

Effect of Genetic variability on seed yield and oil content in Indian Mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]

RICHA NIGAM, ALKA AND ARCHANA SRIVASTAVA

Department of Botany, Dayanand Girls (P.G.) College, KANPUR (U.P.) INDIA

(Accepted : June, 2009)

Ten parents of wide phenotypic differences and their 45F₁S and 45F₂S progenies were studied to assess the genetic variability among the parents and progenies for seed yield and oil content attributes for improvement in Indian mustard. The seed yield and oil content related to days to flowering, number of primary and secondary branches / plant, 1000 grain weight, length of main fruiting branches, harvest index exhibited significant differences among parents and the progenies but 1000 grain weight did not show any significant difference among F₁S and F₂S. Therefore, the direct and indirect selection efficiency through desirable characters is to be fixed for high yield. The range and variability are the measurements of parents and progenies, which will be supporting analogs for selection.

Key words : Variability, *Brassica juncea*, Oil content

INTRODUCTION

Rapeseed - mustard crops occupy a prominent place being next in importance to groundnut. India has about 6 million hectares for rapeseed-mustard cultivation with an average yield of 1150 Kg/ha. The yield of Asian Countries are below the world average (1613 Kg/ha). In order to increase the seed yield and oil content it is essential to develop high yielding potential varieties along with better oil content. The knowledge of genetic system is important for the improvement programme in any crop. Hence, the present investigation was, carried out to find out the seed yield and oil content improvement contributed by different hybrids of Indian mustard.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The base material comprised of ten widely diverse Indian mustard genotypes namely, CSR-1017, RL-18, LAHA-101, T-6342, RK-8901, RK-8601, RK-8608, RK-8701, A-11 and B-85. All possible single cross combinations were among all the parents in a diallel fashion. The experiment was sown in Randomized Block Design with three replications at Research farm of C.S.A. Univ. of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur. All the treatments were grown in 5 m. long three rows plots. Twenty plants were taken at random from each of F₁S and F₂S and ten plants each of parent in each replication and data were collected and compiled for biometrical analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data obtained from the present investigation on

seed yield and oil content improvement for eight character viz., days to flowering, number of primary and secondary branches / plant, length of main fruiting branch, seed yield / plant, harvest index, 1000 grain weight and oil content. The analysis of variance (Table 1) for all the characters were subjected for 'F' test to know the significant differences among the parents and offspring's for different attributes. The parent, F₁S and F₂S showed significant variation among all the traits except number of primary branches / plant for parent and 1000 grain weight for F₁S and F₂S. Parents vs crosses did not show any significant difference for the characters days to flowering, 1000 grain weight and oil content. F₁S and F₂S showed differences significantly for all the characters except 1000 grain weight and oil content. The data presented in Table 2 revealed the range and variability in the traits. The high variation in days to flowering in F₁S population was 45.27-69.83 as compared to parent and F₂S, which indicated presence of both additive and non - additive gene interactions (Asthana and Pandey, 1977). The maximum number (6.43-10.63) of primary branches in F₁S and secondary branches / plant (20.70-37.67) in F₁S indicated involvement of non-allelic gene interactions in the expression of this character. The large variations in length of main fruiting branch in F₁S (70.17 - 96.03) and F₂S (66.19 - 90.02) were observed. Such type of variability occurs due to non-additive gene effects (Anand and Rawat, 1978). The seed yield / plant exhibited higher mean values (36.24g) in F₁S populations over the F₂S (26.37g) and parents (21.21g). Such type of genetic variability is additive gene effects in the progenies (Varshney *et al.*, 1990). The

Table 1 : Analysis of variance for parents, F₁S and F₂S of 8 attributes in a 10 parent – diallel cross of Indian mustard

Sources of variation	d.f.	Days to flowering	No. of primary branches/ plant	No. of secondary branches / plant	Length of main fruiting branch	Seed yield / plant	Harvest Index	1000 grain weight	Oil content
Replications	2	74.58**	0.89	118.24**	535.13**	609.02**	7.22**	0.03	73.51**
Treatments	99	100.73**	3.79**	87.47**	105.56**	282.55**	13.00**	1.40*	4.98**
Parents	9	98.94**	1.83	37.80**	204.90**	195.49**	30.41**	3.83**	5.66**
F ₁ S	44	123.61**	3.04**	64.33**	108.05**	200.51**	14.09**	1.33	5.11**
F ₂ S	44	75.71**	2.67**	77.95**	73.08**	183.40**	8.29**	1.02	4.82**
P vs. Cross	1	298.05	26.74**	629.84**	295.85**	2751.02**	22.80**	0.02	2.67
F ₁ s Vs F ₂ s	1	13.73**	80.80**	1429.54**	351.69**	6569.82**	5.74*	0.38	1.71
Error	198	11.10	1.51	48.27	34.51	156.66	4.69	0.12	1.72

* and **indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

Table 2 : Mean and range of 8 attributes in parents, F₁s and F₂s of a 10 parent – diallel cross in Indian mustard

Attributes	Mean			Range					
	Parent	F ₁	F ₂	Parent		F ₁		F ₂	
				Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.
Days to flowering	54.84	57.94	58.39	47.38	64.15	45.27	69.83	48.57	68.02
No. of primary branch / plant	6.30	7.85	6.75	5.20	7.57	6.43	10.63	5.08	9.19
No. of secondary branch/plant	21.76	28.76	24.29	16.52	29.03	20.70	37.67	17.15	33.02
Length of main fruiting branch	77.22	81.67	79.39	64.36	87.15	70.17	96.03	66.19	90.02
Seed yield / plant (g)	21.21	36.24	26.37	11.53	40.75	22.33	57.33	15.47	48.29
Harvest Index	22.09	21.02	21.32	17.24	27.31	16.91	25.32	17.75	25.09
1000 grain weight (g)	3.89	3.82	3.90	2.31	5.72	2.62	5.28	2.66	5.18
Oil content (%)	36.40	36.17	36.01	34.81	38.49	32.32	38.70	33.48	38.52

harvest index in parents as compared to F₁S and F₂S were more considerable. The selection on the basis of 1000 grain weight for yield will be economical. The oil content was studied under laboratory condition in parents F₁S and F₂S which varied from 34.81 – 38.49 in parents, 33.48 – 38.52 in F₂S and 32.32 – 38.70 in F₁S. This was mainly controlled by non-additive gene reflects Singh and Yashpal (1991). Genetic variability, an important objective of any breeding programme, is to assess the variability in the material under important and utilize them efficiently for selection in target at direction. The choice of different parents with good general combining ability in a hybridization programme has assisted in substantial genetic advance in self as well as cross pollinated crops (Griffing, 1956). The selection of parents of wide genetic base will create variability for better procurement of genotype.

The analysis of variance for all characters revealed an appreciable variability among parents and their progenies (F₁S and F₂S) except 1000 grain weight. It reflected that the selections were genetically divergent

and estimation of various genetic parameters will be awakable. Genetic variability (Gardner, 1963) is due to additive gene action and results of additive effects, while non-additive is due to dominance and epistasis (Hayman, 1958).

REFERENCES

- Anand, I.J. and Rawat, D.S. (1978).** Exploiting cytoplasmic male sterility from heterosis breeding in Brassica juncea. Paper presented at International Conference on cytogenetics and crop improvement, B.H.U., Varanasi, India.
- Asthana A.N. and Pandey, V.K. (1977).** Combining ability and rank correlation in a diallel cross of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Expl. Agri.*, **13** : 71-79.
- Gardner, C.O. (1963).** Estimates of genetic parameters in cross fertilizing plants and their implication in plant breeding. *Statistical Genetics and Plant Breeding*, NASNRC, *Washington Publ.* **982** : 225-252.

Griffing, B. (1956). A generalised treatment of the use of diallel crosses in quantitative inheritance. *Heredity*, **10** : 31-50.

Hayman, B.I. (1958). The theory of analysis of diallel crosses-II. *Genetics*, **43** : 63 -65.

Singh, H. and Yashpal (1991). Genetic components and combining ability analysis of oil content in Indian mustard. *J. Oilseeds Res.*, **8** (1) : 117-120.

Varshney, S.K., Rai, B. and Singh, B. (1990). Analysis of harvest index in three cultivated species of Brassica. *Indian J. Genet.*, **50** (3) : 289-295.

