ascertain shelf-life of selected perishable products stored under refrigeration conditions in selected storage materials. This was being done to suggest safe refrigerated food storage practices for health safety. Results indicated that seepage of chromium content from stainless steel containers in stored food items resulted in maximum seepage (996.7%) in milk after two days followed by presence of chromium in *paneer* (569.56%); cooked meat (164.86%) and wheat flour dough (151.04%). Recommended food containers for storage in refrigerator were stainless steel and glass bottles in which food items come packed for minimizing growth of yeast and mould and Total Plate Count of selected food items. However due to heavy seepage of chromium content in stored food items (in stainless steel container) up to 966.7 per cent; it is not advisable to store food items in refrigerator for longer duration.

*How to cite this article*: Kaur, G, Sandhu, P., Bakhshi, R. and Sidhu, M. (2012). Ascertaining shelf life of selected food items stored in household refrigerators for consumer safety. *Food Sci. Res. J.*, **3**(1): 91-95.

Key Words : Microbiological analysis, Toxicity analysis, Perishable food products, Refrigeration conditions, Yeast and mould, Total plate count

# INTRODUCTION

Perishable foods are those foods which deteriorate and usually require some sort of refrigerated storage. Refrigeration is employed to control the rates of certain chemical and enzymatic reactions as well as rates of growth and metabolism of food microorganisms (Srivastava and Kumar, 1994). Household refrigerators usually run at 4.4-7.2°C. However, studies have shown that perishable food will deteriorate, even at refrigerator temperature, due to spoilage because of microorganisms, enzymes and oxidation (Jay, 2000).

Principal requirements for effective refrigerated storage are controlled low temperature, air circulation and humidity control. However, aerial oxygen, type of container or wrapping

MEMBERS OF RESEARCH FORUM

Address for correspondence :

**PUSHPINDER SANDHU**, Department of Family Resource Management, College of Home Science, Punjab Agricultural University; LUDHIANA (PUNJAB) INDIA E-mail: spushi@rediffmail.com

Associate Authors :

**G. KAUR, R. BAKHSHI AND M. SIDHU**, Department of Family Resource Management, College of Home Science, Punjab Agricultural University; LUDHIANA (PUNJAB) INDIA

E-mail: spushi@rediffmail.com

material they are stored in and duration of storage are also important factors that influence type of microbial growth, toxicity and spoilage of food during refrigerated storage. Refrigerated foods are therefore subject to spoilage by moulds and bacteria (Roday, 1999). Moreover, the temperature and climatic conditions prevalent in India, as well as the pH values of most food items are conductive to growth and proliferation of bacteria causing food borne diseases.

There is a wide variety of food containers and packing materials available in the market including glass, metals, paper and the recent entry as food storage containers is that of plastic and stainless steel containers. Styrofoam food containers emit benzene and styrene into our food which can cause vomiting, irritation of the stomach, dizziness, sleepiness, convulsions, rapid heart rate and even death (Potter, 2003). However, there are evidences of seepage of metals or chemicals from materials and containers in which food is cooked and stored even for shorter duration as reported by Bhutani (2005). Therefore, a clear indication of other factors like effect of food container and unhygienic handling of food items (in refrigerator) is seen. A lot of work has been done to ascertain health hazards in refrigerated products by way of undertaking microbiological analysis and examining toxicity content; but no study has pinpointed the effect of metals or materials of containers and wrapping material on refrigerated foodstuffs by consumers and housewives. Hence, present study was been planned with the objective to undertake microbiological and toxicity analysis to ascertain shelf-life of selected perishable products stored under refrigeration conditions in selected storage materials.

# METHODOLOGY

Study was conducted in 2009. Four most commonly used food items were selected. These were milk, cooked meat, *verka paneer*, and wheat flour dough. These were stored in two types of food containers, one the existing practice and other the experimental one, thus constituting the food sample of 8.

Samples were stored at 3 to 4°C.For microbial analysis, *verka paneer* and wheat flour dough were stored for 2 days; and milk (both raw and boiled) and cooked meat for 5 days. Toxicity analysis was performed to look into seepage of chromium content into stored food.

For microbial study of samples, two types of media (nutrient agar and glucose yeast agar) were prepared. Prescribed procedure was followed for testing *i.e.* by sterilizing, preparing dilution and transferring it into sterilized Petri dishes, pouring warm media in the dishes and placing it in incubator for 24 hours. After 24 hours bacterial count of food samples was taken.

Table A.				
	Quantity	Storage practice		
Food items stored	stored	Existing practice	For experiment	
Verka paneer	250 g	Plastic container	Stainless steel bin	
		eontaniei		
Wheat flour dough	350 g	Plastic container	Stainless steel bin	
Cooked meat	250 g	Glass container	Stainless steel bin	
Milk (raw & boiled)	500 ml	Aluminum	Stainless steel	
		vessel	vessel	

Analysis to toxic contents of samples was done by drying of samples in hot air oven at 70°C for 48 hours and doing wet digestion of the samples (Piper, 1950). Nitric acid and perchloric acid of analytic reagent (AR) grade were mixed in the ratio of 5:1. Food samples (0.5 g) were digested with 25 ml of diacid mixture and kept overnight. These were heated at low temperature until 1 ml of clear and colourless liquid was left, which was then transferred with deinonized water into a 25 ml volumetric flask. Double beam atomic absorption spectrophotometer, with automatic background correction was employed. Analysis was carried out using hollow cathode lamp of respective metallic element under standard instrumental operational conditions. For chromium detection air acetylene was used as a fuel.

# **OBSERVATIONS AND ASSESSMENT**

The results obtained from the present investigation have been presented under following heads :

### **Microbiological analysis of refrigerated food items:** *Verka paneer:*

Fresh samples of *verka paneer* stored in three types of containers (*verka* packet, stainless steel and plastic bin) were analyzed for possible microbial growth and data is presented in Table 1a and b. The *paneer* samples' TPC was  $1.1 \times 10^3$  cfu/ml in *paneer* stored in its own *verka* packet,  $2.0 \times 10^3$  cfu/ml when kept in stainless steel container and  $4.0 \times 10^3$  cfu/ml during its storage in plastic container. The yeast and mould count in fresh *paneer* sample of *verka* packet was  $3.0 \times 10^2$  cfu/ml  $1.0 \times 10^3$  cfu/ml when *verka paneer* was stored in stainless steel container and  $3.0 \times 10^3$  when it was kept in plastic container. yeast and mould count increased after 2 days of storage of all these samples in refrigerator.

The verka paneer sample stored in ordinary plastic containers showed the highest total plate count ( $5.5 \times 10^3$  cfu/ml) followed by sample kept in stainless steel container ( $4.0 \times 10^3$  cfu/ml) and *paneer* of original verka packet ( $1.2 \times 10^3$  cfu/ml) after 24 hours in refrigerator. The yeast and mould count of verka paneer (when kept) in plastic containers was  $3.0 \times 10^3$  cfu/ml; of the sample which was kept in stainless steel it was  $1.5 \times 10^3$  cfu/ml and the one taken out directly from verka packet had yeast and mould growth of  $5.0 \times 10^2$  cfu/ml. The highest rate of growth of yeast and mould was thus recorded in sample of verka paneer taken from ordinary plastic containers ( $3.0 \times 10^3 \times$ 

 Table 1(a).
 Effect of storage materials on total plate count of verka paneer stored in refrigeration temperature (3-4°C)

Storago	Storage material (TPC CFU*/ml)			
Storage — time (days)	<i>Verka</i> packet	Stainless steel container	Plastic container	
0	1.1 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	$2.0 \times 10^3$	4.0 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	
2	$1.2 \times 10^3$	$4.0 \times 10^3$	$5.5 \times 10^3$	

Incubation temperature: 37°C; Incubation time: 24-36 hours; \* Colony forming unit

**Table 1(b).** Effect of storage materials on total yeast and mould count of *verka paneer* stored in refrigeration temperature (3-4°C)

Storago	Storage material (TPC CFU*/ml)		
Storage – time (days)	<i>Verka</i> packet	Stainless steel	Plastic
time (days)		container	container
0	$3.0 \times 10^2$	$1.0 \ge 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^3$
2	$5.0 \times 10^2$	$1.5 \times 10^3$	$3.0 \times 10^3$

Incubation temperature: 37°C; Incubation time: 24-36 hours;

\* Colony forming unit

 $10^3$  cfu/ml) and the lowest rate was recorded from *paneer* kept in original *verka* packing (5.0 x  $10^2$  cfu/ml).

It may be due to processing and handling of the product. Milk products containing amorphous carbohydrates like lactose from milk can undergo physical changes such as crystallization, clumping, sticking and caking during processing, handling and storage. Such physical changes lead to deterioration of food quality and increase relative humidity (WHO 1996). The ordinary plastic containers showed higher TPC as compared to stainless steel and original packing of *verka paneer* after storage in refrigeration. Similarly it was observed ordinary plastic containers showed highest yeast and mould count and lowest rate was found in original *verka* packing after 2 days in refrigerator.

#### Wheat flour dough:

Data in Table 2a and b reveal the results of fresh sample of wheat flour dough stored under refrigeration in two types of containers (plastic and stainless steel) for microbial growth *i.e.* the total plate count and yeast and mould count. Total plate count and yeast and mould count of fresh sample of wheat flour dough stored in plastic container was  $1.5 \times 10^2$  cfu/ml and  $3.5 \times 10^2$  cfu/ml. Same sample when stored in stainless steel container resulted in TPC and Y and M of  $6.5 \times 10^3$  cfu/ml and  $4.0 \times 10^3$  cfu/ml, respectively. However, both the kinds of microbial growth increased after 2 days of storage in refrigerator.

The TPC and yeast and mould count in samples of wheat flour dough sample stored in 2 types of containers (steel and plastic) after the end of second day can also be observed from Table 2a and b. TPC and yeast and mould count of sample of wheat flour dough stored in stainless steel containers was 7.1 x 10<sup>3</sup> cfu/ml and 4.5 x 10<sup>3</sup> cfu/ml, respectively at the end of second day and in the dough kept in plastic container TPC and

**Table 2(a).** Effect of storage containers on total plate count of wheat<br/>flour dough stored in refrigeration temperature  $(3-4^{\circ}C)$ 

Storage time (days)	Storage container (TPC CFU*/ml)		
Storage time (days)	Plastic container	Stainless steel container	
Wheat flour dough			
0	$1.5 \ge 10^2$	$6.5 \times 10^3$	
2	$2.0 \times 10^2$	7.1 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	

Incubation temperature: 37°C; Incubation time: 24-36 hours; \* Colony forming unit

**Table 2(b).** Effect of storage containers on total yeast and mount count of wheat flour dough stored in refrigeration temperature  $(3-4^{\circ}C)$ 

+ C)	Storage container (TPC CFU*/ml)		
Storage time (days)	Plastic container	Stainless steel container	
Wheat flour dough			
0	$3.5 \ge 10^2$	$4.0 \ge 10^3$	
2	$5.0 \ge 10^2$	$4.5 \times 10^3$	

Incubation temperature: 37°C; Incubation time: 48-72 hours;

\* Colony forming unit

yeast and mould count was  $2.0 \times 10^3$  cfu/ml and  $5.0 \times 10^2$  respectively. So the samples stored in steel container showed lower TPC and yeast and mould count than plastic containers as far as storage under refrigeration conditions is concerned.

### Cooked meat:

Table 3a and b show growth pattern of TPC and Yeast and mould count of meat samples stored in 2 types of containers (*i.e.* stainless steel and glass) in refrigerator. In fresh samples of meat, the total plate count (in both types of containers) was nil on first day. On third day TPC had increased upto 2 x  $10^5$  cfu/ml in the sample which was stored in glass container and to  $1.5 \times 10^5$  cfu/ml of meat which was stored in stainless steel container. But on 5<sup>th</sup> day TPC increased to 3 x  $10^5$  cfu/ml in cooked meat sample which was stored in glass container and found to be  $2.5 \times 10^5$  cfu/ml in the meat samples which were stored in stainless steel container. In fresh samples of meat, the yeast and mould count was also not detected on first day (in samples stored in both types of containers).

 Table 3(a). Effect of storage containers on total plate count of cooked meat stored in refrigeration temperature (3-4°C)

Storage time (days)	Storage container (TPC CFU*/ml)		
Storage time (days)	Glass container	Stainless steel container	
0	ND	ND	
3	2.0 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	1.5 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	
5	$3.0 \ge 10^5$	2.5 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	
Incubation temperature: $37^{\circ}$ C. Incubation time: 24-36 hours:			

Incubation temperature: 37°C; Incubation time: 24-36 hours

\* Colony forming unit

 
 Table 3(b).Effect of storage containers on total yeast and mould count of cooked meat stored in refrigeration temperature (3-4°C)

	Storage container (TPC CFU*/ml)		
Storage time (days)	Glass container	Stainless steel container	
0	ND	ND	
3	1.5 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	1.0 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	
5	3.2 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	2.7 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	

Incubation temperature: 37°C; Incubation time: 48-72 hours;

\* Colony forming unit

However, on third day yeast and mould count was observed to be  $1.5 \times 10^5$  cfu/ml in case of meat sample which was stored in glass container and it was  $1.0 \times 10^5$  cfu/ml for cooked meat stored in stainless steel container. But on 5<sup>th</sup> day yeast and mould count was increased to  $3.2 \times 10^5$  cfu/ml of the meat sample which was stored in glass container and it was seen to be  $2.7 \times 10^5$  cfu/ml for meat which was stored in stainless steel container. The meat sample stored in glass container showed highest TPC and yeast and mould count than the one which was stored in steel container.

#### Milk:

Methylene blue reductive test was used to check level of

Table 4: Effect of storage containers on quality of milk

Storage time (days)	Storage container (TPC CFU*/ml)		
Storage time (days)	Glass container	Stainless steel container	
Raw milk	Aluminum container	Stainless steel	
Reduction time (hrs)	1/2	1⁄2	
Quality of milk	Very poor quality	Very poor quality	
Duration	Boiled milk (stai	nless steel container)	
	Reduction time (hrs)	Quality of milk	
0	No change in 6 hrs	Good quality	
2	No change in 6 hrs	Good quality	
3	4	Fair quality	
4	21/2	Fair quality	
5	2	Poor quality	
	Boiled milk (stainless steel container)		
0	No change in 6 hrs	Good quality	
2	4	Fair quality	
3	2	Poor quality	
4	1	Poor quality	
5	1/2	Very poor quality	
MBRT – Methylene blue reductase test			

spoilage in case of samples of boiled milk and raw milk. The results are presented in Table 4 which reveals that the blue dye which was mixed with boiled milk sample (which was kept in aluminum vessel) changed colour after 4 hours. It had become white. Milk sample kept in stainless steel vessel did not show any change during this period. On third day of refrigerated storage of milk; the colour of mixture of boiled milk (kept in aluminum vessel) changed colour in 2 hours only and the one kept in stainless steel vessel took 4 hours to get into same condition. On fourth day of refrigerated storage of milk the colour of mixture of boiled milk (kept in stainless steel vessel) changed colour in 21/2 hours only. Results on 5th day were more conspicuous as the mixture made from boiled milk (taken out from aluminum vessel) changed its colour within 30 minutes whereas it took 2 hours to become colourless for the milk sample which was taken from stainless steel vessel.

Methylene blue reductive test showed starling results when raw milk was used for experimentation. Colour of mixture become white within 15 minutes in case of samples drawn both from aluminum and stainless steel vessels. Results indicate that raw milk is not safe to keep in any metallic container even under refrigeration conditions. It should be immediately boiled (upon procuring) and stored for minimum duration. Safest method to store milk pouches is in freezer till its intended use. It should be consumed as fast as possible after boiling.

### Toxicity analysis of food stored in refrigerator:

Data in Table 5 indicate that the fresh sample of wheat flour dough had 1.43 mg/100 g chromium content. Minimum

Table 5: Chromium content in selected food items under refrigerate conditions stored in stainless steel container per 100 g

Food items	Chromium content (mg/100 g)		
	Fresh food samples	After 2 days	Per cent increase
Boiled milk	0.03	0.32	966.7
Verka paneer	0.23	1.54	569.56
Cooked meat	0.37	0.98	164.86
Wheat flour dough	1.43	3.59	151.04
Permissible limits	0.05 – 0.20 mg/day		

chromium content (0.03 mg/100 g) was seen in fresh milk sample followed by sample of fresh verka paneer which showed 0.23 mg/100 g chromium content. Presence of chromium in the food samples after 2 days increased in all samples. Maximum increase was seen in wheat flour dough sample which showed chromium content of 3.59 mg/100 g followed by seepage in verka paneer (1.54 mg/100 g), and cooked meat (0.98 mg/100 g). Minimum rise in chromium content was observed in milk sample after 2 days of refrigerated storage.

However, it was milk sample which indicated maximum percentage increase (966.7%) in chromium content followed by verka paneer sample which showed percentage increase of 569.56. These results clearly indicate that the milk and milk products cannot be safely kept in metallic containers for longer duration. Approximately 150 to 165 per cent increase was observed in wheat flour dough and cooked meat samples when these were stored under refrigerated conditions in stainless steel container which clearly indicate that prolonged storage (even at low temperature) is not safe for the food items which have more moisture content.

Permissible limits of presence of chromium in any edible product is 0.05-0.20 mg/day and it was only fresh milk sample which had chromium content less than this limit. However, it was significant to note that it was milk sample stored in stainless steel for only two days which showed almost 1000 per cent increase of the chromium content in it.

Kudesia (1985) also revealed that causes of chromium poisoning have been reported in stainless steel industry and alloy industries. Chromium accumulates in lungs with age. It causes cancer, anuran, nephritis, gastrointestinal ulceration and effects central nervous system. Therefore, it is not advisable to store any of these food items in refrigerator for longer duration.

# LITERATURE CITED

- Bhutani, A. (2005). Extent of toxin contents in cooked food: A comparison of different skillets. M.Sc. Thesis, Punjab Agricultural University, LUDHIANA PUNJAB (India).
- Jay (2000). Food Analysis: Theory and Practices. 3rd edn. CBS Publishers and Distributors, 4596/1A, Darya Ganj, NEW DELHI (India).

- Kudesia, V.P. (1985). *Air pollution*. pp 59-61, Pragati Prakashan, MEERUT, U.P. (India).
- **Potter, N.N.** (2003). *Food science*. 4<sup>th</sup> edn. The AVI Publishing Co. Inc. Daya Publishing House, NEW DELHI (India).
- Roday, N. (1999). *Food hygiene and sanitation*. Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Co. Ltd.
- Srivastava, R.P. and Kumar, S. (1994). Fruit and vegetable preservation: Principles and practices. 3<sup>rd</sup> edn. International Book Distributing Co., LUCKNOW, U.P. (India).
- WHO (1996). Trace elements in human nutrition and health. Geneva, pp. 255.

**Received :** 11.02.2012; **Revised:** 27.02.2012; **Accepted :** 22.03.2012