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# Growth and yield of Artemisia Annua as affected by different plant geometry 

■ RAJESH CHOUDHARI ${ }^{1}$ and ROSHAN CHOUDHARY


#### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, U. S. Nagar (Uttarakhand) during the Rabi 2007-08 to find out the effect of planting geometry on Artemisia annиa crop. The experiment consisted eight treatments of different planting geometry viz., ( $30 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}, 30 \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}, 30 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}, 45 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}, 45 \times 75 \mathrm{~cm}, 45 \times 90 \mathrm{~cm}, 60 \times 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $60 \times 90 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) were laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. Result revealed that maximum leaf yield ( $2.46 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) was recorded at $45 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ which was significantly higher than all other treatments. However, because of significant difference in variation of dried leaf yield of crop, artemisnin yield varied significantly over the treatments. Plant geometry that $45 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing was optimum for getting higher leaf yield ( $2.46 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) and Artemisinin yield ( $5.16 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) in Tarai region of Uttarakhand.
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Medicinal plants constitute an important resource in the process of drug development. Numerous plants derived active principles and their derivatives have been developed as drugs against many diseases for their effective treatments. Multiple drug resistance of parasites/ pathogens still poses problems. One important plant genus in traditional chinese medicine (TCM) which is known to contain many bioactive components is Artemisia annиa. The genus belongs to the family of Asteraceae and about 500 species belong to it (Van Agtmael, 1999). Most Artemisia herbs are perennials and grown in the northern hemisphere. Artemisia annиa L. is a vigorous, annual, aromatic, herbaceous medicinal plant attaining 1-3 meight and 1 m in width. The plant produces anti-malarial, antibacterial agents and natural pesticides. The main chemical constituents of Artemisia annua L. include volatile essential oils and nonvolatile sesquiterpenoids, flavonoids, coumarins, proteins and steroids. The sesquuiterpenes include artemisinin, artemisnin, artemisinin, artemisinin, artemisinin, artemisinin, artemisic acid, artemisilactone, artemisinol and epoxyarteannuinic acid (Peigen, 2002; Anonymous, 1977; Ying et al., 1982). Artemisinin content in Artemisia annua L. is very low being 0.01-0.8 per cent (Van Agtmael et al., 1999). Artemisinin contains an endoperoxide bridge, rarely found in secondary metabolites. For improving artemisinin production, Artemisia annиa plant is still the most
potent and economic source for production of cheap and large quantities of artemisinin and thus it is very important to optimize site specific agronomy of this crop for harvesting maximum biomass leaf of the crop. Crop geometry is very important and primary information required for cultivation of any crop for getting optimum production.

## Research Procedure

A field experiment was conducted at College Agronomy Farm, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, during Rabi, 2007. The soil had pH 6.8, 0.91 per cent organic carbon, $211 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ available nitrogen, $32.64 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ available $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $172.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ available $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The experiment consisted of eight treatments of different planting geometry viz., $30 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}, 30 \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}, 30 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}, 45 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}, 45 \times 75 \mathrm{~cm}, 45 \times 90$ $\mathrm{cm}, 60 \times 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $60 \times 90 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) were laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. The experiment was transplanted on 6-2-2007 with the 35 days old seedlings. The crop was raised under irrigated conditions.

## Research Analysisand Reasoning

The results of the present study as well as relevant discussions have been presented under following sub heads:

## Effect on growth :

The results revealed that the effect of different spacing on plant height was significant at all the growth stages. At 60 days after sowing, the maximum plant height $(46.00 \mathrm{~cm})$ was recorded with $30 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing, which was significantly higher as compared to $30 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}, 45 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}, 45 \times 75 \mathrm{~cm}, 45 \times 90 \mathrm{~cm}$, $60 \times 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $60 \times 90 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacings. But height of crop spacing on $30 \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}$ was at par with $30 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ crop spacing. This might be due to the competition among plants to get more light for photosynthesis at narrow row spacing and plant growth was erect because they did not get the space to spread. Similar results were reported by Hangovan et al.(1990), Umesha et al. (1990) Malav and Yadav (1998).

Highest number (48.67) of primary branches was recorded at $30 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ plant geometry. The increased number of primary branches in narrow spacing may be due to increased plants per unit area at narrow spacing and more height of plants which led to less spread and as that condition favoured to produce erect type of plants having more primary branches. Similarly, this result has been well supported by Singh et al. (2005) by concluding the results of varying spacing in crop of fenugreek
where the number of branches (19) got increased at closer spacing ( 22.5 cm ) over wider spacing ( 30 cm ) led to higher yield.

The various plant geometries have pronounced significant affect on angle of top as well as middle branches of the Artemisia anпиa plant at all the growth stages considered for this study. Angle of bottom branches also varied significantly during 60 days after sowing. The effect of the spacing on dry weight of florets/plant was well pronounced in the advanced planting geometry. At harvest highest dry weight of florets was recorded at $60 \times 90 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. The increase in dry weight of florets in wider spacing may be due to decrease number of plants per unit area in wider spacing. A result of reduction in dry weight of florets under narrow spacing has also been supported by various workers. Hangovan et al. (1990) reported that wider spacing exhibited more dry weight of florets due to robust growth with intense branching and more number of leaves. The leaf stem ratio was also affected by plant spacing and it was highest at $60 \times 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ and lowest at $30 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$. However, it was found non-significantly affected due to variation in treatments.

Table 1: Plant height (cm) and number of primary branches per plant as influenced by different spacing at various stages of crop growth

| Treatments | Specing (cm) | Plant height (cm) |  |  | Number of primary branches/plant |  |  | Angle of top |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | 120 DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | 120 DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | 120 DAS |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $30 \times 30$ | 46.00 | 88.30 | 146.6 | 23.33 | 37.67 | 48.67 | 35.00 | 35.67 | 36.33 |
| T2 | $30 \times 45$ | 43.67 | 85.70 | 139.00 | 23.00 | 36.67 | 48.00 | 40.67 | 41.33 | 43.33 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | $30 \times 60$ | 41.67 | 81.70 | 135.00 | 22.67 | 36.67 | 47.67 | 41.33 | 40.00 | 45.33 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ | $45 \times 60$ | 37.33 | 76.10 | 132.33 | $22 . .67$ | 36.33 | 47.00 | 45.00 | 48.67 | 51.33 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ | $45 \times 75$ | 36.67 | 76.00 | 130.00 | 18.33 | 30.33 | 42.33 | 45.67 | 49.33 | 50.33 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{6}$ | $45 \times 90$ | 34.33 | 76.00 | 125.67 | 17.33 | 29.33 | 41.00 | 48.00 | 50.33 | 51.67 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{7}$ | $60 \times 75$ | 32.67 | 75.00 | 127.00 | 16.33 | 28.33 | 39.67 | 51.67 | 53.33 | 55.33 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{8}$ | $60 \times 90$ | 36.33 | 73.70 | 127.00 | 15.33 | 27.67 | 38.00 | 52.67 | 55.67 | 56.67 |
|  | S.E. $\pm$ | 1.20 | 1.49 | 2.13 | 0.92 | 0.76 | 0.77 | 1.37 | 1.05 | 1.19 |
|  | C.D. ( $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ ) | 3.64 | 4.52 | 6.44 | 2.80 | 2.30 | 2.34 | 4.15 | 3.19 | 3.59 |

Table 2 Dry weight of florets, yield, artemisinin content and artemisinin as influenced by different spacing at various stages of crop growth

| Treatments | Specing (cm) | Dry weight of florets/plant |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Leaf:stem } \\ \text { ratio } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (t/ha) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Artemisinin } \\ \text { content }(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Artemisinin (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | 120 DAS |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $30 \times 30$ | 5.36 | 8.20 | 15.57 | 0.80 | 1.84 | 0.20 | 3.67 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | $30 \times 45$ | 5.78 | 12.13 | 22.87 | 0.83 | 1.79 | 0.23 | 4.14 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | $30 \times 60$ | 6.42 | 16.54 | 32.80 | 0.85 | 1.94 | 0.22 | 4.26 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ | $45 \times 60$ | 7.57 | 33.63 | 69.63 | 0.87 | 2.46 | 0.21 | 5.16 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ | $45 \times 75$ | 8.23 | 34.80 | 69.83 | 0.85 | 1.99 | 0.20 | 3.99 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{6}$ | $45 \times 90$ | 8.93 | 40.77 | 80.03 | 0.95 | 2.04 | 0.21 | 4.25 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{7}$ | $60 \times 75$ | 8.92 | 38.47 | 78.10 | 0.95 | 1.65 | 0.20 | 3.31 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{8}$ | $60 \times 90$ | 9.11 | 49.33 | 99.33 | 0.84 | 1.97 | 0.19 | 3.74 |
|  | S.E. $\pm$ | 0.33 | 0.86 | 0.74 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.010 | 0.20 |
|  | C.D. ( $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ ) | 1.01 | 2.60 | 2.24 | NS | 0.12 | NS | 0.61 |

NS=Non-significant

The effect of the spacing on dried leaf yield was pronounced in the planting geometry. At harvest highest leaf yield was recorded at $45 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ crop geometry. The increasing trend of dried leaf yield from $(30 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm})$ to $(45 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm})$ spacing may be due to the compensation with growth of number of plants per unit area up to ( $45 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) spacing (medium) by efficient utilization of available resources viz., nutrients, water, light and space and having comparatively less competition between intra and inter row spacing resulting more, number of branches, florets, optimum spreading, optimum growth rate and ultimately good growth as compared to closer and wider spacing. The same results have been supported by various workers (Khanda and Mishra,1999; Santhi and Vijayakumar 1997; Chandra et al., 1996 and Pareek et al., 1991).

## Effect on yield :

The artemisinin yield was significantly influenced by spacing. Artemisinin yield was found to be highest $(5.16 \mathrm{~kg} /$ ha) at $45 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing and lowest $(3.31 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha})$ at $60 \times 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. This is because of variation in plant population and more herbage yield from $T_{1}$ to $T_{4}$ spacing combinations. This finding has been supported by many workers in other crops
viz., Singh (1996) reported that neither irrigation nor plant spacing affected the essential oil content, in patchouli significantly the increased oil yield was just because of increase
et al. (1997) that medium spacing $(30 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm})$ in the crop of Matricaria chamomilla was significantly superior to the other spacings ( $15 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). This may be due to the fact that at medium spacing with optimum plant density, the competition between the plants for nutrients, light and water etc was relatively low, resulting good growth. The essential oil yield of Matricaria chamomilla is increased mainly due to large size of flower and more number of oil glands/unit areas. Tiwari (2006) reported that in safed musli closer spacing of $30 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ resulted in significantly higher yield upto $229 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ may be due to increased plant population per unit area under closer spacing. Singh (1996) reported that in marigold among the different spacing, $30 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ produced highest flower yield, and $30 \times 40 \mathrm{~cm}$ produced the highest carotenoid yield. The variation in flower yield and carotenoid yield might be due to variation in plant population. Similar results have been reported in clocimum, matricaria, mints, and lemon grass.
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