# Antifungal activity of *Trichoderma* spp. against *Alternaria lini* responsible for bud blight of linseed



B.B. BHOYE, N.B. PAWAR AND S.A. RAUT

International Journal of Plant Protection, Vol. 4 No. 2 (October, 2011) : 324-329

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to : S.A. RAUT Department of Plant Pathology and Agril. Microbiology, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, AKOLA (M.S.) INDIA Email : satish15sep@ rediffmail.com

#### SUMMARY -

Linseed blight caused by *Alternaria lini* is an economically important and major disease of linseed. Isolates of *A. lini* were collected from different linseed growing areas of Vidarbha and were tested by using culture filtrates of four species of *Trichoderma* on the basis of mycelial growth, spore germination and sporulation behaviour. The ten isolates showed less variation in per cent inhibition of mycelial growth, spore germination and sporulation behaviour. The maximum inhibition in mycelial growth was observed with 10% concentration of culture filtrate. Among four species of *Trichoderma*, *T. hamatum* inhibited 38.46% radial mycelial growth whereas *T. viride* showed 78.38% and 82.20% inhibition sporulation intensity and spore germination, respectively.

Bhoye, B.B., Pawar, N.B. and Raut, S.A. (2011). Antifungal activity of *Trichoderma* spp. against *Alternaria lini* responsible for bud blight of linseed. *Internat. J. Plant Protec.*, **4**(2): 324-329.

Key words : Alternaria blight, Biological management, *Trichoderma* sp., *Alternaria lini*, Bud blight of linseed

**Received** :

May, 2011 **Revised :** June, 2011 **Accepted :** August, 2011

lternaria blight caused by Alternaria lini A Dey is a serious disease of linseed causing losses to the extent of 28-60 per cent. The disease appears from seedling stage to seed setting stage (Chaudhary and Srivastava, 1975) and the losses are found with the increased disease intensity where it appears on bud forming stage as a bud blight. In Maharashtra, the disease appears almost every year on the linseed crop grown, where 10 to 25 per cent incidence of linseed blight was recorded (Anonymous, 2007). Being a devastating disease of linseed, Alternaria blight causes heavy losses and oil per cent is also reduced which is having commercial value (Kolte and Fitt, 1997). Due to the conventional and continuous use of fungicides, the resistance and residue problem were developing in the pathogen. Looking at this problem, it is necessary to find out new areas for strengthening the management of this pathogen like use of bioagents. Therefore, the present study was taken for eco-friendly management of the disease.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS -

The present investigation was carried out

*in vitro* condition of Department of Plant Pathology, PGI, Dr. PDKV, Akola during 2007-2008. The experiment was done by using culture filtrates of 4 bioagents *viz.*, *Trichoderma hamatum*, *T. harzianum*, *T. virens and T. viride* against 10 isolates of *A. lini*.

#### **Preparation of culture filtrates:**

Bioagents were grown in 150 ml Potato dextrose broth in 250ml conical flask for 20 days. The broth of bioagents culture containing mycelium and spores were filtered through Whatman filter paper No.4, were centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min. to collect cell free supernatant. Considering the supernatant as 100% concentration was used in poisoned food technique at 10% concentration. (Mane and Pal, 2008).

### Effect of radial mycelial growth:

Ten isolates of the *A. lini* were used to study the antifungal activity of bioagents by poisoned food technique. The PDA added with 10% of culture filtrates separately poured in Petri plates and after solidification the plates were inoculated with 6mm disc of individual *A. lini* isolates at the centre maintained on PDA medium and incubated at  $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for 7 days. Observations on diameter of fungal growth were measured. The per cent inhibition was calculated with the help of mean colony diameter by using the following formula given by Vincent, 1927.

$$I = \frac{C \cdot T}{C} \times 100$$
where,  
I = Per cent growth inhibition  
C = Growth of fungus in containing the second sec

C = Growth of fungus in control T = Growth of fungus in treatment

## Effect of culture filtrates on sporulation behaviour of *A. lini*:

To study the effect of culture filtrates of bioagents, on sporulation intensity of the pathogen, three discs of 6 mm size one from centre, one from middle and one from periphery were removed from the same plates used for per cent growth inhibition. The individual disc was mixed in 10 ml of sterile distilled water and spore load was counted by heamocytometer and per cent inhibition of sporulation was calculated by using the following formula:

$$I = \frac{C \cdot T}{C} \times 100$$
where,  

$$I = Per \text{ cent spore inhibition}$$

$$C = Sporulation in control$$

$$T = Sporulation in treatments$$

# Effect of culture filtrates on spore germination of *A*. *lini:*

The spores of *A. lini*, grown on PDA for 10 days were removed with the help of a sterilized needle and brush in sterilized water. One drop each of spore suspension and double strength solutions (20%) of different non-pathogenic isolates were put separately into cavity slides under aseptic conditions. Three replications of each treatment were maintained. The slides were placed in Petri plates, lined with moist blotter paper to serve as moist chambers. For check, the spores were added to sterilized water. Per cent germination was recorded after 36 hrs. of incubation at  $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. The per cent inhibition of spore germination was calculated by using the following formula (Bhatiya and Awasthi, 2007).

$$I = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100$$
  
where,

- I = Per cent inhibition of spore germination
- C = Spore germination in control
- T = Spore germination in treatments

### Statistical analysis:

Statistical analysis was done by applying Completely Randomized Design (CRD) for *in vitro* studies (Gomez and Gomez, 1976).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION -----

The effect of culture filtrate of *Trichoderma viride*, *T. harzianum*, *T. hamatum and T. virens* on radial mycelial growth and sporulation intensity of 10 pathogenic *Alternaria lini* isolates were evaluated through poisoned food techniques and their effect on spore germination was tested with 10 isolates individually. In this all the four species of *Trichoderma* have shown fungistatic as well as antisporulant properties against *Alternaria lini*.

Data presented in Table 1, effect of bioagents on the radial mycelial growth of 10 isolates of *A. lini* ALP-1 to ALP-10 revealed that *T. hamatum* was found effective against ALP-1 showing 49.83mm radial mycelial growth with 34.29 per cent inhibition followed by *T. harzianum* 32.97 per cent growth inhibition and *T. virens* 25.93 per cent inhibition. Similarly, *T. hamatum* recorded 26.13 per cent and 36.67 per cent inhibition against ALP-2 and ALP-5, respectively followed by *T. harzianum* with 24.55 per cent and this treatment was found to be at par with other treatments except control.

While studying ALP-4, ALP-6 and ALP-9 it was found that *T. harzianum* was best in controlling the pathogens with 40.67, 43.00 and 39.17mm radial mycelial growth and 45.78, 43.79 and 45.02 per cent inhibition, respectively. This treatment was found to be at par with *T. virens*, with 43.56 per cent inhibition against ALP-4 while against ALP-9 *T. harzianum* (45.02 per cent inhibition) was followed by *T. hamatum* (44.08 per cent inhibition) in reducing the mycelial growth. The radial mycelial growth was found to be less in treatment *T. virens* against ALP-7 and ALP-8 isolate up to 43.17 and 47.17 mm, respectively with 35.76 and 36.63 per cent inhibition, respectively. In case of ALP-10, *T. hamatum* and *T. virens* was found most superior in reducing the radial mycelial growth with 30.11 per cent.

The data presented in Table 2, revealed that all treatments were significantly superior over control in inhibition of spore intensity. Among the treatments, *T. viride* 10 per cent was found effective giving  $1.21 \times 10^5$  spores / cm<sup>2</sup> with 78.31 per cent inhibition against ALP-1, which was at par with all the bioagents. Similar trend

6
üür
E S
100
länu <sup>5</sup>
10
gurg
100
(= 0° so s'as o? /.
63
200
30) E.
Se
0
ycc. s. g. ow'
2
6.3
33
A.
9
0
60
W.
8
665
es.
3

										ïsoʻz'os	08									
" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	. c. V		N. D. 2	2 2			1 Er V		C.V		9		Ler.V		A		Servy		O. C.V	an
····· Soldware Normal	AV.		AV.		AV.		AV.		AW.		AV.		AV.		AV.		NV.		AW.	
	()		$\langle \dots \rangle$				()		<u> </u>		$\langle \dots \rangle$				$\langle \dots \rangle$		()			
Allinuma scalavama	23	63.3	2.5.5	63.11	23.11	518	215	111.5		97.07		59.65		66.97	20.5	59. 9	91. ·	61.55		12.95
Amnona squamosa	27.83	55.59	2.9.5	57.39	26.11	52.37	25.33	55,99	20.83	61.68	7.25.1	56.32	20.83	57.02	28.11	13.93	26.33	16.66	26.67	57.98
Avadinachta indica	22.1	6/.63		55.22	29.67	15.96	25.5	1.99		12.34	22.83	30 30 20		667.99	2.6.83	16.58	30.11	38.85	32.11	19.32
Datura metal	215	50.9	21.83	67.13	315	55.31	1. 52	56.28	5.5			57.66	267	65.7	:5.5	. 7. 69	1.83	69.95	. 8.5	\$8°0/.
l'incalyptus soo.		39.	19:01	1.26	30.67		30.5	1.1.02		12.61	1	89' //.	30.11	52.21	1.9.6.	60.85	507	967.7	10.83	35.66
lipomea cornea	31.5	11.95	12.83	200	1. 52	9.75	1 18	10.65	1. 20%	52.91	.6.83		20.83	67.02.	37,83	21.68	31,83	35.52	35.5	1.0.11
V ambarna cannara		33.78	30	13.61	31,33	31/6	31.51	39.78	31,833		30.83	18,53	32,	15.31	32,83	31.51	11,83		39.14	38.29
R <sup>o</sup> arthenium	51.	1.5.21.	10.5			13.23	32.11	2.11	29.83		. 3. 83	.5'9/.	21.83	60.69	22.5	55.21	25.55	18.35	53.83	
hysterophorus																				
1 <sup>3</sup> ongomia pinnata	2.6.83	57.38		81.07	25.5	53.55	33.5		318	16:15	1. 16	29.62	26.33	583	1. 50	6.61	26.11		312	10.91
I ridex procumbans	.3.67	6. 8/.	38.5	11.39	1.:9:	55:07.	5.83	5.77.		11.62.	.6.83			66'51.	. 2.83	5111.	58.	62.53	. 9.33	69.57
Contral	62.67		69.23		813		1.91.9		51.34		59.9		53.11		50.23		19.34		63.11	
So Car	2.e.		S.e.		S.C.		S.S.		Sec.		S.B.		2.2°		20		S.C.		S.C.	
S.: ()	10		0.12		1.8.				110		0.13		0.75		1.0		9/0		1.10	
(CO 0 CO)	2.01		2.5		9.39		2.22		0.2.				3.85		3.55				2.39	
.`≿ຉ຺ຉ?;:ୖୖଡ଼ଡ଼୰ୣୖୖୖଡ଼୕ୢୄଽଽ୰ଡ଼୪୯.୭୯୯ ୭.୭୦ ୯.୭.°୦୦ ° "୰୶ୖଽୢୢ୰	E. C. C. C.	260 00 83	0.5.2.00	a Belline	60	SO. E. OS (	o? /. Beern	ขณะข้อม ไม้ก	: "so ztos o " / Bernouria Bini (in vino)	(a)										

ు జపాది ?ి. ి.ొందు లో లాజుగు దాగు జరు లా కాంటే జి.ింది ేదురాజిని	E - CXC - E	EC. OF SI	DD:: ECC	Salling and		al so stos ol Alterneurie lüni (in võro)	l.Bernou	ระ้อง ไม้คณี	(อิห ของกอ	0										
										soecs	1									
	C.V		N. D 2		A. D.		1 C.V		A	A 5	A.	A., 75 5	A.	A. C.N	C.V.		SE.V		. cr.v	Ċ.
l " Vergenge" verse jenner " ege n. n. Communication and an	(x:05/ (x:3)		NV. (*:05/c ==^5)		Av. (x:05/c		(x. 05 روحیکا روحیکا		лч. (ж. 05 /с=3		(x. (x 3) 202. 2)		NV. (x:05 /(==3)		∧v. (x:05/ 5=2		∧v. (×:05 (<==3)	hi hi	νν. (x: 05 / <sub>(s==</sub> 2)	
Allisoma scaliveana	2.2.	62.3	90.	82.78						\$ 181.			1.2.					13,33		65 88
Ammonua sequentes a	2.21		16.	5'67,		.19.3.		80.5	1.60	11. 8	0.9		16			.911.		12.61		S14.
Avadirrachta indica	2.21			80.38				000	507.	35.67.		18.29	1.50 .						60	
Datura metal		67.8.	16.	6'6/,	03			80.5		69.57.		18.2.9	16					1.9.11	15	$\mathcal{E}I$ .
icies smiddypoonsy	1.5 .	66.33		78.95				000	5001	\$5.67	. 33	58 N.	So.					11.33	50	5.5
liponnea cornea	. 33	6814	. 2.	80.38	9017		5	80.5	90",	20° · ·		.1.11	. S.					/3.33		00
h ambana cannara	1 2	69.82	16:	6'6/.				561.	1.6 -	1831.	1.6 .	:15.13	. 33							18.5
anna an		65.83	16.	6.67.				BI.	Til .	1831	1.6.	\$1.513	1.6							511
hysterophorus																				
1 <sup>3</sup> any amia pinnala	1.5.".	66.33		80.86	6	8. 28		80.5		\$\$11.		1.1.1.		.991.		9.67,	S	12.51	: 03	82.5
T'ridex procumbans	2.03	61.32.	1.6 .	8/6/.		19.3	1.2.	.18.5		69'91.	16 .	91.	2.		5.		16.			83.5
Contral.	5.86						5.89		5.33		56		1.89		5.92		1.12		58	
	S.S.		Ste.		S. Con		S.S.		1		Ster.		2.62		S.B.		2. C		S.B.	
S. 1. ()	0.08		1.0.0		0.08		1 13.13		800		1.50		50° 0		5000		10.0			
C.D. G. 000.)	613		0.34		0.1		02.30		110		0.35		0.16		0.7 00		0.34		2,58	

**326** *Internat. J. Plant Protec.*, 4 (2) (Oct., 2011) HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE

R R RHOVE N R PAWAR AND S A RAUT

was also observed in the ALP-2, ALP-4, ALP-8, ALP-5 and ALP-10 isolates against *T. viride* 10 % superior with 1.03, 1.03, 1.18, 1.00 and 1.00  $\times 10^5$  spores / cm<sup>2</sup> with 79.77, 81.87, 80.77, 82.01 and 84.40 per cent inhibition, respectively over the respective isolates and control.

While studying the isolates ALP-3, ALP-6 and ALP-9 it was found that treatment T. harzianum (10 %) was found to be best showing minimum *i.e.*  $1.15 \times 10^5$ ,  $1.06 \times 10^5$  and  $1.06 \times 10^5$  spores / cm<sup>2</sup> with 79.26, 79.07 and 67.57 per cent spore inhibition, respectively. This treatment was found at par with all other treatments. In case of isolate ALP-7, T. virens (10 %) was found to be best effective in reducing the sporulation intensity showing 1.03x10<sup>5</sup> spores/cm<sup>2</sup> with 77.17 per cent inhibition.

In spore germination study (Table 3), it was found that *T. viride* @10 % was the most effective in reducing spore germination 87.15 per cent showing 12.00 per cent spore germination followed by *T. hamatum* having 15.50 per cent spore germination.

Similarly, *T. viride* was found to be best among all the treatments against isolates ALP-2, ALP-3, ALP-5, ALP-7 ALP-8 and ALP-10 over control showing 13.20%, 11.20%, 15.20%, 17.00%, 14.40% and 14.60% spore germination with 83.70%, 86.16%, 81.23%, 77.72%,

											108									
and the former and	. erv		2 6. V		A. D 3		1 c. V		c.V	5	A. D 6		1. E.V		A 8		e. V		C.V	с. С.
	AV. (%)		Av. (%)		AV. (%)		Av. (%)		AV. (%)		Av. (%)		(%) (%)		Av. (%)		Av. (%)		AV. (%)	
Allinam salivaan	077.2	80.23	n. 4.	<i>\$1.81</i> ,	96°8°.	58/	051.	69.87	23.20	13.36	3.50	6. /8	26.30	8.8%	091	82.68	0.7.	82,93	.2.30	82.1
	(37.55)		(28-32)		(35:11)		(22,02)		(61.82)		(356)		(36.18)		(37.76)		(22.06)		(20.53)	
Amneomen	.3.30	87.85	.5.30	82.98	5.20	87.24	0.5.	32,02	091.	83.27	311.	1.88	075:	82.1	.3.00	87.58	. 5.80	80.87	3.50	1.758
zolinternanzez	(3. 30)		(23.03)		(33.13)		(22.31)		(3776)		(32.30)		(23.1.)		(23)		(23.12)		(07:02)	
Aradiirachta	20.80	16.35	.5.90	87.3	001	\$3.87	20.30	15.83	078.	18.81.	.6.00	8. 26	ardr' / "		30.5	82.27	m /	\$ 61.	.6.00	
innedii cea	(20.80)	43	(23.19)		(15:2)		(36.78)		(0797)		(23.56)		(15:2)		(37.13)		(21.13)		(33.58)	
Datura metal	.3.10		081.	30.76	0/81	57.31.	9. 30	91. 11.	2010	89.31.	07.8.	s/.	.5.50	82,29	20.50	89'SJ.	22,90	12.28	25.90	8°. 5°.
	(3. 123	- 25	(35-76)		(0796)		(2113)		(36.85)		(25.62)		(23.19)		(36.92)		(28.59)		(3373)	
l'suoalyptus soo.	0.5.	82.81	0/97	8. 76	83 . 1 .	\$3.7	0.5.	82.02	.2.60	85.53	NN 8 .	81. 18	and E .	1.58	311.	82, 32	2,80	\$1.2	097.	19.53
	(22.81)	2.5	(23.89)		(22.06)		(22.81)		(51:00)		(23)		(23)		(32,30)		(20.96)		(08.1%)	
lipomea cornea	097.1	99	097.	80.53	2.80	8.13	0.6.	9614.	.6.60	80.91	20.50	91.	22,000	98° //.	091.	1661.	20.80	11.82%	0.9.	81.28
	(08-72)	2.5	(31:13)		(23.13)		(28-32)		(10.10)		(26.92)		(37.31)		(31:13)		(2.1.3)		(23.66)	
X zamkanca	2.50	1.5.51.	25.30	77.86	32,000	1.5 11.	.8.80	1311.	077.	1.788	.8.30	1.5%1.	.6.50		. 9.20	2611.	361.	867	20.00	11.91.
COMPACT 31	(27.53)	2.5	(30.20)		0.672		(01:52)		(22,30)		(25.33)		(23.91)		(35.99)		(05-70)		(36.56)	
i <sup>13</sup> arthenium	2.50	1.9.91.	. \$ 20	91:61.	8/. 098.	.987.	.5.50		076.	Stallate	0.8.	10.02	.6.50		.5.30	8. 82	.3.10	83.7	\$\$. \$	78.95
faysterrophicarus	(27.53)		(25,25)		(35.7.8)		(23.26)		(16.13)		(35.18)		(33.9')		(33.03)		(21.12)		(87.92)	
1 <sup>3</sup> ang amia	0/6.	\$511.	. 8.60	661.	2. 20 15	6/91.	078.	·/3.	2.10	\$191.	320	6911.	014:	11.83	2.20	58. 11.	20.10		2.20	15.35
pinnada	(:6.3)	23	(32:55)		(2113)		(3270)		(37.56)		(56.33)		(26.3)		(2113)		(27,05)		(211.2)	
T'ridex	.6.30	86. / 89 86. /	22.80	1911.	5.30	82.3	.8.30	18.2.	.5.90	SV S	01.7.	61,78	5.20	82.63	0/91	80.55	. / .60	82.32	019:	82.09
procumbans	(33.81)		(28.52)		(23.03)		(25.33)		(3379)		(33.35)		(33.95)		(33.89)		(22.16)		(33)	
Control	00.88		89.90		36.50		8/ .00		87.10		\$27.0		87.50		87.30		82.60		00°98	
	(69.73)		(1.1.1.)		(11 19)		(66./3)		(18.83)		(1979)		(68-30)		(66.66)		(65.35)		(58.03)	
.,	Ste.		S.S.		S.C.		S.C.		a		20		S.S.		S.C.		S.C.		S.C.	
S.3 ()	82. C)		0.5.		0.58		.50		15.0		.90		0.53		6/0		0.63		19.0	
(.00 0)00	2.16		2,56		2.95		2,58		2.15		3.09		2.66		1.16		3.16		31.12	

Internat. J. Plant Protec., 4 (2) (Oct., 2011) HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE 82.84% and 80.27 per cent spore inhibition, respectively. However, *T. virens* was found most effective in reducing spore germination of isolate ALP-6 *i.e.* 12.60 per cent with 84.84 per cent inhibition followed by *T. viride* with 16.40 per cent spore germination. In case of isolate ALP-9, *T. harzianum* was found superior to reduce the spore intensity up to 15.50 per cent with 81.39 per cent inhibition.

To select the most effective treatment to be taken forward for pot culture study, the treatments were compared for their average performance. The data presented in Table 4 revealed that *T. hamatum* had the maximum fungistatic properties with an average 38.46 % inhibition of mycelial growth, where as, *T. viride* had maximum antisporulant activity with 78.38% inhibition of sporulation intensity and 82.20% inhibition of spore germination. Although, there was not much variation observed among the bioagents for antisporulant properties, enough variation present in fungistatic potential was observed due to *T. harzianum* @ 10%, was the most superior among all the bioagents (Table 1 to 3). Therefore, *T. harzianum* @ 10 per cent was selected for evaluation under pot culture condition.

0 /	sporulation	n intensity	U U
	% inhibition	% inhibition	% inhibition of spore
Treatments	of radial	of	germination
	mycelial growth	sporulation intensity	
Trichoderma viride	22.96	78.38	82.20
T. harzianum	37.15	75.84	76.60
T. hamatum	38.46	74.42	79.01
T. virens	33.87	75.24	79.13
Control	00.00	00.00	00.00

In the current study four species of *Trichoderma i.e. T. harzianum, T. viride, T. hamatum* and *T. virens* had shown antifungal properties against *A. lini.* This finding indicate that *Trichoderma* spp. possess broad spectrum antifungal property against *Alternaria*. Among these *T. harzianum* was found the most effective against *A. lini.* The species of Trichoderma were varying their in performance to inhibite the myceilium growth, of *A. lini* Similar result were reported by Gulhane *et al.* (2005) against *Pythium,* Zade *et al.* (2005) has also reported the similar results against *Puccinia arachidis.* In the spore germination study the culture filtrates of bioagents had antagonistic properties against *A. lini*. Similar results were obtained by Mane and Pal (2008) for *T. hamatum* and *T. harizianum* along with few more bioagents against *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *ciceri*. In the sporulation study the spore inhibition of A. lini due to culture filtrates of bioagents was observed. Similar results were obtained by Kelemu and Badel (1994) while studying pathogenic culture filtrates of *Bacillus subtilis* against some genera of pathogenic fungi such as *Colletotrichum gloeospoiriodes* Hijwegen. (1989) had obtained *Sphaerotheca fuliginea* due to the effect of culture filtrates of seventeen different antagonistic fungal species like *Calcarisoprium arbuscula* on sporulation.

### Authors' affiliations:

**B.B. BHOYE,** Department of Plant Pathology, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, AKOLA(M.S.) INDIA

**N.B. PAWAR,** Cotton Research Unit, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, AHMEDNAGAR (M.S.) INDIA

### **REFERENCES**

Anonymous (2007). *Annual Research Report*, Linssed and sesame pathology. AICRP on linseed, College of Agriculture, Nagpur pp. 12-13.

**Bhatiya, B.S. and Awasthi, R.P. (2007).** *In vitro* evaluation of some antifungal plant extracts against *Alternaria brassicae Alternaria* blight of rapseed and mustard. *J.Pl. Dis. Sci.*, **2**(2): 126-131.

Chaudhari, L.S. and Srivastava, K.N. (1975). Estimation of loss of yield caused by blight diseases of linseed. *J. Karnataka agric. Sci.*, **3**: 107-109.

Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. (1976). *Statistical procedures for agricultural research*, IRRI, Manila, Philippines.

Gulhane, V.G., Gaikwad, S.J., Lanje, P.W., Zade, S.R. and Kuruwanshi, V.B. (2005). *In vitro* study of antagonistic effect of bioagents on damping off pathogen of tomato. *J. Soil. Crop*, *15* (2): 222-226.

**Hijwegen, T. (1989).** Effect of culture filtrates of seventeen fungicolous fungi on sporulation of cucumber powdery mildew. *Neth. J. Pl. Path.*, **1**: 95-98.

Kelemu, S. and Badel, J.L. (1994). *In vitro* inhibition of *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* and other phytopathogenic fungi by an Amazonian isolate of *Bacillus subtilis* and its cell free culture filtrate. *Austral. Pl. Path.*, 23 (2): 41-45.

Kolte, S. J. and Fitt, D.I. (1997). Importance of linseed. Disease of linseed and flax. 2: 25-27.

Mane, S.S. and Pal, M. (2008). Screening of antagonists and effects of their cultural filtrate on growth and biomass production of *Fusarium oxysporum*. J.Pl. Dis. Sci., **3**(1): 74-76.

Vincent, J.M. (1927). Distortion of fungal hyphae in the presence of certain inhibitors. *Nature*, **159** : 850-855.

Zade, S.R., Buldeo, A.N., Conje, P.W. and Gulhane, V.G. (2005). Evaluation of plant extracts and culture filtrates of bioagents against *Puccinia arachidis* Speg. in groundnut *J. Soil. Crop*, **15**(1): 150-154.

\*\*\*\*\*\*