

Volume 4 | Issue 1 | June, 2013 | 24-27



**R**esearch Article

# Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of vegetable soybean

K. SUNILKUMAR, ANDANI GOWDA, R. NAGARAJ, P. VEERANAGAPPA, R. JAYAPRAKASH AND SHANKARGOWDA PATIL

**ABSTRACT :** A field experiment was conducted at Zonal Agricultural Research Station, University of Agricultural Sciences, Gandhi Krishi Vignana Kendra, Bengaluru, during *Kharif* 2011 to study the influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of vegetable soybean. Application of 125 % RDF (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + triple microbial inoculations recorded significantly higher growth parameters *viz.*, plant height (40.22 cm), number of trifoliate leaves (20.95), leaf area (1214.83 cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>) and total dry matter accumulation (32.33 g plant<sup>-1</sup>), vegetable pod yield (95.30 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), haulm yield (294.80 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). The same treatment also recorded higher N, P and K uptake (178.54, 29.84 and 143.75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) followed by 100 % RDF (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + triple microbial inoculations.

KEY WORDS: Vegetable soybean, Growth, Yield, Nutrient uptake

How to cite this Article : Sunilkumar, K., Andani Gowda, Nagaraj, R., Veeranagappa, P., Jayaprakash, R. and Patil, Shankargowda (2013). Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of vegetable soybean, *Internat. J. Forestry & Crop Improv.*, **4**(1): 24-27.

Article Chronical : Received : 01.01.2013; Revised : 16.05.2013; Accepted : 24.05.2013

# **INTRODUCTION**

Soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] is popularly known as miracle crop, introduced in India during mid sixties. In

## MEMBERS OF RESEARCH FORUM

Address of the Correspondence :

**R. NAGARAJ,** Zonal Agricultural Research Station, Brahmaver, UDUPI (D), BENGALURU (KARNATAKA) INDIA

Email : nags525@gmail.com

Address of the Coopted Authors :

**P. VEERANAGAPPA,** Department of Soil Science, University of Agricultural Sciences, G.K.V.K., BENGALURU (KARNATAKA) INDIA

**R. JAYAPRAKASH,** Department of Soil Science, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Brahmaver, UDUPI (D), BENGALURU (KARNATAKA) INDIA

SHANKARGOWDA PATIL, College of Agriculture, CHINTAMANI (KARNATAKA) INDIA India, the area under soybean cultivation covered to an extent of 96.23 lakh hectares with a production of 108.28 lakh tonnes having a productivity of 1,124 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Anonymous, 2011). It has exceptionally great nutritive value and very rich in protein. It consists of about 43 per cent protein, 18 per cent of edible oil with very important essential fatty acids, lecithin and vitamin A and D. It also contains 30 per cent carbohydrates and 4 per cent fibre. It is considered as 'gold from the soil' and it is also 'treated as man made meat' because of its rich source of protein and fat. Besides, it is also a rich source of amino acids, vitamin C and minerals.

Among the factors responsible for low productivity of soybean in India, inadequate fertilizers use and emergence of multiple nutrient deficiencies due to poor recycling of organic sources and imbalanced use of fertilizers and cultivated mostly in marginal and poor soil fertility conditions.

In view of escalating prices and less availability of chemical fertilizers, there is a strong need to adopt integrated nutrient supply system by judicious combination of chemical fertilizers, organics and biofertilizers to improve soil health, crop productivity, save money and environment. Use of biofertilizers with FYM and inorganic fertilizers may prove a viable option for sustaining production of vegetable soybean (Govindan and Thirumurugan, 2005).

The vegetable soybean is newly introduced and it has higher yield potential. At present package for production of grain soybean has been recommended and there were no attempts made to quantify the appropriate nutrient demand for vegetable cultivation. Optimizing nutrient management levels are particular interest in vegetable soybean cultivation. Keeping this in view, present on farm trail was under taken to assess the influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of vegetable soybean.

## **EXPERIMENTAL METHODS**

A field experiment carried out at Zonal Agricultural Research Station, University of Agricultural Sciences, Gandhi Krishi Vignana Kendra, Bengaluru during *Kharif* season of 2011 on a red sandy clay loam soil with pH of 5.64, organic carbon 0.45 per cent. The soil was medium in available nitrogen, available  $P_2O_5$  and available  $K_2O$  of 278.5, 38.49 and 175.08 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications and 12 treatment combinations (Table 1).

Seeds of vegetable soybean (var. Karune) were sown on 23<sup>rd</sup> July 2011. The fertilizer was applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate (SSP), muriate of potash (MOP) as per the treatments at the time of sowing. The farm yard manure was applied well before sowing as per treatments. Quantity of

FYM to be added to each treatment was calculated on the basis of N content of FYM to substitute 25 and 50 per cent of N through FYM treatment wise. Bioinoculants such as *Rhizobium japonicum*, PSB (*Bacillus megatherium* var. *phoshaticum*) and VAM at 1000 g ha<sup>-1</sup> seed treated as per the treatment combinations. All other cultivation practices were followed as per the recommended package of practice by the University of Agricultural Sciences, Bengaluru.

# **EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

The results obtained from the present study have been discussed in detail under following heads :

#### Growth attributes:

At harvest, application of 125 % RDF (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + triple microbial inoculations significantly increased plant height (40.22 cm), number of trifoliate leaves (20.95), leaf area (1214.83 cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>) and total dry matter accumulation (32.33 g plant<sup>-1</sup>) as compared with other treatments followed by 100 % RDF (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + triple microbial inoculations (Table 1). This may be due to supplementing the inorganic fertilizers with organic sources like FYM and also due to inoculation of biofertilizers. This is ascribed to greater availability of essential nutrients with the application of inorganic fertilizers, FYM and microbial inoculants which promoted various physiological activities in plant which are considered to be indispensable for proper growth and development. The findings are in conformity with Chaturvedi and Chandel (2005) and Deshmukh et al. (2005).

Table 1 : Plant height, number of trifoliate leaves, leaf area and total dry matter accumula	ation (TDM) of vegetable soybean as influenced by
integrated nutrient management at harvest	

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Number of trifoliate leaves	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> plant <sup>-1</sup> )	TDM (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	
T <sub>1</sub> : RDF	33.73	16.52	1102.21	23.84	
T <sub>2</sub> :75 % RDF	31.13	15.92	969.13	22.17	
T <sub>3</sub> :125 % RDF	35.94	17.15	1142.03	27.03	
$T_4:T_1 + TMI$	36.43	17.98	1153.87	27.34	
$T_5:T_2 + TMI$	33.17	16.58	1046.30	22.53	
$T_6:T_3 + TMI$	36.67	18.78	1185.58	28.18	
T <sub>7</sub> : T <sub>1</sub> (50 % N through fertilizer + 50 % through compost) + TMI	34.07	16.05	1125.13	24.21	
$T_8{:}\ T_2\ (50\ \%\ N\ through\ fertilizer\ +\ 50\ \%\ through\ compost)\ +\ TMI$	32.40	16.22	1010.08	22.33	
T <sub>9</sub> : T <sub>3</sub> (50 % N through fertilizer + 50 % through compost) + TMI	35.13	16.88	1130.13	25.41	
$T_{10}$ : $T_1$ (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + TMI	40.06	20.42	1199.81	31.20	
$T_{11}$ : $T_2$ (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + TMI	33.20	17.22	1072.13	23.27	
$T_{12}$ : $T_3$ (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + TMI	40.22	20.95	1214.83	32.33	
S. E. ±	1.04	0.54	19.24	0.86	
C. D. (P=0.05)	3.12	1.59	57.72	2.57	

Note: DAS = Days after sowing

RDF= Recommended dose of fertilizer (30:80:37.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

TMI= Triple microbial inoculations (Rhizobium + PSB + VAM)

INFLUENCE OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON GROWTH, YIELD, NUTRIENT UPTAKE & ECONOMICS OF VEGETABLE SOYBEAN

Treatments	Vegetable pod	Haulm yield	Nu	trient uptake (kg	ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Treatments	yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium
T <sub>1</sub> : RDF	82.73	254.47	135.33	19.52	95.62
T <sub>2</sub> :75 % RDF	73.03	226.87	115.61	16.73	80.13
T <sub>3</sub> :125 % RDF	83.67	264.27	143.85	20.79	100.42
$T_4:T_1 + TMI$	85.10	270.53	147.26	21.81	103.50
$T_5:T_2 + TMI$	78.68	244.33	132.79	19.35	90.76
$T_6:T_3 + TMI$	88.63	278.97	158.22	24.69	119.39
T <sub>7</sub> : T <sub>1</sub> (50 % N through fertilizer + 50 % through compost) + TMI	80.21	255.77	139.38	20.70	107.46
T <sub>8</sub> : T <sub>2</sub> (50 % N through fertilizer + 50 % through compost) + TMI	77.87	229.37	131.43	18.54	99.23
T <sub>9</sub> : T <sub>3</sub> (50 % N through fertilizer + 50 % through compost) + TMI	81.83	258.83	147.32	23.00	114.40
$T_{10}$ : $T_1$ (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + TMI	90.98	281.57	166.63	26.93	131.02
$T_{11}$ : $T_2$ (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + TMI	79.20	247.80	135.78	20.65	105.15
$T_{12}$ : $T_3$ (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + TMI	95.30	294.80	178.54	29.84	143.75
S. E. ±	2.77	7.67	4.71	1.02	3.90
C. D. (P=0.05)	8.34	23.08	14.11	3.06	11.73

TMI= Triple microbial inoculations (*Rhizobium* + PSB + VAM)

Table 3 : Economics of ve	egetable soybean	production as	influenced	by integrated	nutrient management
---------------------------	------------------	---------------	------------	---------------	---------------------

Treatments	Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross return (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net return (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B:C ratio
T <sub>1</sub> : RDF	23,121	1,24,100	1,00,978	4.37
T <sub>2</sub> :75 % RDF	22,377	1,09,550	87,172	3.67
T <sub>3</sub> :125 % RDF	23,708	1,25,500	1,01,791	4.29
$T_4:T_1 + TMI$	23,296	1,27,650	1,04,353	4.48
$T_5:T_2 + TMI$	22,552	1,18,025	95,472	4.23
$T_6:T_3 + TMI$	23,883	1,32,950	1,09,066	4.57
T <sub>7</sub> : T <sub>1</sub> (50 % N through fertilizer + 50 % through compost) + TMI	25,382	1,20,320	94,937	3.74
T <sub>8</sub> : T <sub>2</sub> (50 % N through fertilizer + 50 % through compost) + TMI	24,306	1,16,800	92,493	3.81
T <sub>9</sub> : T <sub>3</sub> (50 % N through fertilizer + 50 % through compost) + TMI	26,301	1,22,750	96,448	3.90
$T_{10}$ : $T_1$ (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + TMI	24,062	1,36,475	1,12,412	4.67
$T_{11}$ : $T_2$ (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + TMI	23,809	1,18,800	94,991	3.99
$T_{12}$ : T <sub>3</sub> (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + TMI Note: DAS = Days after sowing	25,472 RDF= Recommende	1,42,945 d dose of fertilizer (3	$\frac{1,17,473}{0.80:37.5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}}$	4.61

TMI= Triple microbial inoculations (Rhizobium + PSB + VAM

#### Yield:

Significantly higher vegetable pod yield (95.30 q ha-1) and haulm yield (294.80 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with application of 125 % RDF (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + triple microbial inoculations (Table 2). The better yield was due to better supply of readily available nutrients which might have improved supply of metabolites and photosynthates. In addition to this, triple inoculation of Rhizobium, PSB and VAM results in cumulative effects such as supply of N and P to the crop along with production of growth promoting substances like auxin, gibberlins and cytokinins. The results are in conformity with the findings of Ramesh et al. (2008) and Thenua et al. (2010).

#### Nutrient uptake:

Significantly higher uptake of N, P and K (178.54, 29.84 and 143.75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) were registered with application of 125 % RDF (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + TMI and followed by application of 100 % RDF (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + TMI (Table 2). The higher uptake of NPK is attributed to continuous and steady supply of available nutrients through out crop growth period because of application of both organic and inorganic forms. Similar results were reported earlier by Babalad (2000) and Tiwari *et al.* (2007). Higher uptake was due to higher mineralization of nutrients from applied organic and inorganic source. FYM application reduced the loss of nutrients through leaching and made available significant amount of plant nutrients, which created a balancing effect on supply of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (Singh *et al.*, 1979).

The results revealed that the integrated nutrient use of 125 % RDF (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + Triple microbial inoculations is required for increasing the growth, vegetable pod yield and nutrient uptake of vegetable soybean in red sandy clay loam soil of agroclimatic zone-5 of Karnataka.

#### **Economics:**

Net returns (Rs.1,17,473.0 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and B:C (4.6) ratio increased with supplementation of recommended dose of fertilizer with FYM (Table 3). Higher net returns and B:C ratio was obtained with application of 125 % RDF (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + triple microbial inoculations. It was due to additional cost of organic manures was compensated by the additional pod yield of vegetable soybean. FYM integrated with 100 % RDF and triple microbial inoculations also resulted in higher net returns and B:C ratio than RDF alone. This was due to higher net returns obtained and relatively low cost of FYM and microbial inoculations.

From the results of the study it could be concluded that integrated use of 125 % RDF (75 % N through fertilizer + 25 % through compost) + triple microbial inoculations is beneficial in achieving higher production potential and protein yield of vegetable soybean as well as obtaining higher net returns.

### REFERENCES

Anonymous (2011). Project Director's Report, AICRP on soybean,

DOR, Indore.

- Babalad, H.B. (2000). Integrated nutrient management for sustainable production in soybean based cropping system. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, KARNATAKA (INDIA).
- Chaturvedi, Sumit and Chandel, A.S. (2005). Influence of organic and inorganic fertilization on soil fertility and productivity of soybean (*Glycine max*). *Indian J. Agron.*, **50**(4):311-313.
- Deshmukh, K.K., Khatik, S.K. and Dubey, D.P. (2005). Effect of integrated use of inorganic, organic and biofertilizers on production, nutrient availability and economic feasibility of soybean grown on soil of Kaymore Plateau and Satpura Hills. J. Soils & Crops, 15(1):21-25.
- Govindan, K. and Thirumurugan, V. (2005). Synergistic association of *Rhizobium* with phosphate solubilizing bacteria under different sources of nutrient supply on productivity and soil fertility in soybean. *Indian J. Agron.*, **50**(3):214-217.
- Ramesh, P., Panwar, N.R., Singh, A.B. and Ramana, S. (2008). Effect of organic manures on productivity, soil fertility and economics of soybean (*Glycine max*) - durum wheat (*Titicum durum*) cropping system under organic farming in Vertisols. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.*, **78**(12):118-122.
- Singh, Bijay, Sharma, K.N., Rana, D.S., Sodhi, J. and Kapur, M.L. (1979). Effect of applying FYM to maize-wheat rotation on the distribution of nitrate nitrogen in soil profiles. *J. Res.*, 16(2):150-154.
- Thenua, O.V.S., Singh, Kuldeep and Shivakumar, B.G. (2010). Studies on *Rhizobium* inoculation and potassium levels on the performance of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). Ann. Agric. Res., 31(1):1-4.
- Tiwari, D. K. Khaddar, V. K., Rajpoot, R.S. and Gupta, S.B. (2007). Effect of decomposed city waste under integrated nutrient management system on yield and nitrogen uptake by soybean. *Adv. Pl. Sci.*, **20**(1):295-298.

